Short GRB and binary black hole standard sirens as a probe of dark energy
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Observations of the gravitational radiation from well-localized, inspiraling compact-object binaries can measure absolute source distances with high accuracy. When coupled with an independent determination of redshift through an electromagnetic counterpart, these standard sirens can provide an excellent probe of the expansion history of the Universe and the dark energy. Short γ-ray bursts, if produced by merging neutron star binaries, would be standard sirens with known redshifts detectable by ground-based gravitational wave (GW) networks such as Advanced Laser Interferometer Gravitational-wave Observatory (LIGO), Virgo, and Australian International Gravitational Observatory (AIGO). Depending upon the collimation of these GRBs, the measurement of about 10 GW-GRB events (corresponding to about 1 yr of observation with an advanced GW detector network and an all-sky GRB monitor) can measure the Hubble constant h to ~2–3%. When combined with measurement of the absolute distance to the last scattering surface of the cosmic microwave background, this determines the dark energy equation of state parameter w to ~9%. Similarly, supermassive binary black hole inspirals will be standard sirens detectable by Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA). Depending upon the precise redshift distribution, ~100 sources could measure w at the ~4% level.
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I. INTRODUCTION

With the advent of the Laser Interferometer Gravitational-wave Observatory (LIGO), we are on the verge of an era of gravitational-wave (GW) astronomy [1,2]. Among the most interesting expected sources for GW observatories are compact-object binaries. Advanced LIGO, a planned upgrade with tenfold increase in sensitivity, would detect the inspirals and coalescence of stellar-mass binaries within several hundred megaparsecs, while the Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA) would study supermassive binary black holes (SMBBH) (M ~ 10^4–10^7M⊙) throughout the universe (z ~ 10).

The idea of using GW measurements of coalescing binaries to make cosmologically interesting measurements has a long history. As originally pointed out by Schutz [3], observation of the gravitational radiation from an inspiraling binary provides a self-calibrated absolute distance determination to the source. Chernoff [4] and Finn [5] took advantage of this property to show that, by observing many inspiral sources, one can construct the distribution of observed binary mass and GW signal strength, and thereby statistically constrain the values of cosmological parameters. More recently, Holz and Hughes [6] have shown that LISA observations of well-localized SMBBH inspirals allow cosmological distance determination with unprecedented accuracy, with typical errors <1%. These GW “standard sirens” can precisely map out the expansion history of the Universe, offering a powerful probe of the dark energy.

The utility of standard sirens for constraining dark energy is quite similar to that of standard candles, such as Type-Ia supernovae. One advantage of GW standard sirens is that the underlying physics is well-understood. The radiation emitted during the inspiral phase (as opposed to the merger phase) is well-described using the post-Newtonian expansion of general relativity [7]. An unknown systematic evolution of the standard sirens over time, precisely mimicking a different cosmology, is unlikely to be of concern. Furthermore, GW observatories directly measure absolutely calibrated source distances, whereas Type-Ia supernova standard candles provide only relatively calibrated distances.

A major drawback of GW standard sirens is that, although the gravitational waveforms measure distance directly, they contain no redshift information. To be useful as a standard candle, an independent measure of the redshift to the source is crucial. This can be determined through observation of an electromagnetic counterpart, such as the host galaxy of the source. Unfortunately, as GW observatories are essentially all-sky, they generally provide poor source localization, and the host galaxy is not always unambiguously identifiable [8]. In cases where source redshifts cannot be determined, the distribution of unlocalized events can be used to place statistical bounds on cosmological parameters [5]. In this paper we will focus on GW sirens with counterparts with measurable redshifts, as they can provide very tight constraints on cosmology.

Because standard siren distances are absolutely calibrated, even sources at low redshift (e.g., z ≤ 0.2) can
constrain dark energy. This may seem surprising, since at low redshifts the distance-redshift relation is well-described by a linear Hubble relation \( D = cz / H_0 \), independent of dark energy parameters. As emphasized by Hu and Jain [9], and Hu [10], however, absolute distances to sources at low redshift tightly constrain dark energy, when combined with a determination of the absolute distance to the last-scattering surface of the cosmic microwave background (CMB). To understand this, note that cosmological distances are given by a redshift integral of the Hubble parameter, which in turn depends on the sum of energy densities at each redshift:

\[
D(z_i) = \frac{c}{H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_K}} \sinh \left[ \sqrt{\Omega_K} \int_0^{z_i} \frac{H(z) \, dz}{H_0} \right] \]

\[
H(z) = \sqrt{\Omega_m (1 + z)^3 + \Omega_de (1 + z)^{3(1+w)} + \Omega_K (1 + z)^2}. \tag{1}
\]

Here \( \Omega_m + \Omega_de + \Omega_K = 1 \), \( H_0 = 100h \text{ km/s/Mpc} \) is the Hubble constant today, and we have assumed a constant equation of state parameter, \( w \). If we assume a flat universe (\( \Omega_K = 0 \)), then \( \Omega_de = 1 - \Omega_m \), and the only parameters describing the global expansion are \( h \), \( \Omega_m \), and \( w \). Observations of the primary anisotropies in the CMB provide two constraints on these three parameters. First, the heights of the acoustic peaks determine the matter density (in \( \text{g/cm}^3 \)), which fixes \( \Omega_m h^2 \). Second, the angular scale of the peaks (their location in \( l \)-space) precisely measures the angular diameter distance to the CMB last-scattering surface, in Mpc. Absolute distances to low-redshift sources measure the Hubble constant \( h \), which then allows all three parameters to be determined [9,10]. The constraints we present would be substantially degraded if the curvature were not fixed to zero; see [11,12] for prospects for precise constraints on curvature.

In addition to low-redshift standard sirens, those at higher redshifts also help constrain dark energy, in the same manner as high-redshift standard candles. Holz and Hughes [6] discuss how LISA observations of SMBBH inspirals can help constrain cosmology. For a dark energy model which is not dramatically different from a cosmological constant \( \Lambda \), the interesting redshift range is when the dark energy density is significant \( (z \approx 1) \), although note that gravitational lensing degrades the constraints from the highest redshift standard sirens (or candles) [13].

As mentioned above, the GWs from standard sirens measure source distances, but do not measure source redshifts. An electromagnetic counterpart associated with the merger event will generally be required to use GW sources to determine cosmology. One potential class of GW sources guaranteed to have electromagnetic counterparts are short \( \gamma \)-ray bursts (GRBs). Some fraction of short GRBs are thought to arise in the mergers of neutron star (NS) binaries, and hence should be strong GW emitters in the frequency band accessible to ground-based observatories. The GRB counterpart to these GW source provides a precise sky localization, which is useful both for determining the redshift to the source, and for significantly improving the GW determination of absolute distance. As we discuss below, short GRBs occur at a rate large enough for them to provide interesting cosmological constraints.

## II. DISTANCE DETERMINATION FOR INSPIRALING BINARIES

In this section we briefly review how distances to inspiraling binaries may be determined; see Ref. [14] for more detail. An inspiraling binary at direction \( \mathbf{n} \) on the sky, with orbital angular momentum axis \( \mathbf{L} \), generates GWs with strain tensor

\[
\mathbf{h}(t) = h_+ (t) \mathbf{e}^+ + h_\times (t) \mathbf{e}^\times,
\tag{2}
\]

where the basis tensors are

\[
\mathbf{e}^+ = e_x \otimes e_x - e_y \otimes e_y, \tag{3}
\]

\[
\mathbf{e}^\times = e_x \otimes e_y + e_y \otimes e_x, \tag{4}
\]

with

\[
e_x = \frac{\hat{n} \times \hat{L}}{|\hat{n} \times \hat{L}|}, \tag{5}
\]

\[
e_y = e_x \times \hat{n}. \tag{6}
\]

Our convention is that \( \hat{n} \) points towards the source, hence the waves propagate in the direction \( -\hat{n} \). We express the amplitudes of the two polarizations \( h_+ (t) \) and \( h_\times (t) \) in the frequency domain as

\[
\tilde{h}_+ (f) = (1 + v^2) \tilde{h}_0 (f), \quad \tilde{h}_\times (f) = -2iv\tilde{h}_0 (f) \tag{7}
\]

where \( v = \hat{n} \cdot \hat{L} \) is the cosine of the inclination angle of the binary, and

\[
\tilde{h}_0 (f) = \frac{5}{96} \pi^{-2/3} \left[ \frac{G M \gamma^{7/6}}{c^5} \right] f^{-7/6} \exp [i \Psi (f)]. \tag{8}
\]

In this expression, \( D \) is the luminosity distance to the source, and \( \mathcal{M} = (1 + z) \left[ (m_1 m_2)^{2/5} / (m_1 + m_2)^{1/5} \right] \) is the redshifted chirp mass of the binary. The phase \( \Psi \) is given by

\[
\Psi (f) = 2\pi ft_c - \phi_c - \frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{8\pi G \mathcal{M} f}{c^3} \right)^{5/3}, \tag{9}
\]

where \( t_c \) is the time at coalescence, and \( \phi_c \) is the orbital phase at coalescence.

These expressions describe a binary’s waves only in the Newtonian, quadrupole approximation—treating the binary’s kinematics as due to Newtonian gravity and using the quadrupole formula to estimate its GW emission. Because the phase parameters are essentially uncorrelated from the
amplitude parameters, this approximation is good enough to estimate the expected signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) from a source, and provides a good estimate of the distance measurement accuracy, but is not accurate enough to reliably model the detailed GW waveform [14]. Higher order post-Newtonian templates (see Ref. [7] for detailed discussion) should be sufficiently accurate, and are used for the actual data analysis.

Given \( h(t) \), the measured strain is given by

\[
h_M(t) = h^{ab}(t)d_{ab},
\]

where the detector response tensor for an interferometer with arms \( \hat{l} \) and \( \hat{m} \) is \( d = (\hat{l} \otimes \hat{l} - \hat{m} \otimes \hat{m})/2 \). In the notation of Ref. [14], a detector at colatitude \( \theta \) and longitude \( \phi \) with orientation \( \alpha \) has response tensor

\[
d = \cos(2\alpha)[e_\theta \otimes e_\phi + e_\phi \otimes e_\theta]/2 - \sin(2\alpha)[e_\theta \otimes e_\theta - e_\phi \otimes e_\phi]/2.
\]

To recap, the source parameters determining the measured signal are distance \( D \), chirp mass \( M \), coalescence time \( t_c \), coalescence phase \( \phi_c \), source direction \( \hat{n} \), and orbital axis \( \hat{L} \). These are the 8 parameters to be determined from the data \( h_M(t) \). If the detector has strain noise with spectral density \( S_h(f) \), then the incident strain is measured with SNR (assuming Wiener filtering):

\[
\text{SNR}^2 = 4 \int \frac{|\tilde{h}_M(f)|^2}{S_h(f)} df.
\]

The complicated angular dependence is hidden within the measured strain \( \tilde{h}_M \). This dependence can be made more explicit by rewriting the above equation as [5]

\[
\text{SNR}^2 = 4 \frac{A^2}{D^2} \left[ F_+^2 (1 + v^2)^2 + 4F_\times^2 v^2 \right] I_f,
\]

where \( A = \sqrt{5/96\pi^{-2/3}}(G M/c^3)^{5/6}c \), \( F_+ = e^{+ab}d_{+ab} \), \( F_\times = e^{+ab}d_{\times ab} \), and

\[
I_f = \int_{\omega_{\text{low}}}^{\infty} \frac{f^{-7/3}}{S_h(f)} df.
\]

Here \( \omega_{\text{low}} \approx 10 \text{ Hz} \) is the frequency below which the detectors’ sensitivities are badly degraded by ground motions. In the optimal case, the binary is face-on \( (v = 1) \) and directly overhead, so that \( F_+^2 + F_\times^2 = 1 \). This gives

\[
\text{SNR}_{\text{opt}} = 4 \frac{A}{D} I_f^{1/2}.
\]

If instead we average over all-sky positions and binary orientations, we find

\[
\text{SNR}_{\text{ave}} = 8 \frac{A}{5} D I_f^{1/2},
\]

where we have made use of \( \langle F_+^2 \rangle = \langle F_\times^2 \rangle = 1/5 \) and

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} (1 + v^2)^2 dv = \frac{28}{15}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} 4v^2 dv = \frac{4}{3}.
\]

Note that the SNR in the optimal geometry is a factor 5/2 times larger than that for the average geometry. Also note that face-on sources, when averaged over all-sky positions, have SNR a factor \( \sqrt{5/4} \approx 1.12 \) larger than \( \text{SNR}_{\text{ave}} \).

We can estimate how well the parameters \( p \) are measured using the Fisher matrix

\[
F_{ij} = 4 \text{Re} \left[ \frac{\partial_i \tilde{h}_M(f) \partial_j \tilde{h}_M(f)}{S_h(f)} \right] df,
\]

where \( \partial_i \equiv \partial/\partial p_i \), and \( * \) denotes complex conjugation. Approximating the likelihood as

\[
\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{\frac{|F|}{(2\pi)^n}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \Delta p \cdot F \cdot \Delta p \right).
\]

then the error on parameter \( p_i \) is given by \( \sqrt{(F^{-1})_{ii}} \). Prior constraints, or constraints from multiple detectors, are implemented by multiplying the respective likelihoods, which in this approximation reduces to summing the respective Fisher matrices. In our calculations we compute the partial derivatives numerically by finite differencing. We note here that some (presently unquantified) error is introduced into our analysis by using Fisher matrices, which are strictly accurate only when the Gaussian approximation to the likelihood function is appropriate (the “high SNR” limit [14,15]). We are presently examining how parameter estimation (and thus our conclusions) are affected by directly computing the likelihood function, rather than working strictly within the Gaussian approximation.

In practice, the “phase” parameters \( M, t_c, \phi_c \) are determined with exquisite precision. The “amplitude” parameters \( D, \hat{L}, \) and \( \hat{n} \) are determined less well, in large part due to parameter degeneracies. By using multiple detectors many of these degeneracies can be broken. For example, timing information from a network of detectors helps determine the source direction \( \hat{n} \). Similarly, if the detectors have different response tensors \( d \), then the polarization of the GW signal may be measured, which constrains the orbital axis \( \hat{L} \) [c.f. Eq. (7)].

III. GRBS OBSERVED BY GW NETWORKS

Short GRBs are an extremely promising source of GWs. These sources have been of great interest recently, due to the prompt localization of the events by the Swift1 [16,17] and HETE-22 [18] satellites, allowing their detection in X-

---

1http://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/swift/swiftsc.html
2http://space.mit.edu/HETE/Welcome.html
ray, optical, and radio frequencies. Particularly exciting has been the identification of several galaxies hosting short bursts [16,18,19]. While the nature of short GRBs is not yet known, a leading candidate is the merger of NS binaries [20], although other models have been proposed as well [21]. The detection or nondetection of GRBs in GWs would be extremely useful [22], for example, in confirming or refuting the NS-NS merger scenario, or determining the extent of collimation of the \( \gamma \)-ray emission [23].

Additionally, as mentioned above, short GRBs can also be very useful for determining the background cosmology by acting as GW standard sirens. One immediate advantage offered by GRBs is that their bright electromagnetic emission allows a precise localization of the source on the sky, pinpointing the source direction \( \hat{n} \) and lifting some of the degeneracies which limit distance determination. The extent of collimation in short GRBs is not well known, although recent analyses suggest that there may be a rather wide range in jet collimation from burst to burst [24,25]. For bursts that arise from binary NS mergers, our theoretical expectation is that emission should be beamed preferentially along the orbital angular momentum axis, where baryon loading is minimized. If this is the case, then we expect short GRBs to be nearly face-on, \( \nu = \hat{n} \cdot \hat{L} \approx 1 \). As can be seen from Eq. (7), this maximizes the amplitudes of both GW polarizations, and hence maximizes the SNR of the GW detection for a given source direction \( \hat{n} \). In what follows we compute distance errors for two cases: (1) isotropic distribution of \( \hat{L} \), and (2) collimation, assuming an inclination probability distribution \( dP/d\nu \propto \exp(-1/\sqrt{2\sigma_v^2}) \) for \( \sigma_v = 0.05 \), corresponding to a roughly \( 20^\circ \) jet angle.

The expected chirp mass for GRBs, \( \mathcal{M} = 1.2M_\odot \), places them favorably in the frequency band accessible to ground-based GW observatories. Several such observatories are now operating or are planned for construction in the near future. LIGO is already operational, and its sensitivity should increase by an order of magnitude in a planned upgrade (Advanced LIGO) [26]. A detector of similar scale, Virgo [27], is under construction in Italy, and there are plans for a similar detector, AIGO [28], in Australia. The locations and orientations of these observatories are listed in Table I. The two LIGO detectors are oriented to have very similar response tensors, and therefore have limited ability to independently measure polarization (and hence inclination). In the absence of a strong, reliable prior, determining \( \hat{L} \) will thus require combining LIGO with other observatories.

Henceforth we assume that all four detectors will observe GRB events; in subsequent work, we will investigate how the distance errors degrade if one or more elements of this network are removed. Preliminary results indicate that reducing the size of the detector network does not substantially degrade our ability to determine distance (aside from the loss in total SNR) assuming that we can set a prior on the beaming factor (and hence on the inclination angle). If we cannot set such a prior, then losing sites in this network badly degrades our ability to determine distance to these sources. We emphasize this point to highlight the importance of modeling bursts, and the importance of having widely separated GW detectors around the globe.

Figure 1 plots the noise spectral density forecasted for Advanced LIGO [26]. Projected noise curves for the advanced detector configurations are not yet available for Virgo or AIGO, so for simplicity we use the Advanced LIGO curve for all the observatories in the network. For comparison, we also show the sensitivity for the currently operating LIGO observatories.

With the response tensors for the elements in our network, and their noise spectra, we can now compute the Fisher matrices and parameter errors for GRBs as a function of distance and location on the sky. For convenience, when computing the Fisher matrix we replace the parameter pair \( \{D, \nu = \hat{n} \cdot \hat{L}\} \) with the pair \( \{1 + \nu^2/D, (1 - \nu^2)/D\} \) to avoid singularities in the limit \( \nu \to 1 \). Including it as a parameter would cause the Fisher matrix to become singular in the face-on limit; we circumvent this difficulty using singular value decomposition to invert the

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Coordinates of GW observatories, in the notation of Ref. [14]. All values are in degrees.}
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline
Site & \( \theta \) & \( \phi \) & \( \alpha \) \\
\hline
LIGO (Hanford) & 43.54 & -119.4 & 171 \\
LIGO (Livingston) & 59.44 & -90.77 & 243 \\
Virgo & 46.37 & 10.5 & 115.6 \\
AIGO & 121.4 & 115.7 & 45 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{Figure1.pdf}
\caption{Noise curve for the LIGO detectors, for initial (dotted) and advanced (solid) sensitivity.}
\end{figure}
Given the likelihood distribution $dP/dD$, we define the distance error as $\sigma_D = (D^2 - \bar{D}^2)$, where averages are with respect to $dP/dD$. Figure 3 plots $\sigma_D$ as a function of $D$. Our results appear roughly consistent with $\sigma_D/D \propto D \propto 1/$SNR. Our best-fit linear scaling for unbeamed GRBs is $\sigma_D/D = D/(1.7 \text{ Gpc})$, and $\sigma_D/D = D/(4.4 \text{ Gpc})$ for collimation $\sigma_v = 0.05$. Henceforth we assume these scalings when estimating cosmological constraints from GW network observations of short GRBs.

**IV. COSMOLOGICAL CONSTRAINTS FROM STANDARD SIRENS**

As discussed in §1, a measurement of the Hubble constant $h$ using GRB standard sirens, when combined with CMB constraints, enables constraints on dark energy parameters. We use two measurements from the CMB: determination of the angular scale of the acoustic peaks, $l_A$, and determination of the matter density, $\Omega_m h^2$, from the peak heights. Currently the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe satellite has measured $l_A = 303 \pm 1$ and $\Omega_m h^2 = 0.13 \pm 0.01$ [29]. We assume that the Planck satellite will measure $\Omega_m h^2$ to a fractional error of $\sim 1\%$ and $l_A$ to fractional error of $0.1\%$.

The acoustic scale is defined by $l_A = \pi D_*/s_*$, where $D_*$ is the distance to the last-scattering surface at $z = 1089$. The sound horizon at decoupling, $s_*$, is approximately given by $s_* = 144.4 \text{ Mpc} (\Omega_m h^2/0.14)^{-0.252}$ [10]. Given the dependence of these observables on the cosmological parameters $p = \{h, \Omega_m, w\}$, we can then estimate parameter errors using the Fisher matrix:

$$F_{ij} = \frac{\partial_j l_A \partial_l l_A}{\sigma_A^2} + \frac{\partial_j \Omega_m h^2 \partial_l \Omega_m h^2}{\sigma_{\Omega_m}^2} + \int_0^{\z} dN \frac{\partial_j D_L(z) \partial_l D_L(z)}{\sigma_D(z)^2 + (\sigma z D_L)^2} dz,$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

where redshift errors $\sigma_z$ are caused by peculiar velocities\(^3\) with assumed rms of 300 km/s. The luminosity distance $D_L(z) = (1+z)D(z)$, and its error $\sigma_D$, include both GW errors, as computed in the previous section, and gravitational lensing errors [30], computed using an approximate nonlinear power spectrum [31].

For the source redshift distribution $dN/dz$, we assume that short GRBs occur at a constant comoving rate of $10 \text{ Gpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ [32]. We found in the previous section that the SNR in distance determination per source scales roughly like $1/D$. Since the number of sources scales with volume $\propto D^3$, we expect the SNR on the Hubble constant $h$ to scale to $D_{\text{max}}^{1/2}$, where $D_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum distance to

\(^3\)It may be preferable to measure redshifts of the host galaxies rather than the GRBs themselves, whose progenitors may suffer kicks which will add in quadrature to the redshift noise from peculiar velocities.
which GRBs may be detected as gravitational-wave sources.

The standard threshold used in the GW literature for detection has been SNR > 8.5 [14,33]. The reason for this high threshold is that sources are detected by correlating the data timeseries with large numbers (e.g., $10^{15}$) of templates corresponding to different parameter values, and therefore the detection threshold must be set high to avoid excessive numbers of false detections. Such large numbers of templates are required in order to fully explore parameter space. For GRB sources, however, the parameter space to be searched is considerably reduced: the $\gamma$-ray burst itself determines the source direction $\hat{n}$ and time $t_c$. Depending upon one’s confidence in theoretical models for GRBs, the chirp mass $M$ and orientation $\hat{L}$ may also be constrained. Because many fewer templates need to be run for GRB sources, we should set the detection threshold correspondingly lower. We conservatively estimate that knowledge of the time of the GRB event reduces the number of required templates by a factor $\sim 10^5$, corresponding to a reduced threshold SNR > 7. Note that this is the total SNR; since we have assumed a network of four detectors with identical noise, this translates into a threshold SNR > 3.5 per detector. From this we can determine the maximum distance to which sources may be detected using Eq. (16). For chirp mass $M = 1.2M_\odot$, we have $A = 4.7 \times 10^{-6}$ sec$^{5/6}$, and for our assumed noise spectral density (Fig. 1), $I_s = 8.33 \times 10^{44}$ Hz$^{-1/3}$. Therefore the maximum distance for which SNR$\text{ave} > 3.5$ is $D_{\text{max}} = 600$ Mpc. With our assumed rate density of 10 events yr$^{-1}$ Gpc$^{-3}$, we expect to measure 9 events per year out to this distance.

Assuming default cosmological parameters $h = 0.72$, $\Omega_m = 0.27$, and $w = -1$, the resulting parameter errors computed from Eq. (21) are shown in Fig. 4, as a function of the time and sky area over which GRBs are observed. While errors on the Hubble constant scale like $\sigma_H \propto N_{\text{GRB}}^{1/2}$, the errors on $w$ scale this way only in the limit of small numbers of sources. Quite rapidly, the limiting error on $w$ becomes the uncertainty in the CMB measurements (in the figure, fractional errors of 1% on $\Omega_m h^2$ were assumed). Unless CMB errors can be significantly improved, it will be difficult for low-redshift GW sources to constrain $w$ to better than the few percent level.

Higher redshift standard sirens would probe departures of the cosmic expansion from linear Hubble scaling, and thereby directly constrain parameters like $\Omega_m$ and $w$. Unfortunately, stellar-mass inspirals at high-redshift are not sufficiently luminous to be detected by any existing or planned GW observatory. Inspirals involving SMBBH, however, are sufficiently luminous in GWs to be detected at cosmological distances. As discussed by Holz and Hughes [6], $LISA$ observations of SMBBH inspirals can in principle measure distances to better than 1% accuracy. This precision is degraded, however, by gravitational lensing caused by density fluctuations from large-scale structure along the line of sight to the source. Another difficulty in using $LISA$ observations is that, unlike in the case of short GRBs, for SMBBHs there are no guaranteed electromagnetic counterparts. However, it has been argued that many SMBBH mergers will be followed by bright quasar-like activity [34], or possibly preceded by optical emission [35], which will localize the GW source on the sky and provide a source redshift.

Because of lensing errors, small numbers of $LISA$ sources will generally be unable to constrain dark energy parameters significantly [6]. The effects of lensing diminish significantly at lower redshifts, so a single SMBBH inspiral at $z < 0.5$ observed by $LISA$ could measure the Hubble constant to $\pm 1\%$ and $w$ to $\pm 10\%$. Although such a source is unlikely, the low-redshift regime should already be well-determined by ground-based GW observations of short GRBs. On the other hand, if large numbers of SMBBH mergers occur during $LISA$’s lifetime, then $LISA$ should provide quite interesting constraints on dark energy, despite the lensing noise. To illustrate this, Fig. 5 plots expected constraints in the $\Omega_m$ vs $h$ plane for a sample of 100 SMBBH inspirals observed by $LISA$, distributed in redshift assuming a constant comoving density between $0 < z < 2$, combined with constraints from $Planck$-quality CMB data. The 1-$\sigma$ errors on $w$ are $\sigma_w = 0.04$; these are competitive with ambitious Type-Ia supernova surveys like Joint Dark Energy Mission. Note that these errors improve
distribution of lensing magnification. This could be useful for distinguishing between different dark matter models [37].

V. DISCUSSION

We have shown that observations of the GWs emitted by binary compact-object inspirals can be a powerful probe of cosmology. In particular, short γ-ray bursts appear quite promising as potential GW standard sirens. The presently observed rate of short GRBs is sufficiently high that within a few years of observation by the next generation of ground-based GW observatories (e.g., Advanced LIGO, Virgo, and AIGO), strong constraints on dark energy parameters may be derived (σₙ < 0.1). These inspiraling NS binaries should be clean sources of GWs; possible sources of contamination, such as tidal effects, magnetic torques, or gasdynamical torques from circumbinary gas, should all be negligible during the crucial inspiral phase (where ν/c ≲ 0.3). We emphasize that the best distance measurements come from combining multiple GW data from instruments that are widely separated. Good information about the collimation of the gamma rays, and thus on the likely inclination of the binary progenitors, will also improve the utility of these standard sirens. Given the great cosmological potential of GW observations of short GRBs, there is strong incentive to extend the lifetime of GRB satellites, such as Swift, to overlap with next-generation gravitational-wave observatories.

We have largely focused on the most optimistic scenario—a mature network of four advanced gravitational-wave detectors widely scattered over the globe. We have also, for ease of calculation, used the Gaussian approximation to the likelihood function in our parameter estimation. In future work we intend to simultaneously relax both of these assumptions. Indeed, as a preliminary step we have examined—in the Gaussian approximation—the effect of reducing the number of detectors in our network. We find that in going from 4 detectors to 3 (dropping the Australian detector AIGO from our network), the degradation in parameter accuracy largely scales with the degree of contamination, such as tidal effects, magnetic torques, or gasdynamical torques from circumbinary gas, should all be negligible during the crucial inspiral phase (where ν/c ≲ 0.3). We emphasize that the best distance measurements come from combining multiple GW data from instruments that are widely separated. Good information about the collimation of the gamma rays, and thus on the likely inclination of the binary progenitors, will also improve the utility of these standard sirens. Given the great cosmological potential of GW observations of short GRBs, there is strong incentive to extend the lifetime of GRB satellites, such as Swift, to overlap with next-generation gravitational-wave observatories.

We have largely focused on the most optimistic scenario—a mature network of four advanced gravitational-wave detectors widely scattered over the globe. We have also, for ease of calculation, used the Gaussian approximation to the likelihood function in our parameter estimation. In future work we intend to simultaneously relax both of these assumptions. Indeed, as a preliminary step we have examined—in the Gaussian approximation—the effect of reducing the number of detectors in our network. We find that in going from 4 detectors to 3 (dropping the Australian detector AIGO from our network), the degradation in parameter accuracy largely scales with the degree of contamination, such as tidal effects, magnetic torques, or gasdynamical torques from circumbinary gas, should all be negligible during the crucial inspiral phase (where ν/c ≲ 0.3). We emphasize that the best distance measurements come from combining multiple GW data from instruments that are widely separated. Good information about the collimation of the gamma rays, and thus on the likely inclination of the binary progenitors, will also improve the utility of these standard sirens. Given the great cosmological potential of GW observations of short GRBs, there is strong incentive to extend the lifetime of GRB satellites, such as Swift, to overlap with next-generation gravitational-wave observatories.

We have largely focused on the most optimistic scenario—a mature network of four advanced gravitational-wave detectors widely scattered over the globe. We have also, for ease of calculation, used the Gaussian approximation to the likelihood function in our parameter estimation. In future work we intend to simultaneously relax both of these assumptions. Indeed, as a preliminary step we have examined—in the Gaussian approximation—the effect of reducing the number of detectors in our network. We find that in going from 4 detectors to 3 (dropping the Australian detector AIGO from our network), the degradation in parameter accuracy largely scales with the degree of contamination, such as tidal effects, magnetic torques, or gasdynamical torques from circumbinary gas, should all be negligible during the crucial inspiral phase (where ν/c ≲ 0.3). We emphasize that the best distance measurements come from combining multiple GW data from instruments that are widely separated. Good information about the collimation of the gamma rays, and thus on the likely inclination of the binary progenitors, will also improve the utility of these standard sirens. Given the great cosmological potential of GW observations of short GRBs, there is strong incentive to extend the lifetime of GRB satellites, such as Swift, to overlap with next-generation gravitational-wave observatories.
The inspirals of SMBBH binaries observed by \textit{LISA} can also provide interesting constraints on dark energy, if the rate of such mergers is high enough to average away noise caused by gravitational lensing. At present, the total rate and redshift distribution of SMBBH mergers are not well-understood, with estimates ranging from a few (or zero) per year, up to hundreds per year, depending upon assumptions \[38–41\]. If the rates are at the high end of these estimates, with a significant fraction at redshifts \(z<2\), then \(w\) may be constrained at the few percent level.
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