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A. Actinomyetemcomitans-Induced Periodontal Disease Promotes Systemic and Local Responses in Rat Periodontium

Abstract

Aim
To characterize the histologic and cellular response to *A. actinomyetemcomitans* (*Aa*) infection.

Material & Methods
Wistar rats infected with *Aa* were evaluated for antibody response, oral *Aa* colonization, loss of attachment, PMN recruitment, TNF-α in the junctional epithelium and connective tissue, osteoclasts and adaptive immune response in local lymph nodes at baseline and 4, 5 or 6 weeks after infection. Some groups were given antibacterial treatment at 4 weeks.

Results
An antibody response against *Aa* occurred within 4 weeks of infection, and 78% of inoculated rats had detectable *Aa* in the oral cavity (*p* < 0.05). *Aa* infection significantly increased loss of attachment that was reversed by antibacterial treatment (*p* < 0.05). TNF-α expression in the junctional epithelium followed the same pattern. *Aa* stimulated high osteoclast formation and TNF-α expression in the connective tissue (*p* < 0.05). PMN recruitment significantly increased after *Aa* infection (*p* < 0.05). *Aa* also increased the number of CD8^+^ T cells (*p* < 0.05), but not CD4^+^ T cells or regulatory T cells (Tregs) (*p* > 0.05).

Conclusion
*Aa* infection stimulated a local response that increased numbers of PMNs and TNF-α expression in the junctional epithelium and loss of attachment. Both TNF-α expression in JE and loss of attachment was reversed by antibiotic treatment. *Aa* infection also increased TNF-α in the connective tissue, osteoclast numbers and CD8^+^ T cells in lymph nodes. The results link *Aa* infection with important characteristics of periodontal destruction.
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Abstract

Aim—To characterize the histologic and cellular response to A. actinomycetemcomitans (Aa) infection.

Material and Methods—Wistar rats infected with Aa were evaluated for antibody response, oral Aa colonization, loss of attachment, PMN recruitment, TNF-α in the junctional epithelium and connective tissue, osteoclasts, and adaptive immune response in local lymph nodes at baseline and 4, 5 or 6 weeks after infection. Some groups were given antibacterial treatment at 4 weeks.

Results—An antibody response against Aa occurred within 4 weeks of infection and 78% of inoculated rats had detectable Aa in the oral cavity (p<0.05). Aa infection significantly increased loss of attachment which was reversed by antibacterial treatment (p<0.05). TNF-α expression in the junctional epithelium followed the same pattern. Aa stimulated high osteoclast formation and TNF-α expression in the connective tissue (p<0.05). PMN recruitment significantly increased after Aa infection (p<0.05). Aa also increased the number of CD8+ T cells (p<0.05) but not CD4+ T cells or regulatory T cells (Tregs) (p>0.05).

Conclusion—Aa infection stimulated a local response which increased numbers of PMNs and TNF-α expression in the junctional epithelium and loss of attachment. Both TNF-α expression in JE and loss of attachment was reversed by antibiotic treatment. Aa infection also increased TNF-α in the connective tissue, osteoclast numbers, CD8+ T cells in lymph nodes. The results link Aa infection with important characteristics of periodontal destruction.
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INTRODUCTION

Localized aggressive periodontitis (LAgP), previously known as localized juvenile periodontitis, is characterized by relatively rapid and severe destruction of periodontal tissues affecting the first molars and central incisors, which is usually detected and diagnosed during puberty in systemically healthy individuals (Armitage & Cullinan 2010). This form of periodontitis presents minimal signs of clinical inflammation and plaque build-up (Armitage & Cullinan 2010). It is more frequently seen in subjects of African descent (Löe & Brown 1991, Brown et al. 1996, Fine et al. 2007) and is usually found in other family members (Tonetti & Mombelli 1999, van Winkelhoff & Slots 2005). The primary suspected pathogen associated with this form of periodontal disease is \textit{A. actinomycetemcomitans} (\textit{Aa}).

\textit{Aa} is a gram-negative non-motile bacterium that is indigenous to the oral cavity (Henderson et al. 2010) that is also associated with endocarditis (Paturel et al. 2004). Many studies have shown high levels of \textit{Aa} in samples obtained from subjects with localized aggressive periodontitis compared to periodontally healthy, gingivitis and subjects with other forms of periodontal disease (Slots et al. 1980, Hamlet et al. 2001, Suda et al. 2003, Haubek et al. 2008). After periodontal treatment a reduction in \textit{Aa} is consistent with clinical improvement (Christersson et al. 1985, Mandell & Socransky 1988, Takamatsu et al. 1999) and the presence of \textit{Aa} can be an indicative of future disease progression (Fine et al. 2007). It is estimated that 90% of patients diagnosed with LAgP and 10–20% healthy subjects harbor \textit{Aa} (Hamlet et al. 2001, Darout et al. 2002, Suda et al. 2003). The oral mucosa has been identified as an initial colonization site and primary reservoir (Rudney et al. 2005). \textit{Aa} is also able to colonize tooth surfaces and the subgingival space, where it may lead to disease progression (Fine et al. 2005, Rudney et al. 2005, Fine et al. 2007).

Recently, a new animal model has been developed to study the effects of \textit{Aa} colonization on periodontal disease progression (Fine et al. 2001, Schreiner et al. 2003, Li et al. 2010, Schreiner et al. 2011). This model consists of infecting rats with the rough strain of \textit{Aa}, known to adhere to different surfaces, including the buccal epithelial and teeth (Fine et al. 2001, 2002). This model has provided knowledge on how the adaptive immune response is regulated (Li et al. 2010). It is also well suited to examine aspects of bacterial behavior that promote colonization and initiation of periodontal disease (Graves et al. 2008). Previous studies have utilized different strains of rats and more recently it has been established that different patterns of infection and bone loss can be found between rat strains (Schreiner et al. 2011). However, the local impact of \textit{Aa} in this animal model has not previously been examined at the histological or cellular level. Thus the aim of this study was to characterize the local and systemic response to the \textit{Aa} infection in the Wistar rat to better understand the consequences of periodontal bone loss due to bacterial challenge by analyzing the response at the histologic and cellular level.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

**Animals**

The rat model has been previously described (Schreiner et al. 2003). Briefly, forty-four Wistar rats (16–20 weeks of age) were purchased from Charles River (Wilmington, MA), housed in separate cages and fed powdered food (Laboratory Rodent Meal Diet 5001, Purina Mills Feeds, St Louis, MO). To depress the 'natural' resident flora, rats received in their water a daily dose of kanamycin (20 mg) and ampicillin (20 mg) for 4 days. During the last 2 days of antibiotic treatment, the oral cavities of the rats were swabbed with a 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate rinse (Peridex, Procter and Gamble, Cincinnati, OH). After a
subsequent period of 3 days without antibiotic treatment, the rats were divided into five
groups of approximately 7 rats each. The adherent *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans* 
(*Aa*) strain, Columbia University *Aa* clinical isolate #1,000 (CU1000NRif) (N = nalidixic 
acid resistant; rif = rifampicin resistant) was grown in *A. actinomycetemcomitans* growth 
medium containing rifampicin (35 μg/ml) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) as described 
(Schreiner et al, 2011) for 2 days at 37°C in a 10% CO₂/90% air atmosphere. After fasting 
for 3 hours, rats received 10⁸ *Aa* cells in in 1g of powdered food supplemented with 3% 
sucrose in PBS placed in special feeder trays (1ml). This protocol was followed for 8 days 
(Schreiner et al. 2003). During the first 4 days of the feeding protocol the animals also 
received 10⁸ *Aa* cells in 1ml of inoculation solution by oral gavage. After 1 hour the 
inoculated food was removed and replaced with regular powdered food. After the feeding 
protocol was over the animals were euthanized four, five and six weeks later. Baseline 
animals did not undergo antibacterial treatment and were not inoculated with *Aa* but did 
drive powered food supplemented with 3% sucrose under the same conditions as 
experimental rats. The study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care 
and Use Committee.

**Antibacterial treatment post-feeding**

Four weeks after *Aa* inoculation 2 groups of animals, with approximately 7 rats each, 
received a daily dose of kanamycin (20 mg) and ampicillin (20 mg) in the water for 4 days 
with the intention to stop the infection. Concomitantly, the oral cavities of these rats were 
swabbed with a 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate rinse (Peridex, Procter and Gamble, 
Cincinnati, OH). These animals were euthanized 1 and 2 weeks after the start of the 
antibacterial regimen.

**Sampling of rat oral microflora**

Two microbial samples were collected, one after the inoculation of *Aa* and the other at the 
time of euthanasia. The rats were anaesthetized and their oral microflora was sampled with a 
cotton tip swab for soft tissue sampling, and a toothpick (Johnson & Johnson, Piscataway, 
NJ) for hard tissue sampling. Both samples were combined in tubes containing 1 ml PBS. 
Serial ten-fold dilutions were made and plated on trypticase soy agar (TSA) with 5% sheep 
blood (BD biosciences, San Jose, CA) for total anaerobe counts. Trypticase soy agar plates 
were incubated in an anaerobic atmosphere at 37°C for 7 days to obtain total bacterial 
counts. To determine whether *Aa* colonized the oral cavities of inoculated rats bacterial 
DNA was isolated from the collected oral samples with a DNA extraction kit (Qiagen, 
Valencia, CA) and subjected to PCR analysis. Forward and reverse primers (5'- 
GGAATTCTAGGTATTGCGAAATAATTTGATC-3' and 5'- 
GGAATTCTAGGTATTGCGAAACAATTTGATC-3', respectively) amplified a 262-base-pair 
PCR product from the *Aa* leukotoxin gene as previously described (Goncharoff et al. 1993).

**Level of Antibody to *Aa***

IgG antibody reactive with *Aa* was assessed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay 
(ELISA). Blood was collected by cardiac puncture and serum was obtained and stored at 
−20°C. An *Aa* lysate was prepared and used to coat the wells of microtiter dishes 
(NUNCImmunoPlate with Maxi Sorp surface, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rochester, NY). A 
standard curve was generated using purified rat IgG (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) in 
carbonate-bicarbonate buffer, pH 9.6 (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO). Rat serum diluted 1/5 
and 1/10 in blocking buffer was added to the wells coated with the *Aa* pellet lysate. The 
serum dilutions were added, in duplicate. The microtiter plates were covered and incubated 
for 2 hours at room temperature, and the wells were washed, incubated with rabbit anti-rat 
IgG-Fc conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (Bethyl Laboratories, Montgomery, TX) and 
quantified with p-nitrophenyl phosphate substrate (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO). After
incubation for 1 hour, the absorbance of the microplate wells was read on a microplate reader at 405 nm.

Rats were considered to have been positive for *Aa* infection if PCR results showed the *Aa* leukotoxin band or if antibody levels were equal to or greater than twice the mean baseline levels. Animals were considered to be not-infected even if they had been exposed to *Aa* if both PCR results for *Aa* leukotoxin and antibody levels were negative. For time course analysis only infected animals were included.

**Histomorphometry**

Right maxillae were collected at euthanasia, hemi-sected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 48 hours at 4°C with the solution changed twice a day. After 48 hours specimens were washed with PBS and placed in 10% EDTA (pH 7.0) for decalcification. Paraffin-embedded sagittal sections were prepared at a thickness of 5 microns and the interproximal areas between the 1st and 2nd and the 2nd and 3rd maxillary molars were examined. The mid-interproximal region was examined in each specimen and was established by sections where the root canal systems were clearly visible. Two randomly chosen sections of each interproximal area were examined at 200× magnification. All data were analyzed by a blinded examiner who did not know the group to which an animal belonged. The examiner was calibrated against a second individual. Attachment loss was measured by the distance between the cemento-enamel junction and the most coronal extent of connective tissue attachment to cementum. Millimeter was the measurement unit selected for this analysis. Local inflammatory response in the gingival epithelium was evaluated by counting the number of polymorphonuclear (PMN) cells per area at 600× magnification.

**Osteoclasts**

Osteoclasts were recognized as positive tartate resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) staining on large multinucleated cells directly lining the bone surface in Howship's lacunae. Osteoclast numbers were determined by quantifying number of osteoclasts per mm of bone surface. Since rat molars undergo distal drift (Moss-Salentijn & Moss 1977), there is constant resorptive activity on the proximal bone between the molars. Therefore, the analysis of TRAP-stained sections was restricted to the distal aspect of the interproximal bone. After quantification of osteoclasts two categories were determined. Animals were categorized as having high osteoclast numbers, if the number of osteoclasts was greater or equal to 2, and low osteoclast number if there was 1 or 0 osteoclasts present. Percentage of high and low osteoclast numbers were calculated for statistical analysis.

**TNF-α**

To evaluate cells that expressed TNF-α in the gingiva epithelium or junctional epithelium (JE), sections were examined by immunohistochemistry with an antibody against TNF-α (IHCWORLD, Woodstock, MD) and examined at 600× magnification. Immunoreactivity was judged by the following scale: 0, no positive cells; 1, three to four positive cells per field with weak immunostaining; 2, four to ten positive cells per field with strong immunostaining; and 3, more than 10 positive cells per field with strong immunostaining.

**Systemic leukocyte response**

Rat lymph node cells were prepared and analyzed as described (Li et al. 2010). Briefly, at day 0 and after animals had been infected with *Aa* (4, 5 or 6 weeks) single-cell suspensions were obtained from the submandibular and cervical lymph nodes of the rats. Lymphocyte populations were isolated by Ficoll-Hypaque density gradient centrifugation. Flow cytometry was conducted on unfractionated lymphocytes. FlowJo software (Tree Star, Inc.,
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Ashland, OR) was used to analyze the fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) data. Anti-CD32 (clone D34–485), for blocking FcγII receptor, was purchased from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA). Anti-IA [major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II, clone 14-4-4S] antibody obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) was fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated by us. FITC labeled anti-CD4 (clone OX35), anti-CD3 (clone G4.18), and PE labeled anti-CD4 (clone OX38) were purchased from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA). FITC labeled anti-FoxP3 (clone FJK-16s), PE labeled anti-CD25 (clone OX39) were purchased from eBioscience (San Diego, CA). For hematologic analysis 1 ml of blood was collected from each rat at time of euthanasia into tubes with EDTA (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Blood was analyzed by HemaTrue Hematology Analyzer (HESKA, Loveland, CO).

Statistical Analysis

Fisher's exact test was applied to compare the percentage of animals which were positive or negative for *Aa* in baseline and after exposure to *Aa*. The same statistical test was applied to compare specimens with high osteoclast numbers between baseline and 4, 5 and 6 weeks after *Aa* infection. Pearson’s chi-squared test was applied to compare the percentage of animals with a positive or negative antibody threshold to *Aa* before or after the second antibacterial treatment. This same test was also applied to compare osteoclast numbers between rats with or without antibacterial treatment following *Aa* infection. The Mann-Whitney test was applied to compare antibody titers against *Aa* in animals treated or not with antibiotics plus chlorhexidine after *Aa* infection. Median values, instead of mean values, were used for the analysis of total anaerobe counts since outlier values were left in the data set. The median test was then applied to compare total anaerobe counts at baseline to 4, 5 and 6 week time points, *Aa*-infected and non-infected rats, as well as in rats with or without antibacterial treatment following *Aa* infection. A two-tailed T-test was used to compare lymphocyte populations and leukocyte populations from peripheral blood between *Aa*-infected and non-infected rats: LOA, PMNs and levels of TNF-α in junctional epithelium and gingiva between *Aa*-infected and non-infected rats and animals treated or not with antibiotics plus chlorhexidine after *Aa* infection. A one-way ANOVA test with contrast was used to compare LOA and PMNs between baseline and 4, 5 and 6 week infection time points. Significance levels were set at 5%.

RESULTS

**Aa colonization**

To establish whether animals exposed to *Aa* were infected samples were assessed from soft and hard tissue of the oral cavities at the time of euthanasia. The bacterial colonies tested positive for *Aa* by leukotoxin-specific PCR. No *Aa* was observed in rats not inoculated with *Aa*. Following inoculation 78% of the rats had detectable *Aa* in the oral cavity by PCR at the 4-week time point compared to no animals at baseline (p<0.05) (Fig.1A).

**Antibody titer and threshold**

Antibody levels against *Aa* were assessed in pre- and post-infected animals. Four weeks after *Aa* inoculation, over 80% of the rats had positive antibody titers defined as values two fold higher than baseline (p<0.05) (Fig.1B). When comparing animals which received antibacterial treatment to those without such treatment no change was observed in percent antibody threshold levels (Fig.1C) or antibody titer (p>0.05) (Fig.1D).
Total anaerobe bacteria counts

Using samples collected from the oral cavity, total anaerobe bacterial counts were evaluated before and after Aa inoculation (Fig. 2A–C). No statistical significant differences were observed in any of the evaluated comparisons (p>0.05).

Loss of attachment after Aa inoculation

Loss of attachment (LOA) was measured histologically. Following inoculation with Aa a significant LOA was observed in all experimental time points compared to baseline (p<0.05) (Fig. 3A, Supplemental Figure 1). When considering Aa infected animals as a group, a 6-fold increase in LOA was found (p<0.05) (Fig. 3B). Antibacterial treatment promoted a reduction in LOA compared to the untreated group (p<0.05) (Fig. 3C).

Osteoclast numbers post Aa infection

The impact of Aa infection on osteoclast formation was examined as the percent rats with high osteoclast numbers, which had the highest levels at six weeks following Aa infection (p<0.05) (Fig.4A, Supplemental Figure 2). As a group, 52% of animals had high numbers of osteoclasts after Aa inoculation compared to none in animals that had not been infected with Aa (p<0.05) (Fig. 4B). Antibacterial treatment did not affect the percent of rats that had high numbers of osteoclasts compared to untreated animals in the time frame tested (Fig.4C).

Inflammatory response to Aa infection

Local inflammatory response was evaluated by both counting the number of PMNs in the gingival epithelium as well as by TNF-α expression in the gingival epithelium and JE. PMN numbers increased at 3 to 4 fold after A.a. infection (p<0.01) (Fig. 5A, Supplemental Figure 3) while the 6 week and time point showed no significant difference compared to baseline (p>0.05). When considering Aa infected animals as a group there was a 3.4-fold increase in the number of PMNs/mm² after infection (p<0.004) (Fig. 5B). Antibiotics administration post-infection did not significantly reduce the number of PMNs in the epithelium (P>0.05) (Fig. 5C).

When Aa inoculated animals were examined as group there was a 1.6-fold increase in TNF-α positive cells in the JE compared to not-infected animals (p<0.05) (Fig.6A, Supplemental Figure 4). A similar increase was found in the gingiva of infected animals (1.5-fold) (p<0.05) (Fig.6B). Antibacterial treatment promoted a significant 25% decrease in the number of cells producing TNF-α in the JE (p<0.05) (Fig.6C). In contrast, antibacterial treatment did not affect the number of TNF-α expressing cells in the gingiva (Fig.6D).

Systemic response

Leukocyte populations in lymph nodes and blood were examined as a measure of the systemic response. No significant differences were observed in B cell activation (MHC II) and CD4+ T cell numbers in the infected animals compared to non-infected animals (Table 1). However, CD8+ T cell numbers showed a 1.6-fold increase after Aa inoculation which was significant (p<0.05). No change in activation of regulatory T (Tregs) cells (CD4+CD25+ and CD4+FoxP3+) was observed in infected animals compared to non-infected (p>0.05). Blood collected at time of euthanasia was analyzed for major leukocyte populations (Table 2). Total white blood cells (WBC), lymphocytes and granulocytes or their percentage did not change after Aa infection (Table 2). Monocyte number and percent exhibited a small but significant decrease compared to non-infected rats (p<0.05).
DISCUSSION

Localized aggressive periodontitis is known for its rapid and severe destruction of periodontal tissues and is thought to involve infection by Aa (Schenkein et al. 2007, Armitage & Cullinan 2010). Studies evaluating a periodontal disease rat model with Aa inoculated in the food have shown that Aa infection reliably produces bone loss (Schreiner et al. 2003, Li et al. 2010, Schreiner et al. 2011). However, local histologic changes and hematologic examination of peripheral lymphoid compartments have not been evaluated in this model nor has the effect of antibacterial treatment after infection been examined.

In the present study, we evaluated several parameters of the local host response in the rat periodontium to Aa infection. Loss of attachment after Aa inoculation was evident within 4 weeks and was 6 times greater than loss of attachment in non-infected animals. Loss of attachment was also reversed following antibacterial treatment suggesting that attachment levels in the short term can experience both loss and gain. The number of PMNs in the junctional and gingival epithelium increased with Aa infection. The number of TNF-α expressing cells also increased with Aa infection.

To examine the effect of reversing the infection we examined TNF-α expression in the JE and LOA after antibiotic treatment. It is striking that treatment with antibiotic resulted a significant decrease in the number of epithelial cells expressing TNF-α, which coincided with a gain in attachment. Thus, changes in attachment levels may reflect the expression of inflammatory mediators such as TNF-α in the junctional epithelium. TNF-α could potentially prevent reattachment by suppressing the activity of cells needed to produce attachment or by the induction of lytic enzymes that breakdown proteins involved (Smith et al. 2009). Studies have shown that increased levels of TNF-α in the gingival crevicular fluid and periodontal tissues are correlated with periodontitis in humans (Cochran 2008, Graves 2008, Liu et al. 2010).

The generation of osteoclasts was increased with Aa infection but was not significantly impacted by antibacterial treatment. However, this may be due to the relatively short time frame examined after antibacterial treatment, 1 to 2 weeks.

In the study by Schreiner et al. (2011) different rat strains exhibited different rates of colonization by Aa. Some animals were more prone to infection than others, which ranged from 83% in Fawn Hooded Hypertensive rats to 33.3%, in Dahl Salt-sensitive rats, and 17% in Brown Norway rats. Wistar rats have not been previously evaluated in this model. We found that approximately 78% of Wistar rats exposed to Aa became infected as determined by PCR or cultural detection of Aa in bacterial samples. The results indicate that the Wistar rat strain is highly susceptible to Aa colonization using this infection model. Moreover, these rats developed positive antibody titers within four weeks of inoculation. In previous studies (Schreiner et al. 2003; Li et al. 2010; Schreiner et al. 2011) high antibody levels were observed within 12 weeks after inoculation but earlier time points were not examined. It should be noted that in the present study the animals also received the inoculum by oral gavage during the first week of the feeding protocol, providing a greater exposure to the pathogen compared to the original feeding protocol (Schreiner et al. 2003).

Oral bacteria can stimulate cells of the adaptive immune response by increased numbers of activated T- and B-lymphocytes in the periodontal tissues (Graves et al. 2008). We observed an increase in CD8+ T cells in lymphocytes obtained from local draining cervical and submandibular lymph nodes of Aa-infected rats, compared to control rats. Gingival CD8+ T cells have been implicated in gingivitis, chronic periodontitis and aggressive periodontitis (Sigusch et al. 2006, Artese et al., 2011, Lima et al. 2011). The fact that we observed an increase in CD8+ T cells in local draining lymph nodes of Aa-fed rats, suggests an adaptive
response to Aa which induced cytotoxic T cells. Changes in the percent CD4+CD25+ T cells in lymph nodes from Aa-infected rats just missed significance compared to control rats (p=0.1). CD25+CD4+ T cells expressing the FoxP3 transcription factor are regulatory T cells (Treg cells) that prevent a hyperactive adaptive immune response (Sakaguchi 2005) and may be associated with periodontal disease and its attenuation (Nakajima et al. 2005, Cardoso et al. 2008, Garlet et al. 2010). We did not find a change in Treg cells with Aa infection, which may be due to the length of the experiment, four to six weeks (Li et al. 2010). In mice, Tregs have been shown to be upregulated in approximately 9 weeks after Aa inoculation (Garlet et al. 2010). Hematologic examination of blood of Aa-infected rats revealed a significant increase (p<0.05) in both monocyte percentage of WBCs and absolute numbers of monocytes. Although intriguing the systemic changes noted do not necessarily reflect changes that are occurring in the periodontal tissue.

In conclusion, our studies demonstrate that outbred Wistar rats constitute a useful rodent model for studying Aa-induced periodontal disease our studies provide evidence that Aa-infection induces a CD8+ T cell response in draining lymph nodes and an increase in peripheral blood monocytes. Moreover we found that Aa infection induced TNF-α expression in junctional epithelial cells and caused a loss of connective tissue attachment. Significantly both were reversed by antibiotic treatment linking TNF-α expression in epithelial cells to this process providing insight into mechanisms of periodontal disease. Whether or not this particular animal model represents specific processes found in localized aggressive periodontitis remains to be proven.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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### Clinical relevance

#### Scientific rationale for the study

The local cellular events through which *Aa* infection leads to periodontitis have not been investigated by quantitative histologic analysis in the present animal model. Since *Aa* is an important periodontal pathogen in localized juvenile periodontitis the results give insight into the cellular events modulated by *Aa*.

#### Principal findings

*Aa* infection altered the local response within the periodontium including the induction of cytokine expression, gingival inflammation and loss of attachment in conjunction with alveolar bone loss.

#### Practical implications

The *Aa* model is an important tool in understanding the pathogenesis of periodontitis in part because it is a natural host for *Aa*. The findings provide important characterization of the model that will give insight into human periodontitis.
Figure 1. *Aa* infection and Anti-*Aa* antibody levels

(A) Swabs were obtained from the oral epithelium and tooth surface and examined for the presence of *Aa*. The percent *Aa* positive animals following inoculation at baseline and at 4, 5 and 6 weeks post-inoculation. Significance was determined by Fisher’s exact test (B). The percent rats with anti-*Aa* IgG levels at least two fold higher than the mean baseline level at baseline or 4, 5 and 6 weeks after inoculation was determined by ELISA. Significance was determined by Fisher’s exact test. (C) Percent rats with antibody titers at least two fold higher than the mean baseline level with or without antibiotic plus chlorhexidine treatment following *Aa* inoculation. Significance was determined by Pearson Chi-square test. (D) Anti-*Aa* IgG levels in serum collected from *Aa*-inoculated animals with or without antibacterial treatment. Significance was determined by the Mann-Whitney test. The data are represented as mean ± SEM. * p<0.05
Figure 2. Total anaerobe counts
Total anaerobe counts were obtained prior to and at different time points after infection with Aa. (A) Number of CFU at baseline and following inoculation with Aa. (B) Total anaerobe counts from not-infected and Aa-infected rats. (C) Total anaerobe counts from Aa-fed animals with antibacterial treatment (p>0.05). Data are presented as median; the median test was used for statistical significance. None of the values were significant (p>0.05).
Figure 3. Loss of attachment

Loss of attachment (LOA) was measured by the distance between the cemento-enamel junction and the most coronal extent of connective tissue attachment to cementum. (A) LOA in not-infected (baseline) animals and Aa-infected animals at 4, 5 and 6 weeks. Significance was determined by one-way ANOVA. (B) LOA in not-infected and Aa-infected animals. Significance was determined by Student’s t-test. (C) LOA in Aa-infected animals treated or not with antibiotics plus chlorhexidine. Significance was determined by Student’s t-test. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. * p<0.05
Figure 4. Osteoclasts
Osteoclasts were recognized as TRAP positive, multinucleated cells directly lining the bone surface. (A) Percent rats with high osteoclasts numbers in not-infected (baseline) animals and Aa-infected animals at 4, 5 and 6 weeks. Significance was determined by Fisher's exact test. (B) Percent rats with high osteoclast numbers in not-infected and Aa-infected animals. Significance was determined by Fisher's exact test. (C) Percent rats with high osteoclast numbers in Aa-infected animals with or without antibacterial treatment. Significance was determined by Chi-square test. * p<0.05
Figure 5. Polymorphonuclear (PMNs) leukocytes in gingival epithelium
PMNs were counted in HE stained sections at 1000× magnification and presented as number of PMNs per mm². (A) Number of PMNs/mm² in non-infected (baseline) animals versus Aa-infected animals at 4, 5 and 6 weeks. Significance was determined by One-way ANOVA test. (B) Number of PMNs/mm² in non-infected and Aa-infected animals. Significance determined by Student's t test. (C) Number of PMNs/mm² in Aa-infected animals treated or not with antibiotics plus chlorhexidine. Significance determined by Student's t test. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. * p<0.05
Figure 6. TNF-α positive cells in junctional epithelium and gingival connective tissue
TNF-α immunopositive cells were examined by immunohistochemistry and assessed using
the following scale at 600× magnification: 0, no positive cells; 1, three to four positive cells
per field with weak immunostaining; 2, four to ten positive cells per field with strong
immunostaining; and 3, more than 10 positive cells per field with strong immunostaining.
(A) TNF-α positive cells in JE in non-infected and Aa-infected animals. (B) TNF-α positive
cells in JE in Aa-infected animals treated or not with antibiotics plus chlorhexidine. (C)
TNF-α positive cells in gingival connective tissue of non-infected and Aa-infected animals.
(D) Number of TNF-α positive cells in gingival connective tissue of Aa-infected animals
treated or not with antibiotics plus chlorhexidine. Data are presented as mean ± SEM.
Significance was determined by Student’s t-test. * p<0.05
Table 1

Lymphocyte populations obtained from draining cervical and submandibular lymph nodes of Aa-infected and non-infected rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MHC II (% cells)</th>
<th>CD4⁺ (% cells)</th>
<th>CD8⁺ (% cells)</th>
<th>CD4⁺CD25⁺ (% cells)</th>
<th>CD4⁺FoxP3⁺ (% cells)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-infected (n=4)</td>
<td>51 ± 4.5</td>
<td>36.1 ± 4.8</td>
<td>22.6 ± 4.1</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infected (n=9)</td>
<td>48.3 ± 2.6</td>
<td>33.3 ± 1.9</td>
<td>36 ± 2</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fold difference</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.59⁺</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p<0.01, compared to non-infected rats.
Table 2

White blood cells from peripheral blood of Aα-infected and non-infected rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WBC (10^3 UI/mL)</th>
<th>LYMP (10^3 UI/mL)</th>
<th>MONO (10^3 UI/mL)</th>
<th>GRAN (10^3 UI/mL)</th>
<th>LYMP (%)</th>
<th>MONO (%)</th>
<th>GRAN (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-infected (n=5)</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>77 ± 2.4</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>18.1 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infected (n=12)</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>77.2 ± 3.3</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>19.6 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fold difference</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.75*</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.67*</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WBC, white blood cells; LYMP, lymphocytes; MONO, monocytes; GRAN, granulocytes.

* p=0.02, compared to non-infected rats.