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in many things, to the most advanced to the North American tribes, they resemble the latter greatly, in their personal features, and mental traits, and in several of their arts. 

I have thought, on reading this work, that there is room for a literary essay, with something like this title: "Strictures on the Hyperbolical Accounts of the Ancient Mexicans given by the Spanish Historians," deduced from a comparison of the condition of those tribes with the Indians at the period of its settlement.

Morgan, Lewis Henry.

Schoolcraft, Henry Rowe.
1851 Personal Memoirs of a Residence of Thirty Years with the Indian Tribes on the American Frontiers (Philadelphia, 1851).

BIBLIOGRAPHICA ARCANA

I. A BIBLIOGRAPHY FOR THE HISTORY OF ANTHROPOLOGY

A certain maturity is reached in any field when it can boast its own published bibliography. The growing interest in the history of anthropology has prompted such a publication. While HAN has not so far reviewed works in the field, the publication of Robert B. Kemper and John F. S. Phinney's The History of Anthropology: A Research Bibliography (Garland Publishing, Inc., New York, 1977. $22.00) calls for more than a mere mention as a recent work by a subscriber. Citing a total of 2,439 works culled from standard texts and from 45 journals, Kemper and Phinney divide their bibliography into five sections: "general sources," "background," "modern anthropology," "related social sciences," and "bibliographical sources." Although press limitations constrained the authors from including all 5,000 items originally collected, the bibliography, which contains an index of authors cited, is a useful basic reference. Inevitably, there are some important omissions, and unfortunately some references are included that have little value for the history of anthropology. If there is interest among our subscribers, HAN could periodically publish contributed bibliographical material that would help fill the omissions and prove useful in providing for an expanded bibliography at a future date. (R.B.)