



11-2017

Administrative Data in Foster Care: An Aggregate Approach

John T. Halloran

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.upenn.edu/admindata_conferences_presentations_2017

Halloran, John T., "Administrative Data in Foster Care: An Aggregate Approach" (2017). *2017 ADRF Network Research Conference Presentations*. 6.

https://repository.upenn.edu/admindata_conferences_presentations_2017/6

This paper is posted at ScholarlyCommons. https://repository.upenn.edu/admindata_conferences_presentations_2017/6
For more information, please contact repository@pobox.upenn.edu.

Administrative Data in Foster Care: An Aggregate Approach

Administrative Data in Foster Care: *An Aggregate Approach*

John Thomas Halloran
Lewis University— jhalloran2@lewisu.edu
Chapin Hall Center for Children
Center for State Child Welfare Data


Principles of the foster care system and structure of administrative foster care data

- › Foster care is a state intervention to provide care for children who cannot be housed in the home of their parents (typically for reasons of abuse and neglect).
- › At any given time there are approximately 400,000 children in foster care (AFCARS, 2016).
- › Agencies responsible for these interventions track, in minute detail on a case level and daily basis, placements, services, and other activities for each foster placement.
 - › *Risk management*
 - › *Reimbursement*
 - › *Caseload management*

Entries and Exits


- › Children are placed in foster care (*Enter*); stay for some period of time; and then are released from foster care (*Exit*).
- › Nationally, in FY2015 (AFCARS, 2016):
 - › 269,509 children entered care
 - › 243,060 children exited care
 - › A point in time count found 427,910 children in care
 - › Considerable churn in these populations
- › The period of placement in foster care between an entry and an exit is referred to as a “spell.”

University of Chicago
Chapin Hall Center for Children
Center for State Child Welfare Data



University of Chicago
Chapin Hall Center for Children
Center for State Child Welfare Data





Population-based analysis

- The tendency toward individual-level explanations of social phenomena may veil important population-level dynamics.
- Is the behavior (or to what degree is the behavior) of the population reducible to individual-level decisions?
- Are there properties of the population which are not easily explained by aggregating individuals?
- What can population-based analysis reveal about structures which bound individual-level decisions?

Modeling systems through coupled difference equations with annotations

X is the number of entries/admissions; Y is the number of exits/discharges

Future state of variable
(dynamic time series analysis)

Functional relationship between present
state of variable and future state of variable

$$\begin{aligned} X(t+1) &= X(t)[r_x - r_x X(t) - \beta_{x,y} Y(t)] \\ Y(t+1) &= Y(t)[r_y - r_y Y(t) - \beta_{y,x} X(t)] \end{aligned}$$

Rate parameter



Capacity parameter

Coupling: the x variable
occurs in the y equation
(and vice versa)

University of Chicago
Chapin Hall Center for Children
Center for State Child Welfare Data

Capacity and Resource Dependence: An Example from Congregate Care



- › Congregate care is a type of foster care where children are placed in a group setting.
- › Resources in congregate care are relatively inelastic in relation to demand over the short term
 - › It takes time and money to put a bed on line
 - › Fixed costs in built, institutional settings
 - › This inelasticity may produce capacity constraints
 - › Staffing levels must be maintained
 - › Buildings cost money to maintain and operate
- › We can expect to see these constraints manifest themselves within the dynamics of a time series.



University of Chicago
Chapin Hall Center for Children
Center for State Child Welfare Data


Linear Patterning of the System through Lags

- Can we see structure in the aggregate population dynamics?
- CC lags compared to more weakly coupled/null systems



University of Chicago
Chapin Hall Center for Children
Center for State Child Welfare Data

Causality, Weak Coupling, Nonlinearity




Sugihara, et. al, 2012

University of Chicago
Chapin Hall Center for Children
Center for State Child Welfare Data

Convergent Cross Mapping: State-Level Congregate Care

- ▶ Entries cause exits: 0.7979
($p < .001$)
- ▶ Exits cause entries: 0.7660
($p < .001$)



University of Chicago
Chapin Hall Center for Children
Center for State Child Welfare Data

CCM Results: Congregate Care (county-level detail)

Care Type	CCM Coefficient Exits->Entries	CCM coefficient Entries->Exits	Lag (Entries)	ED (Entries)	Lag (Exits)	ED (Exits)
Congregate Care	0.0901***		11	1		
Congregate Care	0.0748**	0.0980***	12	6	8	7
Congregate Care	0.3987***	0.5022***	11	7	2	10
Congregate Care	0.3632***	0.3905***	7	7	9	8
Congregate Care	0.3638***	0.3555***	2	9	6	8
Congregate Care	0.4525***	0.5210***	3	10	4	10
Congregate Care	0.2073***	0.2231***	4	9	4	9

University of Chicago
Chapin Hall Center for Children
Center for State Child Welfare Data

What does this structure tell us?

- Rate of response of a system to stimuli. *Resilience?*
- Existence of capacity constraints within system. *Resources?*
- *Potentially*: Difference between individual- and population-level dynamics. *Policy v. practice?*
- *Potentially*: Short-term forecasting. *Planning?*
- *Potentially*: Opportunities to back findings into parametric models. *Integration?*