Supplementary Online Content													
Beidas RS, Marcus S, Aarons GA, et al. Predictors of community therapists' use of therapy techniques in a large public mental health system. <i>JAMA Pediatr</i> . Published online February 16, 2015. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2014.3736.													
eTable. Zero-Order Correlations Between Predictors and Outcome Variables													
This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.													

eTable. Zero-Order Correlations between Predictors and Outcome Variables

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Dependent Variables													-		-	
Therapist Procedures C	heck	list – Fa	amily Ro	evised												
1. Cognitive-behavioral	1	.58	.48	.13	.26	.21 <sup>a</sup>	06	.01	08	.34	.15	25	24	.21 <sup>a</sup>	.17	.25
2. Psychodynamic a		1	.56	00	.10	00	09	.02	02	.26	.25	38	05	.18 <sup>a</sup>	.16	.13
3. Family <sup>a</sup>			1	09	.10	.11	15	06	.04	.15	.06	30	.00	.29	.21 <sup>a</sup>	.10
Predictor Variables		•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•					
4. Male Gender (male				1	.122	.18 <sup>a</sup>	03	05	18 <sup>a</sup>	.01	.13	12	01	05	.01	.16
vs. female)																
5. Age (years)					1	.66	11	01	19 <sup>a</sup>	07	.11	19 <sup>a</sup>	.05	.07	.02	.18 <sup>a</sup>
6. Clinical Experience						1	.05	.02	11	04	.07	09	.15	14	14	.27
(years)																
7. Salaried							1	13	.14	04	.06	.23	.13	48	63	.02
Employment Status																
(salaried vs. FFS)																
Attitudes																
8. Requirements:								1	.36	.24	07	.07	.08	.12	.15	.08
Extent to which a																
therapist would adopt																
EBP if it were required <sup>c</sup>																
<ol><li>Appeal: Extent to</li></ol>									1	.34	23	.24	01	06	08	.03
which a therapist would																
adopt EBP if it were																
appealing <sup>c</sup>			1													
10. Openness: Extent										1	10	09	10	.13	.15	.19 <sup>a</sup>
to which a therapist is																
open to trying EBP <sup>c</sup>											1	3				
11. Divergence: Extent											1	18 <sup>a</sup>	01	.03	02	02
to which EBP is not																
clinically useful <sup>c</sup>																
Knowledge			1	-	-						-	1		0.4		100
12. Total Knowledge of												1	.09	24	26	.06
EBP for Youth <sup>d</sup>				-							-		1	40	408	100
13. Cumulative Years													1	43	18 <sup>a</sup>	.33
Participating in EBP																
initiatives <sup>e</sup>	<u> </u>															

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
14. Program size														1	.61	30
(Number of therapists)																
15. Percentage of Staff															1	12
that are Employed																
Using a Fee-for-Service																
Model																
Implementation Climate	<del>)</del>															
16. Focus on EBP:																1
Extent to which an																
organization values and																
emphasizes EBP <sup>c</sup>																

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Measured on a continuum from 1-5 where the anchors refer to 1 (rarely); 2 (seldom); 3(sometimes); 4(often); 5(most of the time). Higher scores are indicative of more utilization of the set of techniques. <sup>b</sup>=p<0.05 (two tailed). <sup>c</sup> Measured on a continuum from 0-4 where the anchors refer to 0 (not at all); 1 (slight extent); 2 (moderate extent); 3 (great extent); 4 (very great extent). Higher scores are indicative of more positive attitudes, implementation climate, and implementation leadership. <sup>d</sup> Measured on a continuum from 0-160 where higher scores are indicative of more knowledge of evidence-based services for youth. <sup>e</sup> To calculate this variable, we added up the total number of years spent participating in an EBP initiative. For example, if an agency participated in one initiative for 2 years, and another initiative for 3 years, the total score for this variable would be 5 years. Higher numbers are indicative of more time spent in EBP initiatives. <sup>f</sup> Organizational culture and climate are measured with T-scores with a mean of 50 and standard deviation of 10 based on a normed sample of 100 community mental health clinics. Higher scores on proficiency, engagement, and functionality are reflective of more positive culture or climate. Higher scores on rigidity, resistance, and stress are reflective of more negative culture and climate.

Abbreviation: EBP = Evidence-based practice, FFS = Fee-for-service

eTable. Zero-Order Correlations between Predictors and Outcome Variables (continued).

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Implementation Climate	(contin	ued).				•										
17. Educational support: Extent to which an organization provides educational support for EBP <sup>c</sup>	.31	.25	.24	.25	.17	.22ª	09	.10	01	.22 <sup>a</sup>	03	10	.34	12	04	.82
18. Recognition: Extent to which an organization recognizes staff implementing EBP <sup>c</sup>	.16	.05	00	.20ª	.20ª	.31	.01	.11	.02	.14	.02	.09	.28	29	28	.83
19. Reward: Extent to which an organization financially rewards staff implementing EBP <sup>c</sup>	.21 <sup>a</sup>	.20 <sup>a</sup>	.21 <sup>a</sup>	.27	.19ª	.21 <sup>a</sup>	14	.08	.00	.23	.02	14	.21	07	04	.67
20. Staff selection: Extent to which an organization selects staff based on ability to implement EBP <sup>c</sup>	.22 <sup>a</sup>	.18 <sup>a</sup>	.07	.18 <sup>a</sup>	.11	.23	05	.01	01	.24	.04	09	.28	-36	07	.76
21. Openness: Extent to which an organization is generally open to innovation <sup>c</sup>	.02	.16	.14	.13	04	03	30	.04	01	.06	.08	14	.05	07	.11	.31
Implementation Leader	ship															
22. Proactive: Extent to which leader developed a plan to facilitate EBP implementation <sup>c</sup>	.20	04	01	.07	.11	.22 <sup>a</sup>	04	.02	.02	.08	09	.15	.23	11	03	.60

eTable. Zero-Order Correlations between Predictors and Outcome Variables (continued).

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
23. Knowledgeable: Extent to which leader is knowledgeable about EBP <sup>c</sup>	.12	04	.02	03	.07	.13	11	03	.02	.00	15	.12	.12	02	.07	.43
24. Supportive: Extent to which leader is supportive around EBP implementation <sup>c</sup>	.70	08	.01	00	.10	.19 <sup>a</sup>	07	.02	.01	06	04	.16	.20 <sup>a</sup>	16	04	.60
25. Perseverant: Extent to which leader is perseverant through ups & downs of EBP implementation <sup>c</sup>	.10	07	02	.04	.14	.25	07	01	01	00	05	.16	.20 <sup>a</sup>	17	01	.58
Organizational Social	Contex	t														
26. Proficient culture: Extent to which clinicians are expected to remain knowledgeable & competent <sup>f</sup>	.10	.04	.20 <sup>a</sup>	.07	.07	.09	29	.17	.08	.05	07	03	.38	01	.22 <sup>a</sup>	.54
27. Rigid culture: Extent to which clinicians have little autonomy & discretion <sup>f</sup>	.15	03	05	02	.04	.14	.29	06	04	01	.03	01	.18 <sup>a</sup>	15	19 <sup>a</sup>	.10
28. Resistant culture: Extent to which clinicians are apathetic to change	.36	.19 <sup>a</sup>	.13	.17	.09	.13	.20 <sup>a</sup>	07	12	.15	.03	21 <sup>a</sup>	.27	.02	08	.14
29. Engaged climate: Extent to which clinicians feel like they can accomplish worthwhile things <sup>f</sup>	04	07	.02	06	.03	.12	16	.08	.10	.04	03	.05	.15	19 <sup>a</sup>	.06	.35

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
30. Functional climate: Extent to which clinicians feel like they can function effectively <sup>f</sup>	.17	.10	.17	.12	.10	.13	32	.18	01	.06	.01	7	.30	.04	.20 <sup>a</sup>	.58
31. Stressful climate: Extent to which clinicians are emotionally exhausted	07	.01	.06	11	20 <sup>a</sup>	16	.42	18 <sup>a</sup>	.01	07	.01	.02	.06	10	36	34

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Measured on a continuum from 1-5 where the anchors refer to 1 (rarely); 2 (seldom); 3(sometimes); 4(often); 5(most of the time). Higher scores are indicative of more utilization of the set of techniques. <sup>b</sup>=p<0.05 (two tailed). <sup>c</sup> Measured on a continuum from 0-4 where the anchors refer to 0 (not at all); 1 (slight extent); 2 (moderate extent); 3 (great extent); 4 (very great extent). Higher scores are indicative of more positive attitudes, implementation climate, and implementation leadership. <sup>d</sup> Measured on a continuum from 0-160 where higher scores are indicative of more knowledge of evidence-based services for youth. <sup>e</sup> To calculate this variable, we added up the total number of years spent participating in an EBP initiative. For example, if an agency participated in one initiative for 2 years, and another initiative for 3 years, the total score for this variable would be 5 years. Higher numbers are indicative of more time spent in EBP initiatives. <sup>f</sup> Organizational culture and climate are measured with T-scores with a mean of 50 and standard deviation of 10 based on a normed sample of 100 community mental health clinics. Higher scores on proficiency, engagement, and functionality are reflective of more positive culture or climate. Higher scores on rigidity, resistance, and stress are reflective of more negative culture and climate.

Abbreviation: EBP = Evidence-based practice, FFS = Fee-for-service

Variable	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
17. Educational support: Extent to which an	1	.70	.83	.77	.43	.55	.36	.46	.48	.52	09	.24	.17 <sup>a</sup>	.59	28
organization provides educational support for EBP <sup>c</sup>															
18. Recognition: Extent to which an organization recognizes staff implementing EBP <sup>c</sup>		1	.68	.69	.42	.58	.41	.59	.59	.52	07	01	.48	.60	51
19. Reward: Extent to which an organization financially rewards staff implementing EBP <sup>c</sup>			1	.67	.28	.36	.25	.28	.29	.34	13	.27	.13	.34	21 <sup>a</sup>
20. Staff selection: Extent to which an organization selects staff based on ability to implement EBP <sup>c</sup>				1	.59	.50	.28	.40	.49	.40	15	.07	.39	.50	37
21. Openness: Extent to which an organization is open to innovation <sup>c</sup>					1	.20 <sup>a</sup>	.13	.32	.33	.53	59	49	.35	.74	61
22. Proactive: Extent to which leader developed a plan to facilitate EBP implementation <sup>c</sup>						1	.80	.84	.91	.50	00	05	.42	.54	47
23. Knowledgeable: Extent to which leader is knowledgeable about EBP <sup>c</sup>							1	.83	.86	.41	04	10	.47	.43	41
24. Supportive: Extent to which leader is supportive around EBP implementation <sup>c</sup>								1	.92	.53	11	28	.52	.63	55
25. Perseverant: Extent to which leader is perseverant through ups & downs of EBP implementation <sup>c</sup>									1	.53	11	26	.60	.60	59

Variable	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
26. Proficient culture: Extent										1	19 <sup>a</sup>	25	.65	.87	62
to which clinicians are															
expected to remain															
knowledgeable and															
competent <sup>f</sup>															
27. Rigid culture: Extent to											1	.63	08	36	.51
which clinicians have little															
autonomy and discretion <sup>†</sup>															
28. Resistant culture: Extent												1	34	37	.57
to which clinicians are															
apathetic to change <sup>t</sup>															
29. Engaged climate: Extent													1	.50	54
to which clinicians feel like															
they can accomplish															
worthwhile things <sup>t</sup>															
30. Functional climate:														1	77
Extent to which clinicians															
feel like they can function															
effectively <sup>t</sup>															
31. Stressful climate: Extent															1
to which clinicians are															
emotionally exhausted <sup>t</sup>															

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Measured on a continuum from 1-5 where the anchors refer to 1 (rarely); 2 (seldom); 3(sometimes); 4(often); 5(most of the time). Higher scores are indicative of more utilization of the set of techniques. <sup>b</sup>=p<0.05 (two tailed). <sup>c</sup> Measured on a continuum from 0-4 where the anchors refer to 0 (not at all); 1 (slight extent); 2 (moderate extent); 3 (great extent); 4 (very great extent). Higher scores are indicative of more positive attitudes, implementation climate, and implementation leadership. <sup>d</sup> Measured on a continuum from 0-160 where higher scores are indicative of more knowledge of evidence-based services for youth. <sup>e</sup> To calculate this variable, we added up the total number of years spent participating in an EBP initiative. For example, if an agency participated in one initiative for 2 years, and another initiative for 3 years, the total score for this variable would be 5 years. Higher numbers are indicative of more time spent in EBP initiatives. <sup>f</sup> Organizational culture and climate are measured with T-scores with a mean of 50 and standard deviation of 10 based on a normed sample of 100 community mental health clinics. Higher scores on proficiency, engagement, and functionality are reflective of more positive culture or climate. Higher scores on rigidity, resistance, and stress are reflective of more negative culture and climate.

Abbreviation: EBP = Evidence-based practice, FFS = Fee-for-service