

ADJUSTMENT FOR AREA COMPARABILITY OF STATISTICS ON FAMILY INCOME
FROM THE 1960 AND 1950 CENSUSES OF POPULATION:
MAJOR CITIES AND THEIR STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS

by

Ann Ratner Miller

and

Bension Varon



UNIVERSITY of PENNSYLVANIA

Population Studies Center

PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19104

Analytical and Technical Reports
Number 3

HA
214
P4
Mo. 3

CENTER FOR DEMOGRAPHY
AND ECOLOGY
Department of Sociology
Social Science Building
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

Adjustment for Area Comparability of
Statistics on Family Income from the
1960 and 1950 Censuses of Population:
Major Cities and their Standard
Metropolitan Statistical Areas

Ann Ratner Miller
and
Bension Varon

Technical Paper No. 3

Population Studies Center
University of Pennsylvania
December, 1962

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction	2
Procedure Used in Estimating Income in 1959 for Families Living in Areas Annexed to Large Cities between the 1950 and 1960 Censuses of Population	10
TABLE 1 - Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities, and Rings	16
TABLE 2 - Income in 1959 of Families: Cities according to 1950 and 1960 City Boundaries	35
TABLE 3 - Income in 1949 of Families: Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas according to 1950 and 1960 Definitions	43

INTRODUCTION

This is the third in a series of reports dealing with adjustments of census data to take account of changes in the geographic boundaries of certain large urban areas between the 1950 and 1960 censuses of population. The first two reports¹ presented summarizations of unpublished tabulations from the 1960 Census showing some basic demographic characteristics of the populations living in areas annexed to large cities during the previous decade. The comparable data for cities in the present report differ from those in the earlier reports in that they are estimates rather than the results of direct census enumeration. This report also differs from the earlier ones in presenting adjusted data for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas² and, as a residual, for rings surrounding the large central cities. The statistics for SMSA's are not estimates, however, but merely rearrangements of published census figures for 1950 to comply with changes in the counties included in specific SMSA's between the two censuses.

Preparation of this technical paper was made possible by a grant from the Ford Foundation for analyses of 1960 census data bearing on migration to urban areas in the nation.

Grateful acknowledgment is made to the staff of the Population Studies Center and especially to Lydia F. Christaldi, Joseph H. Henry and Margaret V. Wheeler.

¹ Technical Paper No. 1, Population in 1960 of Areas Annexed to Large Cities of the United States between 1950 and 1960 by Age, Sex and Color (November 1961) and Technical Paper No. 2, Population in 1960 of Areas Annexed to Large Cities of the United States between 1950 and 1960 by Household Relationship and Marital Status (August 1962).

² A Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area is a county or group of contiguous counties containing one or more large cities; for a discussion of the criteria used in establishing these Areas, see U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1960, General Social and Economic Characteristics, United States Summary, Final Report PC(1)-1C, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1962, pg. x.

Table 1 shows the distribution of families by income class in 1959 and in 1949 for SMSA's, their central cities, and their rings, with geographic boundaries held constant in 1950 and 1960. In other words, this is the series incorporating all adjustments and estimates. Included are all cities of 250,000 or more population in 1960 (plus Nashville, Tenn.) for which there were either annexations¹ to the central city or changes in the boundaries of the Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area or both, between the 1950 and 1960 Censuses of Population. For cities with annexations the data for both 1950 and 1960 in Table 1 refer to the 1950 city limits; for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas with changing geographic boundaries the data for both dates refer to the 1960 boundaries. In each case, the ring is the residual - it is always a constant area but, for the several places in which both the city and SMSA boundaries changed, it is an area different from that included in either the 1950 or the 1960 Censuses. If we had adjusted the 1960 data for SMSA's to the 1950 definition instead of the 1950 data to the 1960 definition we could, of course, have avoided this situation, since all areas would have been defined as in 1950. For our overall purpose, however, the use of 1960 definitions of SMSA's is preferable.

Table 2 shows the distribution of families by income class in 1959 for the 29 cities with annexations. The first column for each city is the distribution as published in the 1960 Census volumes; the second column is the estimated distribution for families living in areas annexed to the city during the decade; and the third is the residual, that is, the

¹ A few cities with very minor annexations are excluded; see introduction to Technical Paper No. 1, op. cit.

estimated distribution of families living within the 1950 city limits in 1960. The derivation of the estimates presented in the second column is described in a separate section.

Table 3 presents 1950 data for Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas adjusted to the definitions of the SMSA's in use in 1960. These data were all assembled from the published volumes of the 1950 Census of Population.

The Population Studies Center's original interest in adjusting city data for boundary changes was occasioned by the need for a constant geographic area in constructing estimates of net intercensal migration to large urban centers. These estimates are being developed in connection with a study of metropolitan growth and the accompanying changes in population composition and characteristics. It soon became obvious that the characteristics of populations living in areas annexed to large cities during the decade differed markedly from those of the populations living within the 1950 city limits. In general, as our previous reports indicated, "annexed" populations were younger, had substantially higher proportions of white persons, and were more likely to be living in families. The data of the present report contribute further evidence of the differences between these two segments of the cities' populations. In each of the 29 cities, median income in 1959 was higher for families living in the annexed portion than for those living within the "old" city; and in several instances the number of families and the income differentials involved were substantial enough to make significant differences in the overall distribution for the city.

Also affected, of course, is the relationship between the central city and the rest of its Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area, that is,

the ring. As Table A shows, our estimates indicate that annexations have not only raised the median family income in the cities, they have also decreased the medians for the suburbs of all except Columbus and Nashville. In other words, "annexed" families are generally more prosperous than their fellows in either the city or the suburbs. The differential in income and the numbers of families affected were sufficient to change city medians below the suburban to levels above the suburban for nine of these cities - Dallas, El Paso, Memphis, Omaha, Phoenix, San Diego, Seattle, Tampa, and Tulsa - and in most instances the effect on the median was probably beyond the range of error possible from the estimating procedure.

Table A

Median Family Income in 1949 and 1959 for Large
Cities with Annexations between 1950 and 1960
and their Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas^(a)

<u>Area</u>	<u>Income in 1949</u>	<u>Income in 1959</u>	
		Within 1950 boundaries	Within 1960 boundaries
Atlanta, Ga.			
SMSA	\$2,886	\$5,758	\$5,758
City	\$2,708	\$4,182	\$5,029
Ring	\$3,059	\$6,376	\$6,320
Birmingham, Ala.			
SMSA	\$2,760	\$5,103	\$5,103
City	\$2,847	\$4,826	\$4,947
Ring	\$2,653	\$5,398	\$5,283
Cincinnati, Ohio			
SMSA	\$3,358	\$6,318	\$6,318
City	\$3,218	\$5,673	\$5,701
Ring	\$3,513	\$6,803	\$6,793
Columbus, Ohio			
SMSA	\$3,769	\$6,425	\$6,425
City	\$3,696	\$5,789	\$5,982
Ring	\$3,999	\$7,354	\$7,455
Dallas, Texas			
SMSA	\$3,248	\$5,925	\$5,925
City	\$3,566	\$5,857	\$5,976
Ring	\$2,733	\$5,968	\$5,844
Dayton, Ohio			
SMSA	\$3,718	\$6,687	\$6,687
City	\$3,768	\$6,144	\$6,266
Ring	\$3,674	\$6,921	\$6,913
Denver, Colo.			
SMSA	\$3,481	\$6,551	\$6,551
City	\$3,599	\$6,185	\$6,361
Ring	\$3,244	\$6,876	\$6,749
El Paso, Texas			
SMSA	\$3,051	\$5,157	\$5,157
City	\$3,118	\$4,594	\$5,211
Ring	\$2,899	\$5,663	\$4,758

Table A (cont.)

<u>Area</u>	<u>Income in 1949</u>	<u>Income in 1959</u>	
		<u>Within 1950 boundaries</u>	<u>Within 1960 boundaries</u>
Fort Worth, Texas			
SMSA	\$3,218	\$5,617	\$5,617
City	\$3,342	\$5,230	\$5,484
Ring	\$2,937	\$5,967	\$5,794
Houston, Texas			
SMSA	\$3,509	\$6,040	\$6,040
City	\$3,420	\$5,496	\$5,902
Ring	\$3,744	\$6,627	\$6,430
Indianapolis, Ind.			
SMSA	\$3,671	\$6,609	\$6,609
City	\$3,607	\$5,905	\$6,106
Ring	\$3,875	\$7,768	\$7,667
Kansas City, Mo.			
SMSA	\$3,438	\$6,317	\$6,317
City	\$3,439	\$5,766	\$5,906
Ring	\$3,437	\$6,676	\$6,631
Long Beach, Calif.			
SMSA	\$3,667	\$7,078 ^(b)	\$7,066 ^(b)
Los Angeles City	\$3,605	\$6,896	\$6,896
Long Beach City	\$3,619	\$6,174	\$6,570
Ring	\$3,724	\$7,270	\$7,206
Louisville, Ky.			
SMSA	\$3,231	\$5,758	\$5,758
City	\$3,189	\$4,934	\$5,280
Ring	\$3,309	\$6,416	\$6,303
Memphis, Tenn.			
SMSA	\$2,785	\$4,903	\$4,903
City	\$2,870	\$4,531	\$4,915
Ring	\$2,248	\$5,719	\$4,849
Milwaukee, Wisc.			
SMSA	\$3,909	\$6,995	\$6,995
City	\$3,817	\$6,504	\$6,664
Ring	\$4,172	\$7,693	\$7,620
Nashville, Tenn.			
SMSA	\$2,895	\$5,332	\$5,332
City	\$2,495	\$3,805	\$3,816
Ring	\$3,415	\$6,290	\$6,339
Norfolk, Va.			
SMSA	\$3,086	\$5,071	\$5,071
City	\$3,110	\$4,552	\$4,894
Ring	\$3,071	\$5,299	\$5,229

Table A (cont.)

<u>Area</u>	<u>Income in 1949</u>	<u>Income in 1959</u>	
		<u>Within 1950 boundaries</u>	<u>Within 1960 boundaries</u>
Oklahoma City, Okla.			
SMSA	\$3,139	\$5,601	\$5,601
City	\$3,271	\$5,467	\$5,600
Ring	\$2,652	\$5,730	\$5,604
Omaha, Nebraska			
SMSA	\$3,424	\$6,221	\$6,221
City	\$3,501	\$6,103	\$6,315
Ring	\$3,258	\$6,374	\$6,036
Phoenix, Arizona			
SMSA	\$2,898	\$5,896	\$5,896
City	\$3,205	\$5,365	\$6,117
Ring	\$2,760	\$6,001	\$5,379
Portland, Oregon			
SMSA	\$3,537	\$6,340	\$6,340
City	\$3,738	\$6,295	\$6,335
Ring	\$3,322	\$6,372	\$6,344
San Antonio, Texas			
SMSA	\$2,757	\$4,766	\$4,766
City	\$2,723	\$4,250	\$4,691
Ring	\$2,980	\$5,871	\$5,424
San Diego, California			
SMSA	\$3,472	\$6,545	\$6,545
City	\$3,568	\$6,522	\$6,614
Ring	\$3,318	\$6,565	\$6,465
Seattle, Washington			
SMSA	\$3,754	\$6,896	\$6,896
City	\$3,949	\$6,833	\$6,942
Ring	\$3,563	\$6,935	\$6,853
Tampa, Florida			
SMSA	\$2,452	\$4,490	\$4,490
City	\$2,446	\$4,019	\$4,667
Ring	\$2,456	\$4,575	\$4,395
Toledo, Ohio			
SMSA	\$3,943	\$6,533	\$6,533
City	\$3,970	\$6,182	\$6,299
Ring	\$3,861	\$7,177	\$7,034
Tulsa, Oklahoma			
SMSA	\$3,243	\$5,729	\$5,729
City	\$3,542	\$5,544	\$6,229
Ring	\$2,652	\$5,832	\$4,958

<u>Area</u>	<u>Income in 1949</u>	<u>Income in 1959</u>	
		<u>Within 1950 boundaries</u>	<u>Within 1960 boundaries</u>
Wichita, Kans.			
SMSA	\$3,356	\$6,166	\$6,166
City	\$3,451	\$5,825	\$6,121
Ring	\$3,058	\$6,503	\$6,287

- (a) The 1960 definition of the Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area is used for all places in this table.
- (b) The slight discrepancy between these two medians results from differences in the class intervals in the base distribution from which they were computed.

Sources: Columns 1 and 2 from Table 1; Col. 3 from U. S. Bureau of the Census, U. S. Census of Population: 1960, General Social and Economic Characteristics, Final Reports, PC(1)-Series C, Table 76 for each state.

Procedure Used in Estimating Income in 1959
for Families Living in Areas Annexed to Large
Cities between the 1950 and 1960 Censuses of Population

The estimates of income in 1959 for families living in annexed areas were derived from the data on family income published in the Census Tract Reports for individual cities (Series PHC(1) of the 1960 Census of Population) taken in conjunction with unpublished listings of "annexed" enumeration districts by census tract. Table 3 of the Population Studies Center's Technical Paper No. 2¹ lists the specific 1960 census tracts in each city that contained areas annexed during the previous decade, together with the proportion of tract populations with certain characteristics that were annexed. Thus, for example, 13 of the 26 tracts containing annexed territory in El Paso, Texas, were entirely composed of areas that had been outside the city limits in 1950, whereas the other 13 included some enumeration districts that lay within the 1950 city boundary and some that lay outside the 1950 but inside the 1960 boundary; that is, the first group are "wholly annexed tracts" and the second are "partially annexed tracts." For the wholly annexed tracts data may be posted directly from Table P-1 of the census tract report for El Paso.² For each partially annexed tract we assumed that the distribution of families by income class was the same for the annexed portion as for the tract total; and we further assumed that the proportion of all heads of primary families in the tract living in the annexed portion of the

¹ Op. cit., pp. 31-74.

² U. S. Bureau of the Census. U.S. Census of Population and Housing: 1960. Census Tracts. Final Report PHC(1)-43. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1962.

tract represented the proportion of families in the tract living in the annexed area. An illustration may help to clarify this:

Tract 0034 in El Paso contained 2,094 heads of primary families.¹ Of these, 966 lived in enumeration districts that were annexed to the city between 1950 and 1960;² that is, 46.1³ percent of all heads of primary families in the tract lived in annexed areas. We applied this percentage to the distribution of all families by income class published for Tract 0034 in the El Paso census tract report:

Income in 1959	Families living in Tract 0034	Estimated "annexed" families living in Tract 0034
Under \$1,000	59	27
\$1,000 - \$1,999	33	15
\$2,000 - \$2,999	53	24
\$3,000 - \$3,999	121	56
\$4,000 - \$4,999	160	74
\$5,000 - \$5,999	237	109
\$6,000 - \$6,999	234	108
\$7,000 - \$7,999	246	113
\$8,000 - \$8,999	238	110
\$9,000 - \$9,999	193	89
\$10,000 - \$14,999	419	193
\$15,000 - \$24,999	101	47
\$25,000 and over	4	2
All families	2,098	967

The slight discrepancy between the number of heads of primary families and the number of families reported for Tract 0034 probably results from the fact that the first is based on a complete count of persons in

¹ Ibid., p. 15.

² The source for this figure of 966 is the unpublished tabulation from the Bureau of the Census that was used in assembling our Technical Paper No. 2.

³ Cf. Technical Paper No. 2, op. cit., p. 42.

the 1960 Census whereas the second is based on a 25 percent sample. Conceptually, there is some difference between heads of primary families and heads of all families¹ but in actual fact the two are probably virtually identical in areas such as those under consideration here.

The procedure outlined for Tract 0034 was followed for each partially annexed tract and then the estimates were summed and combined with data for wholly annexed tracts to obtain the estimated distribution for annexed areas.

Obviously, the range of possible error in these estimates will differ among the cities. One indication of this range is the proportion of the final distribution that was not estimated - the range is smaller for Seattle where 92.6 percent of "annexed" heads of primary families were living in wholly annexed tracts than for Portland, where only 16.1 percent were in wholly annexed tracts.² In the example we are using, El Paso, 69.8 percent are in wholly annexed tracts; the relation between the known and the estimated quantities for El Paso is shown in Table B: column (3) presents the sum of the estimates for the 13 partially annexed tracts done in the manner outlined above for Tract 0034; col. (2) presents the sum of the known distributions for the wholly annexed tracts; and column (4) presents the final estimate of the distribution of families living in all annexed areas.

¹ Primary families are defined by the Census Bureau as "families with a household head as the family head" (see, for example, U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1960, Detailed Characteristics, Alabama, Final Report PC(1) 2D, p. xvii).

² Cf. Technical Paper No. 2, op. cit., Table 5.

TABLE B

Range of Estimates of Income in 1959 of Families Living in
Annexed Areas and Families Living within 1950 Boundary:
El Paso, Texas

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Income level	Total within 1960 city limit	Total in wholly annexed tracts	PSC est. for partially annexed tracts	Est. within annexed area			Est. within 1950 bndry.		
				PSC est.	Upper limit	Lower limit	PSC est.	Lower limit	Upper limit
Under \$1,000	2,724	537	269	806	603	990	1,918	2,121	1,734
\$1,000 to \$1,999	4,395	755	409	1,164	884	1,338	3,231	3,511	3,057
\$2,000 to \$2,999	6,880	1,313	755	2,068	1,746	2,376	4,812	5,134	4,504
\$3,000 to \$3,999	8,278	2,085	1,073	3,158	2,970	3,370	5,120	5,308	4,908
\$4,000 to \$4,999	7,811	2,544	1,068	3,612	3,685	3,766	4,199	4,126	4,045
\$5,000 to \$5,999	7,667	2,610	1,142	3,752	3,786	3,977	3,915	3,881	3,690
\$6,000 to \$6,999	5,993	2,385	923	3,308	3,268	3,537	2,685	2,725	2,456
\$7,000 to \$7,999	4,605	1,835	760	2,595	2,529	2,571	2,010	2,076	2,034
\$8,000 to \$8,999	3,874	1,623	556	2,179	2,316	1,920	1,695	1,558	1,954
\$9,000 to \$9,999	2,838	1,148	395	1,543	1,624	1,287	1,295	1,214	1,551
\$10,000 to \$14,999	5,878	2,149	855	3,004	3,242	2,380	2,874	2,636	3,498
\$15,000 to \$24,999	1,803	557	259	816	1,152	591	987	651	1,212
\$25,000 and over	669	158	102	260	460	162	409	209	507
All families	63,415	19,699	8,566	28,265	28,265	28,265	35,150	35,150	35,150
Median income	\$5,211	\$6,003	\$5,621	\$5,886	\$6,140	\$5,576	\$4,594	\$4,364	\$4,834

Source: Columns (1), (4), and (7) from Table 2; for other columns see text.

Note: Col. (4) = col. (2) + col. (3); col. (7) = col. (1) - col. (4); col. (8) = col. (1) - col. (5);
col. (9) = col. (1) - col. (6)

The components of column (3) are the possible sources of error and the range can be established by setting the upper and lower limit of this column. If we assume that the 967¹ families in Tract 0034, discussed above, are all concentrated at the upper end of the tract distribution, that is, that 4 have incomes of \$25,000 or more, 101 have incomes in the class \$15,000 to \$24,999, etc.; and if we make the same assumption for "annexed" families in each partially annexed tract, we will then have the estimates for the upper extreme. Combining these estimates with the known distributions for wholly annexed tracts produces column (5) - the highest possible estimate for families living in annexed areas in El Paso. The reverse procedure, that is, assigning "annexed" families to the lowest class frequencies in partially annexed tracts, will produce the lowest possible estimate - as in column (6). For El Paso the highest possible median income for "annexed" families, \$6,140, is about 10 percent greater than the lowest, \$5,576; and even the lowest is still some 7 percent greater than the total for the city in 1960. The final estimate, \$5,886, is slightly closer to the upper than to the lower limit, indicating that higher proportions of high income than of low income (relatively speaking) tracts were annexed.

The estimate that in general will be most useful is not, however, this estimated distribution in "annexed" areas but, rather, the estimate derived in the next step, that is, the distribution in 1960 of families living within the 1950 boundary of the city, as shown in columns (7), (8) and (9) of Table B. For El Paso the range among these three

¹ The 967 families are also an estimate, of course, but can be treated as a known quantity in the present context.

distributions is of about the same relative magnitude as among columns (4), (5) and (6), owing to the fact that nearly one half (44.9 percent)¹ of El Paso's 1960 population was living in "annexed" areas. For most cities "annexations" are a considerably smaller proportion and therefore the range of possible error would be smaller for the "within 1950 boundary" estimate than for the "within annexed area" estimate.

¹ Cf. op. cit., Technical Paper No. 1, p. iv.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	SMSA		City		Ring	
	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960

Atlanta, Ga.(a)(b)

Under \$1,000	25,120	11,185	11,455	4,953	13,665	6,232
\$1,000 to \$1,999	32,170	17,766	17,450	8,864	14,720	8,902
\$2,000 to \$2,999	34,775	24,168	16,915	12,036	17,860	12,132
\$3,000 to \$3,999	29,570	26,183	12,855	11,095	16,715	15,088
\$4,000 to \$4,999	18,955	26,968	8,325	8,472	10,630	18,496
\$5,000 to \$5,999	13,415	28,670	5,610	7,506	7,805	21,164
\$6,000 to \$6,999	7,480	25,555	3,385	5,663	4,095	19,892
\$7,000 to \$9,999	8,730	52,019	3,870	10,781	4,860	41,238
\$10,000 and over	6,020	43,469	1,905	7,613	4,115	35,856
Total reporting	176,235	255,983	81,770	76,983	94,465	179,000
Not reported	11,400	--	5,090	--	6,310	--
All families	187,635	255,983	86,860	76,983	100,775	179,000
Median income	\$2,886	\$5,758	\$2,708	\$4,182	\$3,059	\$6,376

Baltimore, Md.(b)

Under \$1,000	32,180	15,183	23,880	10,426	8,300	4,757
\$1,000 to \$1,999	37,495	20,035	27,115	14,382	10,380	5,653
\$2,000 to \$2,999	65,115	26,082	45,990	17,685	19,125	8,397
\$3,000 to \$3,999	70,805	36,296	46,985	24,147	23,820	12,149
\$4,000 to \$4,999	42,995	47,486	28,640	28,016	14,355	19,470
\$5,000 to \$5,999	28,680	56,755	19,455	30,172	9,225	26,583
\$6,000 to \$6,999	16,275	49,641	10,950	24,449	5,325	25,192
\$7,000 to \$9,999	19,840	97,893	13,860	45,515	5,980	52,378
\$10,000 and over	10,745	74,109	7,440	34,277	3,305	39,832
Total reporting	324,130	423,480	224,315	229,069	99,815	194,411
Not reported	25,840	--	17,705	--	8,135	--
All families	349,970	423,480	242,020	229,069	107,950	194,411
Median income	\$3,385	\$6,199	\$3,323	\$5,659	\$3,508	\$6,802

(a) Change in city boundary between 1950 and 1960.

(b) Change in definition of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area between 1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	SMSA		City		Ring	
	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960
<u>Birmingham, Ala. (a)</u>						
Under \$1,000	18,970	11,821	10,840	5,988	8,130	5,833
\$1,000 to \$1,999	23,680	13,937	14,285	8,080	9,395	5,857
\$2,000 to \$2,999	32,830	15,283	18,065	9,031	14,765	6,252
\$3,000 to \$3,999	24,395	17,341	14,855	9,915	9,540	7,426
\$4,000 to \$4,999	13,610	19,249	9,070	10,062	4,540	9,187
\$5,000 to \$5,999	8,460	19,594	5,570	10,125	2,890	9,469
\$6,000 to \$6,999	4,870	15,554	3,150	7,825	1,720	7,729
\$7,000 to \$9,999	5,120	26,705	3,380	13,396	1,740	13,309
\$10,000 and over	3,265	19,819	1,650	8,232	1,615	11,587
Total reporting	135,200	159,303	80,865	82,654	54,335	76,649
Not reported	6,530	--	4,190	--	2,340	--
All families	141,730	159,303	85,055	82,654	56,675	76,649
Median income	\$2,760	\$5,103	\$2,847	\$4,826	\$2,653	\$5,398
<u>Cincinnati, Ohio (a)</u>						
Under \$1,000	24,240	10,361	15,475	6,414	8,765	3,947
\$1,000 to \$1,999	24,090	14,124	15,245	8,771	8,845	5,353
\$2,000 to \$2,999	43,730	16,116	24,985	9,333	18,745	6,783
\$3,000 to \$3,999	49,940	21,334	26,285	12,111	23,655	9,223
\$4,000 to \$4,999	30,260	28,161	15,155	14,475	15,105	13,686
\$5,000 to \$5,999	18,965	35,966	9,725	16,038	9,240	19,928
\$6,000 to \$6,999	9,715	32,106	5,060	12,860	4,655	19,246
\$7,000 to \$9,999	11,010	64,707	5,995	24,391	5,015	40,316
\$10,000 and over	7,915	49,667	4,970	19,397	2,945	30,270
Total reporting	219,865	272,542	122,895	123,790	96,970	148,752
Not reported	22,185	--	11,915	--	10,270	--
All families	242,050	272,542	134,810	123,790	107,240	148,752
Median income	\$3,358	\$6,318	\$3,218	\$5,673	\$3,513	\$6,803

(a) Change in city boundary between 1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	SMSA		City		Ring	
	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960

Chicago, Ill. (b)

Under \$1,000	90,065	42,489	68,725	30,973	21,340	11,516
\$1,000 to \$1,999	82,375	56,688	63,090	42,184	19,285	14,504
\$2,000 to \$2,999	178,455	69,259	135,515	50,057	42,940	19,202
\$3,000 to \$3,999	272,400	86,353	195,415	61,793	76,985	24,560
\$4,000 to \$4,999	208,955	120,517	145,370	83,224	63,585	37,293
\$5,000 to \$5,999	160,180	175,638	111,445	110,298	48,735	65,340
\$6,000 to \$6,999	96,350	180,929	66,625	103,098	29,725	77,831
\$7,000 to \$9,999	118,155	441,348	79,895	233,917	38,260	207,431
\$10,000 and over	75,370	409,322	43,920	193,660	31,450	215,662
Total reporting	1,282,305	1,582,543	910,000	909,204	372,305	673,339
Not reported	79,580	--	53,260	--	26,320	--
All families	1,361,885	1,582,543	963,260	909,204	398,625	673,339
Median income	\$4,085	\$7,405	\$3,960	\$6,738	\$4,403	\$8,251

Chicago, Ill.- Northwestern Indiana
Standard Consolidated Area (b)

Under \$1,000	96,845	46,270	68,725	30,973	28,120	15,297
\$1,000 to \$1,999	88,370	61,770	63,090	42,184	25,280	19,586
\$2,000 to \$2,999	194,030	75,933	135,515	50,057	58,515	25,876
\$3,000 to \$3,999	297,790	96,133	195,415	61,793	102,375	34,340
\$4,000 to \$4,999	228,460	135,553	145,370	83,224	83,090	52,329
\$5,000 to \$5,999	171,275	195,882	111,445	110,298	59,830	85,584
\$6,000 to \$6,999	102,535	200,056	66,625	103,098	35,910	96,958
\$7,000 to \$9,999	125,210	477,543	79,895	233,917	45,315	243,626
\$10,000 and over	78,480	435,544	43,920	193,660	34,560	241,884
Total reporting	1,382,995	1,724,684	910,000	909,204	472,995	815,480
Not reported	83,930	--	53,260	--	30,670	--
All families	1,466,925	1,724,684	963,260	909,204	503,665	815,480
Median income	\$4,063	\$7,318	\$3,960	\$4,403	\$4,267	\$7,957

(b) Change in definition of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area between
1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	SMSA		City		Ring	
	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960
<u>Columbus, Ohio^(a)</u>						
Under \$1,000	7,620	5,485	5,915	4,007	1,705	1,478
\$1,000 to \$1,999	10,850	8,027	8,185	6,072	2,665	1,955
\$2,000 to \$2,999	21,510	9,622	16,520	6,975	4,990	2,647
\$3,000 to \$3,999	25,950	12,837	19,745	9,071	6,205	3,766
\$4,000 to \$4,999	19,515	17,597	14,765	11,609	4,750	5,988
\$5,000 to \$5,999	12,755	22,209	9,505	13,334	3,250	8,875
\$6,000 to \$6,999	7,380	20,750	5,360	11,488	2,020	9,262
\$7,000 to \$9,999	8,895	41,375	6,085	21,287	2,810	20,088
\$10,00 and over	5,375	31,294	2,640	12,663	2,735	18,631
Total reporting	119,850	169,196	88,720	96,506	31,130	72,690
Not reported	3,650	--	6,670	--	1,980	--
All families	128,500	169,196	95,390	96,506	33,110	72,690
Median income	\$3,769	\$6,425	\$3,696	\$5,789	\$3,999	\$7,354
<u>Dallas, Texas^{(a)(b)}</u>						
Under \$1,000	22,170	11,836	9,820	4,929	12,350	6,907
\$1,000 to \$1,999	28,615	18,680	14,135	8,179	14,480	10,501
\$2,000 to \$2,999	37,155	21,869	21,265	10,774	15,890	11,095
\$3,000 to \$3,999	35,570	27,453	23,090	13,624	12,480	13,829
\$4,000 to \$4,999	24,610	31,038	17,155	14,439	7,455	16,599
\$5,000 to \$5,999	16,425	32,746	11,905	15,252	4,520	17,494
\$6,000 to \$6,999	9,435	28,795	6,940	12,914	2,495	15,881
\$7,000 to \$9,999	10,765	59,873	7,255	27,272	3,510	32,601
\$10,000 and over	8,775	50,036	5,005	23,202	3,770	26,834
Total reporting	193,520	282,326	116,570	130,585	76,950	151,741
Not reported	9,665	--	5,930	--	3,735	--
All families	203,185	282,326	122,500	130,585	80,685	151,741
Median income	\$3,248	\$5,925	\$3,566	\$5,875	\$2,733	\$5,968

(a) Change in city boundary between 1950 and 1960.

(b) Change in definition of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area between 1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	SMSA		City		Ring	
	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960
<u>Dayton, Ohio</u> ^{(a)(b)}						
Under \$1,000	10,455	5,263	5,300	2,331	5,155	2,932
\$1,000 to \$1,999	10,515	8,218	4,980	3,805	5,535	4,413
\$2,000 to \$2,999	21,495	9,214	10,225	4,056	11,270	5,158
\$3,000 to \$3,999	31,200	10,939	14,515	4,536	16,685	6,403
\$4,000 to \$4,999	21,040	15,757	10,275	5,770	10,765	9,987
\$5,000 to \$5,999	14,330	23,042	7,380	7,328	6,950	15,714
\$6,000 to \$6,999	8,200	23,097	4,315	6,970	3,885	16,127
\$7,000 to \$9,999	8,350	46,953	4,540	13,834	3,810	33,119
\$10,000 and over	4,135	34,102	1,765	9,033	2,370	25,069
Total reporting	129,720	176,585	63,295	57,663	66,425	118,922
Not reported	7,205	--	2,660	--	4,545	--
All families	136,925	176,585	65,955	57,663	70,970	118,922
Median income	\$3,718	\$6,687	\$3,768	\$6,144	\$3,674	\$6,921
<u>Denver, Colo.</u> ^{(a)(b)}						
Under \$1,000	13,655	6,101	9,130	3,468	4,525	2,633
\$1,000 to \$1,999	17,355	10,126	10,880	6,285	6,475	3,841
\$2,000 to \$2,999	28,690	14,394	18,475	8,685	10,215	5,709
\$3,000 to \$3,999	34,170	17,548	22,870	9,848	11,300	7,700
\$4,000 to \$4,999	22,230	23,286	15,420	12,687	6,810	10,599
\$5,000 to \$5,999	13,910	29,342	10,315	14,240	3,595	15,102
\$6,000 to \$6,999	7,880	28,958	6,045	13,642	1,835	15,316
\$7,000 to \$9,999	8,420	59,462	6,480	26,198	1,940	33,264
\$10,000 and over	5,990	44,268	4,750	20,421	1,240	23,847
Total reporting	152,300	233,485	104,365	115,474	47,935	118,011
Not reported	8,290	--	6,160	--	2,130	--
All families	160,590	233,485	110,525	115,474	50,065	118,011
Median income	\$3,481	\$6,551	\$3,599	\$6,185	\$3,244	\$6,876

(a) Change in city boundary between 1950 and 1960.

(b) Change in definition of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area between 1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	SMSA		City		Ring	
	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960
<u>El Paso, Texas^(a)</u>						
Under \$1,000	4,960	3,023	3,400	1,918	1,560	1,105
\$1,000 to \$1,999	7,235	4,859	4,815	3,231	2,420	1,628
\$2,000 to \$2,999	8,640	7,476	6,255	4,812	2,385	2,664
\$3,000 to \$3,999	7,595	9,072	5,325	5,120	2,270	3,952
\$4,000 to \$4,999	4,785	9,002	3,480	4,199	1,305	4,803
\$5,000 to \$5,999	3,205	8,493	2,370	3,915	835	4,578
\$6,000 to \$6,999	2,195	6,538	1,645	2,685	550	3,853
\$7,000 to \$9,999	2,255	12,204	1,780	5,000	475	7,204
\$10,000 and over	1,580	8,861	1,130	4,270	450	4,591
Total reporting	42,450	69,528	30,200	35,150	12,250	34,378
Not reported	1,915	--	1,345	--	570	--
All families	44,365	69,528	31,545	35,150	12,820	34,378
Median income	\$3,051	\$5,157	\$3,118	\$4,594	\$2,899	\$5,663
<u>Fort Worth, Texas^{(a)(b)}</u>						
Under \$1,000	11,095	6,694	7,155	4,335	3,940	2,359
\$1,000 to \$1,999	14,115	10,097	9,705	6,336	4,410	3,761
\$2,000 to \$2,999	22,090	12,481	15,230	7,858	6,860	4,623
\$3,000 to \$3,999	22,385	15,976	15,575	9,498	6,810	6,478
\$4,000 to \$4,999	14,055	18,338	10,570	9,674	3,485	8,664
\$5,000 to \$5,999	8,520	19,685	6,685	9,341	1,835	10,344
\$6,000 to \$6,999	4,570	16,596	3,600	7,896	970	8,700
\$7,000 to \$9,999	4,295	31,116	3,540	14,318	755	16,798
\$10,000 and over	3,255	20,493	2,760	10,452	495	10,041
Total reporting	104,380	151,476	74,820	79,708	29,560	71,768
Not reported	3,725	--	2,900	--	825	--
All families	108,105	151,476	77,720	79,708	30,385	71,768
Median income	\$3,218	\$5,617	\$3,342	\$5,230	\$2,937	\$5,967

(a) Change in city boundary between 1950 and 1960.

(b) Change in definition of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area between 1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	SMSA		City		Ring	
	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960
<u>Houston, Texas^(a)</u>						
Under \$1,000	20,135	14,706	15,320	9,700	4,815	5,006
\$1,000 to \$1,999	24,775	18,889	19,690	12,787	5,085	6,102
\$2,000 to \$2,999	36,955	23,575	29,075	16,210	7,880	7,365
\$3,000 to \$3,999	40,755	29,736	29,585	19,279	11,170	10,457
\$4,000 to \$4,999	30,895	33,296	21,050	20,182	9,845	13,114
\$5,000 to \$5,999	19,195	36,490	13,895	19,480	5,300	17,010
\$6,000 to \$6,999	11,580	34,710	8,480	16,809	3,100	17,901
\$7,000 to \$9,999	12,130	69,198	8,900	32,392	3,230	36,806
\$10,000 and over	8,805	55,594	7,055	28,793	1,750	26,801
Total reporting	205,225	316,194	153,050	175,632	52,175	140,562
Not reported	12,240	--	9,360	--	2,880	--
All families	217,465	316,194	162,410	175,632	55,055	140,562
Median income	\$3,509	\$6,040	\$3,420	\$5,496	\$3,744	\$6,627
<u>Indianapolis, Ind.^(a)</u>						
Under \$1,000	11,745	5,444	9,850	4,301	1,895	1,143
\$1,000 to \$1,999	13,360	7,581	11,015	6,055	2,345	1,526
\$2,000 to \$2,999	24,970	9,825	20,010	7,809	4,960	2,016
\$3,000 to \$3,999	31,775	13,390	24,130	10,413	7,645	2,977
\$4,000 to \$4,999	22,060	17,911	16,635	12,637	5,425	5,274
\$5,000 to \$5,999	15,430	22,175	11,565	14,266	3,865	7,909
\$6,000 to \$6,999	8,790	21,194	6,690	12,350	2,100	8,844
\$7,000 to \$9,999	9,185	44,888	6,920	23,751	2,265	21,137
\$10,000 and over	5,505	36,038	4,225	16,681	1,280	19,357
Total reporting	142,820	178,446	111,040	108,263	31,780	70,183
Not reported	10,480	--	8,130	--	2,350	--
All families	153,300	178,446	119,170	108,263	34,130	70,183
Median income	\$3,671	\$6,609	\$3,607	\$5,905	\$3,875	\$7,768

(a) Change in city boundary between 1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	SMSA		City		Ring	
	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960

Kansas City, Mo.(a)

Under \$1,000	21,425	8,674	12,215	4,703	9,210	3,971
\$1,000 to \$1,999	23,085	13,427	13,490	7,430	9,595	5,997
\$2,000 to \$2,999	40,985	16,376	23,295	9,098	17,690	7,278
\$3,000 to \$3,999	47,020	20,137	25,590	10,326	21,430	9,811
\$4,000 to \$4,999	30,995	29,425	16,770	13,503	14,225	15,922
\$5,000 to \$5,999	19,450	38,055	11,445	15,246	8,005	22,809
\$6,000 to \$6,999	10,060	33,559	5,790	12,506	4,270	21,053
\$7,000 to \$9,999	10,815	66,515	6,550	23,846	4,265	42,669
\$10,000 and over	8,345	47,327	5,315	16,813	3,030	30,514
Total reporting	212,180	273,495	120,460	113,471	91,720	160,024
Not reported	13,730	--	7,695	--	6,035	--
All families	225,910	273,495	128,155	113,471	97,755	160,024
Median income	\$3,438	\$6,317	\$3,439	\$5,766	\$3,437	\$6,676

Louisville, Ky.(a)

Under \$1,000	15,440	8,061	10,070	5,090	5,370	2,971
\$1,000 to \$1,999	18,355	11,002	12,450	7,186	5,905	3,816
\$2,000 to \$2,999	30,960	12,868	20,975	8,151	9,985	4,717
\$3,000 to \$3,999	31,200	16,671	20,175	9,707	11,025	6,964
\$4,000 to \$4,999	18,620	23,585	12,275	12,383	6,345	11,202
\$5,000 to \$5,999	11,560	25,957	7,455	11,009	4,105	14,948
\$6,000 to \$6,999	6,225	21,233	3,915	7,910	2,310	13,323
\$7,000 to \$9,999	7,090	38,359	4,655	13,459	2,435	24,900
\$10,000 and over	4,485	26,006	2,635	8,515	1,850	17,491
Total reporting	143,935	183,742	94,605	83,410	49,330	100,332
Not reported	6,690	--	3,895	--	2,795	--
All families	150,625	183,742	98,500	83,410	52,125	100,332
Median income	\$3,231	\$5,758	\$3,189	\$4,934	\$3,309	\$6,416

(a) Change in city boundary between 1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	1950	1960	1950	1960
<u>Los Angeles-Long Beach, Calif. (a)</u>				
	<u>SMSA</u>		<u>Los Angeles City</u>	
Under \$1,000	107,595	51,887	52,520	21,767
\$1,000 to \$1,999	122,955	72,030	57,845	30,599
\$2,000 to \$2,999	182,520	92,637	85,810	39,147
\$3,000 to \$3,999	250,595	112,734	105,285	46,938
\$4,000 to \$4,999	178,735	143,683	74,500	55,312
\$5,000 to \$5,999	119,330	187,781	52,205	65,487
\$6,000 to \$6,999	70,100	199,316	30,575	65,896
\$7,000 to \$9,999	85,555	456,068	43,615	151,554
\$10,000 and over	43,085	427,732	17,420	159,822
Total reporting	1,160,470	1,743,868	519,775	636,522
Not reported	48,220	--	22,085	--
All families	1,208,690	1,743,868	541,860	636,522
Median income	\$3,667	\$7,078	\$3,605	\$6,896
	<u>Long Beach City</u>		<u>Ring</u>	
Under \$1,000	6,420	3,397	48,655	26,723
\$1,000 to \$1,999	7,600	4,716	57,510	36,715
\$2,000 to \$2,999	10,700	6,187	86,010	47,303
\$3,000 to \$3,999	16,125	6,765	129,185	59,031
\$4,000 to \$4,999	11,265	7,003	92,970	81,368
\$5,000 to \$5,999	6,995	8,237	60,130	114,057
\$6,000 to \$6,999	4,205	8,619	35,320	124,801
\$7,000 to \$9,999	3,955	16,888	37,985	287,626
\$10,000 and over	2,135	13,806	23,530	254,104
Total reporting	69,400	75,618	571,295	1,031,728
Not reported	2,540	--	23,595	--
All families	71,940	75,618	594,890	1,031,728
Median income	\$3,619	\$6,174	\$3,724	\$7,270

(a) Change in the Long Beach city boundary between 1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	SMSA		City		Ring	
	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960
<u>Memphis, Tenn.(a)</u>						
Under \$1,000	18,665	10,815	13,945	7,696	4,720	3,119
\$1,000 to \$1,999	22,850	13,948	19,370	10,982	3,480	2,966
\$2,000 to \$2,999	23,275	16,791	20,080	13,391	3,195	3,400
\$3,000 to \$3,999	20,355	17,994	17,540	14,027	2,815	3,967
\$4,000 to \$4,999	12,840	17,703	11,275	12,753	1,565	4,950
\$5,000 to \$5,999	8,185	17,698	7,240	11,770	945	5,928
\$6,000 to \$6,999	4,630	14,259	4,245	9,027	385	5,232
\$7,000 to \$9,999	4,760	25,161	4,240	15,375	520	9,786
\$10,000 and over	4,000	16,695	3,640	10,718	360	5,977
Total reporting	119,560	151,064	101,575	105,739	17,985	45,325
Not reported	4,385	--	3,815	--	570	--
All families	123,945	151,064	105,390	105,739	18,555	45,325
Median income	\$2,785	\$4,903	\$2,870	\$4,531	\$2,248	\$5,719
<u>Milwaukee, Wisc.(a)(b)</u>						
Under \$1,000	14,420	6,311	10,645	4,295	3,775	2,016
\$1,000 to \$1,999	14,935	10,040	10,810	6,998	4,125	3,042
\$2,000 to \$2,999	34,155	12,874	24,390	8,734	9,765	4,140
\$3,000 to \$3,999	62,625	15,439	44,035	10,292	18,590	5,147
\$4,000 to \$4,999	43,465	23,367	29,810	14,713	13,655	8,654
\$5,000 to \$5,999	28,560	40,182	18,980	22,475	9,580	17,707
\$6,000 to \$6,999	15,305	43,956	9,860	22,161	5,445	21,795
\$7,000 to \$9,999	17,300	88,751	10,445	42,074	6,855	46,677
\$10,000 and over	10,095	62,967	4,675	25,594	5,420	37,373
Total reporting	240,860	303,887	163,650	157,336	77,210	146,551
Not reported	6,695	--	3,980	--	2,715	--
All families	247,555	303,887	167,630	157,336	79,925	146,551
Median income	\$3,909	\$6,995	\$3,817	\$6,504	\$4,172	\$7,693

(a) Change in city boundary between 1950 and 1960.

(b) Change in definition of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area between 1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	1950	1960	1950	1960
<u>Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.</u> ^(b)				
	<u>SMSA</u>		<u>Minneapolis City</u>	
Under \$1,000	18,710	7,473	8,655	3,125
\$1,000 to \$1,999	21,680	12,797	10,775	5,909
\$2,000 to \$2,999	43,900	16,598	20,810	7,827
\$3,000 to \$3,999	68,490	21,178	32,175	9,252
\$4,000 to \$4,999	47,735	31,803	22,320	12,069
\$5,000 to \$5,999	29,710	49,394	14,270	16,221
\$6,000 to \$6,999	16,455	50,981	8,175	15,411
\$7,000 to \$9,999	18,280	101,887	9,130	30,353
\$10,000 and over	12,390	72,016	5,500	21,014
Total reporting	277,350	364,127	131,810	121,181
Not reported	12,160	--	5,285	--
All families	289,510	364,127	137,095	121,181
Median income	\$3,794	\$6,840	\$3,798	\$6,401
	<u>St. Paul City</u>		<u>Ring</u>	
Under \$1,000	5,530	1,797	4,525	2,551
\$1,000 to \$1,999	5,750	3,187	5,155	3,701
\$2,000 to \$2,999	12,130	4,230	10,960	4,541
\$3,000 to \$3,999	19,305	5,445	17,010	6,481
\$4,000 to \$4,999	13,485	7,612	11,930	12,122
\$5,000 to \$5,999	8,630	11,004	6,810	22,169
\$6,000 to \$6,999	4,640	10,426	3,640	25,144
\$7,000 to \$9,999	5,090	20,375	4,060	51,159
\$10,000 and over	3,275	13,794	3,615	37,208
Total reporting	77,835	77,870	67,705	165,076
Not reported	3,915	--	2,960	--
All families	81,750	77,870	70,665	165,076
Median income	\$3,803	\$6,543	\$3,777	\$7,342

(b) Change in definition of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area
between 1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	SMSA		City		Ring	
	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960
<u>Nashville, Tenn. (a)</u>						
Under \$1,000	10,095	5,452	6,845	3,393	3,250	2,059
\$1,000 to \$1,999	14,065	7,521	9,505	5,018	4,560	2,503
\$2,000 to \$2,999	15,805	10,388	9,530	6,395	6,275	3,993
\$3,000 to \$3,999	14,320	11,064	6,745	5,764	7,575	5,300
\$4,000 to \$4,999	8,695	11,209	4,005	4,974	4,690	6,235
\$5,000 to \$5,999	5,270	12,112	2,305	4,116	2,965	7,996
\$6,000 to \$6,999	2,835	10,291	1,305	2,998	1,530	7,293
\$7,000 to \$9,999	3,060	18,298	1,255	4,028	1,805	14,270
\$10,000 and over	2,460	12,967	645	2,210	1,815	10,757
Total reporting	76,605	99,302	42,140	38,896	34,465	60,406
Not reported	4,965	--	1,925	--	3,040	--
All families	81,570	99,302	44,065	38,896	37,505	60,406
Median income	\$2,895	\$5,332	\$2,495	\$3,805	\$3,415	\$6,290
<u>Norfolk, Va. (a)</u>						
Under \$1,000	12,065	13,543	6,035	5,469	6,030	8,074
\$1,000 to \$1,999	15,345	10,013	7,210	4,451	8,135	5,562
\$2,000 to \$2,999	20,745	12,337	9,350	5,188	11,395	7,149
\$3,000 to \$3,999	22,215	14,617	8,820	5,447	13,395	9,170
\$4,000 to \$4,999	11,320	15,034	5,460	5,127	5,860	9,907
\$5,000 to \$5,999	7,735	16,326	3,980	4,752	3,755	11,574
\$6,000 to \$6,999	4,165	13,306	2,225	3,917	1,940	9,389
\$7,000 to \$9,999	4,215	22,926	2,520	6,789	1,695	16,137
\$10,000 and over	2,340	15,317	1,535	5,629	805	9,688
Total reporting	100,145	133,419	47,135	46,769	53,010	86,650
Not reported	4,745	--	2,205	--	2,540	--
All families	104,890	133,419	49,340	46,769	55,550	86,650
Median income	\$3,086	\$5,071	\$3,110	\$4,552	\$3,071	\$5,299

(a) Change in city boundary between 1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	SMSA		City		Ring	
	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960
<u>Oklahoma City, Okla.</u> (a)(b)						
Under \$1,000	11,305	5,646	6,590	3,224	4,715	2,422
\$1,000 to \$1,999	14,000	8,875	8,060	5,031	5,940	3,844
\$2,000 to \$2,999	21,545	10,277	13,700	5,794	7,845	4,483
\$3,000 to \$3,999	20,840	13,798	13,435	7,351	7,405	6,447
\$4,000 to \$4,999	12,640	16,740	8,580	8,224	4,060	8,516
\$5,000 to \$5,999	7,770	18,745	5,425	9,140	2,345	9,605
\$6,000 to \$6,999	4,200	14,357	3,040	6,999	1,160	7,358
\$7,000 to \$9,999	3,945	25,806	2,830	12,573	1,115	13,233
\$10,000 and over	3,260	18,977	2,315	9,444	945	9,533
Total reporting	99,505	133,221	63,975	67,780	35,530	65,441
Not reported	6,055	--	4,100	--	1,955	--
All families	105,560	133,221	68,075	67,780	37,485	65,441
Median income	\$3,139	\$5,601	\$3,271	\$5,467	\$2,906	\$5,730
<u>Omaha, Neb.</u> (a)						
Under \$1,000	8,300	3,365	5,265	1,743	3,035	1,622
\$1,000 to \$1,999	9,625	5,095	6,135	3,156	3,490	1,939
\$2,000 to \$2,999	17,970	7,231	12,075	4,558	5,895	2,673
\$3,000 to \$3,999	20,710	9,101	14,155	5,486	6,555	3,615
\$4,000 to \$4,999	13,180	13,064	9,045	7,601	4,135	5,463
\$5,000 to \$5,999	7,765	16,560	5,525	9,639	2,240	6,921
\$6,000 to \$6,999	4,535	14,602	3,435	8,260	1,100	6,342
\$7,000 to \$9,999	4,295	27,090	3,215	15,283	1,080	11,807
\$10,000 and over	2,970	19,166	2,275	10,333	695	8,833
Total reporting	89,350	115,274	61,125	66,059	28,225	49,215
Not reported	5,520	--	4,140	--	1,380	--
All families	94,870	115,274	65,265	66,059	29,605	49,215
Median income	\$3,424	\$6,221	\$3,501	\$6,103	\$3,258	\$6,374

(a) Change in city boundary between 1950 and 1960.

(b) Change in definition of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area between 1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	SMSA		City		Ring	
	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960
Phoenix, Ariz. (a)						
Under \$1,000	11,730	7,453	3,575	1,343	8,155	6,110
\$1,000 to \$1,999	13,390	10,727	3,755	2,403	9,635	8,324
\$2,000 to \$2,999	16,175	12,862	4,995	2,855	11,180	10,007
\$3,000 to \$3,999	15,145	14,815	5,015	3,004	10,130	11,811
\$4,000 to \$4,999	9,100	17,810	3,435	3,124	5,665	14,686
\$5,000 to \$5,999	5,435	19,740	2,280	3,271	3,155	16,469
\$6,000 to \$6,999	2,755	18,255	1,135	2,909	1,620	15,346
\$7,000 to \$9,999	2,910	34,824	1,300	5,062	1,610	29,762
\$10,000 and over	2,640	26,211	1,215	3,878	1,425	22,333
Total reporting	79,280	162,697	26,705	27,849	52,575	134,848
Not reported	3,965	--	1,520	--	2,445	--
All families	83,245	162,697	28,225	27,849	55,020	134,848
Median income	\$2,898	\$5,896	\$3,205	\$5,365	\$2,760	\$6,001
Portland, Ore. (a)						
Under \$1,000	19,145	6,567	8,865	2,729	10,280	3,838
\$1,000 to \$1,999	20,835	11,720	9,715	5,477	11,120	6,243
\$2,000 to \$2,999	30,715	13,457	14,975	6,266	15,740	7,191
\$3,000 to \$3,999	43,620	15,702	22,555	7,339	21,065	8,363
\$4,000 to \$4,999	29,230	21,664	16,470	9,661	12,760	12,003
\$5,000 to \$5,999	18,370	29,046	10,950	12,405	7,420	16,641
\$6,000 to \$6,999	10,065	27,782	6,280	11,635	3,785	16,147
\$7,000 to \$7,999	10,325	53,567	6,690	23,350	3,635	30,217
\$10,000 and over	5,950	35,691	3,895	15,751	2,055	19,940
Total reporting	188,255	215,196	100,395	94,613	87,860	120,583
Not reported	5,950	--	2,950	--	3,000	--
All families	194,205	215,196	103,345	94,613	90,860	120,583
Median income	\$3,537	\$6,340	\$3,738	\$6,295	\$3,322	\$6,372

(a) Change in city boundary between 1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	SMSA		City		Ring	
	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960
<u>St. Louis, Mo. (b)</u>						
Under \$1,000	44,740	20,335	24,810	10,040	19,930	10,295
\$1,000 to \$1,999	42,975	28,620	24,845	15,106	18,130	13,514
\$2,000 to \$2,999	81,715	31,294	45,075	16,536	36,640	14,758
\$3,000 to \$3,999	96,105	38,279	47,220	19,738	48,885	18,541
\$4,000 to \$4,999	59,720	55,557	27,570	24,761	32,150	30,796
\$5,000 to \$5,999	38,170	73,114	17,770	27,442	20,400	45,672
\$6,000 to \$6,999	20,835	66,367	9,555	20,724	11,280	45,643
\$7,000 to \$9,999	22,770	127,580	10,420	36,793	12,350	90,787
\$10,000 and over	13,520	89,766	4,450	20,733	9,070	69,033
Total reporting	420,550	530,912	211,715	191,873	208,835	339,039
Not reported	37,030	--	19,855	--	17,175	--
All families	457,580	530,912	231,570	191,873	226,010	339,039
Median income	\$3,425	\$6,275	\$3,236	\$5,355	\$3,608	\$6,788
<u>San Antonio, Texas (a)</u>						
Under \$1,000	15,300	9,360	13,085	7,314	2,215	2,046
\$1,000 to \$1,999	21,725	14,611	18,425	11,845	3,300	2,766
\$2,000 to \$2,999	25,175	18,576	21,870	14,549	3,305	4,027
\$3,000 to \$3,999	19,435	20,409	16,645	14,984	2,790	5,425
\$4,000 to \$4,999	11,580	20,040	9,890	14,022	1,690	6,018
\$5,000 to \$5,999	7,090	19,052	5,905	12,359	1,185	6,693
\$6,000 to \$6,999	4,055	13,677	3,355	8,427	700	5,250
\$7,000 to \$9,999	4,365	23,642	3,410	13,009	955	10,633
\$10,000 and over	3,425	17,263	2,055	7,899	1,370	9,364
Total reporting	112,150	156,630	94,640	104,408	17,510	52,222
Not reported	7,010	--	6,195	--	815	--
All families	119,160	156,630	100,835	104,408	18,325	52,222
Median income	\$2,757	\$4,766	\$2,723	\$4,250	\$2,980	\$5,871

(a) Change in city boundary between 1950 and 1960.

(b) Change in definition of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area between 1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	SMSA		City		Ring	
	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960
San Diego, Calif. (a)						
Under \$1,000	12,780	10,084	6,720	4,637	6,060	5,447
\$1,000 to \$1,999	16,185	11,788	8,970	5,770	7,215	6,018
\$2,000 to \$2,999	25,370	16,362	15,160	7,977	10,210	8,385
\$3,000 to \$3,999	33,855	20,137	20,870	9,855	12,985	10,282
\$4,000 to \$4,999	20,840	23,582	13,000	11,754	7,840	11,828
\$5,000 to \$5,999	12,710	28,789	8,230	14,086	4,480	14,703
\$6,000 to \$6,999	7,205	29,706	4,555	13,753	2,650	15,953
\$7,000 to \$9,999	7,100	62,277	4,760	29,281	2,340	32,996
\$10,000 and over	4,595	51,142	3,145	25,397	1,450	25,745
Total reporting	140,640	253,867	85,410	122,510	55,230	131,357
Not reported	5,810	--	3,465	--	2,345	--
All families	146,450	253,867	88,875	122,510	57,575	131,357
Median income	\$3,472	\$6,545	\$3,568	\$6,522	\$3,318	\$6,565
Seattle, Wash. (a) (b)						
Under \$1,000	18,005	6,567	9,105	3,064	8,900	3,503
\$1,000 to \$1,999	20,670	11,522	9,995	5,464	10,675	6,058
\$2,000 to \$2,999	31,245	13,481	15,475	6,527	15,770	6,954
\$3,000 to \$3,999	50,590	16,263	25,135	7,858	25,455	8,405
\$4,000 to \$4,999	36,435	24,399	20,175	10,838	16,260	13,561
\$5,000 to \$5,999	23,045	36,611	13,505	14,254	9,540	22,357
\$6,000 to \$6,999	14,120	36,151	8,730	14,005	5,390	22,146
\$7,000 to \$9,999	14,100	75,621	9,190	30,555	4,910	45,066
\$10,000 and over	7,985	61,825	5,550	26,770	2,435	35,055
Total reporting	216,195	282,440	116,860	119,335	99,335	163,105
Not reported	12,550	--	8,110	--	4,440	--
All families	228,745	282,440	124,970	119,335	103,775	163,105
Median income	\$3,754	\$6,896	\$3,949	\$6,833	\$3,563	\$6,935

(a) Change in city boundary between 1950 and 1960.

(b) Change in definition of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area between 1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	SMSA		City		Ring	
	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960
<u>Tampa, Fla.</u> (a)						
Under \$1,000	18,725	13,319	5,460	2,684	13,265	10,635
\$1,000 to \$1,999	23,060	22,180	7,305	4,408	15,755	17,772
\$2,000 to \$2,999	23,605	29,220	7,675	5,195	15,930	24,025
\$3,000 to \$3,999	16,890	29,898	5,390	5,032	11,500	24,866
\$4,000 to \$4,999	9,015	27,330	2,780	4,215	6,235	23,115
\$5,000 to \$5,999	5,135	24,349	1,500	3,571	3,635	20,778
\$6,000 to \$6,999	2,740	18,494	765	2,660	1,975	15,834
\$7,000 to \$9,999	3,140	30,332	870	4,346	2,270	25,986
\$10,000 and over	2,620	20,875	630	2,690	1,990	18,185
Total reporting	104,930	215,997	32,375	34,801	72,555	181,196
Not reported	8,230	--	2,405	--	5,825	--
All families	113,160	215,997	34,780	34,801	78,380	181,196
Median income	\$2,452	\$4,490	\$2,446	\$4,019	\$2,456	\$4,575
<u>Toledo, Ohio</u> (a)						
Under \$1,000	6,275	3,734	5,005	2,794	1,270	940
\$1,000 to \$1,999	7,235	5,855	5,355	4,612	1,880	1,243
\$2,000 to \$2,999	14,240	6,700	10,675	5,161	3,565	1,539
\$3,000 to \$3,999	23,260	7,738	17,515	5,769	5,745	1,969
\$4,000 to \$4,999	17,030	10,968	12,805	7,612	4,225	3,356
\$5,000 to \$5,999	11,690	15,695	9,115	10,425	2,575	5,270
\$6,000 to \$6,999	7,260	14,814	5,860	9,331	1,400	5,483
\$7,000 to \$9,999	8,135	30,634	6,585	18,621	1,550	12,013
\$10,000 and over	4,230	21,023	3,120	11,824	1,110	9,199
Total reporting	99,355	117,161	76,035	76,149	23,320	41,012
Not reported	6,160	--	4,910	--	1,250	--
All families	105,515	117,161	80,945	76,149	24,570	41,012
Median income	\$3,943	\$6,533	\$3,970	\$6,182	\$3,861	\$7,177

(a) Change in city boundary between 1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Income level	SMSA		City		Ring	
	1950	1960	1950	1960	1950	1960
Tulsa, Okla. (a)(b)						
Under \$1,000	9,690	5,005	4,575	2,046	5,115	2,959
\$1,000 to \$1,999	10,385	8,268	5,835	3,425	4,550	4,843
\$2,000 to \$2,999	13,655	8,699	8,890	4,034	4,765	4,665
\$3,000 to \$3,999	15,345	11,002	9,945	4,716	5,400	6,286
\$4,000 to \$4,999	9,860	12,535	7,085	4,912	2,775	7,623
\$5,000 to \$5,999	5,910	14,190	4,620	5,104	1,290	9,086
\$6,000 to \$6,999	3,705	12,953	2,955	4,652	750	8,301
\$7,000 to \$9,999	3,515	22,149	2,920	7,799	595	14,350
\$10,000 and over	2,865	16,900	2,565	7,134	300	9,766
Total reporting	74,930	111,701	49,390	43,822	25,540	67,879
Not reported	3,380	--	2,335	--	1,045	--
All families	78,310	111,701	51,725	43,822	26,585	67,879
Median income	\$3,243	\$5,729	\$3,542	\$5,544	\$2,652	\$5,832
Wichita, Kans. (a)						
Under \$1,000	5,245	2,647	3,740	1,614	1,505	1,033
\$1,000 to \$1,999	6,625	3,843	4,810	2,686	1,815	1,157
\$2,000 to \$2,999	11,825	5,050	8,640	3,223	3,185	1,827
\$3,000 to \$3,999	14,490	7,027	10,995	4,507	3,495	2,520
\$4,000 to \$4,999	7,880	10,497	6,445	5,568	1,435	4,929
\$5,000 to \$5,999	4,870	13,128	4,125	6,396	745	6,732
\$6,000 to \$6,999	2,340	11,184	1,960	5,274	380	5,910
\$7,000 to \$9,999	2,420	20,274	1,975	9,665	445	10,609
\$10,000 and over	2,015	14,439	1,605	6,813	410	7,626
Total reporting	57,710	88,089	44,295	45,746	13,415	42,343
Not reported	2,330	--	1,555	--	775	--
All families	60,040	88,089	45,850	45,746	14,190	42,343
Median income	\$3,356	\$6,166	\$3,451	\$5,825	\$3,058	\$6,503

(a) Change in city boundary between 1950 and 1960.

(b) Change in definition of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area between 1950 and 1960.

Table 1

Income in 1959 and 1949 of Families in 1960 and 1950, for
Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Cities and Rings

Sources:

Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: data for 1950 from U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1950, Vol. II, Characteristics of the Population, Table 37 for each state, except for SMSAs with new definitions in 1960; for SMSAs with new definitions data are from Table 3 below.

Data for 1960 from U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1960, General Social and Economic Characteristics, Final Reports PC(1) - Series C, Table 76 for each state.

Cities: data for 1950 from U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1950, Vol. II, Characteristics of the Population, Table 37 for each state.

Data for 1960 from U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1960, General Social and Economic Characteristics, Final Reports PC(1) - Series C, Table 76 for each state, except for cities with annexations between 1950 and 1960; for cities with annexations data are from Table 2, below.

Rings: by subtraction.

Table 2

Income in 1959 of Families: Cities
according to 1950 and 1960 City Boundaries

Income level	Within 1960 boundary	Within annexed area	Within 1950 boundary	Within 1960 boundary	Within annexed area	Within 1950 boundary
<u>Atlanta, Ga.</u>						
Under \$1,000	6,340	1,387	4,953	6,029	41	5,988
\$1,000 to \$1,999	10,853	1,989	8,864	8,122	42	8,080
\$2,000 to \$2,999	14,867	2,831	12,036	9,099	68	9,031
\$3,000 to \$3,999	14,930	3,835	11,095	10,020	105	9,915
\$4,000 to \$4,999	12,886	4,414	8,472	10,289	227	10,062
\$5,000 to \$5,999	12,314	4,808	7,506	10,522	397	10,125
\$6,000 to \$6,999	9,921	4,258	5,663	8,349	524	7,825
\$7,000 to \$7,999	8,068	3,482	4,586	6,500	561	5,939
\$8,000 to \$8,999	6,117	2,628	3,489	4,877	440	4,437
\$9,000 to \$9,999	5,182	2,476	2,706	3,311	291	3,020
\$10,000 to \$14,999	11,514	5,980	5,534	6,671	548	6,123
\$15,000 to \$24,999	4,839	3,311	1,528	1,746	93	1,653
\$25,000 and over	2,633	2,082	551	494	38	456
All families	120,464	43,481	76,983	86,029	3,375	82,654
Median income	\$5,029	\$6,581	\$4,182	\$4,947	\$7,506	\$4,826
<u>Cincinnati, Ohio</u>						
Under \$1,000	6,460	46	6,414	4,376	369	4,007
\$1,000 to \$1,999	8,806	35	8,771	6,529	457	6,072
\$2,000 to \$2,999	9,425	92	9,333	7,694	719	6,975
\$3,000 to \$3,999	12,230	119	12,111	10,170	1,099	9,071
\$4,000 to \$4,999	14,631	156	14,475	13,322	1,713	11,609
\$5,000 to \$5,999	16,267	229	16,038	15,942	2,608	13,334
\$6,000 to \$6,999	13,115	255	12,860	14,231	2,743	11,488
\$7,000 to \$7,999	10,795	257	10,538	11,516	2,520	8,996
\$8,000 to \$8,999	8,395	223	8,172	8,881	1,945	6,936
\$9,000 to \$9,999	5,857	176	5,681	6,707	1,352	5,355
\$10,000 to \$14,999	12,973	356	12,617	12,474	2,883	9,591
\$15,000 to \$24,999	4,629	133	4,496	2,842	488	2,354
\$25,000 and over	2,338	54	2,284	817	99	718
All families	125,921	2,131	123,790	115,501	18,995	96,506
Median income	\$5,701	\$7,521	\$5,673	\$5,982	\$6,923	\$5,789
<u>Columbus, Ohio</u>						
Under \$1,000	6,460	46	6,414	4,376	369	4,007
\$1,000 to \$1,999	8,806	35	8,771	6,529	457	6,072
\$2,000 to \$2,999	9,425	92	9,333	7,694	719	6,975
\$3,000 to \$3,999	12,230	119	12,111	10,170	1,099	9,071
\$4,000 to \$4,999	14,631	156	14,475	13,322	1,713	11,609
\$5,000 to \$5,999	16,267	229	16,038	15,942	2,608	13,334
\$6,000 to \$6,999	13,115	255	12,860	14,231	2,743	11,488
\$7,000 to \$7,999	10,795	257	10,538	11,516	2,520	8,996
\$8,000 to \$8,999	8,395	223	8,172	8,881	1,945	6,936
\$9,000 to \$9,999	5,857	176	5,681	6,707	1,352	5,355
\$10,000 to \$14,999	12,973	356	12,617	12,474	2,883	9,591
\$15,000 to \$24,999	4,629	133	4,496	2,842	488	2,354
\$25,000 and over	2,338	54	2,284	817	99	718
All families	125,921	2,131	123,790	115,501	18,995	96,506
Median income	\$5,701	\$7,521	\$5,673	\$5,982	\$6,923	\$5,789

Table 2

Income in 1959 of Families: Cities
according to 1950 and 1960 City Boundaries

Income level	Within 1960 boundary	Within annexed area	Within 1950 boundary	Within 1960 boundary	Within annexed area	Within 1950 boundary
<u>Dallas, Texas</u>			<u>Dayton, Ohio</u>			
Under \$1,000	7,028	2,099	4,929	2,491	160	2,331
\$1,000 to \$1,999	11,335	3,156	8,179	4,109	304	3,805
\$2,000 to \$2,999	14,389	3,615	10,774	4,366	310	4,056
\$3,000 to \$3,999	17,883	4,259	13,624	4,878	342	4,536
\$4,000 to \$4,999	18,700	4,261	14,439	6,359	589	5,770
\$5,000 to \$5,999	19,969	4,717	15,252	8,381	1,053	7,328
\$6,000 to \$6,999	17,217	4,303	12,914	8,083	1,113	6,970
\$7,000 to \$7,999	15,315	3,995	11,320	6,976	995	5,981
\$8,000 to \$8,999	12,548	3,622	8,926	5,325	821	4,504
\$9,000 to \$9,999	9,730	2,704	7,026	3,937	588	3,349
\$10,000 to \$14,999	21,297	6,612	14,685	8,264	1,269	6,995
\$15,000 to \$24,999	8,171	2,603	5,568	1,853	224	1,629
\$25,000 and over	4,083	1,134	2,949	451	42	409
All families	177,665	47,080	130,585	65,473	7,810	57,663
Median income	\$5,976	\$6,333	\$5,875	\$6,266	\$7,034	\$6,144
<u>Denver, Colo.</u>			<u>El Paso, Texas</u>			
Under \$1,000	3,568	100	3,468	2,724	806	1,918
\$1,000 to \$1,999	6,451	166	6,285	4,395	1,164	3,231
\$2,000 to \$2,999	8,843	158	8,685	6,880	2,068	4,812
\$3,000 to \$3,999	10,059	211	9,848	8,278	3,158	5,120
\$4,000 to \$4,999	13,062	375	12,687	7,811	3,612	4,199
\$5,000 to \$5,999	14,988	748	14,240	7,667	3,752	3,915
\$6,000 to \$6,999	14,806	1,164	13,642	5,993	3,308	2,685
\$7,000 to \$7,999	12,090	1,274	10,816	4,605	2,595	2,010
\$8,000 to \$8,999	10,046	1,050	8,996	3,874	2,179	1,695
\$9,000 to \$9,999	7,322	936	6,386	2,838	1,543	1,295
\$10,000 to \$14,999	15,991	2,061	13,930	5,878	3,004	2,874
\$15,000 to \$24,999	4,946	694	4,252	1,803	816	987
\$25,000 and over	2,463	224	2,239	669	260	409
All families	124,635	9,161	115,474	63,415	28,265	35,150
Median income	\$6,361	\$8,367	\$6,185	\$5,211	\$5,886	\$4,594

Table 2

Income in 1959 of Families: Cities
according to 1950 and 1960 City Boundaries

Income level	Within 1960 boundary	Within annexed area	Within 1950 boundary	Within 1960 boundary	Within annexed area	Within 1950 boundary
	<u>Fort Worth, Texas</u>			<u>Houston, Texas</u>		
Under \$1,000	4,687	352	4,335	11,230	1,530	9,700
\$1,000 to \$1,999	6,900	564	6,336	14,813	2,026	12,787
\$2,000 to \$2,999	8,531	673	7,858	18,809	2,599	16,210
\$3,000 to \$3,999	10,530	1,032	9,498	23,463	4,184	19,279
\$4,000 to \$4,999	11,079	1,405	9,674	25,803	5,621	20,182
\$5,000 to \$5,999	11,224	1,883	9,341	27,677	8,197	19,480
\$6,000 to \$6,999	9,713	1,817	7,896	25,149	8,340	16,809
\$7,000 to \$7,999	7,771	1,583	6,188	20,672	6,958	13,714
\$8,000 to \$8,999	6,043	1,310	4,733	16,405	5,816	10,589
\$9,000 to \$9,999	4,499	1,102	3,397	12,371	4,282	8,089
\$10,000 to \$14,999	9,404	2,172	7,232	27,709	9,136	18,573
\$15,000 to \$24,999	2,629	522	2,107	9,227	2,764	6,463
\$25,000 and over	1,320	207	1,113	4,854	1,097	3,757
All families	94,330	14,622	79,708	238,182	62,550	175,632
Median income	\$5,484	\$6,772	\$5,230	\$5,902	\$6,853	\$5,496
	<u>Indianapolis, Ind.</u>			<u>Kansas City, Mo.</u>		
Under \$1,000	4,464	163	4,301	4,893	190	4,703
\$1,000 to \$1,999	6,266	211	6,055	7,624	194	7,430
\$2,000 to \$2,999	8,045	236	7,809	9,361	263	9,098
\$3,000 to \$3,999	10,808	395	10,413	10,764	438	10,326
\$4,000 to \$4,999	13,449	812	12,637	14,409	906	13,503
\$5,000 to \$5,999	15,776	1,510	14,266	16,831	1,585	15,246
\$6,000 to \$6,999	14,226	1,876	12,350	14,174	1,668	12,506
\$7,000 to \$7,999	11,812	1,764	10,048	11,676	1,369	10,307
\$8,000 to \$8,999	9,435	1,355	8,080	8,941	1,183	7,758
\$9,000 to \$9,999	6,758	1,135	5,623	6,624	843	5,781
\$10,000 to \$14,999	14,257	2,213	12,044	13,200	1,689	11,511
\$15,000 to \$24,999	4,051	570	3,481	4,174	603	3,571
\$25,000 and over	1,277	121	1,156	1,920	189	1,731
All families	120,624	12,361	108,263	124,591	11,120	113,471
Median income	\$6,106	\$7,554	\$5,905	\$5,906	\$7,231	\$5,766

Table 2

Income in 1959 of Families: Cities
according to 1950 and 1960 City Boundaries

Income level	Within 1960 boundary	Within annexed area	Within 1950 boundary	Within 1960 boundary	Within annexed area	Within 1950 boundary
<u>Long Beach, Calif.</u>						
Under \$1,000	3,680	283	3,397	5,370	280	5,090
\$1,000 to \$1,999	4,989	273	4,716	7,661	475	7,186
\$2,000 to \$2,999	6,449	262	6,187	8,686	535	8,151
\$3,000 to \$3,999	7,224	459	6,765	10,531	824	9,707
\$4,000 to \$4,999	7,616	613	7,003	13,898	1,515	12,383
\$5,000 to \$5,999	9,463	1,226	8,237	13,350	2,341	11,009
\$6,000 to \$6,999	10,605	1,986	8,619	10,219	2,309	7,910
\$7,000 to \$7,999	8,718	1,903	6,815	7,931	2,024	5,907
\$8,000 to \$8,999	7,642	1,783	5,859	5,931	1,634	4,297
\$9,000 to \$9,999	5,663	1,449	4,214	4,360	1,105	3,255
\$10,000 to \$14,999	13,658	3,867	9,791	8,358	2,407	5,951
\$15,000 to \$24,999	3,932	911	3,021	2,474	675	1,799
\$25,000 and over	1,289	295	994	1,008	243	765
All families	90,928	15,310	75,618	99,777	16,367	83,410
Median income	\$6,570	\$8,364	\$6,174	\$5,280	\$6,959	\$4,934
<u>Memphis, Tenn.</u>						
Under \$1,000	8,012	316	7,696	4,648	353	4,295
\$1,000 to \$1,999	11,329	347	10,982	7,453	455	6,998
\$2,000 to \$2,999	13,934	543	13,391	9,466	732	8,734
\$3,000 to \$3,999	15,032	1,005	14,027	11,204	912	10,292
\$4,000 to \$4,999	14,494	1,741	12,753	16,487	1,774	14,713
\$5,000 to \$5,999	14,348	2,578	11,770	26,758	4,283	22,475
\$6,000 to \$6,999	11,634	2,607	9,027	27,806	5,645	22,161
\$7,000 to \$7,999	9,014	2,160	6,854	23,094	4,871	18,223
\$8,000 to \$8,999	6,785	1,765	5,020	17,538	3,781	13,757
\$9,000 to \$9,999	4,761	1,260	3,501	12,911	2,817	10,094
\$10,000 to \$14,999	9,191	2,325	6,866	25,091	5,023	20,068
\$15,000 to \$24,999	2,888	560	2,328	5,386	846	4,540
\$25,000 and over	1,713	189	1,524	1,142	156	986
All families	123,135	17,396	105,739	188,984	31,648	157,336
Median income	\$4,915	\$6,832	\$4,531	\$6,664	\$7,343	\$6,504
<u>Milwaukee, Wisc.</u>						
Under \$1,000	8,012	316	7,696	4,648	353	4,295
\$1,000 to \$1,999	11,329	347	10,982	7,453	455	6,998
\$2,000 to \$2,999	13,934	543	13,391	9,466	732	8,734
\$3,000 to \$3,999	15,032	1,005	14,027	11,204	912	10,292
\$4,000 to \$4,999	14,494	1,741	12,753	16,487	1,774	14,713
\$5,000 to \$5,999	14,348	2,578	11,770	26,758	4,283	22,475
\$6,000 to \$6,999	11,634	2,607	9,027	27,806	5,645	22,161
\$7,000 to \$7,999	9,014	2,160	6,854	23,094	4,871	18,223
\$8,000 to \$8,999	6,785	1,765	5,020	17,538	3,781	13,757
\$9,000 to \$9,999	4,761	1,260	3,501	12,911	2,817	10,094
\$10,000 to \$14,999	9,191	2,325	6,866	25,091	5,023	20,068
\$15,000 to \$24,999	2,888	560	2,328	5,386	846	4,540
\$25,000 and over	1,713	189	1,524	1,142	156	986
All families	123,135	17,396	105,739	188,984	31,648	157,336
Median income	\$4,915	\$6,832	\$4,531	\$6,664	\$7,343	\$6,504

Table 2

Income in 1959 of Families: Cities
according to 1950 and 1960 City Boundaries

Income level	Within 1960 boundary	Within annexed area	Within 1950 boundary	Within 1960 boundary	Within annexed area	Within 1950 boundary
<u>Seattle, Wash.</u>						
Under \$1,000	3,520	456	3,064	4,439	1,755	2,684
\$1,000 to \$1,999	6,009	545	5,464	7,187	2,779	4,408
\$2,000 to \$2,999	7,307	780	6,527	8,826	3,631	5,195
\$3,000 to \$3,999	8,839	981	7,858	9,523	4,491	5,032
\$4,000 to \$4,999	12,344	1,506	10,838	9,487	5,272	4,215
\$5,000 to \$5,999	17,078	2,824	14,254	8,398	4,827	3,571
\$6,000 to \$6,999	17,150	3,145	14,005	6,438	3,778	2,660
\$7,000 to \$7,999	15,228	2,885	12,343	4,870	2,844	2,026
\$8,000 to \$8,999	12,643	2,283	10,360	3,710	2,402	1,308
\$9,000 to \$9,999	9,760	1,908	7,852	2,503	1,491	1,012
\$10,000 to \$14,999	23,109	4,231	18,878	4,577	2,917	1,660
\$15,000 to \$24,999	6,896	1,259	5,637	1,652	1,016	636
\$25,000 and over	2,633	378	2,255	998	604	394
All families	142,516	23,181	119,335	72,608	37,807	34,801
Median income	\$6,942	\$7,469	\$6,833	\$4,667	\$5,202	\$4,019
<u>Toledo, Ohio</u>						
Under \$1,000	2,865	71	2,794	2,492	447	2,045
\$1,000 to \$1,999	4,697	85	4,612	3,962	539	3,423
\$2,000 to \$2,999	5,258	97	5,161	4,850	818	4,032
\$3,000 to \$3,999	5,926	157	5,769	6,081	1,368	4,713
\$4,000 to \$4,999	7,870	258	7,612	7,263	2,352	4,911
\$5,000 to \$5,999	11,047	622	10,425	8,612	3,510	5,102
\$6,000 to \$6,999	10,077	746	9,331	8,542	3,893	4,649
\$7,000 to \$7,999	8,550	762	7,788	6,714	3,272	3,442
\$8,000 to \$8,999	6,874	619	6,255	4,973	2,543	2,430
\$9,000 to \$9,999	5,038	460	4,578	3,962	2,039	1,923
\$10,000 to \$14,999	10,067	1,026	9,041	8,323	3,953	4,370
\$15,000 to \$24,999	2,407	241	2,166	2,910	1,259	1,651
\$25,000 and over	672	55	617	1,742	630	1,112
All families	81,348	5,199	76,149	70,426	26,623	43,803
Median income	\$6,299	\$7,739	\$6,182	\$6,229	\$7,118	\$5,544
<u>Tampa, Fla.</u>						
Under \$1,000	3,520	456	3,064	4,439	1,755	2,684
\$1,000 to \$1,999	6,009	545	5,464	7,187	2,779	4,408
\$2,000 to \$2,999	7,307	780	6,527	8,826	3,631	5,195
\$3,000 to \$3,999	8,839	981	7,858	9,523	4,491	5,032
\$4,000 to \$4,999	12,344	1,506	10,838	9,487	5,272	4,215
\$5,000 to \$5,999	17,078	2,824	14,254	8,398	4,827	3,571
\$6,000 to \$6,999	17,150	3,145	14,005	6,438	3,778	2,660
\$7,000 to \$7,999	15,228	2,885	12,343	4,870	2,844	2,026
\$8,000 to \$8,999	12,643	2,283	10,360	3,710	2,402	1,308
\$9,000 to \$9,999	9,760	1,908	7,852	2,503	1,491	1,012
\$10,000 to \$14,999	23,109	4,231	18,878	4,577	2,917	1,660
\$15,000 to \$24,999	6,896	1,259	5,637	1,652	1,016	636
\$25,000 and over	2,633	378	2,255	998	604	394
All families	142,516	23,181	119,335	72,608	37,807	34,801
Median income	\$6,942	\$7,469	\$6,833	\$4,667	\$5,202	\$4,019
<u>Tulsa, Okla.</u>						
Under \$1,000	2,865	71	2,794	2,492	447	2,045
\$1,000 to \$1,999	4,697	85	4,612	3,962	539	3,423
\$2,000 to \$2,999	5,258	97	5,161	4,850	818	4,032
\$3,000 to \$3,999	5,926	157	5,769	6,081	1,368	4,713
\$4,000 to \$4,999	7,870	258	7,612	7,263	2,352	4,911
\$5,000 to \$5,999	11,047	622	10,425	8,612	3,510	5,102
\$6,000 to \$6,999	10,077	746	9,331	8,542	3,893	4,649
\$7,000 to \$7,999	8,550	762	7,788	6,714	3,272	3,442
\$8,000 to \$8,999	6,874	619	6,255	4,973	2,543	2,430
\$9,000 to \$9,999	5,038	460	4,578	3,962	2,039	1,923
\$10,000 to \$14,999	10,067	1,026	9,041	8,323	3,953	4,370
\$15,000 to \$24,999	2,407	241	2,166	2,910	1,259	1,651
\$25,000 and over	672	55	617	1,742	630	1,112
All families	81,348	5,199	76,149	70,426	26,623	43,803
Median income	\$6,299	\$7,739	\$6,182	\$6,229	\$7,118	\$5,544

Table 2

Income in 1959 of Families: Cities
according to 1950 and 1960 City Boundaries

Income level	Within 1960 boundary	Within annexed area	Within 1950 boundary	
<u>Wichita, Kans.</u>				
Under \$1,000	2,022	408	1,614	
\$1,000 to \$1,999	3,155	469	2,686	
\$2,000 to \$2,999	3,965	742	3,223	
\$3,000 to \$3,999	5,581	1,074	4,507	
\$4,000 to \$4,999	7,799	2,231	5,568	
\$5,000 to \$5,999	9,741	3,345	6,396	
\$6,000 to \$6,999	8,174	2,900	5,274	
\$7,000 to \$7,999	6,337	2,287	4,050	
\$8,000 to \$8,999	5,039	1,763	3,276	
\$9,000 to \$9,999	3,847	1,508	2,339	
\$10,000 to \$14,999	7,819	2,842	4,977	
\$15,000 to \$24,999	2,145	843	1,302	
\$25,000 and over	881	347	534	
All families	66,505	20,759	45,746	
Median income	\$6,121	\$6,728	\$5,825	

Sources: data for families within 1960 boundary from U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1960, General Social and Economic Characteristics, Final Reports PC(1) - Series C, Table 76, for each state; data for families within annexed area estimated; data for families within 1950 boundary by subtraction.

Table 3

Income in 1949 of Families: Standard Metropolitan
Statistical Areas according to 1950 and 1960 Definitions

Income level	1950 definition	Added counties	1960 definition	1950 definition	Added counties	1960 definition
<u>Atlanta, Ga.</u>			<u>Baltimore, Md.</u>			
Under \$500	12,095	2,010	14,105	19,075	1,130	20,205
\$500 to \$999	9,860	1,155	11,015	11,180	795	11,975
\$1,000 to \$1,499	13,010	1,185	14,195	15,685	1,250	16,935
\$1,500 to \$1,999	16,570	1,405	17,975	18,965	1,595	20,560
\$2,000 to \$2,499	17,415	1,565	18,980	28,670	1,735	30,405
\$2,500 to \$2,999	14,520	1,275	15,795	33,085	1,625	34,710
\$3,000 to \$3,499	15,055	1,335	16,390	39,810	1,455	41,265
\$3,500 to \$3,999	12,310	870	13,180	28,480	1,060	29,540
\$4,000 to \$4,499	10,045	605	10,650	23,890	930	24,820
\$4,500 to \$4,999	7,915	390	8,305	17,615	560	18,175
\$5,000 to \$5,999	12,790	625	13,415	27,800	880	28,680
\$6,000 to \$6,999	7,205	275	7,480	15,920	355	16,275
\$7,000 to \$9,999	8,535	195	8,730	19,320	520	19,840
\$10,000 and over	5,920	100	6,020	10,420	325	10,745
Total reporting	163,245	12,990	176,235	309,915	14,215	324,130
Not reported	10,870	530	11,400	25,045	795	25,840
All families	174,115	13,520	187,635	334,960	15,010	349,970
Median income	\$2,936	\$2,236	\$2,875	\$3,355	\$2,686	\$3,330

Note: The Atlanta Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area consisted of Cobb, De Kalb and Fulton Counties in 1950; Clayton and Gwinnett Counties were added between 1950 and 1960.

The Baltimore Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area consisted of Baltimore City, Ann Arundel and Baltimore Counties in 1950; Carroll and Howard Counties were added between 1950 and 1960.

Table 3

Income in 1949 of Families: Standard Metropolitan
Statistical Areas according to 1950 and 1960 Definitions

Income level	1950 definition	Added counties	1960 definition	1950 definition	Added counties	1960 definition
	<u>Chicago, Ill.</u>			<u>Chicago, Ill. - Northwestern Indiana Standard Consolidated Area</u>		
Under \$500	63,545	- 3,110	60,435	63,545	1,255	64,800
\$500 to \$999	31,245	- 1,615	29,630	31,245	800	32,045
\$1,000 to \$1,499	39,160	- 1,915	37,245	39,160	945	40,105
\$1,500 to \$1,999	47,220	- 2,090	45,130	47,220	1,045	48,265
\$2,000 to \$2,499	85,370	- 4,835	80,535	85,370	1,660	87,030
\$2,500 to \$2,999	104,995	- 7,075	97,920	104,995	2,005	107,000
\$3,000 to \$3,499	157,190	-10,475	146,715	157,190	2,710	159,900
\$3,500 to \$3,999	135,635	- 9,950	125,685	135,635	2,255	137,890
\$4,000 to \$4,499	129,030	- 9,660	119,370	129,030	2,130	131,160
\$4,500 to \$4,999	95,900	- 6,315	89,585	95,900	1,400	97,300
\$5,000 to \$5,999	169,095	- 8,915	160,180	169,095	2,180	171,275
\$6,000 to \$6,999	101,475	- 5,125	96,350	101,475	1,060	102,535
\$7,000 to \$9,999	123,985	- 5,830	118,155	123,985	1,225	125,210
\$10,000 and over	77,645	- 2,275	75,370	77,645	835	78,480
Total reporting	1,361,490	-79,185	1,282,305	1,361,490	21,505	1,382,995
Not reported	82,820	- 3,240	79,580	82,820	1,110	83,930
All families	1,444,310	-82,425	1,361,885	1,444,310	22,615	1,466,925
Median income	\$4,063	\$3,926	\$4,075	\$4,063	\$3,574	\$4,055

Note: The Chicago Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area consisted of Cook, Du Page, Kane and Lake Counties, Ill., and Lake County, Ind., in 1950; McHenry County, Ill., was added and Lake County, Ind., was deleted between 1950 and 1960.

The Chicago, Ill. - Northwestern Indiana Standard Consolidated Area consists of the Chicago Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area and Lake and Porter Counties, Ind.

Table 3

Income in 1949 of Families: Standard Metropolitan
Statistical Areas according to 1950 and 1960 Definitions

Income level	1950 definition	Added counties	1960 definition	1950 definition	Added county	1960 definition
<u>Dallas, Texas</u>			<u>Dayton, Ohio</u>			
Under \$500	7,800	2,955	10,755	5,590	760	6,350
\$500 to \$999	7,180	4,235	11,415	3,520	585	4,105
\$1,000 to \$1,499	9,265	4,270	13,535	4,175	850	5,025
\$1,500 to \$1,999	11,315	3,765	15,080	4,515	975	5,490
\$2,000 to \$2,499	15,800	4,045	19,845	8,105	1,580	9,685
\$2,500 to \$2,999	14,555	2,755	17,310	10,080	1,730	11,810
\$3,000 to \$3,499	16,930	2,710	19,640	14,820	2,185	17,005
\$3,500 to \$3,999	13,965	1,965	15,930	12,555	1,640	14,195
\$4,000 to \$4,499	12,355	1,360	13,715	11,075	1,350	12,425
\$4,500 to \$4,999	9,940	955	10,895	7,835	780	8,615
\$5,000 to \$5,999	15,105	1,320	16,425	13,105	1,225	14,330
\$6,000 to \$6,999	8,715	720	9,435	7,620	580	8,200
\$7,000 to \$9,999	9,955	810	10,765	7,800	550	8,350
\$10,000 and over	8,265	510	8,775	3,830	305	4,135
Total reporting	161,145	32,375	193,520	114,625	15,095	129,720
Not reported	8,550	1,115	9,665	6,100	1,105	7,205
All families	169,695	33,490	203,185	120,725	16,200	136,925
Median income	\$3,433	\$2,119	\$3,225	\$3,759	\$3,244	\$3,690

Note: The Dallas Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area consisted of Dallas County in 1950; Collin, Denton and Ellis Counties were added between 1950 and 1960.

The Dayton Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area consisted of Greene and Montgomery Counties in 1950; Miami County was added between 1950 and 1960.

Table 3

Income in 1949 of Families: Standard Metropolitan
Statistical Areas according to 1950 and 1960 Definitions

Income level	1950 definition	Added county	1960 definition	1950 definition	Added county	1960 definition
<u>Denver, Colo.</u>			<u>Fort Worth, Texas</u>			
Under \$500	6,985	640	7,625	4,545	910	5,455
\$500 to \$999	5,355	675	6,030	4,730	910	5,640
\$1,000 to \$1,499	6,590	915	7,505	5,775	800	6,575
\$1,500 to \$1,999	8,635	1,215	9,850	6,715	825	7,540
\$2,000 to \$2,499	12,160	1,360	13,520	9,950	980	10,930
\$2,500 to \$2,999	13,810	1,360	15,170	10,340	820	11,160
\$3,000 to \$3,499	17,735	1,405	19,140	11,460	1,025	12,485
\$3,500 to \$3,999	14,005	1,025	15,030	9,230	670	9,900
\$4,000 to \$4,499	11,740	760	12,500	7,705	405	8,110
\$4,500 to \$4,999	9,200	530	9,730	5,690	255	5,945
\$5,000 to \$5,999	13,170	740	13,910	8,110	410	8,520
\$6,000 to \$6,999	7,545	335	7,880	4,360	210	4,570
\$7,000 to \$9,999	7,955	465	8,420	4,110	185	4,295
\$10,000 and over	5,675	315	5,990	3,130	125	3,255
Total reporting	140,560	11,740	152,300	95,850	8,530	104,380
Not reported	7,880	410	8,290	3,590	135	3,725
All families	148,440	12,150	160,590	99,440	8,665	108,105
Median income	\$3,472	\$2,892	\$3,430	\$3,256	\$2,418	\$3,196

Note: The Denver Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area consisted of Adams, Arapahoe, Denver and Jefferson Counties in 1950; Boulder County was added between 1950 and 1960.

The Fort Worth Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area consisted of Tarrant County in 1950; Johnson County was added between 1950 and 1960.

Table 3

Income in 1949 of Families: Standard Metropolitan
Statistical Areas according to 1950 and 1960 Definitions

Income level	1950 definition	Added county	1960 definition	1950 definition	Added county	1960 definition
	<u>Milwaukee, Wisc.</u>			<u>Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.</u>		
Under \$500	8,650	835	9,485	11,580	500	12,080
\$500 to \$999	4,370	565	4,935	7,130	290	7,420
\$1,000 to \$1,499	6,060	790	6,850	9,875	425	10,300
\$1,500 to \$1,999	7,105	980	8,085	11,805	475	12,280
\$2,000 to \$2,499	12,500	1,470	13,970	19,560	815	20,375
\$2,500 to \$2,999	18,270	1,915	20,185	24,340	895	25,235
\$3,000 to \$3,499	30,370	2,810	33,180	36,965	1,210	38,175
\$3,500 to \$3,999	26,920	2,525	29,445	31,525	835	32,360
\$4,000 to \$4,499	23,170	1,890	25,060	27,605	655	28,260
\$4,500 to \$4,999	16,940	1,465	18,405	20,130	460	20,590
\$5,000 to \$5,999	26,495	2,065	28,560	29,710	690	30,400
\$6,000 to \$6,999	14,130	1,175	15,305	16,455	370	16,825
\$7,000 to \$9,999	16,245	1,055	17,300	18,280	250	18,530
\$10,000 and over	9,330	765	10,095	12,390	180	12,570
Total reporting	220,555	20,305	240,860	277,350	8,050	285,400
Not reported	5,635	1,060	6,695	12,160	430	12,590
All families	226,190	21,365	247,555	289,510	8,480	297,990
Median income	\$3,926	\$3,656	\$3,903	\$3,776	\$3,258	\$3,760

Note: The Milwaukee Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area consisted of Milwaukee County in 1950; Waukesha County was added between 1950 and 1960.

The Minneapolis-St. Paul Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area consisted of Anoka, Dakota, Hennepin and Ramsey Counties in 1950; Washington County was added between 1950 and 1960.

Table 3

Income in 1949 of Families: Standard Metropolitan
Statistical Areas according to 1950 and 1960 Definitions

Income level	1950 definition	Added counties	1960 definition	1950 definition	Added county	1960 definition
<u>Oklahoma City, Okla.</u>			<u>St. Louis, Mo.</u>			
Under \$500	4,905	1,020	5,925	28,325	775	29,100
\$500 to \$999	4,050	1,330	5,380	15,125	515	15,640
\$1,000 to \$1,499	4,880	1,660	6,540	18,640	500	19,140
\$1,500 to \$1,999	5,715	1,745	7,460	23,180	655	23,835
\$2,000 to \$2,499	8,655	1,910	10,565	38,455	1,070	39,525
\$2,500 to \$2,999	9,315	1,665	10,980	41,325	865	42,190
\$3,000 to \$3,499	9,930	1,550	11,480	53,135	1,230	54,365
\$3,500 to \$3,999	8,175	1,185	9,360	40,810	930	41,740
\$4,000 to \$4,499	6,225	1,000	7,225	34,175	740	34,915
\$4,500 to \$4,999	4,795	620	5,415	24,355	450	24,805
\$5,000 to \$5,999	6,935	835	7,770	37,570	600	38,170
\$6,000 to \$6,999	3,785	415	4,200	20,610	225	20,835
\$7,000 to \$9,999	3,470	475	3,945	22,535	235	22,770
\$10,000 and over	2,970	290	3,260	13,350	170	13,520
Total reporting	83,805	15,700	99,505	411,590	8,960	420,550
Not reported	5,500	555	6,055	36,350	680	37,030
All families	89,305	16,255	105,560	447,940	9,640	457,580
Median income	\$3,221	\$2,556	\$3,126	\$3,383	\$3,041	\$3,376

Note: The Oklahoma City Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area consisted of Oklahoma County in 1950; Canadian and Cleveland Counties were added between 1950 and 1960.

The St. Louis Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area consisted of St. Louis city, and St. Charles and St. Louis Counties, Mo., and of Madison and St. Clair Counties, Ill., in 1950; Jefferson County, Mo., was added between 1950 and 1960.

Table 3

Income in 1949 of Families: Standard Metropolitan
Statistical Areas according to 1950 and 1960 Definitions

Income level	1950 definition	Added county	1960 definition	1950 definition	Added counties	1960 definition
	<u>Seattle, Wash.</u>			<u>Tulsa, Okla.</u>		
Under \$500	8,835	2,040	10,875	2,955	2,055	5,010
\$500 to \$999	5,610	1,520	7,130	2,360	2,320	4,680
\$1,000 to \$1,499	8,500	2,450	10,950	3,125	2,160	5,285
\$1,500 to \$1,999	7,955	1,765	9,720	3,360	1,740	5,100
\$2,000 to \$2,499	11,845	2,480	14,325	5,335	1,975	7,310
\$2,500 to \$2,999	13,945	2,975	16,920	4,945	1,400	6,345
\$3,000 to \$3,499	22,405	4,125	26,530	6,110	1,990	8,100
\$3,500 to \$3,999	20,690	3,370	24,060	5,215	2,030	7,245
\$4,000 to \$4,499	18,435	2,340	20,775	4,680	1,280	5,960
\$4,500 to \$4,999	14,045	1,615	15,660	3,310	590	3,900
\$5,000 to \$5,999	20,840	2,205	23,045	5,105	805	5,910
\$6,000 to \$6,999	12,980	1,140	14,120	3,250	455	3,705
\$7,000 to \$9,999	13,030	1,070	14,100	3,115	400	3,515
\$10,000 and over	7,485	500	7,985	2,660	205	2,865
Total reporting	186,600	29,595	216,195	55,525	19,405	74,930
Not reported	11,285	1,265	12,550	2,625	755	3,380
All families	197,885	30,860	228,745	58,150	20,160	78,310
Median income	\$3,843	\$3,190	\$3,742	\$3,465	\$2,362	\$3,231

Note: The Seattle Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area consisted of King County in 1950; Snohomish County was added between 1950 and 1960.

The Tulsa Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area consisted of Tulsa County in 1950; Creek and Osage Counties were added between 1950 and 1960.

Sources: data for columns (1) and (2) from U.S. Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: 1950, Vol. II, Characteristics of the Population, Tables 37 and 45 for each state; data for column (3) by addition.

UNIVERSITY of PENNSYLVANIA
Population Studies Center

3935 Locust Street
Philadelphia, Pa. 19104

The Center is organized for graduate training and for research in population and related fields. Its building houses offices, machine and other workrooms, seminar room, library and training laboratory. Research interests include migration, fertility, labor force, urbanization, and economic growth. Doctoral candidates in Sociology, Economics and related fields may emphasize population as an aspect of their programs. In addition, a Master's degree in Demography is awarded and post-doctoral scholars may undertake special programs of research and study. Inquiries may be addressed to the Director, Vincent H. Whitney.