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The Next Mother Lode for Large-scale Digitization? Historic Serials, Copyrights, and Shared Knowledge

Abstract
Much of the publicity around recent mass-digitization projects focuses on the millions of books they promise to make freely readable online. Because of copyright, though, most of the books provided in full will be of mainly historical interest. But much of the richest historical text content is not in books at all, but in the newspapers, magazines, newsletters, and scholarly journals where events are reported firsthand, stories and essays make their debut, research findings are announced and critiqued, and issues of the day debated. Back runs of many of these serials are available in major research institutions but often in few other places. But they have the potential for much more intensive use, by a much wider community, if they are digitized and made generally accessible.

In this talk, we will discuss an inventory we have conducted at Penn of periodicals copyright renewals. We found that copyrights of the vast majority of mid-20th-century American serials of historical interest were not renewed to their fullest possible extent. The inventory reveals a rich trove of copyright-free digitizable serial content from major periodicals as late as the 1960s. Drawing on our experience with this inventory's production and previous registry development, we will also show how low-cost, scalable knowledge bases could be built from this inventory to help libraries more easily identify freely digitizable serial content, and collaborate in making it digitally available to the world. Our initial raw inventory can be found at http://onlinebooks.library.upenn.edu/cce/firstperiod.html

Keywords
historic serials, copyright, renewals, digitization

Disciplines
Library and Information Science

Comments
Presented at DLF Spring Forum, April 2006.

This presentation is available at ScholarlyCommons: https://repository.upenn.edu/library_papers/65
The next mother lode for large-scale digitization?

Historic serials, copyrights, and shared knowledge

John Mark Ockerbloom
DLF Spring Forum, Austin
April 11, 2006
Main ideas

• A vast amount of significant serial literature before 1964 is in the public domain in the US
  – Both scholarly and general-interest content
  – More complete, and potentially more accessible, view of mid-20th-century culture and thought than public domain books

• We can determine what is available to digitize
  – We have created an inventory of all periodicals renewals 1950-1977 (for 1923-1950 publications; only a tiny fraction renewed)
  – This inventory can be the germ of a more comprehensive, cooperatively built knowledge base

• Leadership opportunities for DLF and its institutions
  – We have the big serial collections, the hard-core users, the knowledge of the literature and of digital library issues
  – Low-overhead shared knowledge bases can provided a basis for coordinating work
The hopes of mass digitization

“It is time to build... an America where every child can stretch a hand across a keyboard and reach every book ever written, every painting ever painted, every symphony ever composed...”

-- US President Bill Clinton, 1998 State of the Union address

“Our venture will result in a magnitude of discovery that seems almost incomprehensible...”

-- U-Michigan President Mary Sue Coleman, 2006 speech to Association of American Publishers, on the Google Book Search project
What can we actually make available online?

• Every book, painting, symphony…
  – That is in the public domain
  – Or that we can license (actively or passively)
  – Or that we can get a special exemption to provide
    » fair use
    » section 108
    » orphan works?
    » Snippets of fully indexed text?
What are we getting from mass text digitization efforts so far?

• Many books before 1923, or 1909, or 1864
  – Over 40,000 from Google alone since the fall
  – Public domain status determination often very conservative

• Some open access serial runs
  – Open access largely seen in nonprofit projects (MOA, newspapers, ILEJ…) or by journals themselves (smaller-scale)
  – Google, Internet Archive, etc. also including some serial volumes along with the books, not systematically to date
  – Larger collections available in limited access (EBSCO, JSTOR…)

• A fascinating assortment of miscellaneous text
  – Pamphlets, manuscripts, letters, diaries, blogs, ephemera…
  – We won’t focus on that in this talk
What do we get from all this text?

• Classic or timely wisdom and art
  – Would be fresh and valued even if first produced today
  – The most widely acclaimed public domain exemplars are already online

• Current, directly applicable knowledge
  – Much (though not all) public domain informative literature now outdated (especially if one only looks pre-1923)

• Entertainment
  – Important, but hard to get grants for…

• History and documentation
  – Vast majority of interesting public domain text
  – Includes literature, art, essays, science, etc., that are valuable primarily in a historical context
Serials: A treasure trove of history and documentation

- **Newspapers: First reports of events**
  - Primary sources for history; essential for local history

- **Magazines: Literature, essays, debates as they first appear**
  - And often the only place that they appear
  - Lots of short-form work that didn’t make it into books

- **Scholarly journals: The record of research**
  - The hypotheses, the experiments, the data, the debates

- **Specialty publications: Insight into communities**
  - Trade journals, local and special interest groups
What’s in the public domain?

- Anything copyrighted before 1923
- Anything that’s specifically dedicated
  - E.g. fed. gov. docs in US; small amounts of private stuff
- Anything that didn’t “maintain” copyright as was once required:
  - Copyrights before 1964 that were not renewed (most weren’t)
    » But many of most significant books were renewed
    » (note also some may contain separately renewed material)
  - Publications before 1989 w/o copyright notice
    » (Inadvertent omissions after 1977 sometimes fixable)
  - But: Many foreign works were retroactively exempted from maintenance requirements in 1996
    » Key requirement: First foreign publication needs to be more than 30 days before first US publication to get exemption
Copyright detective work

• Popular Lord Peter Wimsey mystery in US public domain since 1951, but not online until 2005

• Needed to:
  – Search renewal records
  – Research publication history
  – Find a first edition to transcribe
  – Meticulously record what we did to clear the book

• Expensive to scale up
  – (see work by Denise Troll Covey at CMU)
Copyright renewals index

- For periodicals, you may find a list of first copyright renewals for periodicals useful.

Renewal records, by year

Here is what is available online so far:

1950 - 1977 (renews copyrights from 1922 - 1950)

These years are not yet in an official database; however, volunteers have scanned renewal page images for many book-related categories. See also the transcriptions and indexes above. Books may be covered under copyrights in related categories. (For instance, if a portion of a book first appeared in a magazine, it may still be copyrighted under the magazine's copyright even if the book's copyright was not renewed.)

The page images can be found here:

- 1950 (everything except music)
- 1951 (books, periodicals, contributions to periodicals, drama, artwork)
- 1952 (books, periodicals, contributions to periodicals, drama, artwork)
- 1953 (books, periodicals, contributions to periodicals)
- 1954 (books, periodicals, contributions to periodicals)
- 1955 (books, periodicals, contributions to periodicals)
- 1956 (books, periodicals, contributions to periodicals)
Copyright renewal volumes

Renewals for Periodicals

The following page images are available (all GIFs):

- Part 1 (January - June):
  - Page 199 (A - Atlantic Monthly)
  - Page 200 (Atlantic Reporter - Chain Store Age)
  - Page 201 (Child Life - Fortune (start))
  - Page 202 (Fortune (cont.) - Mademoiselle)
  - Page 203 (Medical Clinics of North America - New York Herald Tribune (start))
  - Page 204 (New York Herald Tribune (cont.) - New York Times (start))
  - Page 205 (New York Times (cont.) - New Yorker (start))
  - Page 206 (New Yorker (cont.) - Pete Rice Magazine (start))
  - Page 207 (Pete Rice Magazine (cont.) - Saturday Review of Literature (start))
  - Page 208 (Saturday Review of Literature (cont.) - Street & Smith's Complete Stories (start))
  - Page 209 (Street & Smith's Complete Stories (cont.) - Street & Smith's Wild West Weekly (start))
  - Page 210 (Street & Smith's Wild West Weekly (cont.) - Vanity Fair)
  - Page 211 (Vogue - Western Winners)

- Part 2 (July - December):
Copyright renewal records

ASTOUNDING STORIES. © Conde Nast Publications, Inc. (PCW)
3 Apr 62; v.14, no.
6, Feb 35; © 16 Jan 35; B248817.
12 Feb 62; R290834.
3 Apr 62; v.15, no.
1, Mar 35; © 20 Feb 35; B253418.
8 May 62; R294941.
2, Apr 35; © 20 Mar 35; B255773.
8 May 62; R294971.
3, May 35; © 17 Apr 35; B259347.
8 May 62; R294999.
29877.
32450. 4, Jun 35; © 15 May 35; B261870.
13 Jun 62; R296180.
34759.
58231.
THE ATLANTIC MONTHLY. © Atlantic Monthly Co. (PCW)
v.154, no.
1, Jul 34; © 13 Jun 34; B228379.
Inventory ofserials

- Astonishing Stories: issues renewed from February 1940 (v. 1 no. 1); see 1967
- Astounding Science Fiction: see Astonishing Stories
- Astounding Stories: issues renewed from October 1933 (v. 12 no. 2); see 1960 Jul-Dec
- Athlete: issues renewed from August 1939 (v. 1 no. 1); see 1966
- The Atlantic Monthly: issues renewed from July 1934 (v. 154 no. 1); see 1962 Jan-Jun
- Atlantic Reporter: issues prior to 1923 renewed; see 1950 Jan-Jun
- Audubon Magazine: issues renewed from Sep-Oct 1947 (v. 49 no. 5); see 1975
- Authors' League Bulletin: issues renewed from June 1942 (v. 1 no. 3 -- new series? ALBs can be found back to 1913); see 1970
- The Avenger: issues renewed from September 1939 (v. 1 no. 1); see 1966
- Awful Oscar: "consult copyright office"; see 1977 Jan-Jun; first cataloged renewal is for June 1949 (v. 1 no. 11) recorded in 1977 Jul-Dec
- Baby Talk: issues renewed from Feb. 1938 (v. 3 no. 2); see 1965
- Bacteriological Reviews: issues renewed from June 1940 (v. 4 no. 2); see 1968
- Baptist Leader: "consult copyright office"; see 1973; first cataloged renewal is for January 1946 (v. 7 no. 10) recorded in 1977 Jul-Dec
- Barron's: issues renewed from January 6, 1941 (v. 21 no. 1); see 1968
- Barron's National Business and Financial Weekly: see Barron's
- Batman: issues renewed from Spring 1940 (v. 1 no. 1); see 1967; see also Detective Comics
- Battle Bird: see Battle Birds
- Battle Birds: issues renewed from February 1940 (v. 1 no. 1); see 1967
- Beauty Fair: issues renewed from Dec-Jan. 1947 [sic] (v. 2 no. 5); see 1976 Jan-Jun
- Beginners Teacher's Quarterly: issues renewed from October 1, 1941 (v. 28 no. 1); see
Very few serials completely copyrighted after 1922

- WorldCat lists over 200,000 serials with significant dates between 1923 and 1950
  - May undercount based on journals not starting or ending in that range
  - May overcount based on duplicate entries for some serials
- We found only ~1300 serials that renewed any issue copyrights during that time
- Most significant serials publishing then did not renew all (or any) of their issues
  - Weak correspondence between extent of renewal and significance to researchers
Newspapers

- No daily newspaper outside New York renewed issues dating before the end of World War II
- Only a few dailies from 1923-1950 renewed at all
- Earliest renewed issues for some major newspapers:
  - New York Herald/Tribune: before 1923
  - New York Times (daily): 1928
  - Wall Street Journal: 1941
  - Christian Science Monitor: 1945
  - Chicago Tribune: 1946
  - Washington Post: 1951
  - Los Angeles Times: 1958
  - Boston Globe, Philadelphia Inquirer, many more: No issue renewals
Scholarly journals

Using JSTOR (as of March 2006) as representative sample of significant journals:

– 1923-1950 journals in JSTOR: 298
– Number that renewed any issues: 49
– Number renewing first issue in period: 7
Magazines

• Some major magazines renewed from the start (or before 1923)
  – E.g. The New Yorker, National Geographic, Sat Eve Post

• Many other majors did not start renewing right away
  – E.g. Time, The New Republic, Scientific American

• Many others didn’t renew at all
  – E.g. The Economist

• Comics, pulp fiction often renewed aggressively
What’s published in the US?
Caveats and qualifiers

• Serials may contain separately copyrighted (and separately renewed) items
  – Text: Contributions to periodicals (renewal scans and transcriptions are available online)
    » Inventory of these for serials would be useful too
  – Images: Renewal scans not yet online, but there aren’t that many
    » Low-hanging fruit for a scanning/transcription project!

• Some possible mitigating factors:
  – Section 201(c) gives serial copyright holders presumptive rights to reprint contributions in original context
  – Orphan works provisions might also make it easier to clear contributions

• Double-check anything you intend to digitize
  – Don’t rely on me (or other non-lawyers) for legal advice
  – It’s possible we may have missed renewals
Who will provide libraries of historic serials online?

• Google, Yahoo, Microsoft?
  – Serial content being ingested along with monograph content
  – Thus far not being treated specially or systematically

• Commercial aggregators?
  – Already broad coverage, but still limited; limited access; no inherent monopoly on public domain content

• Let central consortia do it?
  – JSTOR et al can’t do everything; and limited access may inhibit reuse, repurposing, remixing

• Libraries do it ourselves?
  – We have the content, constituency, mission, know-how
  – Scanner tech, storage, and sharing all getting cheaper
Sharing knowledge about copyrights

• Renewal scans were contributed by many, inventory created by individual
  – Just using flat files served by Apache
  – Thanks: Greg Weeks, Juliet Sutherland & Distributed Proofreaders, CMU, Penn, several public libraries

• Many may need to do copyright research
  – Searchable databases useful for quick lookups
  – Registries useful for pooling information

• Rights clearance info could also be machine-readable
  – See e.g. rights expression work by Karen Coyle, CDL
  – Needs to avoid inhibiting expression, contributors
Building a robust knowledge base for copyright information

• **Usability**: Should be easy for humans and programs to comprehend as needed
  – Main machine-processing requirement: Lookup

• **Inclusiveness**: Make it easy for researchers to contribute any relevant information
  – What work (journal, issues…) is being referred to?
  – What is asserted about its copyright?
  – What are the facts that support this assertion?
  – Who is making the assertion? (And perhaps: when?)

• **Reliability**: Support degrees of certainty and authority, audit (history) trail
Where and how to construct this knowledge base?

• Stick with individually curated flat files?
  – Certainly easy to store, trade; hard to scale

• Wiki base with structured data and review?
  – We built one not long ago: Fred (format registry demonstration that was prototype for GDFR)
    » [http://tom.library.upenn.edu/fred/](http://tom.library.upenn.edu/fred/) for reference

• Add-on to existing catalog/registry?
  – E.g. Registry of Digital Masters on OCLC

• Should extended scope be considered?
  – Journals: Info on digitizations as well as copyright?
  – Copyrights: info on non-journal copyrights as well?

Who will use and support it, and what do they need?
To sum up

• We have many valuable, relatively recent serials that are fair game to digitize and share
  – An opportunity largely untapped to date (at least post-1922)
• We now have, or can get, the information we need to determine their status and share them digitally
• DLF and its member institutions are particularly suited to leading in this area
  – Due to our collections, the research we support, and our expertise coordination
• It would be useful, and feasible, to coordinate our efforts and our information gathering
  – E.g. by contributing to appropriate information registries

• We should begin discussions on how best to move forward
So, let’s discuss

• Initial inventory and copyright renewal records at
  http://onlinebooks.library.upenn.edu/cce/

• You can contact me at
  – Ockerblo@pobox.upenn.edu

• What information could you use? What could you contribute?
• Let’s start the conversation