Leonard Davis Institute of Health Economics

Volume 13, Number 5 • March/April 2008

Rachel M. Werner, MD, PhD

LDI Senior Fellow, Core Investigator, VA Center for Health Equity Research and Promotion Assistant Professor of Medicine University of Pennsylvania

Eric T. Bradlow, PhD

LDI Senior Fellow, K.P. Chao Professor of Marketing University of Pennsylvania

David A. Asch, MD, MBA

LDI Senior Fellow, Co-Director, VA Center for Health Equity Research and Promotion, Robert D. Eilers Professor of Health Care Management and Economics University of Pennsylvania

Hospital Performance Measures and Quality of Care

Editor's note: Increasingly, quality improvement initiatives emphasize public reporting of hospital performance measures, to encourage providers to improve, to help consumers pick providers, and to determine provider payments. Although these measures are based on compliance with well-established processes of care, it is unknown whether quality measured in this way is correlated with, or predictive of, clinical outcomes. This Issue Brief summarizes studies that examine and quantify the relationship between frequently used measures of hospital performance and hospital mortality.

Most hospitals now report process measures as a requirement for full Medicare payments

Since 2004, nearly all acute care hospitals have participated in the Hospital Quality Alliance (HQA), a public-private partnership that encourages collection and reporting of data on quality of care. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) now links participation in the program to yearly Medicare payment increases, providing a financial incentive for hospitals to report their data.

- Participating hospitals report data on the HQA "starter set" of 10 process
 measures regarding three clinical conditions: heart attack, heart failure, and
 pneumonia. Recently, data on other clinical conditions and patient satisfaction
 have been added to list. These data are available through the CMS website,
 Hospital Compare (www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov/)
- One way that hospital performance measures might lead to improvements in health care quality is if patients and referring physicians use them to choose higher-quality hospitals. However, it is not clear than hospitals that have better performance measures on certain conditions also have better outcomes. It is also not known whether the difference in performance measures among hospitals warrants the distance patients might need to travel, and whether those hospitals could handle the volume of patients who might choose them.

Another way that performance measures could lead to improved quality is if
providers use them to improve their practices and adhere more closely to
standards of care. Clinical studies underlying the measures suggest that improving
the process of care will improve outcomes; however, it is unknown to what extent
the measures are actually correlated with better outcomes across hospitals

Study investigates the relationship between hospital performance measures and mortality rates

Werner and Bradlow sought to determine whether a hospital's performance on the original HQA quality measures could predict hospital mortality rates for Medicare patients admitted with heart attack, heart failure, or pneumonia.

- The study included 3,657 hospitals nationwide that are listed on the CMS website. The researchers used reported data from January through December 2004, and compared hospitals' performance with each hospitals' mortality rates, adjusted for demographic and other risk factors.
- For patients admitted with relevant diagnoses, Medicare claims data were used to calculate risk-adjusted hospital mortality rates at discharge, 30 days, and one year after admission.
- The performance measures included five recommended treatments for heart attack, two for heart failure, and three for pneumonia (see table below). The investigators also calculated composite scores for each condition.

Hospital Quality Alliance Performance Measures (starter set)

Heart Attack

aspirin given on arrival aspirin prescribed at discharge ACE inhibitor drugs given if indicated beta blocker drugs on arrival beta blocker prescribed at discharge

Heart Failure

heart function assessed ACE inhibitor drugs given if indicated

Pneumonia

prompt timing of antibiotics after arrival blood oxygen measured pneumonia vaccination status assessed

Hospital performance measures predict small differences in mortality rates

The investigators compared hospitals at the 25th percentile for performance measures with those at the 75th percentile, and found only small differences in mortality rates between the top- and bottom-rated hospitals.

- Across all performance measures for heart attack, the difference between the topand bottom-rated hospitals was 0.5% for inpatient mortality, 0.6% for 30-day mortality, and 1.2% for 1-year mortality. The difference in 30-day mortality rates for top-rated hospitals for all heart attack measures vs. bottom-rated hospitals for all measures was 1.1%.
- Across all performance measures for heart failure, the difference between the topand bottom-rated hospitals was even smaller, ranging from 0.1% for inpatient mortality to 0.02% for 1-year mortality. There were no significant differences in mortality rates for top-rated hospitals for both heart failures measures vs. those that were bottom-rated for both measures.

- For the pneumonia measures, the difference between the top- and bottom-rated hospitals ranged from 0.1% for 30-day mortality to 0.5% for inpatient mortality. The differences in 30-day mortality rates for top-rated hospitals for all pneumonia measures vs. those that were bottom-rated for all measures was 0.3%.
- Even if patients were willing to choose a hospital based on its performance, only about half of Medicare beneficiaries live within 30 miles of a high-performing hospital. Distances were longer in the Midwest, South, and West compared with the Northeast.

Although differences in mortality rates are small, they are greater than what might be expected from the effects of measured care alone

Hospital performance measures can be associated with better outcomes for two reasons: first, because the care being measured directly leads to better outcomes, and second, because the measures are markers of other elements of quality that remain hidden. Werner, Bradlow, and Asch analyzed their findings to determine whether the 1-year mortality rates they observed were more or less than what would be predicted from clinical studies of the measured care alone.

- Differences in observed mortality rates for heart attack and pneumonia across
 U.S. hospitals were larger than what would be expected if these differences were
 due only to the direct effects. The difference for heart failure was not statistically
 significant.
- For example, the observed mortality differences for most heart attack measures
 were three times larger than what would be expected from the clinical studies
 supporting these measures. This finding suggests that process measures not only
 reflect measured care, but also reflect other hospital qualities that improve
 outcomes. The distinction is important because it is unclear whether improving
 measured care directly will affect these other elements and ultimately improve
 health care quality.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The results suggest that the "starter set" of HQA performance measures are not tightly linked to patient outcomes, which limits their usefulness in identifying high-quality hospitals and in improving patient care.

- These findings should not undermine current efforts to improve quality through hospital performance measurement and reporting. Rather, they should focus attention on the need for different types of measures (structure, process, and outcome) as well as a more complete set of process measures. CMS is moving in this direction, having expanded hospital reporting requirements to 21 measures, and more planned for 2008 and 2009. In July 2007, CMS also started reporting mortality rates for heart attack and heart failure.
- While the process measures that are publicly available on Hospital Compare may
 only predict small differences in mortality rates, consumers may still judge the
 revealed differences in risk as important. They may also value the data for other
 reasons, such as the reassurance it provides regarding the quality of medical care.

Continued on back.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS Continued

CMS is conducting demonstration projects using "pay-for-performance" incentives, in which hospitals are paid a bonus for being in the top 10-20% on performance measures. Additionally, Congress has directed CMS to develop such a program for paying all hospitals by 2009. The uncertainty of how improvements in performance measures will affect patient outcomes raises concerns about the effectiveness of pay-for-performance in improving health care quality.

This Issue Brief is based on the following articles: R.M. Werner, E.T. Bradlow, D.A. Asch. Does hospital performance on process measures directly measure high quality care or is it a marker of unmeasured care? Health Services Research 2008 (published online Dec. 20, 2007, doi:10.1111/j.1475-6773.2007.00817.x,); R. M. Werner, E.T. Bradlow. Relationship between Medicare's Hospital Compare performance measures and mortality rates. Journal of the American Medical Association, December 13, 2006, vol. 296, pp. 2694-2702; R. M. Werner, D.A. Asch. The unintended consequences of publicly reporting quality information. Journal of the American Medical Association, March 9, 2005, vol. 293, pp. 1239-1244.

Published by the Leonard Davis Institute of Health Economics, University of Pennsylvania, 3641 Locust Walk, Philadelphia, PA 19104.

Janet Weiner, MPH, Associate Director for Health Policy, Editor

David A. Asch, MD, MBA, Executive Director

Visit us on the web at www.upenn.edu/ldi

Issue Briefs synthesize the results of research by LDI's Senior Fellows, a consortium of Penn scholars studying medical, economic, and social and ethical issues that influence how health care is organized, financed, managed, and delivered in the United States and internationally. The LDI is a cooperative venture among Penn schools including Dental Medicine, Medicine, Nursing and Wharton, and the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. For additional information on this or other Issue Briefs, contact Janet Weiner (e-mail: weinerja@mail.med.upenn.edu; 215-573-9374).

© 2008 Leonard Davis Institute

shy yd bsheildu Leonard Daritute esintis Economa Salled Health Salled Health Salled Health





VDDKE22 COKKECLION KEGNEZLED

4 Nat 1948 Walk 8 120-40191 A. philadelphilad 1138,898,212 9220,898,212 xnf