



History of Anthropology Newsletter

Volume 2
Issue 1 *Winter 1975*

Article 9

1-1-1975

Recent Work by Subscribers

This paper is posted at ScholarlyCommons. <http://repository.upenn.edu/han/vol2/iss1/9>
For more information, please contact repository@pobox.upenn.edu.

data were derived from animal dissection. Physicians assumed that relations between anatomical structure and physiological function obtained in both men and animals, but the issue was complicated theologically and philosophically when this seemingly innocuous method was applied to the comparative anatomy of the brain. Darwinism intensified these tensions without materially changing them.

Erickson, Paul A. (Ph.D., anthropology, University of Connecticut, 1974) "The Origins of Physical Anthropology" (cf. HAN I:2).

Reingold, Judith C. M. (Ph.D., anthropology, University of California, Berkeley, 1973) "German Nationalism and the Inquiry into German Origins during the Nineteenth and Early Twentieth Centuries."

Recent Work by Subscribers

Subscribers are encouraged to submit full citations or offprints of recently published work to supplement our own erratic efforts.

Darnell, Regna, ed. Readings in the History of Anthropology (New York: Harper and Row, 1974) includes, among others, articles by Meyer Fortes ("Social Anthropology at Cambridge since 1900"), Jacob Gruber ("Brixham Cave and the Antiquity of Man"), A. I. Hallowell ("The History of Anthropology as an Anthropological Problem"), Dell Hymes ("On Studying the History of Anthropology"), Margaret Hodgen ("Retrospect and Prospect in History"), John Rowe ("The Renaissance Foundations of Anthropology"), George Stocking ("Empathy and Antipathy in the Heart of Darkness" and "Some Problems in the Understanding of Nineteenth-Century Cultural Evolutionism"), and Fred Voget ("Man and Culture: An Essay in Changing Anthropological Interpretation").

Hymes, Dell, ed. Studies in the History of Linguistics: Traditions and Paradigms (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1974) includes, among others, George Stocking, "The Boas Plan for the Study of American Indian Languages" and "Some Comments on History as a Moral Discipline: 'Transcending Textbook Chronicles and Apologetics'."

Lepenies, Wolf, "Eine vergessene Tradition der deutschen Anthropologie: Wissenschaft vom Menschen und Politik bei Georg Forster," Saeculum 24 (1973), 50-78.

Moore, J. H. "The Culture Concept as Ideology," American Ethnologist 1 (1974), 537-549.

Stocking, George W., Jr., ed. The Shaping of American Anthropology, 1883-1911: A Franz Boas Reader (New York: Basic Books, 1974). (see also Darnell and Hymes above).

Development of Ethnology in France

The doctoral dissertation of the late Donald Bender, "Early French Ethnography in Africa and the Development of Ethnology in France" is available in bound mimeographed form from the Department of Anthropology, Ford Hall, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455. The cost, postpaid, is \$1.00 in North America, \$2.00 for overseas subscribers. Checks should be made out to the University of Minnesota.

Bibliography of the History of Anthropology

Don Fowler, Director of the Desert Research Institute, Building 3700, University of Nevada, Reno, NV 89507, is willing to send copies of a seventy-five page bibliography he uses in teaching the history of anthropology to those interested.

RESEARCH IN PROGRESS

FRANZ BOAS AND THE AMERICAN PHYSICAL CHARACTER

Peter Gregg Slater
Dartmouth College

Alarmed at the onslaught on Nazism, concerned with the rescue of European scholars, and anxious to complete as much as possible of his life-long work on the Northwest Coast Indians, Franz Boas in 1940 had a heavy heart and full hands. Nevertheless, Boas found the time and energy to write an article rebutting recent criticisms of an investigation of immigrant families he had conducted three decades earlier. The next year, his penultimate one, he was again publicly defending the "head form" study he had done in 1908-1911 for the U.S. Immigration Commission. Why, in these crisis years, did the octogenarian anthropologist believe that the "head form" study was of such significance as to require the refutation of arguments against its validity? Why, so long after the publication of the results, was it still controversial?