December 2007

Polymer drop breakup in microchannels

Paulo E. Arratia

University of Pennsylvania, parratia@seas.upenn.edu

Jerry P. Gollub

Haverford College

Douglas J. Durian

University of Pennsylvania, djdurian@physics.upenn.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.upenn.edu/meam_papers

Recommended Citation

Arratia, Paulo E.; Gollub, Jerry P.; and Durian, Douglas J., "Polymer drop breakup in microchannels" (2007).
Departmental Papers (MEAM). 135.

https://repository.upenn.edu/meam_papers/135

Copyright 2007 American Institute of Physics. This article may be downloaded for personal use only. Any other use requires prior permission of the author and the American Institute of Physics. Reprinted in *Chaos*, Volume 17, Article 041102, December 2007.

Publisher URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2786006>

This paper is posted at ScholarlyCommons. https://repository.upenn.edu/meam_papers/135

For more information, please contact repository@pobox.upenn.edu.

Polymer drop breakup in microchannels

Keywords

capillarity, drops, flow visualization, microchannel flow, non-Newtonian flow, polymersolutions, soft lithography, surface tension, two-phase flow, viscosity

Comments

Copyright 2007 American Institute of Physics. This article may be downloaded for personal use only. Any other use requires prior permission of the author and the American Institute of Physics. Reprinted in *Chaos*, Volume 17, Article 041102, December 2007.

Publisher URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2786006>

FIG. 1. (Color) Microchannel experimental setup. The device is $50\ \mu\text{m}$ wide and $30\ \mu\text{m}$ deep. Oil is used as the continuous phase. Newtonian or polymeric fluids are used as dispersed phases.

Polymer drop breakup in microchannels

P. E. Arratia

Department of Mechanical Engineering & Applied Mechanics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA

J. P. Gollub

Department of Physics & Astronomy, Haverford College, Haverford, Pennsylvania 19141, USA and Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA

D. J. Durian

Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA

(Received 23 August 2007; published online 27 December 2007)

[DOI: [10.1063/1.2786006](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2786006)]

The addition of even small amounts of polymer to a fluid can dramatically affect the thinning and breakup of fluid filaments in microfluidic devices. Here, we compare the thinning and breakup of Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids of similar shear viscosity ($0.24\ \text{Pa}\cdot\text{s}$) in a microchannel cross-slot geometry, where an outer oil phase enters and stretches a more slowly flowing inner aqueous phase. The device is $50\ \mu\text{m}$ wide and $30\ \mu\text{m}$ deep and it is molded in poly(dimethylsiloxane) using standard soft-lithography methods (Fig. 1). The continuous (outer) phase is mineral oil containing 0.1% by weight of surfactant (SPAN 80). Two types of dispersed phases (forming the filament that breaks into droplets) are used: a Newtonian fluid and a dilute viscoelastic polymeric fluid. The Newtonian fluid is a 90% -glycerin aqueous solution. The polymeric fluid is made by adding $100\ \text{ppm}$ of flexible, high molecular weight polyacrylamide ($M_w = 18 \times 10^6$) to an 85% -glycerin aqueous solution. Fluids were designed so that both phases, oil and aqueous, have the same shear viscosity ($0.24\ \text{Pa}\cdot\text{s}$). The aqueous and oil phases are injected into the central and side arms of the cross-channel using syringe pumps; the flow rates are 0.01 and $0.6\ \mu\text{l}/\text{min}$, respectively. The interfacial tension between the two phases is $10\ \text{mN}/\text{m}$. For these parameters, the Reynolds number is less than 0.01 and the capillary number is 0.2 . Experiments are imaged using an inverted microscope and a fast video camera, with frame rates between 1 and $10\ \text{kHz}$.

The accompanying video and Fig. 2 show the evolution of filament thinning and breakup for both Newtonian and polymeric fluids. For the Newtonian case, shown in the left column, the aqueous phase is drawn into the cross-slot channel [Fig. 2(a)], and begins to elongate and collapse [Figs.

FIG. 2. (Color) Snapshots of drop formation process of both Newtonian (left column) and polymeric (right column) fluids. (Enhanced online.)

FIG. 3. (Color) Filament thickness h as a function of time for both Newtonian and polymeric fluids at the same flow rates.

2(b)–2(d)], forming a primary drop connected by a very thin filament; later [Fig. 2(e)], the filament thins at a faster rate and breaks into a large primary drop and small satellite droplets.

The polymeric case, shown in the right column of Fig. 2, displays very different behavior. Initially [Fig. 2(a)], the morphology is similar to that of the Newtonian fluid. As the thinning progresses, the polymeric fluid develops a longer neck with a drop attached to it [Fig. 2(b)]. This filament elongates while thinning at a slower rate than in the Newtonian case [Fig. 2(c)]. Near the breakup event, the polymeric fluid shows multiple beads attached to the filament [Fig. 2(d)]. After breakup, there are many satellite drops [Fig. 2(e)].

Filament thinning is quantified by the decrease in filament diameter, $h(t)$, as a function of time (Fig. 3). At short times, the Newtonian and polymeric fluids exhibit identical initial thinning. This is indicative of their common shear viscosity but, at longer times, the two diverge with the polymeric filament lasting at least an order of magnitude longer before breakup.

This work was supported the National Science Foundation through Grant No. MRSEC/DMR05-20020.