Ecological Balance of Oral Microbiota is Required to Maintain Oral Mesenchymal Stem Cell Homeostasis

Yingying Su
Chider Chen
University of Pennsylvania
Lijia Guo
Juan Du
Xiaoyan Li

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.upenn.edu/dental_papers

Part of the Dentistry Commons

Recommended Citation

This paper is posted at ScholarlyCommons. https://repository.upenn.edu/dental_papers/117
For more information, please contact repository@pobox.upenn.edu.
Ecological Balance of Oral Microbiota is Required to Maintain Oral Mesenchymal Stem Cell Homeostasis

Abstract
Oral microbiome is essential for maintenance of oral cavity health. Imbalanced oral microbiome causes periodontal and other diseases. It is unknown whether oral microbiome affect oral stem cells function. In this study, we used a common clinical anti-biotic treatment approach to alter oral microbiome ecology and examine whether oral mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are affected. We found that altered oral microbiome resulted gingival MSCs deficiency, leading to a delayed wound healing in male mice. Mechanistically, oral microbiome release LPS that stimulates the expression of microRNA-21 (miR-21) and then impair the normal function of gingival MSCs and wound healing process through miR-21/Sp1/TERT pathway. This is the first study indicate that interplay between oral microbiome and MSCs homeostasis in male mice.

Keywords
oral microbiota, mesenchymal stem cells, proliferation, wound healing

Disciplines
Dentistry

Author(s)
Yingying Su, Chider Chen, Lijia Guo, Juan Du, Xiaoyan Li, and Yi Liu

This journal article is available at ScholarlyCommons: https://repository.upenn.edu/dental_papers/117
Ecological balance of oral microbiota is required to maintain oral mesenchymal stem cell homeostasis

Yingying Su\textsuperscript{a}, Chider Chen\textsuperscript{b}, Lijia Guo\textsuperscript{c}, Juan Du\textsuperscript{d}, Xiaoyan Li\textsuperscript{d}, and Yi Liu\textsuperscript{d}

\textsuperscript{a}Department of Stomatology, Beijing TianTan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100050, China

\textsuperscript{b}Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, University of Pennsylvania, School of Dental Medicine, Philadelphia, PA19104, USA

\textsuperscript{c}Department of Orthodontics, School of Stomatology, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100050, China

\textsuperscript{d}Laboratory of Tissue Regeneration and Immunology and Department of Periodontics, Beijing Key Laboratory of Tooth Regeneration and Function Reconstruction, School of Stomatology, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100050, China

Abstract

Oral microbiome is essential for maintenance of oral cavity health. Imbalanced oral microbiome causes periodontal and other diseases. It is unknown whether oral microbiome affect oral stem cells function. In this study, we used a common clinical anti-biotic treatment approach to alter oral microbiome ecology and examine whether oral mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are affected. We found that altered oral microbiome resulted gingival MSCs deficiency, leading to a delayed wound healing in male mice. Mechanistically, oral microbiome release LPS that stimulates the expression of microRNA-21 (miR-21) and then impair the normal function of gingival MSCs and wound healing process through miR-21/Sp1/TERT pathway. This is the first study indicate that interplay between oral microbiome and MSCs homeostasis in male mice.
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INTRODUCTION

The oral cavity is colonized by a complex microbial community that grows as diverse biofilms on mucosal and dental surfaces. The oral microbiome are found naturally in health, and forms an ecosystem that carries a broad range of functions indispensable for the health of the host [1]. Oral diseases such as gingivitis, periodontitis and oral mucositis are suggested to be associated with the shifts in the microbial ecosystem from a healthy state to a diseased state [2,3]. Wounds are common in oral cavity, caused by either diseases or surgery. At wound sites, both the oral epithelium and connective tissue response to microorganisms. Mesenchymal stem cells belong to a primitive cell type originating from the mesodermal germ layer, and are characterized by their capacities for self-renewal and differentiation into multiple cell lineages. The multilineage differential potential and paracrine property of MSCs facilitates them to be an ideal tool for regenerative medicine [4–6]. It is widely accepted that MSCs play a critical role in wound healing and tissue repair [7,8]. However, the effects of oral mucosa-microbe interactions on oral MSCs function and wound healing remains poorly defined.

Antibiotic treatment is commonly used in various inflammatory diseases. Studies have revealed that dysregulation of microbiota through antibiotic use is linked with diseases in multiple organs both in animals and humans [9, 10]. Because of the important link between oral microbiota and oral health, we hypothesize that ecological balance of oral microbiota plays important role in maintaining gingival MSCs function. Gingival MSCs are a population of precursor cells from gingiva and exhibit stem cell-like properties as MSCs derived from bone marrow. The characteristics include expression of mesenchymal stem cell surface markers, colony-forming ability, self-renew, and multi-lineage differentiation capacity [11–13]. Compared with MSCs derived from other dental tissues, gingival MSCs exhibit a higher proliferative ability and can be easily expanded ex vivo [11,12], therefore these cells might serve as a unique source of stem cells for regenerative medicine. To test the effect of oral microbiota on oral MSCs, we used a common clinical anti-biotic treatment approach to alter oral microbiome ecology in mice and examined whether gingival MSCs function are affected. We also established a critical size of wound on mouse palate to examine the alteration in oral microbiota and investigated how the alteration impact wound healing process. We found altered oral microbiome release LPS that stimulates the expression of micro RNA-21 (miR-21) and then impairs gingival MSCs normal function and wound healing capability through miR-21/Sp1/TERT pathway. This is the first study which indicates the interplay between oral microbiome and MSCs homeostasis in male mice, and the results suggests antibiotics-induced derangement of the oral microbiota as a potential environmental risk factor for MSC-mediated tissue repair.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice

Eight-week-old wild-type C57BL/6 male mice were used. Mice were kept at standard conditions according to the institutional guidelines of the Animal Care and Welfare Committee of the School of Stomatology, Capital Medical University for the use and care of
live animals. All animal experiments were performed under the institutionally approved protocols for the use of animal research.

**Antibiotic treatment**

For ablation of oral bacteria in mice, an antibiotic cocktail of 1300 mg/L of metronidazole and 660 mg/L of levofloxacin was administered orally in the drinking water for 2 weeks, followed by 1 days without antibiotics and then the subsequent experiments were conducted.

**16S rRNA sequencing and analysis**

The oral mucosal samples were obtained from a total of 14 mice, 7 for each group. Oral bacteria were washed from the surfaces of teeth and oral mucosa using PBS. Microbial genomic DNA was extracted using the E.Z.N.A.® DNA Kit (Omega Bio-tek, Norcross, GA, U.S.) according to manufacturer’s instruction. The V3–V4 hypervariable regions of the 16S rRNA gene were subjected to high-throughput sequencing by Beijing Allwegen Tech, Ltd (Beijing, China) using the Illumina Miseq PE300 sequencing platform (Illumina, Inc., CA, USA). The extraction of high-quality sequences was firstly performed with the QIIME package (Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology) (v1.2.1). The unique sequence set was classified into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) under the threshold of 97% identity using UCLUST. The Student’s t-test was used to calculate alpha- and beta-diversity. Significance of categorical variables was determined using the Kruskal-Wallis Test.

**Wounding and Morphometric Analysis of Palatal Wound Tissue**

Mice were anesthetized with an injection of chloral hydrate (40 mg/g i.p.) in physiological saline, after which a region of mucosa approximately 2.5 mm by 3.0 mm was excised from the hard palate between the upper molars by means of a surgical blade. To determine the healing process, maxillary tissues were harvested 5, 8, and 14 days after wounding. The images of the maxillae were captured using a stereoscopic microscope (Olympus, SZX12, Tokyo, Japan). The unhealing area of the wound was measured at various time points after the initial wounding. Maxillary tissues were then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and embedded in paraffin, cut into 5-µm sections in the sagittal plane through the midline of the palate, and stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Each group included 6 mice and the experiments were repeated three times.

**BrdU injection and immunostaining**

After 3 days of wounds were made, animals received intraperitoneal injections of BrdU at a concentration of 100 mg/kg body weight once a day for 3 consecutive days. Animals then were sacrificed. Wound tissues were collected, fixed and embedded. 5-µm sections were cut and routinely prepared for immunohistochemical staining. Anti-BrdU antibody (1:10, Novus, USA) and anti-CD146 antibody (1:200, abcam, USA) were used as primary antibody and Polymer-HRP&AP Double Staining Kit (GBI Labs, Bothell, USA) was used to detect BrdU and CD146.
**Gingival MSCs isolation and culture**

Gingival tissues were isolated from mice treated with or without antibiotics. The tissues were minced into fragments and digested at 37°C for 1 h in sterile PBS containing 3 mg/ml collagenase I (Sigma-Aldrich) and 4 mg/ml dispase II (Sigma-Aldrich). The dissociated cell suspension was filtered through a 70-μm cell strainer (Falcon), plated on 10-cm petri dishes (Corning) with complete α-MEM (Invitrogen) containing 10% FBS (Invitrogen), 100 U/ml penicillin/100 μg/ml streptomycin (Invitrogen), and 2 mM L-glutamine, and cultured at 37°C in a humidified tissue culture incubator with 5% CO₂. Cells from 2nd passage were used in the experiments.

**Western Blot Analysis**

Gingival tissues and cells were lysed in RIPA buffer. The method for western blot was described previously [14]. Proteins of interest were detected using anti-TERT (1:1000, Santa Cruz), anti-SP1 (1:2000, Novus). β-actin was used as control and detected with anti-β-actin antibody (1:2000, Abcam).

**CFU-F assay**

MSCs isolated from gingival tissues were seeded on 25-cm culture flasks. After 14 days, the cells were washed with PBS and stained with a mixture of 0.1% toluidine blue (Sigma, USA) and 2% paraformaldehyde solution. Total colony numbers were counted per flask and only colonies containing >50 cells were considered as single colony clusters.

**EdU assay for cell proliferation**

Cells were cultured in 6-well plates for 2–3 days. Then the cells were harvested and incubated with EdU solution (1:1000, Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 24 h and stained with a EdU Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The cells were analyzed with a flow cytometer (BD Immunocytometry Systems, San Jose, CA). The number of EdU-positive cells was indicated as a percentage to the total cell number. The assay was done in duplicate in each group from at least three dependent experiments.

**CFSE analysis for cell proliferation**

CFSE proliferation assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s instruction (CFSE, Invitrogen, USA). The method was described previously [14].

**Determination of percentage of apoptotic cells**

To detect apoptotic cells, we utilized the Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit FITC (eBioscience, San Diego, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Cell Migration Assay**

Cells were cultured as confluent monolayers and then wounded by using 200-μl sterile pipette tip to scratch the monolayers. After wounding, cells were washed with PBS and incubated in culture medium for 36 h. Average rates of wound closure were calculated from
3 independent experiments. The assay was done in duplicate in each group from at least three dependent experiments.

**LPS determination**

LPS was quantified using a commercially available ELISA Kit (CUSABIO, Wuhan, China), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Protein was extracted from gingival tissues and serum was obtained from blood sample by eyeball extirpating. Samples were collected from 6 animals for each group. The experiments were repeated three times.

**MicroRNA mimics and inhibitor transfection**

MiR-21 mimics (sense 5’-UAGCUUAUCAGACAGUGUGA-3’ and anti-sense 5’-AUCGAAUAGUCAGACUAACAGCUGUGA-3’), miR-21 inhibitor (5’-AUCGAAUAGUCAGACUAACACU-3’), control mimics (sense 5’-UUGUACUACAAAGAUCUG-3’ and anti-sense 5’-AAACAUAGUGUGUUUCGAGAC-3’), and control inhibitor (5’-AAACAUAGUGUGUUUCGAGAC-3’), were purchased from RiboBio (Guangzhou, China). The transfection was performed using Lipofectamine™ 2000 (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Twenty-four or 48 h after transfection, the cells were harvested for further experiments.

**Reverse Transcriptase-polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR)**

Total RNA was isolated from Gingival MSCs using Trizol reagents (Invitrogen, USA) and reverse transcribed into cDNA using PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara, Dalian, China). The real-time PCR reactions were performed using the SYBR Premix Ex Taq™ II (Takara, Dalian, China) and IcyclerIQ Multi-color Real-time PCR Detection System. The primers were synthesized by Sangon Biotech: Sp1 (5’-TGAGCACAGGCTGGGA-3’, 5’-GGCTTTCCCTTCACGTCTT-3’); tert (5’-TGCTGAGACACTGTTGGGA-3’, 5’-TCTGACAGCCAGAAGACGACA-3’); GAPDH (5’-TTAAGGCTGAGATGGGA-3’, 5’-CGAAGGTTGAGATGGGA-3’). Primers for miR-21 and U6 are purchased from RiboBio (Guangzhou, China).

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Assays**

Cells were seeded on 10 cm dishes and fixed by addition of 1% paraformaldehyde to the culture medium for 10 min. Cells were then washed in cold PBS supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail and scraped from the plate gently. Cell lysis and chromatin immunoprecipitation were performed using a ChIP Assay Kit (Millipore, USA). To fragment chromatin, cells were sonicated in 30 s bursts with 1 min cooling on ice for a total time of 4 min. For immunoprecipitations, Sp1 antibody (1:100) was used to capture protein-DNA complexes, and isotype-matched IgG was served as negative control. All resulting precipitated DNA samples were quantified by real-time PCR and expressed as the percentage of input DNA. The primers for three Sp1 binding sites on tert promoter are: Sp1-site1 forward, 5’-CACCAGCATGTTGACATCA-3’ and reverse, 5’-GCAGACAGCGTTC-3’; Sp1-site2 forward, 5’-TTCCGCTAAGCGCTTGG-3’
and reverse, 5′-ACTGAGGTCCACGACGAA-3′; Sp1-site3 forward, 5′-GCTTGTTCCGCTGAAT-3′ and reverse, 5′-GACCCAGGCCACTGAGA-3′.

Statistics
For normal data, Student’s t-test was conducted to compare the differences between the means of two groups, while one-way analysis of variance analysis was used when comparing three or more means. For non-parametric data, the Mann-Whitney U-test was used for comparisons between groups. Analysis was performed with the SPSS 13.0 Software. P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Altered oral microbiota in mice treated with antibiotics
Oral microbiota from oral mucosa tissue samples was collected after antibiotics treatment to analyze the differences in oral bacterial communities in mice treated with antibiotics and control mice. 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis revealed that in spite of individual variations in microbiota, a general discrete clustering pattern of bacterial taxa was depicted between mice treated with antibiotics and the control group, based on principal component analysis (PCA) (Figure 1A). ANOISMS analysis further demonstrated that the oral microbiota between control and antibiotics-treated mice was significantly different (Figure 1B). We then examined changes in commensal microbiota diversity between the two groups. Although there is no statistical difference between the two groups, the microbial communities in antibiotics treatment group were found to have fewer OTUs (Figure 1C). The significant differences in microbial community composition were due mainly to the enrichment of antibiotics-treated mice in *Verrucomicrobiaceae, Bacteroidaceae* and *Methylococcales*, which mostly belong to gram-negative bacteria, while the predominant bacterial taxa in control mice were not defined (Figure 1D).

Microbiome imbalance impairs wound-healing process
To eliminate the direct impact of antibiotics on wound healing, palatal wounds were made 1 day after the end time of antibiotics treatment when the drugs were completely metabolized in the body. Wound healing was assessed at 8 and 14 days after surgery. Macroscopic observation showed that antibiotics-treated mice exhibited a decrease in percentage of wound closure after day 8 and day 14 post wounding compared with control group (Figure 2A–2F, Table 1). These macroscopic findings were confirmed by histological assessment at 8 and 14 days after surgery. HE staining showed large residual defect of the palatal wound was observed in mice treated with antibiotics compared with that in control mice (Figure 2G–2J).

Microbiome imbalance decreases gingival MSCs proliferation capacity via downregulation of TERT
Because the involvement of MSCs in wound-healing process is critical [15], we examined the biological properties of oral MSCs derived from the mice in the two groups. Since attached gingival and hard palatal mucosa both belong to masticatory mucosa and MSCs from hard palatal mucosa is difficult to culture, we used gingival MSCs as the substitution in
subsequent experiments. The phenotype characteristics of gingival MSCs were shown in Figure S1A–C. We first found that gingival MSCs from antibiotics-treated mice formed fewer single-colony clusters than control cells (Figure 3A). EdU assay and CFSE analysis showed gingival MSCs from antibiotics-treated mice had a decreased proliferation capacity when compared with those from control mice, as suggested by the lower percentage of EdU positive cells and decreased proliferation index (Figure 3B and 3C). Apoptosis assay and in vitro wound healing assay indicated there was no significant difference in apoptotic rate and migration ability between gingival MSCs from the two groups (Figure 3D and 3E). To further elucidate the effects of microbiome imbalance on MSCs proliferation in vivo, mice were injected with BrdU at 3 days after wounding for the next 7 days, and animals were sacrificed at 10 days after surgery. Anti-CD146 antibody and anti-BrdU antibody were used to detect MSCs and proliferative cells, respectively. Immunostaining revealed that fewer CD146/BrdU double positive mesenchymal cells were observed in palatal connective tissues of antibiotics-treated mice compared with that in control mice (Fig. 3F–3J). In order to investigate whether antibiotics treatment impairs gingival MSCs proliferation directly by the effects of drug or indirectly by shifting oral microbiota, we treated gingival MSCs with the combinations of various doses of metronidazole and levofloxacin. We found that normal blood concentration, even 10-fold blood concentration of antibiotics had no effects on gingival MSCs proliferation and apoptosis (Supplementary information, Figure S2A and 2B).

Since TERT is critical for controlling cell proliferation and tissue homeostasis by maintaining telomere length [16], we next investigated whether microbiome imbalance affects gingival MSCs proliferation via regulating TERT. We first found that the expression of TERT was reduced in both gingival tissues and MSCs from antibiotics-treated mice compared with those from control mice (Figure 3K–3M). EdU assay further revealed that knockdown of TERT impaired gingival MSCs proliferation (Figure 3N). These data indicated the involvement of TERT in microbiome-imbalance-mediated cell proliferation impairment.

**Gingival MSCs proliferation is inhibited by increased LPS level in mice gingival tissues caused by antibiotics treatment**

Since microbiota analysis revealed that the dominant bacterial taxa in mice treated with mainly belong to gram-negative bacteria, we investigated the major component of the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria, LPS, in gingival tissues and serum. Increased levels of LPS were found in gingival tissues of antibiotics-treated mice compared with control mice (Figure 4A). Although no significant difference was detected, there was an increasing trend in serum LPS levels in mice treated with antibiotics (Figure 4B). We next treated gingival MSCs with Pg-LPS to examine the effect of exogeneous LPS on cell proliferation. EdU assay showed Pg-LPS inhibited cell proliferation in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 4C). We further found that when treated with Pg-LPS, gingival MSCs showed decreased TERT expression, as evidenced by results from real time RT-PCR and western blot (Figure 4D and 4E).
LPS inhibits gingival MSCs proliferation and decreases TERT expression via miR-21

Recent studies pointed to the significant role of miR-21 as regulatory signals for maintaining the stemness and for determining the fate of mesenchymal stem cells [17–19]. Moreover, emerging evidence indicates that miR-21 regulate LPS-induced inflammatory response [20, 21]. We first found that miR-21 was expressed at higher level in gingival MSCs from antibiotics-treated mice compared with those from control mice (Figure 5A). Then we tested if miR-21 involved in LPS-mediated gingival MSCs impairment. Real time RT-PCR results revealed that following LPS treatment, the expression of miR-21 was dramatically increased (Figure 5B). We next demonstrated higher proliferative potential of gingival MSCs from miR-21 knockout (miR-21−/−) mice than those from wild type (WT) mice (Figure 5C). To further strengthen the conclusion that overexpression of miR-21 affects gingival MSCs proliferation, we utilized miR-21 mimic and inhibitor to transfect into gingival MSCs derived from mice treated without or without antibiotics, respectively. EdU assay revealed that overexpression of miR-21 significantly inhibited cell proliferation in gingival MSCs from control mice, whereas miR-21 inhibition enhanced cell proliferation in gingival MSCs from antibiotics-treated mice (Figure 5D). We further used gingival MSCs from WT and miR-21−/− mice, as well as gingival MSCs transfected with miR-21 mimic or inhibitor to examine whether miR-21 controls TERT expression. Overexpression of miR-21 inhibits TERT mRNA and protein expression, and these effects could be reversed by knockdown of miR-21 (Figure 5E and 5F).

miR-21-mediated-TERT downregulation is regulated by Sp1

To identify the key regulator of miR-21-mediated TERT downregulation and cell proliferation inhibition, we focused on Sp1, because it is widely known as a transcriptional factor involved in cell proliferation and metastasis [22]. We first confirmed that the expression level of Sp1 decreased in both gingival tissues and MSCs from mice treated with antibiotics (Figure 6A–6C). Moreover, The knockdown of Sp1 with SP1 siRNA significantly blocked cell proliferation (Figure 6D). We then investigated whether LPS regulates Sp1 expression in gingival MSCs. As showed in Figure 6E and 6F, Sp1 mRNA and protein were downregulated by high concentration of LPS. We next used gingival MSCs from WT and miR-21−/− mice, as well as gingival MSCs transfected with miR-21 mimic or inhibitor to test whether miR-21 regulates Sp1 expression. Overexpression of miR-21 resulted in inhibition in Sp1 expression, and these effects could be reversed by knockdown of miR-21 (Figure 6G and 6H). These data indicate that LPS and miR-21 negatively regulates Sp1 expression and Sp1 involves in gingival MSCs proliferation.

Next, we investigated whether Sp1 is involved in miR-21-mediated TERT downregulation. Sp1 knockdown reduced TERT mRNA and protein expression (Figure 6I and 6J), which suggested Sp1 regulated TERT expression in gingival MSCs. ChIP assays were then performed to determine whether Sp1 modulates TERT transcription by directly binding to the promoter region of TERT. There are 3 Sp1 binding-site found on TERT promoter and ChIP assay showed mainly site-1 and site-2 are regulated by Sp1 binding. Moreover, cells derived from antibiotics treated mice showed significantly decreased Sp1 binding on these sites (Figure 6K). These findings suggest that Sp1 functions as a transactivator of mouse TERT gene in gingival MSCs.
DISCUSSION

For decades, there has been numerous literature evaluating skin and gut microbial communities diversity in healthy and disease [23] and also evaluating the role of gut microbiota on intestinal stem cell activity [24], the influence of microbiota on oral wound healing and oral MSC function is largely unknown. In this study, we disclose the impact of microbiota on oral mucosa homeostasis and gingival MSCs proliferation, and revealed that microbiome imbalance impairs gingival MSCs proliferation and palatal wound healing through downregulation of Sp1 and TERT via LPS induced miR-21 expression.

Bacteria and inflammatory processes play a pivotal role, not only in normal wound healing but also in the pathophysiology of delayed wound healing [25]. Common wound bacteria may accelerate wound healing with beneficial effects on each phase of wound healing process, including increased infiltrate of immune cells, increased granulation tissue formation and collagen formation [26]. However, if the inflammatory response is too excessive, the repair process is prolonged [27]. LPS is a biologically active bacterial endotoxin and is suggested to delay wound healing process by inhibiting epithelial cell migration [28, 29]. It is reported that antibiotic treatment could promote the release of LPS from bacterial walls by causing bacteria death or lysis, and consequently enhance inflammation [30–32]. In this study, we show that oral administration of antibiotics increases LPS release in oral mucosa which results in delayed palatal wound healing and inhibited gingival MSCs proliferation. Previous studies have found the role of LPS in gingiva derived cells proliferation, however, conflicting conclusions have been addressed [33–36]. This is correlated with the concentration of LPS, that is, LPS at high concentrations (usually > or = 10 μg/ml) generally results in reduced cell proliferation capacity; however, LPS at low concentration (usually < 10 μg/ml) induces enhanced cell proliferation [32–36]. These data suggest that low concentration of LPS is necessary to maintain cells viability, whereas high dose of LPS exerts toxic effect to cells. The change in LPS is probably due to the shift in the oral microbial composition.

LPS functions as a triggering factor for inflammatory response by inducing various inflammatory mediators. Increasing studies have expanded our understanding of the role of miRNAs in the response to both bacterial pathogens and commensal bacteria in host cells [37]. Some miRNAs, including miR-146, miR-155, and miR-21, are considered as an effector through which commensal bacteria impact the regulation of intestinal homeostasis [21, 38]. miR-21 has been reported to be induced in response to LPS stimulation in many cell types, including macrophages, peripheral blood mononuclear cells and mouse embryonic fibroblasts [21, 39, 40]. miR-21 is suggested to be an indicator of inflammation [21]. Here, we find that induction of miR-21 by LPS inhibites gingival MSCs proliferation. Other reports showed that miR-21 could result in the suppression of self-renewal and cell cycle arrest of embryonic and adult mesenchymal stem cells, through directly interaction with Sox2 or indirectly by the loss of expression of Oct4, Nanog, and c-Myc [19, 41]. These findings collectively indicate that miR-21 might be an important link between inflammation and stem cell function in wound healing process.
miRs exert their biological functions through targeting multiple genes expression. We report in this study that miR-21 regulates mouse Sp1 protein expression. Sp1 is a major transcription factor that mediates the expression of a large number of genes, including fibroblast growth factor and its receptors [42, 43], extracellular matrix [44, 45], and anti-inflammatory genes [46]. These gene products play vital roles in many physiological processes, in tissue repair and anti-inflammatory responses. It has been confirmed that Sp1 is a direct target of miR-21 in human smooth muscle cells and HEK293 cell lines [47, 48]. These findings collectively suggest that Sp1 may be a candidate target gene of miR-21 in mouse cells. This modulation regulates Sp1-mediated proliferation-related gene transcription.

Telomeres are specialized structures at the ends of chromosomes that are essential for maintaining the stability of the eukaryote genome [49]. Telomerase is the reverse transcriptase that maintains telomere DNA. The most important component responsible for the activity of telomerase is telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) [50]. TERT is regulated by a number of inducible transcription factors, including Sp family members, c-Myc and NF-κB [51–53]. In this study, we provide evidence that TERT is involved in microbiome imbalance-induced gingival MSCs proliferation impairment. Sp1 acts as positive regulator in mouse TERT gene transcription. The cellular content of TERT mRNA controlled by Sp1 decreased in parallel with antibiotics treatment, indicating that the alterations in the oral microbial profile accounts for the elimination of TERT expression.

In summary, we have shown that treatment of mice oral cavity with antibiotics leads to changes in the oral microbiome accompanied by impairment of oral MSCs function in male mice. Our study shows a previously unrecognized link between imbalances in oral microbiota and the delayed wound healing process, which implies the importance of microbiome balance in maintaining oral MSC function and oral mucosa homeostasis. However, previous studies have demonstrated that genetics, diet, sex differences and other environmental exposures are major factors in the development and composition of the intestinal microbiota of animals [54–57]. Whether these factors have impact on oral microbiota and consequently influence oral MSC function needs further investigation.
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Figure 1.
Altered oral microbiota in mice treated with antibiotics. (A): A principal coordinate analysis (PCA) based on the weighted UniFrac distance values. The microbial communities in the oral cavity of mice treated with antibiotics (blue dot) cluster differently from the microbial communities in the oral cavity of control mice (red dot) ($p=0.036$). (B): ANOISM analysis showed that the oral microbiota between the two groups were significantly different ($R=0.21$, $p=0.027$). (C): Diversity of the microbiota (Observed OTUs) in control and antibiotics-treated mice. The microbial communities in antibiotics treatment group had fewer OTUs, but there is no statistical difference between the two groups ($p>0.05$). (D): The microbial composition variation was compared using the LEfSe online tool. The difference in the microbial community at the genus level was mainly due to *Verrucomicrobiaceae*, *Bacteroidaceae* and *Methylococcales*.
Figure 2.
Microbiome imbalance impairs wound-healing process. (A–F): Macroscopic observation showed that antibiotics-treated mice exhibited a decrease in percentage of wound closure after day 8 and 14 post wounding compared with control group. Scale bar = 1 mm. (G–J): Representative photomicrographies of H&E-stained sections evidencing time-course of wound closure. Scale bar = 100 μm. Arrowheads show the original wound sites.
Figure 3.
Microbiome imbalance decreases gingival MSCs proliferation capacity via downregulation of TERT. (A): CFU-F assay revealed that gingival MSCs from antibiotics-treated mice formed fewer single-colony clusters than control cell (*p < 0.05). (B): EdU assay showed gingival MSCs from antibiotics-treated mice had a lower percentage of EdU positive cells when compared with those from control mice (*p < 0.05). (C): CFSE analysis verified that gingival MSCs from antibiotics-treated mice had decreased proliferation index compared to cells from control mice (*p < 0.05). (D, E): Apoptosis assay (D) and in vitro wound healing assay (E) indicated there was no significant difference in apoptotic rate and migration ability.
between gingival MSCs from the two groups. (F–J): Mice were injected with BrdU at 3 days after wounding for 7 days, and then sacrificed. Immunohistochemistry staining revealed that fewer CD146/BrdU double positive mesenchymal cells were observed in palatal connective tissues of antibiotics-treated mice compared with that in control mice. Epi, epithelium; CT, connective tissue. Scale bars = 20 μm. (H) is the higher magnification of the dotted box in F. (I) is the higher magnification of the dotted box in G. Scale bars = 20 μm. Red arrows showed BrdU positive cells. Arrows showed CD146/BrdU double positive cells. (K–M): TERT mRNA (K, L) and protein (M) levels were reduced in both gingival tissues and MSCs from antibiotics-treated mice compared with those from control mice (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01). (N): EdU assay revealed that TERT siRNA impaired gingival MSCs proliferation (**p < 0.01).
Figure 4.
Gingival MSCs proliferation is inhibited by increased LPS level in mice gingival tissues caused by antibiotics treatment. (A): ELISA assay showed increased levels of LPS in gingival tissues of antibiotics-treated mice compared with control mice (\(^* p < 0.05\)). (B): There was no significant difference in serum LPS levels between antibiotics-treated mice and control mice. (C): EdU assay showed Pg-LPS inhibited cell proliferation in a dose-dependent manner (\(^* p < 0.05\), \(^{**} p < 0.01\)). (D, E): Real time RT-PCR (D) and western blot (E) showed that the expression of TERT mRNA and protein were decreased in response to LPS stimulation (\(^* p < 0.05\), \(^{**} p < 0.01\)).
Figure 5.
LPS inhibits gingival MSCs proliferation and decreases TERT expression via miR-21. (A): miR-21 was expressed at higher level in gingival MSCs from antibiotics-treated mice compared with those from control mice (**p < 0.01). (B): Real time RT-PCR showed that when stimulated with LPS the expression of miR-21 was dramatically increased (**p < 0.01). (C): Gingival MSCs from miR-21 knockout (miR-21^-/-) mice exhibited higher proliferative potential than those from wildtype (WT) mice (**p < 0.01). (D): EdU assay revealed that overexpression of miR-21 significantly inhibited cell proliferation, whereas miR-21 inhibition enhanced cell proliferation (**p < 0.01). (E): The expression of TERT was increased in gingival MSCs from miR-21^-/- mice compared with those from WT mice. (F): miR-21 mimic inhibited Sp1 expression, whereas miR-21 inhibitor could reverse this effect. Con: control mimic; I-Con: control inhibitor; miR-21: miR-21 mimic; I-miR-21: miR-21 inhibitor.
Figure 6.
miR-21-mediated-TERT downregulation is regulated by Sp1. (A–C): Sp1 mRNA (A, B) and protein (C) levels were reduced in both gingival tissues and MSCs from antibiotics-treated mice compared with those from control mice (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01). (D): EdU assay showed that knockdown of Sp1 with Sp1 siRNA significantly blocked cell proliferation (*p < 0.05). (E, F): Sp1 mRNA (E) and protein (F) was downregulated by high concentration of LPS. (G): The expression of Sp1 was increased in gingival MSCs from miR-21−/− mice compared with those from WT mice. (H): miR-21 mimic inhibited Sp1, whereas miR-21 inhibitor could reverse this effect. (I, J): Sp1 knockdown reduced TERT mRNA (I) and protein (J) expression (*p < 0.05). (K): Three Sp1 binding-site are found on TERT promoter. ChIP assay showed mainly site-1 and site-2 are regulated by Sp1 binding. Gingival MSCs derived from antibiotics treated mice showed significantly decreased Sp1 binding on these sites (**p < 0.005).
Figure 7.
An illustration of the role of oral microbiota in maintaining gingival MSCs homeostasis. Our study shows that antibiotics treatment alters the profile of oral microbiome in mice. This change impairs the wound healing process and oral MSCs proliferation. Mechanically, oral microbiota release LPS which maintains oral MSCs homeostasis via miR-21/Sp1/TERT pathway.
**Table 1**

Unhealing area/original area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 day</td>
<td>0.569 (0.480–0.734)</td>
<td>0.860 (0.829–0.983)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 day</td>
<td>0.419 (0.347–0.462)</td>
<td>0.730 (0.675–0.765)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data are expressed as median (25%–75% interquartile range).