



8-30-2015

Stress Fracture Study Report

Justin Wen

University of Pennsylvania, jwen@seas.upenn.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.upenn.edu/scn_protocols

Wen, Justin, "Stress Fracture Study Report", *Protocols and Reports*. Paper 11.
https://repository.upenn.edu/scn_protocols/11

This paper is posted at ScholarlyCommons. https://repository.upenn.edu/scn_protocols/11
For more information, please contact repository@pobox.upenn.edu.

Stress Fracture Study Report

Keywords

Stress Fracture, SU-8

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Stress Fracture Study Report

Updated on 09/10/2015

Critical Factors

- Reducing the post-exposure bake temperature to 55 °C for 2 hours allows for a reduction in the overall stress fracturing of SU-8 masters.

Table of Contents

1. Goal
2. Materials
3. Equipment
4. Protocol
5. Results

Goal

Test the effect of a lower temperature post-exposure bake on stress fractures.

Materials

- SU-8 2050
- 3 inch diameter silicon wafers
- Transparency photomasks
- Omega 360 nm long pass filter

Equipment

- Laurell spinner
- Hotplate
- ABM mask aligner
- Zeiss Microscope

Protocol

SU-8 spinning

1. Set spin parameters:
 - a. Vacuum = “req”
 - b. Step 1 of 2: 500 rpm, accel = “100”, 30 sec
 - c. Step 2 of 2: 1500 rpm, accel = “300”, 30 sec
 - i. SU-8 spin curve from data sheet suggests thickness will be 120 μm
2. Positioned and centered wafer
3. Poured SU-8 2025 photoresist without air entrapment to ~ 50 mm diameter
4. Spun the wafer
5. Transferred spun wafer to 65 °C hot plate for appropriate soft bake time
6. Transferred spun wafer to 95 °C hot plate for appropriate soft bake time

Resist exposure and development

1. Started the ABM UV lamp (channel A, 365nm). After suitable warmup period, measured bulb exposure power:
 - a. Using power meter set to channel A, measured power through transparency, glass blank, and Omega Optical filter
 - i. Alternatively, a recent power output value can be found in the power output log located in the ABM Operating Procedure binder
 - b. Computed required exposure time based on exposure energy values given on SU-8 data sheets
 - i. $Exposure\ time = \frac{Exposure\ energy\ needed}{ABM\ power\ output}$
2. Positioned wafer and photomask
3. Bring into contact with the Omega Optical filter
4. Exposed wafer
5. Post-exposure bake at 65 °C and then 95 °C for appropriate bake time or at 55 °C for 2 hours
6. Developed in bath of SU-8 developer for 5-10 min with periodic agitation
7. Rinsed with acetone and IPA and nitrogen blow-dried

Results

Normal Post-Exposure Bake




Figure 1: SU-8 master feature using standard PEB per data sheets




Figure 2: Inset of Figure 1

Reduced Temperature Post-Exposure Bake




Figure 3: SU-8 feature using PEB temperature of 55 °C for 2 hours



Figure 4: Inset of Figure 3

Comparing the regular PEB temperature against the reduced PEB, it is evident that there is a large reduction in the stress fracturing in the reduced temperature SU-8 master. The downside to using a lower temperature is the increased time required for the PEB step. The time needed for the PEB can still be optimized and tailored more specifically for different thicknesses. Thinner layers are less affected by PEB temperatures.