



Growth Rates for Monotone Subsequence

Author(s): A. Del Junco and J. Michael Steel

Source: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 71, No. 2 (Sep., 1978), pp. 179-182

Published by: American Mathematical Society

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2042828>

Accessed: 21-06-2016 14:19 UTC

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at

<http://about.jstor.org/terms>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



American Mathematical Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*

GROWTH RATES FOR MONOTONE SUBSEQUENCES¹

A. DEL JUNCO AND J. MICHAEL STEELE

ABSTRACT. The growth rate of the largest monotone subsequence of a uniformly distributed sequence is obtained. For $a_n = n\alpha \bmod 1$ with α algebraic irrational the exponent of growth is found to be precisely the same as for a random sequence.

1. Introduction. A well-known result of Erdős and Szekeres [1] states that any sequence of n real numbers contains a monotone subsequence with at least $n^{1/2}$ elements. More recently, Hammersley [2] proved that if $l_n = l_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ is the order of the largest increasing subsequence of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , and the a_i are chosen independently with the uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/2} l_n = C, \quad (1)$$

where C denotes a constant and the convergence is in probability. This result was strengthened by Kesten [4] to provide almost sure convergence, and Logan and Shepp [6] proved that $C \geq 2$. Our objective here is to provide results like (1) for sequences which are uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$, but which are not random. Of particular interest to us is the sequence $a_n = n\alpha \bmod 1$ where α is an algebraic irrational.

2. Uniformly distributed sequences. We will denote by $1_{[a,b)}(x)$ the indicator function of the interval $[a, b)$ and will say a sequence (a_n) is uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$ provided for all $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{[a,b)}(a_i) = b - a.$$

The best one can say about the growth rate of l_n for a general uniformly distributed sequence is the following:

THEOREM 1. *If (a_n) is uniformly distributed, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} l_n = 0. \quad (2)$$

PROOF. Let A and n be positive integers and for $0 \leq i \leq A - 1$ and

Received by the editors July 25, 1977 and, in revised form, September 16, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 10K05, 10K30.

Key words and phrases. Monotone subsequence, uniform distribution, algebraic irrationals, discrepancy.

¹Supported by Contract #67-8473.

$0 \leq j \leq A - 1$ let

$$S_{ij} = \{k: 1 \leq k \leq n, iA^{-1} \leq a_k < (i + 1)A^{-1}, \\ jnA^{-1} + 1 \leq k \leq (j + 1)nA^{-1}\}.$$

By $|S_{ij}|$ we denote the cardinality of S_{ij} and we set $g(n) = \max_{i,j} |S_{ij}|$. If n tends to infinity along the subsequence $n = \gamma A, \gamma = 1, 2, \dots$, then $g(n)/n$ is easily seen to converge to A^{-2} by the uniform distribution of (a_n) .

Next let $S = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s\}$ be any subsequence of $1, 2, \dots, n$ such that $a_{i_1} \leq a_{i_2} \leq \dots \leq a_{i_s}$. We note that S intersects at most $2A - 1$ of the S_{ij} . (One can identify a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n with its graph in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \times [0, 1]$ and view the S_{ij} as “boxes.”) This observation yields the inequality $|S| \leq 2Ag(n)$, and since $l_n \leq |S|$ we have $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n/n \leq 2/A$ provided the limit is taken along the subsequence $n = kA$.

For $kA < n < (k + 1)A$ we note that

$$l(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \leq l(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{kA}) + l(a_{kA+1}, \dots, a_n) \\ \leq l(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{kA}) + A.$$

This proves

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l_n}{n} \leq \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(l_{kA} + A)}{kA} \leq \frac{2}{A},$$

which completes the proof of (1), since A was an arbitrary positive integer.

3. Results concerning $(n\alpha)$. To show that $l_n = o(n)$ is best possible we do not have to go out of the class of sequences $a_n = n\alpha \pmod 1$.

THEOREM 2. *Let C_n be a sequence of real numbers such that $C_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; then there is a transcendental α such that for $a_n = n\alpha \pmod 1$ we have*

$$n^{-1}l_n \geq C_n \text{ for infinitely many } n. \tag{3}$$

PROOF. The proof depends on an elementary lower estimate for l_n in terms of the denominators q_k of the convergents p_k/q_k of α . First we assume $n = q_{k+1}$ and that $\{q_k\alpha\} > 0$, where $\{x\} = x - [x + \frac{1}{2}]$. For $j = Sq_k$ the sequence $j\alpha$ with $S = 1, 2, \dots, [q_{k+1}/q_k]$ can be viewed as making small positive steps, so we have the lower bound

$$l_n \geq \min(1/\{q_k\alpha\}, q_{k+1}/q_k). \tag{4}$$

By the standard theory of continued fractions (e.g., [3, p. 9]) we have $|\{q_k\alpha\}| < 1/q_{k+1}$, so (4) implies $l_n \geq q_{k+1}/q_k$. Since $C_n \rightarrow 0$ we can choose q_k which go to infinity as rapidly as we like such that $1/q_k \geq C_t$ for $t = q_{k+1}$. In particular, we may require q_k to grow rapidly enough to insure that α is transcendental. Finally, we note that if the condition $\{q_k\alpha\} > 0$ is not met by infinitely many k , we need only replace α by $1 - \alpha$. This will then complete the proof.

There is a more precise result which can be proved if α is algebraic. To state it succinctly, we let l'_n denote the order of the largest monotone

(increasing or decreasing) subsequence of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n .

THEOREM 3. *If $a_n = n\alpha \pmod 1$ where α is an algebraic irrational, then*

$$\lim (\log l'_n) / (\log n) = 1/2. \tag{5}$$

PROOF. We must obtain quantitative versions of the estimates used in Theorem 1. To begin, for $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ we let

$$S_{ij} = \{a_k : i/n \leq a_k < (i + 1)/n, jn + 1 \leq k \leq (j + 1)n\}$$

and observe that

$$\max_{i,j} |S_{ij}| \leq \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} \{1 + 2nD_n^j\}, \tag{6}$$

where

$$D_n^j = \sup_{0 < x < 1} \left| n^{-1} \sum_{k=jn+1}^{(j+1)n} 1_{[0,x)}(a_k) - x \right|.$$

Also, if $S = \{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_s}\}$ is any monotone subsequence of $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n^2}\}$, we know S intersects at most $2n - 1$ of the S_{ij} . Thus, we have

$$n \leq l'_n \leq 2n \max_{i,j} |S_{ij}|, \tag{7}$$

where the first inequality follows from the Erdős-Szekeres theorem mentioned in the introduction.

Since the sets $\{(jn + 1)\alpha, (jn + 2)\alpha, \dots, (j + 1)n\alpha\}, j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, are translates of $\{\alpha, 2\alpha, \dots, n\alpha\}$, we have

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} D_n^j = O(D_n^1). \tag{8}$$

By the Thue-Siegel-Roth theorem [5, pp. 122–124] we know that $D_n = D_n^1 = O(n^{-1+\epsilon})$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. This fact, with (7) and (8), yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\log l'_n) / (\log n) = 1. \tag{9}$$

For the final step choose n so that $n^2 \leq j < (n + 1)^2$ and note $l'_n \leq l'_j \leq l_n + 2n$. By the bounds on j and the limit in (9), one completes the proof with a brief computation.

There are two corollaries of the proof of Theorem 3.

COROLLARY 1. *If α is an irrational for which $D_n = O(n^{-1+\epsilon})$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, then (5) holds. In particular, this is the case if α is of finite type 1.*

COROLLARY 2. *For all α except a set of measure 0, one has (5).*

The proof of Corollary 2 depends only on the fact that $D_n = O(n^{-1+\epsilon})$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ and almost every α . (For more precise results on D_n , see Niederreiter [7]).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. We wish to thank Professors H. Kesten and H. Niederreiter for their comments on an earlier draft of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. P. Erdős and G. Szekeres, *A combinatorial problem in geometry*, *Compositio Math.* **2** (1935), 463–470.
2. J. M. Hammersley, *A few seedlings of research*, Proc. Sixth Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Probability, Univ. of California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1972.
3. A. Ya. Khinchin, *Continued fractions*, Univ. of Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1964.
4. H. Kesten, *Comment to “Subadditive ergodic theory” by J. F. C. Kingman*, *Ann. Probability* **1** (1973), 903.
5. L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform distribution of sequences*, Wiley, Toronto, 1974.
6. B. F. Logan and L. A. Shepp, *A variational problem for random Young tableaux*, *Advances in Math.* **26** (1977), 206–222.
7. H. Niederreiter, *Metric theorems on the distributions of sequences*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1973, pp. 195–212.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER V6T 1W5, B. C., CANADA