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The Table Generating Routines of a Data Description Language Processor

Abstract

The Data Description Language Processor, designed by J. A. Ramirez, is the compiler for a modified version of the Data Description Language (DDL), written by D. P. Smith.

Two main phases exist in the DDL Processor:

1) The Syntactic Analysis phase and

2) The Code Generation phase

The former phase checks the DDL source for local and global syntactic flaws before passing control to the latter. In order to speed up execution of phase 2, internal tables (one symbol and several data tables), containing encoded versions of the DDL source input, are constructed. The tables, created during syntax analysis, will facilitate global syntax checking (verifying all DDL statement references to be valid), and will permit code generation to operate more quickly by providing it with the "essence" of the source data and, hence, negate the necessity of a second pass over the source input.
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The Data Description Language Processor, designed by J. A. Ramirez [7], is the compiler for a modified version of the Data Description Language (DDL), written by D. P. Smith [5].

Two main phases exist in the DDL Processor:

1) The Syntactic Analysis phase and
2) The Code Generation phase

The former phase checks the DDL source for local and global syntactic flaws before passing control to the latter. In order to speed up execution of phase 2, internal tables (one symbol and several data tables), containing encoded versions of the DDL source input, are constructed. The tables, created during syntax analysis, will facilitate global syntax checking (verifying all DDL statement references to be valid), and will permit code generation to operate more quickly by providing it with the "essence" of the source data and, hence, negate the necessity of a second pass over the source input.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Need For A DDL. The need for an efficient method of converting data for use in different programs or in different computer installations has long been recognized by most EDP users. Organization of data can presently be handled by use of data description facilities contained in operating systems and data management systems, programming languages, or in user-written software. Usually, the method chosen is useful for a particular computer and cannot be transferred to a different system due to hardware and software incompatibilities. In addition, one user's organization of data cannot be efficiently communicated to another as most data organization is implicit in the software used. Other restrictions may force the individual to write special conversion routines in order to accomplish an interchange of data.

The DDL research group collaborated to design and build a utility which would convert data between programs and/or systems, and whose power would be great enough to encompass most existing and most future programming languages and computer systems. This utility was to be a compiler, written in PL/1, built to translate a Data Definition Language (DDL) designed by D. P. Smith[5], with major modifications.

1.2 Summary of DDL Capabilities. The DDL processor was designed to satisfy two important requirements of data interchange:

1) data definition (organization)

2) data translation (movement and/or conversion)

The initial step towards simplifying data interchange was to make data and its organization independent of machines and their processors. This
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was accomplished by using a language for describing data separate from the language for processing data. The DDL provides the descriptive language while the DDL processor is a set of programs which will perform the translation of the data described in the language. The capabilities are summarized below:

a) INTERFACING FILES WITH DIFFERENT PROGRAMS AND PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES

Frequently files created by one program cannot be processed by another program or by another program written in a different programming language. These conflicts can be eliminated using the DDL processor to convert the files into a structure compatible for processing by the other program.

b) INTERFACING FILES WITH DIFFERENT OPERATING SYSTEMS AND DIFFERENT DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

Files created under one operating system or data management system cannot, in general, be processed by a different operating or data management system. With DDL, the conversion of files for processing by either operating system or data management system can be achieved.

c) INTERFACING FILES WITH NEW INSTALLATIONS

Advancing technology and increased requirements necessitate

*For the present implementation read "Sequential Files" for "Files" in sections a) through e)
phasing out of old computers and replacement by new systems. The
DDL would enable files to be prepared for such transfers.

d) EXTRACTION OF DATA FROM FILES

If only a small amount of data in a file is used by a program, it
is more efficient to create a smaller file consisting only of the
useful data. The DDL allows for the creation of many files from
one file.

e) INTERFACING FILES TO USE NEW DEVICES

Advances in technology necessitate introduction of new input/output
devices which enhance the cost effectiveness of the system in use.
The change in the new I/O devices can be facilitated by the DDL pro-
cessing of the old files onto the new devices.

f) USE AS A HUMAN COMMUNICATION LANGUAGE

It is hoped that DDL will be used as a standard language to de-
scribe data structures in a precise manner, just as BNF is now used
to describe the syntax of many languages.

1.3 Important Features of the Design. The DDL processor consists of
three major parts. The syntactic Analysis Program Generator (SAPG)
uses the definitions of the DDL (in EBNF*) to generate the Syntactic
Analysis Program (SAP). As its name implies, the SAP parses DDL source

*Extended Backus Naur Form (EBNF) will be discussed in Section 3.2.1
input, scanning for syntactic flaws. Concurrent with this action, subroutines are called to generate internal tables which are encodings of the DDL source statements. These tables are used for global syntax checking and subsequent code generation.

1.4 **Internal Tables in the DDL Processor.** In designing DDL there were two major philosophies with which the designers had to contend: (a) A multiple-pass compiler in which DDL source would be parsed by a lexical routine, the output of which would be wholly rewritten in storage (core or peripheral) for subsequent syntax analysis, and re-written in storage for final code generation, and (b) A two pass method in which DDL source would be lexically parsed, these units individually passed to a syntax analysis and statement encoding phase, and this data stored for the code generation phase.

DDL designers chose the latter method for two reasons. Firstly, this method allows speedier execution of code generation since the source code is in a simplified form. Noise (delimiters, banks, etc.) units are omitted as only the essence of the statements is retained, and many codes are employed. This eliminates the need for reparsing the DDL source input for code generation. Secondly, and more importantly, when future mechanical techniques are developed to perform code generation, it is most likely that the function of complete syntax checking (local and global) be carried out prior to any code generation. Encoded tables, created at syntax analysis time, permit this complete syntax checking phase to take place, enabling subsequent automatic code generation.
Local syntax analysis consists of a check for proper construction of individual source statements, standing alone. Global syntax analysis is responsible for verifying the legitimacy of references among several DDL source statements. Local syntax analysis can be carried out by simple comparisons between the input source and the EBNF description of the DDL. However, global syntax analysis requires storing of the data in temporary (or permanent, if necessary) tables to enable "walking" through the code to resolve all references.

The major drawback in using method (b) over (a) is that encoding forces an increased overhead in the running of the processor. However, this tradeoff is balanced by the fact that method (a) requires another pass (parse) of the source code during code generation.

1.5 Organization of the Thesis. Section 2 provides a short overview of the design of the processor, describing briefly the three major phases. Section 3 outlines the functions of the internal tables, illustrates, by example, how their designs are arrived at, and runs through the mechanics of the subroutine call facility of EBNF. Section 4 describes the formats of the internal tables (Symbol and Data) and sketches the algorithms for their creation. My conclusions are contained in Section 5.
2.0 OVERALL DESIGN OF THE DDL PROCESSOR

2.1 The Syntactic Analysis Program Generator. As can be readily inferred from its name, the syntactic analysis program generator (SAPG) outputs a PL/1 program (the syntactic analysis program) to perform the syntax checking on the DDL source statements. As seen in Figure 1, the input to the SAPG is the description, in EBNF, of the particular DDL to be implemented. With this design, a hypothetical DDL user who wishes to transform his database in a fashion the current DDL processor cannot handle need only supply the necessary additions to DDL in EBNF, and let the SAPG produce the syntax checking code automatically. Needless to say, the user must also provide the routines to generate internal tables and carry out the code generation.

2.2 The DDL Compiler. The DDL compiler consists of three phases:

a) Lexical

b) Syntactic Analysis and Table Generation

c) Code Generation

2.2.1 The Lexical Phase. The lexical phase is used to speed up the execution of syntax analysis of the DDL source code. It groups the DDL input strings into logical entities, and these units will be parsed by SAP as if they were single characters. Examples of such units are identifiers (names) and punctuation.

2.2.2 The Syntactic Analysis and Table Generation Phase. The syntactic analysis phase is responsible for the detection and flagging of errors
in the DDL source input. The SAPG generates PL/1 code to parse the input and, should an error be discovered, certain hand-written syntactic routines will be called to output a message informing the user the location and nature of the misconception.

Concurrent with this error detection phase is the table generation phase. At this time, routines are called whose functions are to capture semantic information contained in the DDL source statements and to build tables to preserve this data for use during code generation, as well as detection of global syntax errors. There will be several tables generated at this time: a single Symbol Table and many Data Tables.

2.2.3 Code Generation. After completion of the internal tables, the code generation phase massages the data contained therein and generates PL/1 code to define structures and/or perform data movement on the user's data base.
3.0 INTERNAL TABLES

3.1 Introduction. In most compiler applications internal tables are constructed to hold the pertinent information about the structure or statements contained in the programming language. These tables ordinarily take the form of vectors or matrices, although DDL uses pushdowns (stacks) for its storage medium.

A symbol table is a storage device for items each having a unique name or key associated with them. The key is given and, on a table access, a pointer to the information associated with the item is returned by the table accessing mechanism. If this information is small in size, then the information itself may be returned, otherwise a pointer to where the data is stored is returned. The DDL processor uses the latter method.

At the same time the symbol table is created, data tables are also constructed by the DDL compiler. These tables store the information contained in the DDL source necessary for global syntax checking and further compilation by the code generation phase. They may be regarded as "files" in which the "real data" is located, and whose "names" are stored in the symbol table for convenient reference.

While most techniques for data table construction are Ad Hoc, there exist many formal methods of symbol table creation, three of which are described below.

3.1.1 Linear Structure. If successive entries of a symbol table are arranged in an arbitrary fashion, the average number of entries which
must be scanned in a table of length \( n \), in order to locate a component, is \( n/2 \). This type of organization is linear since the search time depends linearly on the size of the table. Search time may be considerably shortened by building some structure into the symbol table. Two structures to be considered are Hash and Tree.

3.1.2 Hash Structure. Hashing techniques partition the set of all source codes by applying a function which maps them into a bit pattern with a lesser number of bits. This hashing function is usually chosen to satisfy two criteria:

1) The mapping from a source code to its bit pattern can be readily performed.

2) Source codes are mapped into bit patterns in an unpredictable and random manner.

A hashing function partitions the set of all source code into equivalence classes such that two source codes are equivalent if and only if they have the same bit pattern.

This method requires a function to be found which satisfies criteria 1, however DDL source names may be up to 31 characters in length and a function to perform the hashing would necessarily be very complex and time consuming. For this reason and the reason given in the previous section, Tree structuring of the DDL symbol table was chosen as a compromise.

3.1.3 Tree Structure. An efficient method of searching a structure is by repeated bisection of a list. Unfortunately, when a table is created entry by entry, the midpoint of the list is unknown and the bisection method can-
not be used. However, storing of the list as a binary tree achieves the same effect as structuring it as a "bisectable list". All entries less than the given symbol table entry are reached by going down a branch, and all entries greater, by going up. In spite of the fact that the paths in the tree will be of unequal lengths, the distance of the average node from the root is $\log_2 n$, where $n$ is the number of nodes in the tree.

3.2 Mechanics of Table Generation.

3.2.1 EBNF with Subroutine Calls. The syntactic structure of the DDL is described via Backus-Naur Form with a few minor modifications. Sequences of characters enclosed in the brackets ⟨ ⟩ represent BNF metalinguistic variables whose values are collections of symbols specified on the right of the "::=". An example of BNF (without the modifications) is:

$$\langle \text{example} \rangle ::= \text{THIS} \langle \text{IS} \rangle \text{A} \langle \text{SENTENCE} \rangle$$

| HELLO

The non-terminal (values enclosed in ⟨ ⟩) ⟨EXAMPLE⟩ is defined as follows:

"THIS", followed by the definition of ⟨IS⟩ (not supplied) in this example), the terminal "A", followed by the definition of ⟨SENTENCE⟩ (not supplied) ) or "HELLO"

Any expression which fails both these alternatives does not belong to the class of statements defined by ⟨EXAMPLE⟩.

The extensions to BNF arise with the introduction of square brackets "[", "]" and the asterisk ".". This extended BNF (EBNF) has the distinction of facilitating human comprehension of repeating entities contained in statement definitions. Items enclosed in square brackets may appear
zero or one times, while square brackets followed by a star ("\*") indicate an indefinite number of repetitions. Any mark in a formula which is not a meta-linguistic symbol, or which is not enclosed in the meta-linguistic symbols \langle,\rangle, denotes itself. A further extension to EBNF, in the form of subroutine calls, is implemented to allow the compiler writer the flexibility of outputting diagnostic messages as well as storing semantic information contained in the DDL source in one pass. The following is an example of EBNF with subroutine calls:

\[(\text{LEFT}_\text{SIDE})::=\langle\text{RIGHT}_\text{SIDE}\rangle/\text{SUB}_\text{CALL}/[,,\langle\text{RIGHT}_2\rangle/\text{SUB}_\text{CALL}_2/]\*\]

If an input statement is to be identified as a \langle\text{LEFT}_\text{SIDE}\rangle it must consist of: the \langle\text{RIGHT}_\text{SIDE}\rangle definition, followed by 0 or more occurrences of ",\langle\text{RIGHT}_2\rangle". At syntactic analysis time, after correct recognition of the units \langle\text{RIGHT}_\text{SIDE}\rangle and \langle\text{RIGHT}_2\rangle, subroutines \text{SUB}_\text{CALL}_1 and \text{SUB}_\text{CALL}_2 will be enabled to capture the semantic information currently being scanned. If failure to recognize a unit comes to pass, then the parsing of the statement halts, no further subroutine calls in this production are made, and an error message is generated. The statement is discarded and parsing will continue with the next DDL statement.

There are two points that should be mentioned concerning the syntax of EBNF:

1) Subroutine calls may appear anywhere except between \langle\text{and}\rangle.

2) An EBNF statement line may be nothing more than subroutine calls.

*If the present production has an "|" (OR) symbol further on, parsing restarts with the definition following the "|".
3.2.2 **Local Syntax Checking.** Subroutine calls will be placed in appropriate locations in the EBNF in order to prepare the compiler for certain terminal symbol failures. This accomplished by employing a fail stack which is provided with suitable error message codes to be cited should a "failure" in the scanning occur. See French\textsuperscript{[1]}.

3.2.3 **Table Generation.** As in local syntax checking, the internal tables to be used for global syntax checking and code generation are constructed via the subroutine call facility of the EBNF. At appropriate points, calls are made to PL/1 routines which build the symbol table and data table entries. These tables are the stepping stones for the subsequent code generation phase which walks through them to determine the user's data base structure and/or data movement intentions. The tables are PL/1 based structures which are created, only after it has been determined, through local syntax routines, that the DDL statement under consideration is constructed correctly.

In order to fully understand the logic and the mechanics incorporated in the process of table generation, an involved example will be given.

**EXAMPLE 1.**

The familiar ARRAY declaration contained in many programming languages will be the illustration. In order to provide the global syntax checking phase and the code generation phase with data pertaining to the contents of the ARRAY declaration, table entries consisting of critical information must be constructed. These structures are given in Figure 2.
ARRAY declaration examples:

1) A ARRAY (1);
2) XI ARRAY (2:3);
3) A2B ARRAY (2:4,1:3,5);

Corresponding table entries (see Figure 2 for content definition):

1) STMT IDENTIFIER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ARRAY DECL.</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

STMT DEFINITION

| A | 1 | 1 | 0 |

2) STMT IDENTIFIER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ARRAY DECL.</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

STMT DEFINITION

| XI | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 |

3) STMT IDENTIFIER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ARRAY DECL.</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

STMT DEFINITION

| A2B | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 0 |

Using the contents of the data entries, global syntax routine walk through the information resolving all references, and code generation outputs the code necessary to define the structure. In order to build these entries subroutine calls, embedded at appropriate locations in the EBNF, are written. The EBNF with subroutine calls for the present example is as follows:
FIGURE 2
Explanation of subroutine calls (using example 3):

After recognition of "A2B" as a (NAME), SAP calls

1. ARRAY_NAME

This routine sets the STMT_TYPE entry in the table to the code for an ARRAY declaration statement. Then the POINTERS_TO_DEFINITION entry is filled with the pointer value of the location where this statement's definition resides. The NAME entry is filled with "A2B", the name of the array. This value is still available as we have not attempted to scan another unit of input as yet. An initialization of the NO_OF_DIMENSIONS entry to 0 occurs here for subsequent incrementation by the subroutine DIMENSION.

After recognition of "(" and "2" (as an (INTEGER)), SAP calls:

2. FIRST_BOUND

This routine fills in the FIRST_BOUND entry of the table with the current lexical unit ("2"). Since no foresight as to the possible occurrence of the second bound is available, the flag FLAG_FOR_SECOND_BOUND is set to 0. It will be overridden by a subsequent subroutine call if the second bound does indeed occur.
After entering the optional clause in \langle\text{BOUND}\rangle \text{ by recognizing } ":\text{"}, \text{ and after recognizing the } ":4\text{" as an } \langle\text{INTEGER}\rangle, \text{ SAP calls:}

3. **SECOND_BOUND**

This routine changes \text{FLAG\_FOR\_SECOND\_BOUND} to a 1, signifying a presence. \text{SECOND\_BOUND} is assigned the value "4" (the currently scanned unit).

SAP now calls:

4. **DIMENSION**

This routine increments the \text{NO\_OF\_DIMENSIONS} by one, thus providing the code generation phase with the correct number of dimensions in the array.

The optionality [, \text{BOUNDS} /\text{DIMENSION}/]* is satisfied by recognition of ",", located between the "4" and the "1" in the source input. The previous routines are re-executed, entering the "1" and the "3" into the table in the same fashion as before. Similarly, the "5" is placed into an entry in the table but, after completion of this entry, the above optionality is not satisfied (no "," in the input stream), SAP skips to recognize the unit following the "*" in the EBNF, accepts the ")" and the ";" as valid input characters, and halts this statement's parse with an indication to the routine of a successful recognition.

3.2.4 **Global Syntax Checking.** After construction of the tables is completed, control is passed to a routine which walks through the entries just created, verifying that all statement references are valid. For example, if a \text{FILE} statement in DDL references something other than a \text{RECORD} or Storage statement, an error flag is set. If no data table entry exists for some identifier in the symbol table, the name is flagged. In case of either error type, a message is printed and control is not passed to code generation.
4.0 TABLE GENERATING ROUTINES FOR DDL

4.1 The Symbol Table. As previously mentioned in Chapter 3, the symbol table is a binary tree structure whose entries are lexicographically ordered. The entries are the names of the DDL source statements, located at the statement head. In Figure 3a, the statement identifiers are RCD_NAME, Fl, GRP1, GRP2, F2. It is necessary to connect each occurrence of a statement identifier in DDL with the data (the rest of the statement) accompanying it. This is accomplished in two steps:

1. The accompanying data is stored away into a data table entry.

2. A pointer in the symbol table to this storage location is set.

In DDL it is necessary that the data stored in Step 1. also contain a link to its name (thus creating a doubly-chained list). In this fashion, a great deal of intercommunication among DDL statements can occur. These interrelationships are exhibited in Figure 3b. Note that the links from within the data entries reference the names of statements in the symbol table. These references are crucial to both global syntax checking and code generation. The latter phase will use the information contained herein to generate proper structure declarations or data movement commands.

4.1.1 The Tree Structure and its Uses. If an identifier is encountered in the source input, a routine is called to locate the name in the symbol table and, if already present, return its location. Otherwise, an entry is opened for the name and the new location is returned. Using this process with an unstructured symbol table could prove very time consuming and
RCD_NM IS RECORD(GRP1,GRP2);

F1 IS FIELD(BIT(3));

GRP2 IS GROUP(F1);

GRP1 IS GROUP(F1,F2);

F2 IS FIELD(CHAR(7));

FIGURE 3
therefore, some structure is defined on the symbol table for which the seek
time is minimized.

The composition of the symbol table will be a binary tree whose nodes
contain the information illustrated in Figure 4. All items of information
in the subtrees extending from a given node which are larger* than the
item of information at that node will be in the subtree pointed to by the
upward pointer. Similarly, all smaller items are in the subtree pointed
to by the downward pointer. This structure is illustrated in Figure 5.
If a subtree contains no items, a pointer to that subtree is considered
to be a pointer to a null node.

4.1.2 Growth and Search Tree Algorithms. Such trees are easy to grow.
The first item of information is placed in the tree at the root. There-
after, each new item is placed in the tree by comparing it with the root
and moving up or down depending on whether the new item is larger or
smaller. This process is repeated at each node until an attempt is made
to move to a null node. The item is then placed at this point in the
tree. Section 4.1.4 contains the flow chart of this procedure.

As an example, consider adding the item "H" to the tree in Figure 5.

1) H>A-- move up
2) H>J-- move down
3) H>E-- move up
4) H>G-- move up

Since there is not item up from G, H is attached at this point. Now some

*Any ordered relation may be used-DDL uses lexicographical ordering.
SYMBOL TABLE ENTRY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LENGTH OF NAME</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>UPWARD POINTER</th>
<th>DOWNWARD POINTER</th>
<th>DATA TABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OF NAME DDL</td>
<td>STATEMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 4

FIGURE 5

KEYS ENTERED IN ORDER A, J1, J2, E, B, G, F
KEYS ENTERED
IN ORDER
F, B, J1, A, E, G, J2

FIGURE 6
mathematical properties of the tree structure grown by this algorithm will be considered.

The shape of a tree containing a given set of \( n \) items depends on the order in which the items are encountered. For example, Figure 6 is constructed by considering the same items as in Figure 5 but in a different order. The algorithm thus generates a tree for each of the \( n! \) possible arrangements of \( n \) items; but not all the trees are distinct, as can be seen from Figure 7. In the analysis to follow it is considered that each of the \( n! \) permutations of \( n \) items is equi-probable. Thus some trees will be generated more often than others. It can be stated without any contradiction that an item can be searched for following exactly the same steps used to insert that item. It is reasonable to assume that the time required is proportional to the number of nodes visited. It is obvious that in Figure 6 twenty-two nodes must be visited to find each item, while Figure 7 requires 19 visits. Clearly, the tree in Figure 7 is not only better but optimum. An algorithm, whose flow chart is presented in Section 4.1.4, is presented below. It will convert any tree into its optimum tree.

4.1.3 Tree Restructuring Algorithm. The algorithm which restructures the tree consumes time for execution. A natural question to ask is whether the time saved in searching a reorganized tree is greater than the time required for the conversion from the non-optimal to the optimal form. By referencing W. A. Martins & D. N. Ness[^3], it can be deduced that there is some \( n \) beyond which application of the restructuring algorithm must result (on the average) in saving. However, in the present application, since the number of accesses from the table is not estimated to be high,
TWO IDENTICAL TREES FOR N=3

FIGURE 7
restructuring will be attempted on a trial and error basis. Based on this empirical evidence, restructuring will occur only after a certain number of entries have been processed. Present implementations place this number between twenty and thirty and alternates may be used.
4.1.4 Flow Charts.

a) Growth and Search Tree Algorithm
Explanation:
The data items input to the algorithm are stored in the variable ITEMS. Upward pointers are in IUP, an array. Similarly, downward pointers are in IDOWN. The current number of items is in IN, the beginning of the tree in IBEG, the current node to be used is labeled ICUR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BOX LABELS</th>
<th>EXPLANATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Initialization of program variables.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Put the input word into the array of nodes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Is this the first input? If yes-return.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>If not, place item in list.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Determine if ITEM goes into upper sub-tree or lower sub-tree.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Lower sub-tree is determined. Is node null?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>If not, set current node to this non-null node and return to 5 to restart.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>If line 6 is Yes, then insert value at this node. Return.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>If the answer to 6 is no, then an upward sub-tree is required for the placing of ITEM. Is the pointer to the upper sub-tree null?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>If not, set current node to point to this non-null node, and return to 5 to restart.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ll. If answer to 9 is yes, insert the value into that node. Return.

b) Tree Restructuring Algorithm

Owing to the fact that the procedure contains recursive routine, I won't endeavor to flow chart this algorithm in the same detail as in the previous case. An English description of the steps to be followed will be provided.

The procedure LBEST returns as its answer, a pointer to the root node of the restructured tree. This procedure also establishes the environment for the other subroutines, IGROW and INEXT. LBEST computes IGROW(n), where n is the number of nodes in the tree to be restructured. It returns the result of this computation (which is the restructured tree) as its answer. The procedure IGROW(n) is responsible for constructing an optimum tree containing n nodes. It may be recursive, as it may call itself. It uses the procedure NEXT, which returns a pointer to the smallest node in the old tree the first time it is called, and a pointer to the smallest node, not previously returned, on each successive call. IGROW(n) can take three courses of action:

1) If n=0, return a pointer to a NULL node.
2) If n=1, call NEXT and return its result.
3) If n>1,
   a) Call IGROW(└(n-1)/2┘)
   b) Call NEXT
   c) Call IGROW(⌈(n-1)/2⌉)
Then after the node pointed to as a result of b) by replacing its down pointer with the result of a), and its up pointer with the result of c). The procedure Next is given a pointer to the root of the original tree by IBEST. Each time it is called by IGROW it moves one step through the tree and returns the next node in ascending sequence. It also saves place in the tree for the next call by IGROW. Given a sub-tree, NEXT returns the nodes in the lower branch by calling itself recursively with this branch as an argument, then it returns the root node of the subtree, and then the nodes in the upper branch.

4.1.5 Examples. The PL/I output contained in Figures 8 & 9 represents the tree structures shown in Figures 10 & 11 respectively. Data for Figure 8(10) was input and restructured, resulting in the structure of Figure 9(11).

4.1.6 The Calling of the Symbol Table Entry Routine. Statements in DDL are generally input with the following format (EBNF): (IDENTIFIER) IS (STATEMENT);

For example:

RCD IS RECORD(G1,G2);

GRP IS GROUP(F1,F2,F3);

To enter the names RCD, GRP into the symbol table, a routine called ENTESYM is called after recognition of the identifiers. Thus the EBNF with sub-routine calls for the majority of the DDL source statements is:

(IDENTIFIER)/ENTESYM/ IS (STATEMENT);

ENTESYM returns a pointer to the location in the symbol table of the iden-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION:</th>
<th>UP_PTR:</th>
<th>DOWN_PTR:</th>
<th>DT_PTR:</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>KEY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>523384</td>
<td>519152</td>
<td>519200</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>GCPILU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519200</td>
<td>518624</td>
<td>519104</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>CA-LES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519152</td>
<td>518672</td>
<td>518336</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>JLF-LUIS_123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519104</td>
<td>518576</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>LATU-O_FAMILIZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518672</td>
<td>518528</td>
<td>518240</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>NARRE_1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518624</td>
<td>518384</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>EXAMPLE_1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518576</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>PLACO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518524</td>
<td>518400</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>PREADA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518480</td>
<td>518432</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>FAMINEZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518432</td>
<td>518048</td>
<td>518288</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>SELCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518384</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>FRENCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518336</td>
<td>518070</td>
<td>518144</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>GROSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518288</td>
<td>518096</td>
<td>516192</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>FIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518240</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>MULLER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518192</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>PECIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518144</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>517952</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>GONZALEZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518096</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>SMITH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518048</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>VALDEZ-IS_CMWING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518000</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>JCRG_GANA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>517952</td>
<td>4278150080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>GCD_FATHER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IBEG NCW POINTS TO 518000
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION:</th>
<th>UP_PTR:</th>
<th>DCWN_PTR:</th>
<th>DT_PTR:</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>KEY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>523384</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>(31)</td>
<td>GLORILU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5192</td>
<td>518624</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>CAPLES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519152</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>JOSU_LUIS_J23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519114</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>ASTRO_PANTHEZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518672</td>
<td>518528</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>NAVARE_1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518624</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>EXAMPE_1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518576</td>
<td>518260</td>
<td>518144</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>FLANGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518528</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>TRUEKA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518480</td>
<td>518096</td>
<td>518240</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>DAMIREZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518432</td>
<td>518048</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>SOLGW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518384</td>
<td>517952</td>
<td>518576</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>FRENCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518336</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>GACSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518288</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>FIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518240</td>
<td>518672</td>
<td>519152</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>MULLER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518192</td>
<td>518288</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>FECIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518144</td>
<td>518336</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>GCZALES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518096</td>
<td>518432</td>
<td>518192</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>SMITH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518048</td>
<td>4278190080</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>VALDEZ_IS_GOMEING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root</td>
<td>518000</td>
<td>518480</td>
<td>518384</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>517952</td>
<td>518144</td>
<td>523384</td>
<td>427819CC80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>GOD_FATHER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2 The Data Tables.

4.2.1 Usage of the Data Tables. For every DDL statement certain relevant information must be stored in core for later use in the global syntax checking phase as well as in the code generation phase. To this end, each instance of a source statement initiates procedures which open data table entries whose function is the preservation of the pertinent information. The DDL data table designs are by no means unique and, given different designers/programmers, different designs for these tables most likely would be conceived. As long as the tables generated by the internal routines correspond exactly to the tables expected by the code generation phase any applicable construct may be used.

4.2.2 Data Table Format Design Considerations. If the code generation phase is to generate declaration and/or translation statements for a user's file structure, handwritten subroutines must utilize the appropriate data table information. Up to this point in the thesis no allusion to actual DDL source statements has been made. However, in order to relate the evolution of the data table designs, certain examples of DDL source will be examined.

Assume the following DDL source was input to the compiler:

(a) RCD IS RECORD(GRP1(2),GRP2);
(b) GRP1 IS GROUP(F1,F2(3));
(c) GRP2 IS GROUP(F1(2),F2);
(d) F1 IS FIELD(BIT(3)~'100');
(e) F2 IS FIELD(CHAR(2));
Statement (a) describes a record of a user's file composed of two groups: GRP1, which occurs twice and GRP2. GRP1 is specified in statement (b) wherein it is defined as a group consisting of two members: F1 and F2 which repeats three times. GRP2 is a group with members F1, repeating twice, and F2. F1 is a field of three bits initially assigned the value "100". F2 is a field of two characters with no initial assignment. This structure is pictorially represented in Figure 12.

In order to preserve this structure, the DDL compiler will create individual data tables, unique for each source statement. The global syntax checking routine will walk through these tables, verifying that all references to any statement are valid. The code generation phase is saddled with the responsibility of declaring the PL/1 structure representative of this description, using the encoded tables as guidelines. For this example, it is fairly obvious that the following PL/1 declaration describes the file presented in the example:

```pl1
DCL 1 RCD,
    2 GRP1(2),
        3 F1 BIT(3) INITIAL('100'),
        3 F2 CHAR(2),
    2 GRP2,
        3 F1 BIT(3) INITIAL('100'),
        3 F2 CHAR(2);
```

It is necessary that the compiler provide the code generation phase with
Figure 12
the encoded table containing sufficient data to declare the above struc-
ture.

As the record statement provided in the example now stands, Figure
13 furnishes a prototype of a RECORD_STMT data table entry. Referring
to this diagram, a simulation of the steps the code generation phase would
take upon encountering this entry is given.

1. From examination of the CODE entry, determination of the
  TYPE (RECORD) of data takes place.
2. The pointer to the symbol table entry which contains the
  "name" of the source statement is followed, and using this
  value, the "DCL 1 RCD," line is generated.
3. The "number of groups" entry alerts the code generation
  phase to the number of accesses of contained group entries
  that must be performed.
4. The group entries are pointers to a symbol table entry
  containing their "names". This value, along with the sub-
  sequent "repitition number" entries, allow generation of
  the lines "GRP1(2)" and "GRP2".
5. The declaration is not complete as we must travel the
  pointers to locate the group entries' data tables as well
  as the field entries' data table. This is done to acquire
  the remaining information about the structure.

It must be pointed out that the RECORD_STMT data table entry provided in
the above example is a restriction of the actual entry used in DDL. It
was used to simplify the discussion, and the reader is advised to consult
APPENDIX B where he will find the expanded form used in the DDL processor.
DATA TABLE ENTRY FOR PROTOTYPE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE FOR RECORD</th>
<th>PTR TO NAME OF RECORD GROUP</th>
<th>RUN. GROUP #1</th>
<th>REPEITION GROUP NUMBER #2</th>
<th>SUP #N</th>
<th>REPEITION NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STMT</td>
<td>STMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 13**
4.2.3 Subroutine Calls for Data Table Constructions. The succeeding sections provide the EBNF with subroutine calls for the set of DDL statements. In order for the reader to comprehend the logic used for placement of the calls, it may be beneficial to consult Appendicies A and B. In addition, DDL source statements corresponding to the EBNF will be provided.

4.2.3.1 File Statement. With this statement the user describes his overall file structure. This consists of the record names contained therein, the code used (EBCDIC, BCD, or ASCII), and the medium of storage used (TAPE, DISK or CARD).

EBNF:

\[
\text{\langle FILE \_ STMT\rangle := FILE/DFLEETG/(\langle RECORD \_ NAME\rangle/FRN/,CH\_ AR\_ CODE=}
\langle \text{\langle CODE\rangle,STORAGE=\langle NAME\rangle/FSN/}\rangle
\]

\[
\text{\langle CODE\rangle := BCD/FC3/}
\]

| ASCII/FC2/

| EBCDIC/FC1/

EXAMPLE:

FILE\_ NAME IS FILE(REC\_ NAME,CHAR\_ CODE=EBCDIC,STORAGE=

TAPE\_ NAME);

4.2.3.2 Record Statement. The RECORD STMT describes the user's record structure by allowing specification of the groups contained in the file. The fields which are subordinate to no group are also specified in this statement. A password to the record structure may also be provided by the user.
4.2.3.3 **Group Statement.** The group statement functions much in the same way as the record statement except at a lower level in the file structure. It may contain groups and fields.

**EBNF:**

\[
\text{GROUP_STMT} ::= \text{GROUP/GRPTG/} \langle \text{NAME_LIST/} \rangle /\text{NL_G/}[,\langle \text{NAME_LIST/} \rangle /\text{NL_G/}]*/\text{ALLO_G/}
\]

\[
\text{NAME_LIST} ::= \text{same as in RECORD_STMT.}
\]

**EXAMPLE:**

```
GRP IS GROUP(GRP2(1), FLD(1:8), POST_CRIT='CRT');
```
4.2.3.4 Field Statement. This lengthy (in EBNF) statement describes the lowest level of the DDL file structure—the fields. There are many options that can be specified, and by careful scrutiny of the EBNF most can be located and understood.

EBNF:

\[
\text{FIELD_STMT} ::= \text{FIELD/DFLDTC/}(\text{TYPE}[(\text{DELIMITER})][\text{CONVERSION}]) \\
/\text{ALLO_F}/ \\
\text{TYPE} ::= \text{BIN/BL/}[(\text{LENGTH})][\text{BIT_ASSGN}] \\
| \text{CHAR/Cl/}[(\text{LENGTH})][\text{CHAR_ASSGN}/C2/] \\
| \text{NUM_PICTURE=} \text{NUM_PICTURE_SPEC}/N[\text{NUM_ASSGN}] \\
| \text{CHAR_PICTURE=} \text{CHAR_PICTURE_SPEC}/C/[\text{CHAR_ASSGN}/C2/] \\
\text{LENGTH} ::= */L1/ \\
| \text{LABEL}/L5/ \\
| \text{REF_NAME}/L2/ \\
| \text{PARAM_STMT}/L3/ \\
| \text{INTEGER}/L4/ \\
\text{CHAR_ASSGN} ::= \text{"} \text{CHAR_STRING}/C1/\" \\
| \text{SOURCE_NAME}/B1/\" \\
\text{BIT_ASSGN} ::= \text{'} \text{BIT_STRING}/B1/\' \\
| \text{SOURCE_NAME}/B2/\' \\
\text{NUM_ASSGN} ::= \text{'} \text{NUM_STRING}/N1/\' \\
| \text{SOURCE_NAME}/B2/\' \\
\text{BIT_STRING} ::= \text{BITSTRING recognizer/} \\
\text{CHAR_STRING} ::= \text{CHAR_STRING recognizer/} \\
\text{NUM_STRING} ::= \text{NUM_STRING recognizer/} \\
\text{LABEL} ::= \text{LABEL recognizer/} \\
\text{NUM_PICTURE_SPEC} ::= \text{NUM_PICTURE recognizer/} \\
\text{CHAR_PICTURE_SPEC} ::= \text{CHAR_PICTURE recognizer/}
\]
4.2.3.5 **Length Statement.** Occasionally some field may have a value which is based upon the length of another record, field, or group. This statement allows the user to specify these possibilities.

**EBNF:**

\[
\text{(LENGTH_STMT)} ::= \text{DDL_LENGTH/DLGENTG/((DATA_NAME)/LDN/)}
\]

\[
\text{(DATA_NAME)} ::= \text{(REF_NAME)}
\]

**EXAMPLE:**

FIELD_NAME IS FIELD(CHAR(7)=LENGTH(Grpl.Fld3(9)));
4.2.3.6 **Count Statement.** As in the case of the Length Stmt., this allows the user to provide a value based on the number of occurrences of another record, group, or field.

**EBNF:**

\[
\langle \text{COUNT_STMT} \rangle ::= \text{DDL} / \text{COUNT} / \text{DCNTTGT} / (\langle \text{DATA_NAME} \rangle / \text{CDN})
\]

\[
\langle \text{DATA_NAME} \rangle ::= \langle \text{REF_NAME} \rangle
\]

**EXAMPLE:**

FIELD\_NAME IS FIELD(CHAR(7) \(=\) COUNT(GRP4.\_FLD(8)));

4.2.3.7 **Card Statement.** Information as to the medium of storage for the file must be passed to the processor. This statement specifies card storage.

**EBNF:**

\[
\langle \text{CARD_STMT} \rangle ::= \text{CARD} / \text{DCARD} / \text{TG}/
\]

**EXAMPLE:**

CARD\_NAME IS CARD;

4.2.3.8 **Tape Statement.** Tape storage is the medium used for the file.

**EBNF:**

\[
\langle \text{TAPE_STMT} \rangle ::= \text{TAPE} / \text{DIA} / \text{PETG} / (\langle \text{TAPE\_DATA\_CTL\_BLOCK} \rangle)
\]

\[
\langle \text{TAPE\_DATA\_CTL\_BLOCK} \rangle ::= \langle \text{RECORD\_FORMAT} \rangle, \langle \text{VOL\_NAME} \rangle = \langle \text{NAME} \rangle / \text{VOL} / \langle \text{NO\_TRKS} \rangle = \langle \text{NO\_TRKS} \rangle / \text{TT}/
\]

\[
\langle \text{PARITY} \rangle = \langle \text{PARITY} \rangle / \text{TE}/
\]

\[
\langle \text{DENSITY} \rangle = \langle \text{DENSITY} \rangle / \text{TD}/
\]

,
4.2.3.9 Disk Statement. As in the previous structure, this statement is referenced via the file statement and its function is to describe the disk storage of the user's file.

EBNF:

\[
\langle \text{DISK_STMT} \rangle := \text{DISK/DDISKTC}/\langle \text{DISK\_DATA\_CTL\_BLOCK} \rangle \\
\langle \text{DISK\_DATA\_CTL\_BLOCK} \rangle := \langle \text{RECORD\_FORMAT} \rangle/\text{DFR}/,\text{VOL\_NAME}=\langle \text{NAME} \rangle/\text{VOL2}/ \\
[,[,\text{INT\_NAME}=<\text{NAME}\rangle/\text{DNML}/] \\
[,[,\text{UNIT}=<\text{TYPE\_SK}\rangle/\text{DTYPE}/] \\
[,[,\text{SPACE}=<\text{PARAMETERS}\rangle/\text{DPARS}/] \\
\langle \text{RECORD\_FORMAT} \rangle := \text{same as in TAPE_STMT.} \\
\langle \text{PARAMETERS} \rangle := \langle \text{UNIT} \rangle,\langle \text{QUANTITY} \rangle/\text{FQ}/[,\langle \text{INCREMENT} \rangle/\text{IL}/][,\text{RLE}/\text{RLS}/]
\]
\[
\text{\langle UNITS\rangle ::= TRACKS}
\]
\[
| \text{CYLINDERS/CY/}
\]
\[
| \langle \text{INTEGER} \rangle/\text{INT2/}
\]
\[
\langle \text{QUANTITY}\rangle ::= \langle \text{INTEGER} \rangle
\]
\[
\langle \text{INCREMENT}\rangle ::= \langle \text{INTEGER} \rangle
\]
\[
\text{TYPE_DISK ::= 2314}
\]
\[
| 2311
\]
\[
| 3300
\]

EXAMPLE:

\[
\text{DISK_NAME IS DISK(FIXED(5), VOL_NAME=VOLO6, INT_NAME=NW)};
\]

The following two statements are data movement commands, and provide code generation with data relevant to the structure mappings from the user's source to target file.

4.2.3.10 Convert Statement. This statement lets the compiler to the files (source and target) that the user is employing for his conversion.

EBNF:

\[
\langle \text{CONVERT_STMT}\rangle ::= \text{CONVERT/DCONVTG/(FILE_NAME)/CS/ INTO}
\]
\[
\langle \text{FILE_NAME}\rangle/\text{CT/}
\]
\[
\langle \text{FILE_NAME}\rangle ::= \langle \text{NAME}\rangle
\]

EXAMPLE:

\[
\text{CONVERT(FI1EA INTO FILES)};
\]

4.2.3.11 Scan Statement. Although no new entry is created by the routines in this statement, the RECORD data table entry is modified. The SCAN_STMT specifies the order that groups within the record are to be parsed at code generation time. This information must necessarily be
provided if a field's values depend on another field, or combination of others. The position within the field at which scanning must occur is also provided in this statement.

**EBNF:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SCAN_STMT} & : = \text{SCAN/DSANICG/(REC=\langle RECORD_NAME\rangle)/SCREC/} \\
& \quad : (\text{GROUP_NAMES}\[\text{\#, (GROUP_NAMES)}\]*) \\
& \quad /\text{ALLSCAN/} \\
\text{GROUP_NAMES} & : = (\text{\langle NAME\rangle/GRN/}\[\langle \text{POSITION}\rangle\]) \\
\text{POSITION} & : = (\text{\langle LABEL\rangle/P1/} \\
& \quad | (\text{\langle INTEGER\rangle/P3/)} \\
\text{RECORD_NAME} & : = (\text{\langle NAME\rangle)}
\end{align*}
\]

**EXAMPLE:**

\[
\text{SCAN(REC=REC\_NAME:GRP3(2),GRP1,GRP2(2));}
\]

4.3 Example of Symbol Table and Data Table Creation. The DDL source for this example follows immediately and the files described therein are illustrated in Figure 14. Figures 15a and 15b portray graphically the symbol table and data table structures that would be created by the table generating routines.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SFLE IS FILE(SRCD,CHAR\_CODE=BCD,STORAGE=SRC\_RD)}; \\
\text{SRCD IS RECORD(SGRP1(2),SGRP2(3));} \\
\text{SGRP1 IS GROUP(SFLD1(4),SFLD2(2));} \\
\text{SGRP2 IS GROUP(SFLD1(3),SFLD3(2));} \\
\text{SFLD1 IS FIELD(BIN(SFLE.SGRP2(1).SFLD1(2))}; \\
\text{SFLD2 IS FIELD(CHAR(7));}
\end{align*}
\]
SFLD3 IS FIELD(CHAR_PICTURE='AAX');
SRC_CRD IS CARD;

TFILE IS FILE(TRCD,CHAR_CODE EBCDIC,STORAGE=TARTAPE);
TRCD IS RECORD(TGRP1(3),TGRP2,TGRP2(2));
TGRP1 IS GROUP(TFLD1(2));
TGRP2 IS GROUP(TFLD2(2),TFLD3));
TGRP3 IS GROUP(TFLD3,TFLD4);
TFLD1 IS FIELD(BIN<>'100');
TFLD2 IS FIELD(CHAR<='SGRP1(2),SFLD2(1)');
TFLD3 IS FIELD(CHAR_PICTURE='AAX'(='SGRP2(3),SFLD3(2)');
TFLD4 IS FIELD(CHAR<='ABC');
TARTAPE IS TAPE(FIXED(80),VOL_NAME=VOLO03);

SCAN(REC=SRC:SGRP2,SGRP1,SGRP3);
CONVERT(SELE INTO TFILE);
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc</th>
<th>DDL Statement Name</th>
<th>UP Pointer</th>
<th>DOWN Pointer</th>
<th>Data Table Pointer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>SFLE</td>
<td>NOT SUPPLIED FOR THIS ILLUSTRATION</td>
<td></td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>SRED</td>
<td>NOT SUPPLIED FOR THIS ILLUSTRATION</td>
<td></td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>SRC_CRD</td>
<td>NOT SUPPLIED FOR THIS ILLUSTRATION</td>
<td></td>
<td>D11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>SGRP1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>SGRP2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>SFLD1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>SFLE,GRP2(1),SFD40</td>
<td></td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>SFLD2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>SFLD3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>TFL3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11</td>
<td>TRCD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S12</td>
<td>TARTAPE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S13</td>
<td>TGRP1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S14</td>
<td>TGRP2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S15</td>
<td>TGRP3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S16</td>
<td>TFLD1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S17</td>
<td>TFLD2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S18</td>
<td>TFLD3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S19</td>
<td>TFLD4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 15a**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc</th>
<th>D1</th>
<th>FILE</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S3</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loc</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>RECORD</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>S5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D3</td>
<td>GROUP</td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>S6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>S7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DATA TABLES**

**Figure 15 b**
<p>| | | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>GROUP</td>
<td>S5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>S6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>S8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5</td>
<td>FIELD</td>
<td>S6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>S7</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D7</td>
<td>FIELD</td>
<td>S8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D9</td>
<td>FIELD</td>
<td>S9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 155 (cont.)**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc</th>
<th>D11 CARD S3 0 80 80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loc</td>
<td>D12 FILE S10 0 S11 S12 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loc</td>
<td>D13 RECORD S11 0 NONE 3 S13 1 3 NULL 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 NULL 0 NONE 0 NONE 0 0 NULL S14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 0 NULL 0 0 NULL 0 NONE 0 NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 0 NULL S15 1 2 NULL 0 0 NULL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 NONE 0 NONE 0 0 NULL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loc</td>
<td>D14 GROUP S13 1 S16 1 2 NULL 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NULL 0 NONE 0 NONE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 15b (cont.)**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOC</th>
<th>D15</th>
<th>GROUP</th>
<th>S14</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>S17</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>NULL</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>NULL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>S18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOC</th>
<th>D16</th>
<th>GROUP</th>
<th>S15</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>S18</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>NULL</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>NULL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>S19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| LOC | D17 | FIELD | S16 | 0 | D18 | 0 | NONE | 0 | 0 |      |

| LOC | D18 | 0 | -1 | NULL | 0 | NONE | 1 | D19 |

| LOC | D19 | 3 | 100 |

**Figure 15b (cont.)**
### Figure 15b (Cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc</th>
<th>Field</th>
<th>S17</th>
<th>D21</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>NULL</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>D22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc</th>
<th>NULL</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>NULL</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>S4</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>NULL</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>NULL</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>S8</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc</th>
<th>Field</th>
<th>S18</th>
<th>D24</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>NULL</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>D25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc</th>
<th>NULL</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>NULL</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>S5</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>NULL</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>NULL</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>S9</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D26</td>
<td>Field</td>
<td>S19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>D27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>NULL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>ABC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D29</td>
<td>TAPE</td>
<td>S12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Vol | 003 |   |   |   |   | 0 | A |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loc</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D30</td>
<td>CONVERT</td>
<td>S10</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 15b (cont.)**
CONCLUSION

Throughout the evolution of the DDL compiler certain ambivalences kept cropping up in project discussions. One particular area of concern was whether encoding of the source statements was economically beneficial (were internal tables needed?). The answer to this question is certainly not cut and dry and, in reality, can only be supplied if and when some other team tries to implement a compiler for DDL which does not encode the source but which reparses. Nevertheless, encoding of the input reduces the amount of work performed by code generation and permits the use of global syntax checking routines, separate from code generation. This dichotomy (local and global syntax checking separate from code generation) permits modifications to the compiler to be performed modularly, simplifying matters considerably.

However, economics was not the sole reason for performing this shuffling of data. There is one very crucial consideration that perhaps outweighs even the economic question—communication between our routines and the future users or compiler writers who will inevitably modify these routines. The internal tables have been designed to facilitate comprehension of the logic in global syntax checking and data preservation. For purposes of clarity, PL/1 structures are created to contain the encoded statements. Subsequent code generation routines refer to the data contained in these tables BY NAME. As an example, the entry for the record name in the FILE data table structure is referenced by FILE.RECORD_NAME. Seeing this qualified name in the code is enough of a clue to identify which structure is currently being dealt with.
Ordinarily, certain array positions, transparent to the reader unless well documented, would be agreed upon, by convention, to contain the information. Any individual who has had the unfortunate task of debugging someone else's logic will concur with my claims to the advantages of referencing data by name.

Storage optimization is always foremost in the minds of compiler designers as excessive storage will result in a very expensive processor. For this reason, certain techniques for space saving were employed. In the data table formats (APPENDIX A) are found many pointer entries referencing various DDL names. The pointers are used instead of the name themselves because they occupy only 1 word while names may be up to 32 characters. Thus a substantial saving of space may be realized if a name is frequently referenced.

In many instances, data table entries do not have a fixed structure (see REFER option, PL/1 F Compiler, Language Reference Manual). This means that they are allocated space only after it has been determined just how much information is to be stored in them. It is apparent that collection of this data must occur by way of temporary storage. These temporals were chosen to be PL/1 controlled variables (variables which act like pushdowns and whose allocation and de-allocation is totally programmer controlled) so that, after all information has been amassed, their storage allocation would be freed, thus reducing the amount of unused storage in the processor.

The use of EBNF with subroutine calls in the DDL compiler allowed every data table used for global syntax checking and code generation to be created in the same pass in which both lexical and local syntax analysis were performed. This meant that one pass over the source was performed in the ENTIRE compiler.
By designing the symbol table and data tables as doubly chained lists, the code necessary for walking through the structures was immensely simplified. Links were travelled from statement identifier to statement data and back again with relative ease and efficient speed.

It is hoped that the choice of encoding source statements will prove the right one. Whether the tradeoffs were beneficial or not it must be pointed out that, when future automatic programming techniques are developed, our DDL compiler has a distinct syntax phase and code generation phase, a separation which enhances the possibilities of mechanical code generation.


APPENDIX A

DATA TABLE FORMATS

The data table formats corresponding to the EBNF statements in Section 4.2.3 and the subroutines flow-charted in APPENDIX B appear in this section.
DCL 1 ST_ENTRY BASED(ST_PTR),
  2 UP_PTR POINTER,
  7 DOWN_PTR POINTER,
  2 DT_PTR POINTER,
  2 SIZE FIXED BINARY,
  2 KEY CHAR(KEY_SIZE REFER(ST_ENTRY.SIZE));
DATA TABLE ENTRY FOR LENGTH AND COUNT STMT'S.

DCL 1 LENGTH_DDL BASED(DTPTR),
   2 TYPE FIXED BIN,
   2 DATA_NAME POINTER;

DCL 1 COUNT_DDL BASED(DTPTR),
   2 TYPE FIXED BIN,
   2 DATA_NAME POINTER;
DATA TABLE ENTRY FOR CONVERT STMT.

DCL 1 CONVERT BASED(DT PTR),
  2 TYPE FIXED BIN,
  2 TARGET POINTER,
  2 SOURCE POINTER;
DATA TABLE ENTRY FOR FILE STATEMENTS.

DCL 1 FILE BASED(DTPTR),
   2 TYPE FIXED BIN,
   2 SYM POINTER,
   2 BUFOFF FIXED BIN,
   2 RCD_NAME POINTER,
   2 STORAGE POINTER,
   2 CHAR_CODE FIXED BIN;
DATA TABLE FOR RECORD STMT.

DCI 1 RECORD BASED(DTPTK),
2 TYPE FIXED BIN,
2 SYM POINTER,
2 LOCK CHAR(?),
2 NO_MEM FIXED BIN,
2 MEMBERS (NDUMMY REFER (RECORD,NO_MEM)),
3 MEM_NAME POINTER,
3 F_SUB_TYPE FIXED BIN;
3 F_SUB_CONST FIXED BIN,
3 F_SUB_VAR POINTER,
3 S_SUB_TYPE FIXED BIN,
3 S_SUB_CONST FIXED BIN,
3 S_SUB_VAR POINTER,
3 PRE_CRIT_FLAG BIT(1) ALIGNED,
3 PRE_CRITERION CHAR(7),
3 POST_CRIT_FLAG BIT(1) ALIGNED,
3 POST_CRITERION CHAR(7),
3 POS_FLAG FIXED BIN,
3 POS_CONST FIXED BIN,
3 POS_VAR CHAR(7);
DATA TABLE ENTRY FOR CARD STMT.

DYL 1 CARD BASED(DTPTR),
   2 TYPE FIXED BIN,
   2 SYM POINTER,
   2 FORMAT BIT(1) ALIGNED,
   2 NO_CARDS FIXED BIN,
   2 MODE_TYPE FIXED BIN;
DATA TABLE ENTRY FOR GROUP STMT.

DCL GROUP BASED(DPTR),
  2 TYPE FIXED BIN,
  2 SYM POINTER,
  2 NO_MEM FIXED BIN,
  2 MEMBERS (NDUMMY REFER GROUP,NO_MEM),
    3 MEM_NAME POINTER,
    3 F_SUB_TYPE FIXED BIN,
    3 F_SUB_CONST FIXED BIN,
    3 F_SUB_VAR POINTER,
    3 S_SUB_TYPE FIXED BIN,
    3 S_SUB_CONST FIXED BIN,
    3 S_SUB_VAR POINTER,
    3 PRE_CRIT_FLAG BIT(1) ALIGNED,
    3 PRE_CRITERION CHAR(7),
    3 POST_CRIT_FLAG BIT(1) ALIGNED,
    3 POST_CRITERION CHAR(7);
DATA TABLE ENTRY FOR DISK STAT.

DCL 1 DISK BASED(VOL,TR),
  2 TYPE FIXED BIN,
  2 SYM POINTER,
  2 DISK_PTRCAT,
    3 REC_PTRCAT_TYPE FIXED BIN,
    3 BLOCK_SIZE FIXED BIN,
    3 RECORD_SIZE FIXED BIN,
  2 SPACE,
    3 UNITS FIXED BIN,
    3 QUANTITY FIXED BIN,
    3 INCREMENT FIXED BIN,
    3 RLST BIT(1) ALIGNED,
  2 VOL_NAME CHAR(7),
  2 DSM_NAME CHAR(30),
  2 DISK_TYPE FIXED BIN,
  2 REC_MODE FIXED BIN,
  2 CTL_CHAR BIT(1) ALIGNED;
DATA TABLE ENTRY FOR TAPE STMT.

VOL 1 TAPE BAKED(UNIT),
   2 TYPE FIXED BIN,
   2 SYM POINTER,
   2 TAPE_FORMAT,
       3 RCD_FORMAT_TYPE FIXED BIN,
       3 BLOCK_SIZE FIXED BIN,
       3 RECORD_SIZE FIXED BIN,
   2 DENSITY CHAR(1),
   2 NO_TRKS BIT(1) ALIGNED,
   2 LABEL_TYPE FIXED BIN,
   2 START_FILE FIXED BIN,
   2 VOL_NAME CHAR(6),
   2 PARITY BIT(1) ALIGNED,
   2 DSNAME CHAR(30),
   2 REC_MODE FIXED BIN,
   2 CTL_CHAR BIT(1) ALIGNED;
DATA TABLE ENTRY FOR FIELD STMT.

DCL 1 FIELD BASED(DTPTR),
   2 TYPE FIXED BIN,
   2 SYM POINTER,
   2 FLD_TYPE BIT(1) ALIGNED,
   2 FLD_DESC POINTER,
   2 FLAG_CONV BIT(1) ALIGNED,
   2 CONVERSION CHAR(7),
   2 FLAG_DELIM BIT(1) ALIGNED,
   2 DELIM_SIZE FIXED BIN,
   2 DELIMITER CHAR (NDUMMY REFER (DELIM_SIZE));

DCL 1 DESC BASED(DTPTR1),
   2 TYPE BIT(1) ALIGNED,
   2 LENGTH_TYPE FIXED BIN,
   2 LENGTH_PARAM POINTER,
   2 LENGTH_CONST FIXED BIN,
   2 LENGTH_LAB CHAR(7),
   2 ASSG FIXED BIN,
   2 ASSG_PTR POINTER;

DCL 1 BIT_ATT BASED(DTPTR2),
   2 SIZE FIXED BIN,
   2 BIT_STRING (NDUMMY REFER (BIT_ATT SIZE));

DCL 1 CHAR_ATT BASED(DTPTR2),
   2 SIZE FIXED BIN,
   2 CHAR_STRING CHAR (NDUMMY REFER (CHAR_ATT SIZE));

DCL 1 NUM_PICTURE BASED(DTPTR1),
   2 ASSG FIXED BIN,
   2 PIC_SOURCE_NAME POINTER,
   2 LENGTH_CONST FIXED BIN,
   2 SIZE_PIC_SPEC FIXED BIN,
   2 PIC_SPEC CHAR (NDUMMY REFER (SIZE_PIC_SPEC));
DCL 1 SOURCE_NAME BASED(DT_PTR3),
  2 PARAM_STMT POINTER,
  2 POS_FLAGS FIXED BIN,
  2 POS_CONST FIXED BIN,
  2 POS_VAR POINTER,
  2 POS_LBR CHAR(7),
  2 NO_NAMES FIXED BIN,
  2 DDL_NAME(NDMMNY REFER (NO_NAMES));
    3 NAME_POINTER,
    3 LOWER_SUB BIT(1) ALIGNED,
    3 LOWER_TYPE FIXED BIN,
    3 LOWER_PARAM POINTER,
    3 LOWER_CONST FIXED BIN,
    3 UPPER_SUB BIT(1) ALIGNED,
    3 UPPER_TYPE FIXED BIN,
    3 UPPER_PARAM POINTER,
    3 UPPER_CONST FIXED BIN;
APPENDIX B

FLOW CHARTS FOR THE DATA TABLE CONSTRUCTIONS

The routines that generate the PL/1 structures to be used for global syntax checking and code generation are presented in this section.
FILE_STMT_PROCEDURE

DFILEG
PROC

ENTRY

ALLOCATE FILE
INITIALIZE TYPE
& BUFOFF. SET SYM
& DT_PTR POINTERS

RETURN

FRN
ENTRY

CALL ENTESYM
AND SET
RECORD-NAME
TO ST_PTR

RETURN

FSN
ENTRY

CALL ENTESYM
AND SET
STORAGE
TO ST_PTR

RETURN

FC1
ENTRY

CHAR_CODE: OB

RETURN

FC2
ENTRY

CHAR_CODE: 1B

RETURN

FC3
ENTRY

CHAR_CODE: 2

RETURN

OC
ENTRY

LEXBUFF::O?

Y

BUFOD: OB

RETURN

N

BUFOD: LEXBUFF

RETURN

FIGURE B-1
COUNT STM T PROCEDURE

DCNTTG PROC

CALL DUMMY ROUTINE WHICH ENTERS DUMMY NAME IN SYMBOL TABLE & RETURNS STATUS

ALLOCATE COUNT_DDLOPT_TYPE & DT_PTR Pointers

RETURN

CDN ENTRY

ASSIGN DATA NAME VALUE OF ST_PTR & SET ST_PTR TO DUMMY ENTRY LOC.

RETURN

LENGTH STM T PROCEDURE

DLENGTH PROC

CALL DUMMY ROUTINE WHICH ENTERS DUMMY NAME IN SYMBOL TABLE & RETURNS STATUS

ALLOCATE LENGTH_DDLOPT_TYPE & DT_PTR Pointers

RETURN

LDN ENTRY

ASSIGN DATA NAME VALUE OF ST_PTR & SET ST_PTR TO DUMMY ENTRY LOC.

RETURN

Figure B-2
**CONVERT_STMT_PROCEDURE**

1. **DCONVTG**
   - PROC
   - Allocate Convert
     - Set PTR to CONV to DTPTR
     - Set TYPE to CON
   - RETURN

2. **CT ENTRY**
   - CALL ENTESYM
     - Set TARGET to ST-PTA
   - RETURN

3. **CS ENTRY**
   - CALL ENTESYM
     - Set SOURCE to ST-PTA
   - RETURN

**CARD_STMT_PROCEDURE**

4. **DCARDTG**
   - PROC
   - Allocate CARD
     - Set TYPE Entry
     - Set ST-PTR to DTPTR
     - Set SYM ENTRY to ST-PTA
   - SET RCD-FORMAT-TYPE to DB
     - BLOCK-SIZE to F0
     - RCD-SIZE to F0
   - RETURN

**Figure B-3**
RECORD FORMAT PROCEDURE

**RFF PROC**
- Set TOTYPE to 0B for fixed
- **RETURN**

**FBLKS ENTRY**
- Set block size to LEXBUFF
- **RETURN**

**FRSIZE ENTRY**
- Set record size to LEXBUFF, set TOTYPE to 1B for fixed block
- **RETURN**

**RFV ENTRY**
- Set TOTYPE to 2 for variable
- **RETURN**

**VBLKS ENTRY**
- Set block size to LEXBUFF
- **RETURN**

**FIGURE B-4**
RECORD FORMAT PROCEDURE (CONT.)

1. VRSIZE ENTRY
   - Set TOTYPE to 3 for variable blocked. Set record size to LEXBUFF
   - RETURN

2. RFVS ENTRY
   - Set TOTYPE to 5 for variable spanned
   - RETURN

3. VSBLKS ENTRY
   - Set block size to LEXBUFF
   - RETURN

4. VRSIZE ENTRY
   - Set TOTYPE to 6 for blocked variable spanned. Set record size to LEXBUFF
   - RETURN

5. RFU ENTRY
   - Set TOTYPE to 4 for underinfo format
   - RETURN

6. UBLKS ENTRY
   - Set block size to LEXBUFF
   - RETURN

FIGURE B-4 (CONT.)
TAPE STMT PROCEDURE

DTARETG PROC

ALLOCATE TAPE.
SET DT-FT2 TO DT-PTA. SET TYPE
AND SYM. TO TAPE
& ST-PTB, RESPECTIVELY.

INITIALIZE
RECORD FORMAT TYPE, NCF, AND SRCH.
LABEL TYPE, START TYPE,
FRESERVE, REMARK RECORD.
MODE, CL-CHAL.

RETURN

TPR ENTRY

SET RECORD FORMAT TYPE TO TYPE OF RECORD FORMAT
PROC.

SET RECORD SIZE &
BLOCK SIZE TO
TOES & TOBS
RESPECTIVELY OF
RECORD FORMAT
PROC.

RETURN

VOL ENTRY

TAPE VOLUME
NAME =
LEXBUFF

RETURN

TT ENTRY

LEXBUFF = 'Y'?

Y

NO.

RETURN

N

NOLTRACKS = 'Y'

TE ENTRY

LEXBUFF = 'O'

PARITY = 'Y'

RETURN

Figure B-5
TAPE STMNT PROCEDURE (CONT.)

T1 ENTRY

Y

LEXBUFF='200'? 

DENSITY=0

RETURN

N

LEXBUFF='856'? 

DENSITY=1

N

LEXBUFF='100'? 

DENSITY=3

N

F1 ENTRY

Y

LEXBUFF='ALL-CHAR'? 

REC_MODE=1B

RETURN

N

LEXBUFF='ALL-8NH'? 

REC_MODE=2

N

Figure B-5 (cont.)
TAPE_STMT_PROCEDURE (cont.)

IBM_STMT ENTRY -> DSNAME = LEXBUFF -> RETURN

NSTD ENTRY -> LABEL_TYPE = 1B, DSNAME=LEXBUFF -> RETURN

NNE ENTRY -> LABEL_TYPE = 2 -> RETURN

BPS ENTRY -> LABEL_TYPE = 3 -> RETURN

BPSN ENTRY -> DSNAME = LEXBUFF -> RETURN

INT1 ENTRY -> START_FILE = LEXBUFF -> RETURN

CC ENTRY

Y

LEXBUFF = 'A'

N

CTL_CHAR = 'A'

CTL_CHAR = 'm'

RETURN

Figure B-5 (cont.)
NAME LIST PROCEDURE

NL1 PROC

INITIALIZE FIRST
BOUND, SECOND
BOUND FLAGS TO 0,
INTEGER ENTRY,
PRE, POST CNTR FLAGS
TO 0.

INITIALIZE ALL
POINTERS TO
NULL, PRE AND
POST CNTR TO INONE

CALL ENTSYM
SET NAME EQUAL
TO ST. DTB

RETURN

NLR ENTRY

SET FLAG FOR
BOUND TO 2,
BOUND POINTER TO ST. DTB

RETURN

NLP ENTRY

SET FLAG FOR
BONDS TO 2,
SET BOUND POINTER TO ST. PRE

RETURN

NLI ENTRY

SET FLAG FOR
BONDS TO 3
SET INTEGER
ENTRY TO LEXBUFF

RETURN

FIGURE B-6
NAME LIST PROCEDURE (CONT.)

NLF ENTRY
FIRST BOUND FLAG = BOUND FLAG,
FIRST BOUND POINTER = BOUND POINTER,
FIRST BOUND INTEGER = BOUND INTEGER
RETURN

NLS ENTRY
BOUND FLAG = 4
RETURN

NLSB ENTRY
SECOND BOUND FLAG = BOUND FLAG,
SECOND BOUND POINTER = BOUND POINTER,
SECOND BOUND INTEGER = BOUND INTEGER
RETURN

PC ENTRY
PRE CBIT FLAG = 1/8
PRE CBIT ENTRY = LEXBUFF
RETURN

NLC ENTRY
POST CBIT FLAG = 1/8
POST CBIT ENTRY = LEXBUFF
RETURN

Figure B-6 (cont.)
GROUP_STMT_PROCEDURE

**DGRPTG**

PROCEDURE

SET DUMMY = 0
SYMBOL_assigned st. ptr.

RETURN

**NLG**

ENTRY

DUMMY = DUMMY + 18

ALLOCATE T.MEMBERS

SUBSCR.1.INT =
FIRST Round INT.
SUBSCR.1.PTR =
FIRST Round POINTER

NAME1 = NAME
SUBSCR.1.TYPE = FLAG
FIRST Round FROM NAMELIST PROCEDURE

SUBSCR.2.TYPE:
SECOND Round INT.
SUBSCR.2.PTR =
SECOND Round POINTER

SUBSCR.3.PTR =
SECOND Round ARRAY

CONDITIONS =
PRE & POST DEBITS

RETURN

**ALLOC**

ENTRY

ALLOCATE GROUP SET TYPE FOR GROUP. SET SYM AND DT.PTR RUNNERS

DO I=1 TO DUMMY

EXIT

GROUP.MEMBERS(s):
T. MEMBERS
FREE T. MEMBERS

RETURN

**Figure B-7**
**Record Stmt Procedure**

**Drecord Proc**

 NDUMMY = 0B
 SYMBOL ASSIGNED
 ST. PTR.
 R_FLAG SET TO 1

 **Return**

 **Nl_r_entry**

 SFLAG = 0'B
 (SCAN_STMT ALREADY ENTERED?)

 **Y**

 ALLOCATED MEMMAS
 INITIALIZE POS
 ENTRIES TO 0 OR NULL

 **Sub2.1: Type 1: Flag
 For first round:
 Sub2cl.1, INT: First
 round integer
 Sub2cl.1, PTR: First
 round counter**

 **N**

 INCR: I
 (NORMAL COUNTER)

 ALLOCATED
 NAME (FROM NAME-LIST PROC)
 = RECORD.NUMBER
 = MEM. NAME?

 **Y**

 Fill in record entry
 with FIRST, SECOND
 round, criterion
 information from
 name list proc

 **Return**

 **Return**

 **Rcril Entry**

 Temporary count
 FL4C & 5
 Temporary count
 = LEXBUFF

 **Return**

 **Figure B-8**
RecordStmt Procedure (cont.)

ALLOC-R ENTRY

SFLAG = 0?

Y

ALLOCATE RECORD
ASSIGN TYPE,
SYM, SET USER-FLAG,
LOCK TO TEMP NAMS,
USER-FLAG 0 FREE TO 0.

N

DT-PTA = DUSTRJPTA
FROM SCAN_STMT,
SYM, LOCK-FLAG,
LOCK-EXIT, USER-FLAG, FREE ENTRY.

RETURN

EXIT

SET DT-PTA TO DTPTR

RETURN

FIGURE B-8 (CONT.)
SCAN STATEMENT PROCEDURE.

DSCANTG PROC

SET NDUMMY TO 0, SFLAG TO 1

RETURN

SCREC ENTRY

CALL ENTESYM
SET ST.PTR INTO REC.NAME.-PTR

RETURN

GN1 ENTRY

RFLAG = 0? (RECORD STAT OCCURRED)

Y

ALLOCATE GPS INITIALIZE ALL ENTRIES TO MISSING

CALL ENTESYM
SET GPS.NAME TO ST.PTR

N

LOCATE LEXBUFF NAME IN RECORD ENTRY ("in x position")

LOCATE POSITION FOUND INTO CONTROLLED VARIABLE C2

RETURN

RETURN

NONARY: NONARY++

RETURN

FIGURE B-9
SCAN_STMT_PROCEDURE (cont.)

**P1**

**ENTRY**

```
RFLAG=0?  
Y       
GPs. Pos. FLAG = 1  
GPs. Pos. VAR =  
LEXBUFF  
N       
RETURN
```

**ENTRY**

```
RFLAG=0?  
GPs. Pos. FLAG = 2  
PosConst =  
LEXBUFF  
N       
RETURN
```

**ENTRY**

```
ALLSCAN  
ENTRY

RFLAG=0?  
ALLOCATE_RECORD  
EVTST_PTR: OTTFR  
TYPE, SYM VALUES ENTERED, LOCK INITIALIZED TO 'HIGH'
```

```
DO I=1 TO RECORD NUMBERS  
EXIT
```

```
ALLOCATE V  
V = T  
FREE T  
```

```
DO I=1 TO RECORD NUMBERS BY -1  
EXIT
```

```
ALLOCATE V  
V = I  
```

**Figure B-9 (cont.)**
FIELD_STMT_PROCEDURE

DELTSG 
PROC 

INITIALIZE TEMPORALS
SYNS, CONVERSIONS
FLAG, XPH, XASSUEM
DELAYS := 51, 023020

RETURN

B1 
ENTRY 

FLD_TYPE := 0
ALLOCATE DESC
& INITIALIZE ITS ENTRIES TO 0.

RETURN

L1 
ENTRY 

DESC.
LENGTH_TYPE
= STAR

RETURN

L2 
ENTRY 

DESC LENGTH_TYPE
= REF
DESC LENGTH PARAM
= STR

RETURN

L3 
ENTRY 

DESC LENGTH_TYPE
= PAR
DESC LENGTH_PARAM
= STR

RETURN

L4 
ENTRY 

DESC LENGTH_TYPE
= INT
DESC LENGTH CONST
= LFIX OFF

RETURN

FIGURE B-10
FIELD STATEMENT PROCEDURE (cont.)

L5 ENTRY

DESC. LENGTH_TYPE = LAB
DESC. LENGTH_LAB = LEXBUFF

RETURN

BA ENTRY

DESC. ASSG = 1
ALLOCATE CHAR_ATT
CHAR_ATT_CHAR = STRING = LEXBUFF

DESC. ASSG_PTR = OTPTR2

DESC. LENGTH_TYPE = INT
DESC. LENGTH_TYPE = INT

DESC. LENGTH_TYPE = INT

RETURN

BAT ENTRY

DESC. ASSG = 3
DESC. ASSG_PTR = OTPTR3

RETURN

BA1 ENTRY

DESC. ASSG = 2
DESC. ASSG_PTR = OTPTR3

RETURN

Figure B-10 (cont.)
FIELD STATEMENT PROCEDURE (cont.)

PAR1 ENTRY

```
NDUMMY = 0
ALLOCATE SOURCE, NAME & INITIALIZE ENTRIES TO 0.
PARAMS, START, SPTIL
```

RETURN

NS ENTRY

```
NDUMMY = 1
ALLOCATE DDL-NM
INITIALIZE ENTRIES TO 0, NAME = SPTIL
```

RETURN

RN ENTRY

```
PBNL = ST, PTR
TYPES = REF
CONS = -1
```

RETURN

PM ENTRY

```
PBNL = ST, PTR
TYPES = PAR
CONS = -1
```

RETURN

FIN ENTRY

```
PBNL = NULL
TYPES = INT
CONS = LEXBUFF
```

RETURN

FIGURE B-10 (cont.)
FIELD_STMT  PROCEDURE (cont.)

LS ENTRY
  LOWER_SUB = 1
  LOWER_TYPE = TYPES
  LOWER_PARAM = PB+DI
  LOWER_CONST = CONSTANTS
  RETURN

US ENTRY
  UPPER_SUB = 1
  UPPER_TYPE = TYPES
  UPPER_PARAM = PB+DI
  UPPER_CONST = CONSTANTS
  RETURN

NG2 ENTRY
  NDLNY = NDLNY+10
  CALL ENTESTM
  ALLOCATE DOL-NM
  NAME = ST-FTL
  INITIALIZE REST TO 0
  RETURN

ALLO_T ENTRY
  ALLOCATE SOURCE_NAME
  REST OF_STMT
  POSITION VARIABLES
  INITIALIZED TO 0
  OR NULL
  DO
    I := NDLNY
    TO 1 BY 1
    EXIT
  SOURCE_NAME
  DOL_NAME(I)
  := DOL-NM
  RETURN

RNP ENTRY
  SOURCE_NAME
  AS_FLAG = REF
  AS_VAR = STDERR
  RETURN

FIGURE B-10 (CONT.)
FIELD_STMT_PROCEDURE (CONT.)

INTP ENTRY

Pos_flag = INT
Pos_const = LEXBUFF

RETURN

SP ENTRY

Pos_flag = STAR

RETURN

LBp ENTRY

Pos_flag = LAB
Pos_lab = LEXBUFF

RETURN

C1 ENTRY

FLOTYPE = 0
ALLOCATE DESC
FLO_DESC = ENTRY DESC, TYPE = 1
REST 0 OR NULL

RETURN

CA ENTRY

DASSG = 2

RETURN

FIGURE B-10 (CONT.)
FIELD_STMT_PROCEDEURE (cont.)

C2
ENTRY

DESC_ASSG = 1?

DESC_ASSG = 2
HNUMNY = LENGTH
ALLOCATE CHAR_QT
CHARielding = XERBUFF

DESC_ASSG_PTR = DOT_PTR2

DESC_LENGTH_TYPE = INT
LENGTH_CONST = NUmalous

RETURN

DESC_LENGTH_TYPE = -1?

DESC_ASSG_PTR = DT_PTR2

DESC_ASSG = 3
ASSG_PTR = DT_PTR3

RETURN

N
ENTRY

NUMFLAG = 0B?

ALLOCATE DESC
FLD_TYPE1 = 0
FLD_DESC1 = DOT_PTR3
LENGTH_TYPE = INT
TYPE = 2
NEXT TO 0_RET

HNUMNY = LENGTH
ALLOCATE NUM_PICTURE

ASSG = 0
PIC_SOURCE_NAME = NULL
LENGTH_CONST = NUmalous + NUMFLAG
PIC_SPEC = XERBUFF

FLD_DESC1 = DOT_PTR1
FLD_TYPE1 = 1

RETURN

FIGURE B-10 (cont.)
FILE

PROCEDURE (CONT.)

NA ENTRY

NUMFLAG = 0?

Y

DESC. ASSG = 2
NO dummy = LENGTH (LEXBUFF)
ALLOCATE CHAR-ATT
CHAR-STRING = LEXBUFF

N

NUMPCTURE = 1A
NO dummy = LENGTH (LEXBUFF)
ALLOCATE CHAR-ATT

RETURN

CHAR-STRING = LEXBUFF
Pic-source-name = OTPTR2

DESC. PTR = OTPTR2

BA2 ENTRY

NUMFLAG = 0?

Y

DESC. ASSG = 3
ASSG-PTR = OTPTR3

N

NULL-PICTURE
ASSG = 2
Pic-source-name = OTPTR3

RETURN

C ENTRY

ALLOCATE DESC
FLO-TYPE = 0
FLO.DESC = OTPTR1
*TYPE = 2
*LENGTH. TYPE = 1

*LENGTH.PARM = NULL
*LENGTH.LAB = MORE

*LENGTH.CONT = LENGTH (LEXBUFF)
*dummy = OB
ASSG = OB
ASSG-PTR = NULL

FIGURE B-10 (CONT.)
**DISK STM PROCEDURE**

1. **DDISKTG PROC**
   - ALLOCATE DISK
   - DT-PTR: DT-PTR
   - SYM-TYPE ENTRIES ASSIGNED ELSE REST TO 0 OR NULL
   - RETURN

2. **CY ENTRY**
   - UNITS = 1B
   - RETURN

3. **INT2 ENTRY**
   - UNITS = LEXBUFF
   - RETURN

4. **DRF ENTRY**
   - TYPE = T-TYPE
   - BLOCK-SIZE = TBDSS
   - RECORD-SIZE = T-TOSS
     (FROM RECORD FORM)
   - RETURN

5. **PQ ENTRY**
   - QUANTITY = LEXBUFF
   - RETURN

**Figure B-11**
DISK_STMT Procedure (cont.)

1. ENTRY
   -> INCREMENT
       -> LEXBUFF
       -> RETURN

2. RLS
   ENTRY
   -> RLS = 1
       -> RETURN

3. VOL2
   ENTRY
   -> VOL_NAME = LEXBUFF
       -> RETURN

Figure B-11 (cont.)
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