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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Nanocrystalline Wide Bandgap Semiconducting Oxides

1.1.1 Properties and Uses

The discovery and utilization of semiconductors has truly revolutionized modern life. While electricity fundamentally changed everyday activities, the discovery of semiconductors enabled the technological advances which have transformed our society into the vibrant, interconnected one it is today. Semiconductors are basis of computing, allowing for the storage of binary data in transistors, but this is only one of many uses. They also are central to important devices and applications such as switches, photovoltaics, light emitting diodes (LEDs), photocatalysis, smart windows, sensors, photodetectors, thermoelectrics, and many, many more.

The bandgap of a semiconductor, which is equivalent to the HOMO-LUMO gap in chemical terms, is arguably its most important feature and is often used to classify a given semiconductor.\(^1,2\) Semiconductors are often referred to as narrow or wide bandgap depending on the energetic spacing of the bandgap, with the value of 1 eV representing an approximate cross over point. Narrow bandgap semiconductors often are utilized in thermoelectrics or infrared photodetectors, applications in which the materials must have appreciable thermal carriers at
moderate temperatures. Wide bandgap materials, in contrast, must typically be doped or illuminated in order to observe interesting properties.

In this thesis, I will primarily be discussing the properties of a certain class of wide bandgap semiconductors, transition metal oxides, excepting those with magnetic properties. Many transition metal oxides have very large bandgaps (> 3 eV) relative to conventional semiconductors, such as Si (1.1 eV). In fact, many of the oxides I will discuss are often referred to as insulators or dielectrics, because under many circumstances they have negligible conductivities. Despite this fact, transition metal oxides find many applications, and are among the most heavily studied and mass produced materials in the world. Much of this stems from the high stability of oxide materials. Phosphides, chalcogenides and other classes of semiconductors have often been shown or predicted to show high performance are doomed by stability issues, particularly to oxidation. Oxides, in contrast, are typically far less susceptible to oxidation and can persist even under extreme conditions, for instance, in solid oxide fuel cells which operate at temperatures in excess of 600 °C. Oxide materials are currently used ubiquitously in consumer products and in industry, including in the following applications:

1) Catalytic support materials
2) Pigments/Coatings
3) Cosmetics
4) Photovoltaics
5) Sensors
6) Fuel Cells
7) Electrochromics
8) Batteries

Research to improve the properties of oxides for these applications and others emerging applications continues to be extremely active.

One strategy towards improving oxide properties is through the engineering of particles at the nanoscale. Nanocrystalline oxide materials, which have particle sizes less than a few hundred nanometers, have been synthesized for many years, but primarily only to provide higher surface area supports for catalysts. Synthetic advances which allow for the production of oxide nanoparticles with precise control over shape, size, and phase has led to discovery of new properties and improved performances. This section will review the solution synthesis of oxide nanoparticles and introduce improved methods using nonaqueous solvents.

1.1.2 Aqueous Synthetic Techniques

Solution dispersible transition metal oxide particles, which are also referred to as colloids, have been synthesized for several decades using well established methods. In industry, gas phase approaches are paramount for the formation of high surface area oxides, due to the low cost of production. Useful
nanocrystalline oxides can be produced using these methods, but control over
the particle size and phase of the material is quite limited. For example, Degussa
P25 TiO$_2$ (now produced by Evonik Corp.) is the most heavily studied form of
nanocrystalline TiO$_2$, and is formed through a gas phase method. This material
has very high activity for many photocatalytic reactions, but is found to be
composed of 78% anatase phase, 14% rutile phase, and some amorphous TiO$_2$
and contains particles with sizes ranging from 10-100 nm.$^{16}$ Such variability in
material's composition makes correlation of particle size and properties incredibly
difficult. Admittedly, mixed phase materials such as P25 may exhibit better
performance than single phases by inducing favorable charge separation, but
without the ability to systematically vary the phase and the interactions of those
phases at the molecular level, there is little chance of determining the origin of
improved performance.$^{17}$

Better control over particle morphology and phase is afforded through
liquid phase synthetic methods, which allow for chemical control over the
formation of oxides, and will be focus of this thesis.$^7$ Perhaps the most exploited
technique for the synthesis of oxides is the sol-gel process. When first
discovered in the 1950s, sol-gel represented a fundamentally different approach
to the synthesis of ceramics.$^{18}$ For centuries prior, ceramic materials were
prepared simply by melting down minerals into homogeneous liquids and
molding them into the desired shapes, where crystalline phase was determined
purely by thermodynamics. Sol-gel processing allowed for molecular manipulation of the microstructure of ceramic materials, such that chemical principles could be used to direct the properties of the synthesized material.\textsuperscript{18}

The sol-gel method is essentially a polymerization reaction, in which metal complexes, such as alkoxides or chlorides, are the monomer and water is the catalyst.\textsuperscript{7} Upon exposure of a metal complex to water, hydrolysis occurs, producing hydroxylated metals which condense to form networks of metal-oxygen linkages. In the early stage, when hydrolysis begins, a sol, or dispersion of colloidal particles, forms. After aging the sol, continued hydrolysis of the metal centers results in the formation of the gel. The gel is typically a viscous mixture composed of a network of amorphous metal oxide particles. In some circumstances, the particles which compose the sol or gel can have very high uniformity and tunable shape depending on the reaction conditions.\textsuperscript{19} To induce crystallinity in the amorphous gel, the material usually must be heated to high temperatures (> 600 °C), forming the nanocrystalline oxide powder.\textsuperscript{19} The morphology of the nanocrystalline powders is tuned through the various synthesis conditions, including the solution pH, type of metal precursor, anions, organic additives, aging time, and annealing temperature.\textsuperscript{19} The major disadvantage of sol-gel processing is the annealing step which is required to form a crystalline material, but is often detrimental to the particle uniformity and morphology.\textsuperscript{7,20}
Other popular aqueous phase synthetic techniques to produce transition metal oxides are hydrothermal and solvothermal methods, which rely on high pressure reactors to heat reactions beyond the typical boiling point of their solvents, i.e. to a supercritical state. Similar to sol-gel method, hydrolysis of metal complexes is the most common method to prepare oxide materials under solvothermal/hydrothermal conditions, although direct oxidation of metals can also be accomplished for some materials. The essential difference between the two methods is the solvent utilized in the reaction, where hydrothermal is majority water and solvothermal uses organic solvents. The major advantage of solvothermal/hydrothermal methods is that the resulting materials are typically crystalline as synthesized due to the elevated reaction temperature, and do not require high temperature annealing, as in the case of the sol-gel method. On the other hand, due to a large variability in reactions conditions, reproducibility has been an issue with hydrothermal and solvothermal reactions.

1.1.3 Nonaqueous Synthetic Techniques

The nonaqueous synthesis of oxide particles began in the 1980s, intensified in the 1990s, and was initially a modification of aqueous sol-gel techniques. The terms nonaqueous sol-gel and nonhydrolytic sol-gel were coined early on and continue to be used to describe the synthesis of nanocrystals in organic solvents, although they are not always well-distinguished in the literature. Nonhydrolytic sol-gel describes reactions in which an oxide
forms without any involvement from water, through reactions with oxygen-containing organic molecules, while in nonaqueous sol-gel processes hydrolysis occurs with water produced \textit{in situ}.\textsuperscript{7} On the other hand, it is important to note that without careful study, it is not always obvious whether water is produced during a given reaction, so the terms are often used interchangeably. One proposed advantage of the nonaqueous approaches is better control over reaction rates than possible in sol-gel chemistry, where hydrolysis typically occurs very quickly and is difficult to modulate.\textsuperscript{22} A pioneer of this early work was Andre Vioux, who carefully studied the mechanisms by which metal precursors such as alkoxides and halides react with organic molecules to form oxides in the absence of water.\textsuperscript{22} Niederberger, et al. have established a versatile nonhydrolytic sol-gel approach to produce many binary and ternary oxide systems with some control over particle shape and size, primarily using benzyl alcohol as a coordinating solvent.\textsuperscript{23} On the other hand, many of these syntheses are also run under solvothermal conditions, requiring elevated pressure.

In parallel to these early investigations of nonaqueous synthetic approaches to oxide materials, novel methods were being developed for the production of other inorganic nanomaterials, particularly semiconductor quantum dots.\textsuperscript{24} These methods are commonly referred to as nonaqueous surfactant-assisted synthesis, high temperature colloidal synthesis, and nonhydrolytic colloidal synthesis.\textsuperscript{25,26} Whatever term is used, these methods revolutionized the
synthesis of nanocrystals. The reactions are conducted in solutions of high boiling point surfactants and solvents along with metal organic or organometallic precursors at relatively high temperatures (200 – 350°C), typically under an inert atmosphere. At these elevated temperatures, thermal decomposition of the precursors occurs, resulting in atomic species which polymerize to form the nanocrystals. By adjusting the reaction temperature and type of surfactant, one can effectively tailor the morphology of nanocrystals with better precision and reproducibility than previous methods. The resulting nanocrystals are highly crystalline isolated particles, and are terminated by the surfactants present in the reaction mixture, leading to high solubility in non-polar media.

Figure 1: A) La Mer plot, along with cartoon depicting the stages of nucleation and growth for the preparation of monodisperse NCs in the framework of the La Mer model. Courtesy of C. B.
Murray\textsuperscript{27} (B) Representation of the simple synthetic apparatus employed in the preparation of monodisperse NC samples.

Much of the improvement in monodispersity can be interpreted in terms of classical nucleation theory or LaMer theory. The hot-injection method, first described by Murray, et al. involved the injection of precursors at high temperature, and subsequent heating at slightly reduced temperature.\textsuperscript{24} The quick injection of precursor results in a supersaturation of reactive monomer species to a concentration above the nucleation threshold, leading to nucleation of seed crystals (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{27} If the temperature were to remain elevated, nucleation could continue to occur, resulting in polydispersity. By allowing the reaction mixture to cool, nucleation is suppressed, and uniform particle growth occurs instead. With extended reaction time, Ostwald ripening can occur as the concentration of monomers dwindles, and large particles grow at expense of small particles, which preferentially dissolve, leading to polydispersity.\textsuperscript{27} Early studies of hot injection methods primarily focused on the synthesis of semiconductor and metal particles.\textsuperscript{24,29,30}

The two communities of nonaqueous sol-gel and surfactant assisted synthesis remained separate for some years, until 1999, when two independent reports demonstrated the synthesis of transition metal oxide nanocrystals through nonaqueous surfactant assisted approaches.\textsuperscript{31,32} Since that time, surfactant assisted methods have been shown to be suitable for preparing most
material types, including oxides,\textsuperscript{32,33} phosphides,\textsuperscript{34-36} halides,\textsuperscript{37-39} and even ternary compounds.\textsuperscript{40-42} In addition, different modifications of the surfactant assisted synthesis were developed, including the heating-up method and seeded growth method.

The heating-up method is a one-pot synthesis, in which the metal organic precursors and surfactants are combined at room temperature and heated to high temperature, simplifying the procedure by eliminating the need for injection. This approach, pioneered by Hyeon, has been particularly useful in the synthesis of highly uniform transition metal oxides.\textsuperscript{43-48} Careful study of the kinetics of the heating-up method has revealed that the reaction mechanisms enabling high uniformity also fall within the LaMer model used to interpret hot-injection.\textsuperscript{49}

Seeded growth methods were first developed in aqueous solvents for the synthesis of metal particles with uniform size and shape.\textsuperscript{50,51} Essentially, seeded growth methods inherently separate nucleation and growth through the initial production of seed particles, followed by the slow addition of a growth solution, forming monomers which deposit on the seeds. This method allows for particle size to be carefully controlled, as demonstrated for iron oxide nanocrystals with size tunable in one nanometer increments.\textsuperscript{52} It is also the basis for the preparation of core-shell materials which enable near unity quantum yields for fluorescent quantum dots.\textsuperscript{53}
The nonaqueous synthetic methods developed in the past decade not only improved the uniformity of oxide nanoparticles, but are also scalable. Hyeon, et al. have demonstrated several materials for which multi-gram scale quantities of highly uniform particles can be made simply by increasing the reaction size. This elevates the uniform nanocrystals from series of interesting discoveries to an industrially viable set of materials. In fact, several startup companies and large corporations are currently using nonaqueous surfactant assisted methods for applications in biological imaging, photovoltaics, and light emitting diodes.

1.1.4 Doping Oxide Nanocrystals

Doping is the process by which atoms or atomic vacancies are introduced into a crystal lattice either intentionally or unintentionally. In the semiconductor industry, doping is the primary method in which the materials properties are altered, allowing for tuning of the electrical conductivity and carrier type of the material through judicious choice of atomic dopants. For these applications, materials are incredibly sensitive to the addition of small numbers of atomic dopants, with typical intended doping levels being $10^{15} - 10^{18}$ atoms/cm$^3$ (0.000001 to 0.001 % atomic doping), thus the need for extremely clean environments for semiconductor processing. In the case of nanocrystals, doping is typically performed at a much higher concentrations, due to the small size of nanocrystals, which contain in the range of $10^3$ to $10^6$ atoms. In this case, uniform doping at levels typically used in bulk semiconductors would lead to a
large percentage of nanocrystals which are undoped. As a result, much of the
doping described in this work could be alternatively referred to as alloying.

Doping of oxide nanocrystals became a topic of interest to improve
photocatalytic properties soon after solution methods to oxide synthesis were
developed. Some early studies concerned the influence of transition metal
dopants on oxide colloids, particularly TiO$_2$, both the spectroscopically and
photochemically.$^{58-60}$ At this point, doped colloids were primarily formed through
sol-gel methods, in which soluble transition metal compounds were simply added
along with titanium precursors prior to preparation of the sol.$^{61}$ A comprehensive
review of the effects of transition metal dopants on TiO$_2$ sols revealed that some
metals resulted in slightly higher photoactivity for CCl$_4$ photoreduction, including
Fe$^{3+}$ and Mo$^{5+}$, while others had deleterious effects, acting as trap states.$^{61}$
Doping often results in visible light absorption, but rarely does this result in
enhanced visible light photoactivity.

More recently, anionic doping of oxides has shown more promise towards
enabling visible light photoactivity. In 2001, Asahi, et al. reported enhanced
photocatalytic activity from nitrogen doped TiO$_2$, which popularized this
approach.$^{62}$ Since that time, other anions, including B, C, N, F and S have all
been claimed as improving the visible light photoactivity of TiO$_2$ and other
oxides,$^{63-67}$ although controversy regarding the reliability of some of these claims
exists.$^{68}$ Doping of colloidal oxide particles has also been of great interest for the
production of dilute magnetic semiconductors which display room temperature ferromagnetism and are the potential building blocks for spintronics devices.\textsuperscript{69}

The nonaqueous surfactant assisted approaches described above have been shown to be amenable to the doping of nanocrystals. Both cationic and anionic doping can be accomplished, often simply through addition of multiple metal or anionic precursors into the reaction mixture. In quantum dot systems, doping is used to manipulate the bandgap of the material.\textsuperscript{70,71} For metal nanocrystals, formation of alloys and intermetallics is common and allows for tunability in the catalytic activity of the materials.\textsuperscript{30,72-74} Doping of oxide materials, in contrast, has not been as heavily studied, except in the formation of various ferrite materials for magnetic applications.\textsuperscript{41}

Efforts to dope wide bandgap oxides have resulted in the observation of interesting phenomena. In the case of ZnO, Yang, et al. observed that addition of Mg dramatically influenced the growth of the nanoparticles by modifying the crystal structure of the seeds, resulting in the production of uniform rods and tetrapods of Mg\textsubscript{x}Zn\textsubscript{1-x}O nanocrystals.\textsuperscript{75} Another recent focus has been on the production of nanocrystals of transparent conducting oxides (TCOs). This topic will be discussed in more detail in section 1.3.

Overall, the doping allows for the modification of the electronic, optical, and magnetic properties of nanocrystals, and can be used as a tool to improve
the physical properties of materials or as a means to observe emergent phenomena.71

1.2 Photocatalysis

1.2.1 Introduction

Photocatalysis is the process by which photons enable a reaction which would not occur spontaneously. Investigation on this topic began in 1972 when Fujishima and Honda observed the photoinduced splitting of water on a TiO$_2$ photoanode with Pt cathode to produce hydrogen and oxygen.76 If useful fuels, such as hydrogen, can be formed efficiently under solar irradiation through photocatalysis, distinct advantages exist over other renewable solar technologies. Unlike photovoltaics which generate electricity, photocatalytic processes can directly create a chemical fuel, eliminating the need for batteries or other methods to store electrical energy. In addition, photocatalysis has been demonstrated to convert CO$_2$ and other carbon waste materials into fuel products, thus simultaneously capturing carbon and producing an energy source.77 Photocatalysis should be defined separately from photosynthesis, although confusion exists in the literature. A photosynthetic process is one in which the products have more potential energy than the reactants, as in the case of splitting water to hydrogen and oxygen, while if the products have less potential energy, the process is photocatalytic.
As with typical catalysts, photocatalysts are broadly categorized as either homogeneous or heterogeneous. Homogeneous catalysts reside in the same phase as the reactants, while heterogeneous catalysts are of a different phase. Homogeneous catalysts are typically molecular species soluble in solutions, while heterogeneous catalysts are usually solids catalyzing reaction in liquids or gases. While incredible progress has been made with homogeneous photocatalysts, particularly with respect to compounds which mimic the photosynthetic reaction center of plants, heterogeneous catalysts are advantageous because they are more easily separated and are typically more robust.

Heterogeneous photocatalysts are almost exclusively wide bandgap semiconductors, often of oxide materials which are more resistant to photooxidation. The process of photocatalysis proceeds as follows. First, the semiconductor absorbs a photon with energy larger than the bandgap, producing an electron in the conduction band and a hole in the valence band. Electrons in the conduction band are then available to reduce surface species, while holes are available for oxidations (Figure 2). In order for such surface reactions to occur, recombination of the electron and hole should be prevented, which is normally necessitates high crystallinity and low trap densities. In addition, the band potential levels must be appropriately aligned with the redox potentials of the given reaction. For example, in the case of photosynthetic splitting of water,
not only must the bandgap of the semiconductor be greater than 1.23 eV, but the conduction band potential of the semiconductor must be more negative than 0 V and the valence band potential should be more positive than 1.23 V, as depicted in Figure 2. In practice, the bandgap must be somewhat larger than 1.23 eV to overcome overpotential barriers for electron transfer, with a bandgap of 2 eV considered optimal.

![Figure 2. Energy diagram for the photosynthetic water splitting in which an electron and hole form due to photooxidation, the electron reduces protons to hydrogen and oxidizes water to oxygen.](image)

Heterogeneous photocatalysts are studied in two ways, in the form of photoelectrochemical cells or as dispersed catalysts in solution. Both have certain advantages. In photoelectrochemical cells, the reduction and oxidation reactions are spatially separated, eliminating the possibility of the reaction products recombining. Dispersed photocatalysts, on the other hand, are more easily expanded to larger scales. The nanocrystals described in this work will be studied as dispersed catalysts, probing the fundamental properties of the colloids, rather than those of assembled structures.
Several photocatalytic reactions are targets for both energy and environmental applications. For many years, photocatalysts have been utilized for the photooxidation of organic pollutants, such as chlorinated aromatics and other harmful contaminants. For the production of renewable energy sources, water splitting to form hydrogen and oxygen, as described above, is very promising as a potential source of renewable hydrogen. Recently, researchers have focused on enhancing hydrogen evolution rates from aqueous solutions through addition of renewable biomass, a process referred to as photoreforming. Early in the investigation of photocatalysts, small organic molecules were found to enhance the rate of hydrogen evolution from water, and were referred to as “hole scavengers” or “sacrificial donors,” which increase hydrogen production rates because they are more easily oxidized than water. Recently, researchers showed that the additional hydrogen evolved may result from the oxidation of more complex organic species (see Equation 1). Formulas 84,85

\[
\text{Equation 1} \quad C_nH_mO_k + (2n - k)H_2O \rightarrow n\ CO_2 + (2n + m/2 - k)H_2
\]

Materials such as ethanol, which is a renewable product of fermentation; glycerol, which is a by-product of biodiesel production; glucose, which can be easily extracted from plants; and even wastewater have been proposed or demonstrated as renewable feedstocks to improve hydrogen evolution. Furthermore, if oxidation of the biomass can be controlled, oxidation products such as formic acid could be harvested as value-added byproducts.82
Photoreduction of CO$_2$ is another reaction which is favorable because it can directly form liquid fuels, such as methanol or formic acid, which are feedstocks for chemical industry.$^{87}$

Many photocatalysts, including TiO$_2$, must be loaded with metallic nanoparticles in order to display significant photocatalytic activity for the evolution of hydrogen. These metal particles are referred to as “co-catalysts” and act as electron sinks upon deposition on the semiconductor surface, improving electron hole separation.$^{80}$ The surface of the metals also catalyzes the formation of hydrogen, increasing reaction rates.$^{82}$ Typical reduction cocatalysts include Pt, Pd, Ni, and Au, with Pt typically resulting in the largest enhancement. Water oxidation catalysts, such as IrO$_2$, RuO$_2$, and cobalt phosphate complexes can also be added to improve the other half-reaction.$^{87-89}$

As mentioned above, the materials most promising candidates as photocatalysts are wide bandgap semiconductor oxides. TiO$_2$ has many of the desired characteristics of an ideal photocatalyst: high stability under irradiation, relatively fast electron transfer kinetics, and appropriate band alignments for many reactions. On the other hand, TiO$_2$ absorbs less than 5% of the solar spectrum, severely limiting its overall efficiency.$^{80}$ While research continues in the discovery of complex multimetallic and alloyed materials with more favorable photocatalytic properties, the remarkable properties of TiO$_2$ continue to fuel intense interest.$^{90}$
1.2.2 Measurement Techniques

Experimental techniques for measuring the photocatalytic activities of powders are not satisfactorily standardized. Many experimental factors influence the results of photocatalytic reactions, including reactor geometry, light source, optical components, catalyst dispersibility, catalyst concentration, phase of reactants, etc. For this reason, it is often difficult to establish proper comparisons between materials in the literature, although the use of standards such as P25 allows for comparisons to be made. For solution reactions, reactor geometries include annular reactors, in which a mercury lamp is inserted inside of a jacketed glass or quartz reactor with an additional cooling jacket, and directional reactors in which light is incident on a glass, sapphire, or quartz window behind which a solution resides.

The products of photocatalytic reactions can be identified using many methods depending on the reaction type. For liquid phase products, high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS) are useful tools, although typically require manual injection. For hydrogen evolution reactions, because the major product is gaseous, a flow reactor connected to an on-line gas chromatograph or mass spectrometer is convenient approach as it can be easily automated to monitor reaction rates continuously. An example of such a reactor, which was utilized to measure the activity of nanocrystals in this thesis, is diagrammed in Figure 3.
1.2.3 Surface Structure

The surface structure of a photocatalyst is a very important factor controlling the reactivity of the material. This fact has been established through careful study of single crystalline surfaces using ultra high vacuum techniques. On the other hand, realizing the effects of surface structure in actual catalysts is difficult due to the relatively poor uniformity of the materials. This issue is collectively referred to as the “pressure gap” of catalysis, because materials performing catalysis under industrial conditions are difficult to compare to the pristine surfaces studied under high vacuum. Nanocrystals with controlled morphology may act as model systems to fill the pressure gap, as comparisons can only be made if very uniform particles with control over faceting can be produced synthetically. In this vein lies the future of catalysis engineering.

Figure 3. Photocatalytic gas reactor with online gas chromatography.
Significant work has been devoted to describing the effect of faceting and particle shape on the catalytic activity and selectivity of metal particles to correlate with studies of single crystals. This work has been enabled by the evolution of new synthetic methods which enable the production of metal particles with tunable shape. Similar studies have not been undertaken to determine the influence of oxide particle shape on photocatalytic reactions, due to the modest synthetic control described, but represents an opportunity to improve photocatalytic activities and selectivities and understand more about the influence of surface structure on reaction mechanisms.
1.3 Transparent Conducting Oxide Nanocrystals

1.3.1 Introduction and Materials

Transparent electrodes are important class of materials for energy applications and consumer electronics. For photovoltaics, one of the electrodes must be highly conducting while also highly transparent so that light can enter to illuminate the absorbing material. In displays and touch screens, the transparent electrode allows for light to be transmitted out of the device. Among the transparent electrodes, transparent conductive oxides (TCOs) are the most heavily utilized materials for these applications, due to their robustness. The dominant TCO materials which have been mass produced are based on heavy impurity doping of oxides such as tin oxide (SnO$_2$), indium oxide (In$_2$O$_3$), and zinc oxide (ZnO). Particular examples include indium tin oxide (ITO In$_2$O$_3$:Sn), fluorine doped tin oxide (FTO, SnO$_2$:F), and aluminum doped zinc oxide (AZO, ZnO:Al). Addition of cations with additional electrons relative to the host cation or fluorine contributes electrons to the conduction band of the material, doping the material n-type. P-type conductive oxides have been described, but are difficult to prepare, due to the tendency of oxides to form oxygen vacancies, which contribute to n-type conductivity.$^97$

The TCOs currently mass produced have been satisfactory for many applications, but the search for new materials continues. One set of materials which was found early on to be an excellent TCO candidate is CdO-based
oxides. In fact, Cd$_2$SnO$_4$ TCOs are among the highest performing, but concerns about toxicity have discouraged thorough investigation. For certain applications, such as CdTe based solar cells and non-consumer electronics, Cd-based TCOs may be an option if the device performance warrants use.

TCO films have been prepared using many methods including magnetron sputtering, chemical vapor deposition, pulsed laser deposition, and spray pyrolysis. Among solution-phase methods, sol-gel based approaches have been developed for the preparation of TCO films or TCO nanocrystals, although annealing is required to fully crystallize the materials. Niederberger, et al. reported the first synthesis of ITO nanocrystals using nonhydrolytic sol-gel methods, which resulted in dispersible materials which high crystallinity at mild temperatures. Since that time, several groups have reported the nonaqueous synthesis of TCO particles, including ITO, antimony doped tin oxide (SnO$_2$:Sb), AZO, and indium doped zinc oxide (ZnO:In). These materials may be used as precursors to form conductive films or potentially for the preparation of novel optical devices based on metamaterials, as will be described in more detail.

1.3.2 Transparent Conducting Oxide Films

The production of transparent electrodes has been the primary focus for the preparation of TCO nanocrystals. TCO nanocrystal solutions are advantageous because they can be spin casted or printed from solution onto a
variety of substrates, including flexible plastics, to form conductive films under some conditions.\textsuperscript{113,114} This is in contrast to the typical methods, such as sputtering or spray pyrolysis, which are not compatible with plastic substrates.\textsuperscript{104,113,114} The key to this research is to produce highly conductive, transparent films under the mildest conditions possible to allow for the widest range of substrates. This necessitates the creation of conductive pathways between TCO particles after the film formation, which becomes particularly difficult if the nanocrystals are capped with insulating ligands.\textsuperscript{114}

\textbf{1.3.3 Plasmonic Oxide Nanocrystals}

In addition to being potential precursors for transparent electrodes, TCO nanocrystals have been recently identified to support tunable localized surface plasmon resonances (LSPRs) in the near infrared (NIR) spectral range.\textsuperscript{108} LSPRs are well-known in noble metal nanoparticles, such as gold and silver, and result when free electrons collectively oscillate in a material at certain frequencies. The wavelength of the resonance is dependent on the optical properties of the material, namely the dielectric function. The dielectric function of materials with high free electron concentrations, including TCO materials and other materials, can typically be fitted in part using the Drude model, the equation of which is:

\begin{equation}
\varepsilon(\omega) = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2 - i\gamma\omega}
\end{equation}
where $\omega$ is the photon energy, $\omega_p$ is the plasma frequency, and $\gamma$ is the damping constant. $\omega_p$ is an important constant for any metal, and physically is the frequency below which photons cause electrons to collectively oscillate. This oscillation results in high reflectivity, and can be thought of as the frequency at which the material “becomes metallic” in the optical sense. In terms of the dielectric function, the real part of permittivity $\varepsilon_1$ becomes negative below the plasma frequency (see Figure 4). For typical metals, the plasma frequency lies in at UV or visible frequencies, while for TCOs, the plasma frequency is in the NIR and is tunable depending on the free electron concentration. In the absence of other absorptions, a plasma frequency in the NIR results in high transparency in the visible range, which is desirable for transparent electrodes.

![Figure 4](image.png)

Figure 4. Plotted real ($\varepsilon_1$) and imaginary ($\varepsilon_2$) parts of permittivity for the Drude model of metals.

The extinction coefficient for a particle composed of a Drude material can be calculated using Mie’s theory. For particles much smaller than the
wavelength of light (< \lambda/20), only the dipolar coupling is significant, and the extinction coefficient for N particles of volume V is calculated to be:

\[ \kappa = \frac{18\pi N V \varepsilon_m^{1/2}}{\lambda} \frac{\varepsilon_2}{[\varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_m]^2 + \varepsilon_2^2} \]

where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of the light, and \( \varepsilon_m \) is the dielectric constant of the medium.\textsuperscript{115} From this equation, it becomes apparent that a resonance will occur when \( \varepsilon_1(\omega) = -2\varepsilon_m \) as long as \( \varepsilon_2(\omega) \) is weakly dependent on \( \omega \).\textsuperscript{115} Thus, the LSPR frequency is directly determined by the dielectric function of the material, but correlates with the value of \( \omega_p \). By tuning the free electron concentration through adjustment of doping concentration, the \( \omega_p \) and the LSPR frequency can be carefully tuned throughout the NIR.

LSPRs have been reported for TCO nanocrystals of ITO,\textsuperscript{108} AZO,\textsuperscript{111} and tungsten oxide (WO\textsubscript{2.83}).\textsuperscript{116} In addition, copper chalcogenide nanocrystals, particularly Cu\textsubscript{2-x}S and Cu\textsubscript{2-x}Se, have also been discovered to support LSPR, resulting from heavy p-type doping through cationic vacancies. These materials are particularly interesting when the particle size is very small, as quantum confinement is expected, creating the possibility of observing interactions between confined excitons and the oscillating electrons. On the other hand, the copper chalcogenides are quite susceptible to oxidation, resulting in optical changes upon air exposure.

1.3.4 Metamaterials
Metamaterials are artificial materials which posses properties that depend on the organizational structure of their components, rather than only on the intrinsic properties of the building blocks.\textsuperscript{117} Through careful design, materials can be produced that exhibit unnatural optical properties, such as negative index of refraction, which could enable cloaking devices and superlensing at optical frequencies. As a proof of theoretical predictions, early optical metamaterials were produced at macroscopic length scales which exhibited such properties as negative refractive index at microwave frequencies.\textsuperscript{118} More recent research moves toward the preparation of metamaterials at visible and NIR frequencies, with some promising demonstrations already described in the literature.\textsuperscript{119,120}

Metallic films and particles, including TCOs, have been proposed and proven as useful building blocks for designs of metamaterials at optical frequencies.\textsuperscript{121,122} In the case of negative refractive index, for a material to display this phenomenon it must simultaneously have negative permittivity and permeability.\textsuperscript{123} As described in section 1.3.3, metallic materials have negative permittivity at energies below their plasma frequency. By organizing plasmonic particles into the proper ordered structures, such as split-ring resonators or nanofishnets, it may be possible to induce negative permeability, also referred to as artificial magnetism, at optical frequencies. Together, this could potentially result in the formation of materials with negative refractive index at visible or near-infrared frequencies.
1.4 Materials Characterization Techniques

1.4.1 Electron Microscopy

Electron microscopy is an incredibly important tool for the investigation of nanomaterials. The real-space imaging of sub-optical wavelength objects has led to advances in the fields of catalysis, as well as cell biology, allowing for visualization of both catalytic reaction centers and cellular interactions. Even more, the interactions of electrons with atoms results in the release of characteristic X-rays and electrons which are utilized for elemental analysis. With the advent of aberration corrected imaging, the quality of information gained regarding the structural and elemental composition of a material is ever increasing, and the introduction of environmental conditions allows for imaging to be performed under more relevant operating conditions.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) has been a workhorse for much of the work conducted in this thesis. In this instrument, a beam of electrons is focused onto a sample, and the electrons which pass through the sample are imaged. The de Broglie wavelength of an electron can be calculated based on the accelerating voltage of the microscope, and lies in the range of 1-10 pm (0.001-0.01 nm), allowing for interatomic spacings to be easily probed and imaged. There is no other method to reliably and quickly determine the morphology and phase of a sample, or to gain deep insight into the atomic structure of individual building blocks. While the majority of the data is taken in
bright-field mode, electron diffraction is also utilized to probe a material’s phase (at low camera length) or to characterize self-assembled structures (at high camera length). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is also a valuable tool for morphological screening and microanalysis. While is it difficult to visualize depth in TEM, SEM is ideal to characterize textured materials and can also be combined with elemental analysis.

1.4.2 X-ray Scattering and Simulation

X-ray scattering (or diffraction) is a commonly utilized tool to investigate crystalline materials. The advantage of X-ray scattering is that it represents a bulk measurement of a nanocrystalline material. Because only a small portion of any sample is probed at one time, electron microscopy is plagued by “selective imaging,” where uniform portions of a grid are made to represent an entire sample. X-ray scattering is not susceptible to such selectivity. In addition, X-ray scattering can probe multiple length scales depending on angle, with wide-angle x-ray scattering (WAXS) probing interatomic spacings and small-angle x-ray scattering (SAXS) investigating interparticle spacings. SAXS is very commonly used for the investigation of biomolecules and polymers.\textsuperscript{127,128}

WAXS, as it is commonly utilized in the form of powder x-ray diffraction, probes the crystalline structure of materials, but can also be used to investigate particle shape. In small nanocrystals (< 30 nm), broadening of the peaks that exceeds instrumental broadening occurs as a result of finite size effects.\textsuperscript{27} In
addition, anisotropic particle shapes will result in variations in the strength of reflections in a predictable way. SAXS is very sensitive to changes in particle size, as well as the particle size distribution. Together they provide a total picture of particle morphology and uniformity.

The Debye equation describes the intensity of X-ray scattering from an isotropic collection of particles with known atomic structure. The q-dependent powder X-ray diffraction intensity, \( I(q) \) may be calculated as described previously:

\[
I(q) = I_0 \sum_m \sum_n F_m F_n \frac{\sin(qr_{mn})}{qr_{mn}},
\]

where \( I_0 \) is the incident intensity, \( q = 4\pi \sin \theta / \lambda \) is the scattering parameter for X-rays of wavelength \( \lambda \) diffracted through the angle \( \theta \), \( r_{mn} \) is the distance between atoms \( m \) and \( n \), with atomic form factors \( F_m \) and \( F_n \), respectively. Atomic form factors are calculated from tabulated Cromer-mann coefficients, as shown below (see Appendix A for tabulated values). To improve calculation time, the Debye equation can be discretized by binning identical distances to give the following equation:

\[
I(q) = \frac{I_0}{q} \sum_m \sum_n F_m F_n \rho(r_{mn}) \frac{\sin(qr_{mn})}{r_{mn}},
\]

where \( \rho(r_{mn}) \) is the multiplicity of each unique distance \( r_{mn} \) in the structure.

Thermal vibrations are known to distort the XRD pattern (more strongly at higher angles) due to uncertainty in the atomic positions. This may be simulated
through multiplication of the atomic form factors by a temperature factor, which has the Debye-Waller factor as the input:\textsuperscript{130}

\begin{equation}
F_m(\theta) = \exp\left( -\frac{B_j \sin^2(\theta)}{\lambda^2} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i \exp\left( -\frac{b_i \sin^2(\theta)}{\lambda^2} \right) + c \right),
\end{equation}

where $B_j$ is the Debye-Waller factor, and $a_i$, $b_i$ and $c_i$ are the Cromer-Mann coefficients. A size distribution may be incorporated into the simulation using a Gaussian weighted sum of many nanocrystal simulations.

Two scripts written in perl and C++ have been prepared to calculate the X-ray scattering patterns at arbitrary angle using the Debye equation, as outlined above. This code and information regarding its implementation are available in Appendix A.
2. TITANIUM DIOXIDE NANOCRYSTALS

2.1 Introduction

Titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) is an industrially important wide-band gap semiconductor, with an annual production of greater than one million metric tons each year in the United States alone.$^{131}$ In addition to the traditional applications of TiO$_2$ in the fields of coatings, ceramics, and cosmetics, nanostructuring of TiO$_2$ has enabled its use as an active material in sensors, electrochromics, gas-phase catalysts, fuel cells, lithium-ion batteries, photovoltaics, photoelectrochemical cells, and photocatalysts.$^{132,133}$ TiO$_2$ has several advantages over other inorganic materials, including earth abundance, low toxicity, chemical and thermal stability and resistance to photocorrosion.$^{132-134}$ Three polymorphs of TiO$_2$ exist naturally: rutile, anatase, and brookite (Figure 5).$^{135}$ While rutile is the most thermodynamically stable bulk phase, anatase has been shown by numerous studies to be more photocatalytically active than rutile and is often dominant in nanocrystalline TiO$_2$, due to having lower surface energy than rutile.$^{87,132,135}$ Brookite is a low-temperature stable phase and is usually obtained as a mixture with the other polymorphs of TiO$_2$, and little is known regarding its photocatalytic activity.$^{136}$ High surface area TiO$_2$ nanomaterials have been synthesized for many years through a variety of techniques, including gas phase, sol-gel, hydrothermal, and chemical vapor deposition (CVD) methods and have immense promise in future nanoengineered materials.$^{132}$
As described in section 1.1.3, nonaqueous surfactant assisted synthetic methods have revolutionized the production of highly monodisperse and shape-controlled nanocrystals (NCs) composed of a variety of materials, including catalytically relevant metals and metal oxides. Through optimization of the synthetic parameters, these techniques provide the most precise and reproducible control over particle size and morphology, enabling the production of model systems for catalysis with near atomic precision in size and control over faceting. NCs produced through these methods are typically phase pure single crystals, and may be activated through ligand exchange or mild oxidative treatment, requiring no high temperature annealing. In addition, because the NCs are surfactant stabilized, they are highly soluble in nonaqueous solvents. This enables numerous preparation techniques which are not typically available for the production of traditional catalysts, including the
formation of thin films through spin-coating,\textsuperscript{143} liquid interfacial assembly,\textsuperscript{96} and self-assembly.\textsuperscript{138} TiO\textsubscript{2} NCs of rutile, anatase, and brookite phases with various morphologies have been synthesized using these techniques, but the photocatalytic activity of these materials has not been exhaustively explored.\textsuperscript{31,40,47,141,144-150} Overall, this nonaqueous approach provides unparalleled ability to tune the shape and size of monodisperse catalytic NCs to precisely tune the surface area and exposed facets in NCs, which enables the derivation of structure-activity relationships. While the coupling of synthetic control of faceting with catalytic activity has been achieved for metallic NCs, very few studies have investigated the influence of faceting on the photocatalytic activity of NCs. In this chapter, techniques will be described which enable control over the size, shape, and phase of TiO\textsubscript{2}, allowing for the morphological dependence of photocatalytic activity to be explored in more detail than previously possible.
2.2 Using TiF$_4$ to Engineer Morphology and Photocatalytic Activity

2.2.1 Introduction

Numerous recent publications have focused on synthetically controlling the facets exposed in the anatase phase of TiO$_2$. Studies of single crystalline surfaces have shown that the particular facets exposed during photocatalytic reactions dramatically influence both reaction rate and selectivity. The most stable form of the anatase polymorph is a tetragonal bipyramidal structure in which primarily {101} facets of anatase are exposed, with a small percentage of {001} facets, as predicted by the Wulff construction. Yang, et al. recently demonstrated that the introduction of hydrofluoric acid (HF) during the hydrothermal synthesis of TiO$_2$ stabilizes the {001} facet relative to the {101} facet, allowing for the formation of truncated bipyramidal structures, in the micron-size range. The authors also suggest, based on theoretical calculations, that the {001} facet has higher reactivity compared to the {101} facet due to having a higher concentration of low coordination Ti$_{5c}$ centers. This has subsequently created a surge of interest regarding the relative reactivity of the various facets of anatase TiO$_2$, both theoretically and experimentally. Currently, reports are mixed
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regarding the relative reactivity of the two facets under illumination, possibly due to the multitude of reaction conditions investigated.\textsuperscript{158,163,165,166} Moreover, the relative activity of the \{001\} and \{101\} facets has primarily been determined for large anatase crystallites (\(\sim 0.2 – 5 \, \mu m\) in size), with very few examples reporting the photocatalytic activity of high-surface area, nano-sized catalysts which are more relevant for practical applications. Obtaining uniformity in both the morphology and phase composition of TiO\(_2\) at the nanoscale is non-trivial using traditional synthetic methods and preferential exposure of the \{001\} facet of anatase often necessitates the use of concentrated HF, which is hazardous to handle.\textsuperscript{167}

In this section, we report the controlled synthesis of highly uniform TiO\(_2\) NCs in the 10-100 nm size regime which are prepared through a seeded growth technique.\textsuperscript{51,147,168} The titanium precursor titanium (IV) fluoride (TiF\(_4\)) releases HF \textit{in situ}, which acts as a structure directing agent and allows for better morphological control than previously obtained in hydrothermal syntheses which require the direct handling of HF. We show that the percentage of \{001\} and \{101\} facets in the tetragonal bipyramidal anatase TiO\(_2\) NCs can be engineered through choice of the titanium precursor and co-surfactant. X-ray diffraction studies, in conjunction with simulation, allow for the determination of the average NC dimension in a given sample which correlates with results obtained through electron microscopy. In addition to enabling shape control, TiF\(_4\) is observed to
alter the optical properties of the NCs. UV-Vis-NIR-IR optical spectroscopy, low
temperature electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy, and X-ray
photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) suggest that TiO$_2$ NCs produced in the
presence of TiF$_4$ are oxygen deficient and that the NC surfaces are partially
fluorinated. The photocatalytic activity of the platinized TiO$_2$ NCs is tested for the
evolution of hydrogen in the presence of methanol as model sacrificial agent.
Within the series of anatase NCs, those with more {101} facets exposed are
observed to have the highest activity, which is consistent with recent
experimental reports.

2.2.2 Experimental

Chemicals.

The following chemicals are used as received without further purification.
Titanium (IV) fluoride (TiF$_4$, 99%), titanium (IV) chloride (TiCl$_4$, 99%), nitrosonium
tetrafluoroborate (NOBF$_4$), 1-octadecene (90%) (1-ODE), 1-octadecanol (1-
ODOL, 97%), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), toluene, hexanes, acetone,
methanol and 2-propanol are purchased from Acros Organics. Oleylamine
(OLAM, 70%), oleic acid (OLAC, 90%), acetonitrile (ACN) and potassium
tetrachloroplatinate(II) (K$_2$PtCl$_4$, ≥99.9%) are purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

Preparation of Stock Solutions.

Stock solutions are prepared in a glovebox under N$_2$ atmosphere. TiF$_4$
stock solution consists of 0.2 M TiF$_4$ and 1.0 M OLAC in 1-ODE. TiCl$_4$ stock
solution consists of 0.2 M TiCl\textsubscript{4} and 1.0 M OLAC in 1-ODE. The TiF\textsubscript{4} stock solution is stirred on a hotplate set to 80 °C to promote the dissolution of TiF\textsubscript{4}. Once dissolved, the TiF\textsubscript{4} stock solution is orange-brown and the TiCl\textsubscript{4} stock solution is dark brown.

**Synthesis of TiO\textsubscript{2} NCs.**

All syntheses are performed using standard Schlenk line techniques under nitrogen atmosphere. A general procedure proceeds as follows. In a 125 mL flask, 30 mmol of co-surfactant (OLAM or 1-ODOL), 10.2 mL of 1-ODE and 0.48 mL (1.5 mmol) of OLAC are combined and degassed at 120 °C for 1 hour. For 1:1 mixtures, TiF\textsubscript{4} and TiCl\textsubscript{4} stock solutions are mixed at equal volume in the glovebox. After degassing the flask, 1.5 mL of the desired stock solution (or mixed stock solution) is added at 60 °C. Then, the solution is quickly heated to 290 °C and held 10 min to allow for the formation of seed crystals. 8 mL of the mixed stock solution are then pumped into the flask kept at 290 °C at 0.3 mL min\textsuperscript{-1} using a New Era Pump Systems NE-1000 syringe pump. Afterwards, the heating mantle is removed and the flask left to cool naturally to ambient temperature. For simplicity, TiO\textsubscript{2} NC samples will be referred to as X–co-surfactant, where X refers to the stock solution used, i.e. F (for TiF\textsubscript{4}), Cl (for TiCl\textsubscript{4}) or M (for 1:1 mixture). Therefore, a sample synthesized with TiF\textsubscript{4} and 1-ODOL will be referred to as F-ODOL.

**Synthesis of TiOF\textsubscript{2} Nanocubes.**
A 50 mL flask is evacuated under heating to remove atmospheric gases. Then, under N\textsubscript{2}, 10 mL of stock A are injected into the flask and the solution is further degassed at 120°C for 15 min. The reaction is subsequently heated to 200°C, held for 30 min and cooled to room temperature.

**Workup.**

Post-synthesis, the reaction contents are first diluted with a small volume of toluene and centrifuged at 6000 rpm to separate the NCs. The NCs are then redispersed through addition of toluene and 100 μL of OLAM with sonication. Insoluble surfactant and agglomerated particles are removed through centrifugation. A mixture of 2-propanol and methanol are added to precipitate the NCs, and centrifugation at 6000 rpm is used to recover the NCs. This washing process was repeated twice. NCs with dimensions less than 30nm are subsequently highly soluble in nonpolar or low-polarity solvents including hexanes, toluene, carbon tetrachloride, tetrachloroethylene, and chloroform. Larger particles are sparingly soluble, forming stable suspensions in the same solvents.

**Ligand Exchange with NOBF\textsubscript{4}.**

In a centrifuge tube, 0.1 g of NOBF\textsubscript{4} and 10 mL of ACN are combined and sonicated, resulting in the formation of a yellow solution indicating the solublization of NOBF\textsubscript{4}. 10 mL of the NOBF\textsubscript{4} saturated ACN is added to the hexanes solution (10 mL) of NCs (50-150 mg). The NCs quickly become...
insoluble and are collected through centrifugation. The solid is then completely solubilized in DMF with sonication. To remove residual organics, DMF solutions are washed through the addition of a mixture of toluene and hexanes until precipitation occurs, followed by centrifugation. This process is repeated three times. After the final centrifugation, the NCs are dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C overnight to remove residual solvent molecules. Once dry, each sample is ground in an agate mortar and pestle into a fine powder with grain dimensions below 150 μm.

**NaOH treatment of TiO$_2$ NCs.**

Removal of fluorine is performed by treating the samples with a NaOH solution according to the work of Minella, et al.$^{169}$ Briefly, ~50 mg of the powder are dispersed in 5 mL of NaOH aqueous solution at pH 12 (~0.01 M) and stirred in the dark for 8 h. The powder is recovered by filtration (Millipore 0.45 μm), washed with 1 mM HCl and twice distilled water, and finally dried at 50 °C for 8 h.

**Photocatalytic Activity.**

The photocatalytic activity of the ligand-exchanged and NaOH-treated NCs is evaluated for hydrogen production using methanol as model sacrificial agent. ~27 mg of the NCs are suspended with sonication in 80 mL of an aqueous solution of methanol (1:1 by volume, final concentration of NCs ca. 0.3 M). K$_2$PtCl$_4$ is added to obtain a final loading of platinum of 1 wt. %. The suspension is irradiated using a Solar Simulator (LOT-Oriel) (see Figure 6 for lamp spectrum)
equipped with a 150 W Xe lamp filtered with an atmospheric filter to reduce the fraction of UV photons. An Ar flow (15 mL min$^{-1}$) ensures the complete removal of air from the reactor and that the reaction products reach the detector. A recirculation bath is used to keep the temperature of the reactor constant at 20 °C.

![Figure 6: Typical spectral output (black) of a solar simulator (there is negligible output from 250-300 nm) and standard AM1.5G spectrum(blue).](image)

Gaseous products are analyzed by gas chromatography (GC) using a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) for the quantification of H$_2$ and CO$_2$ with He as carrier. The liquid phase by-products are analyzed by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) using a HP 7890 GC equipped with a DB-225ms column (J&W) and a HP 5975C mass spectrometer.

**Characterization.**
Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were recorded using a JEOL JEM1400 TEM equipped with a SC1000 ORIUS CCD camera operating at 120 kV. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images were recorded on a JEOL 2010F operating at 200kV. Samples were prepared by drop-casting a dispersion of NCs in toluene or hexanes on a 300 mesh carbon-coated copper TEM grid followed by drying at 50 °C under vacuum. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) spectra were recorded on a JEOL JSM7500F equipped with an Oxford X-stream EDS detector. Samples were prepared on 150 mesh carbon-coated copper TEM grids as described above. All X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Rigaku Smartlab diffractometer equipped with a Cu Kα source. For transmission measurements (2θ scan), NCs were placed as highly concentrated toluene solutions into 1.0 mm glass capillaries. For reflection measurements (θ – 2θ scan), NCs were dropcast from concentrated hexanes solutions onto glass slides. UV-Vis-NIR spectra were recorded on a Varian Cary 5000 spectrometer, equipped with a Harrick praying mantis DRS accessory for diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS). For DRS, powdered samples were diluted at 2 wt. % in KBr and pure KBr was used as a background. IR spectra were recorded on a Thermo-Fisher Continuum FT-IR system in transmission mode using a Harrick demountable liquid cell. EPR spectra were collected for powder samples on a Bruker Elexys E500 spectrometer at frequency 9.391 GHz at the Bruker Biospin Corporation. Samples for XPS
analyses were dropcast from 1:10 solutions of octane:hexanes onto Si wafers and then treated in Ar plasma for 4 minutes using a Gatan Solarus Model 950 plasma cleaner to remove the bound surfactants. N$_2$ physisorption experiments were carried out on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020C. The samples were first degassed in vacuum at 120 °C for 19 h prior to N$_2$ adsorption at liquid nitrogen temperature.

**XPS Analysis.**

XPS analyses were carried out with a customized X-ray photoelectron spectrometer, which has extensively been described previously. Briefly, the XP-spectrometer is equipped with a monochromatic Al Ka source. The electrons emitted from the specimen are collected with an electrostatic lens, whose axis is normal to the sample surface. The acceptance angle of the input lens system is 14°. After passing the hemispherical analyzer, the photoelectrons are detected by a two-dimensional MCP/CCD detector.

In the present work, the X-ray source was run at 30 mA and 12 kV, while the analyzer was operated in constant-analyzer-energy (CAE) mode. Survey spectra were acquired with pass energy and step size equal to 200 eV and 0.3 eV, respectively. In the case of high-resolution (HR) spectra, the pass energy and step size were, respectively, 100 and 0.1 eV (full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the peak height for the Ag 3d$_{5/2}$ = 0.64 eV). The dimension of the slit at the entrance of the hemispherical analyzer was 3.0 mm (straight) for survey
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$^{170}$ This section courtesy of Dr. Filippo Mangolini, who was responsible for measurement and analysis of XPS data.
spectra and 1.3 mm (straight) for high-resolution spectra. The residual pressure in the analysis chamber was always below $5 \times 10^{-6}$ Pa. The spectrometer was calibrated using a standard silver foil with an accuracy better than ±0.1 eV. The high-resolution spectra were processed using CasaXPS software (v2.3.16, Casa Software Ltd., Wilmslow, Cheshire, U.K.). An iterated Shirley-Sherwood background subtraction was applied before peak fitting using a linear least-squares algorithm. Minor charging was observed (below 0.6 eV) and corrected by referencing to aliphatic carbon at 285.0 eV. Curve synthesis was carried out using model Gauss/Lorentz product functions. The quantitative evaluation of XPS data was performed on the basis of the integrated intensity (i.e., the peak area in Cps x eV obtained from the original spectra after background subtraction and curve synthesis) using a first-principles model and applying the equations of Powell.$^{171}$ The apparent atomic concentration was calculated as:

Equation 7: 

$$ X_j = \frac{I_{ij} / S_{ij}}{\sum_j I_{ij} / S_{ij}} $$

where $I_{ij}$ and $S_{ij}$ are the area and the sensitivity factor of the peak $i$ of the element $j$, respectively. The sensitivity factors were calculated from the Scofield photoionization cross-section,$^{172}$ the angular asymmetry factor$^{173}$ and the inelastic mean free path (IMFP) corrected for the emission angle, assuming the sample to be homogeneous. The inelastic mean free path, i.e. the mean distance
travelled by electrons with a given kinetic energy (\(KE\)) between inelastic collisions in a material \(M\), was calculated using the TPP-2M formula.\(^{174}\)

**X-ray Scattering Simulation.**

X-ray scattering simulations are performed as described in section 1.4.2. Particle size distribution is incorporated using a probability distribution, where each final pattern is the Gaussian weighted sum of 21 or more patterns from individual NCs.

### 2.2.3 Results and Discussion

**Seeded Growth Synthesis of TiO\(_2\) Nanocrystals**

The synthesis of TiO\(_2\) NCs is based on a seeded growth approach.\(^{147}\) Small TiO\(_2\) nanocrystallites (or “seeds”) are first formed by combining a small quantity of titanium (IV) halide (TiX\(_4\)) dissolved in oleic acid (OLAC) with a large molar excess of the co-surfactant (oleylamine (OLAM) or 1-octadecanol (1-ODOL)) in solvent 1-octadecene (1-ODE) and quickly heating to 290 °C. After a 10 min induction period in which anatase TiO\(_2\) seeds form (Figure 7), a stock solution of TiX\(_4\) dissolved in OLAC/1-ODE is slowly added to the seed solution via syringe pump, enabling the progressive growth of the nanocrystalline seeds and preventing further nucleation.\(^{51,147,168}\) The addition of co-surfactant OLAM or 1-ODOL is essential, as these molecules accelerate the formation of TiO\(_2\) under nonaqueous conditions, as discussed in more detail below.
Figure 7: Representative TEM image of anatase TiO$_2$ seeds formed at 290°C before addition of stock solution.

Figure 8. TEM images of TiO$_2$ nanocrystals synthesized using the precursor TiF$_4$ (a,d) a mixed precursor of TiF$_4$ and TiCl$_4$ (b,e) and TiCl$_4$ (c,f). Those depicted in a – c and d – f are synthesized in the presence of OLAM and 1-ODOL, respectively.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images (Figure 8) show the range of morphologies achievable through the present approach, depending on the choice of co-surfactant and titanium (IV) halide (TiX$_4$) under otherwise
identical reaction conditions. We observe dramatic differences in the morphology of the obtained NCs when using TiF$_4$ as the titanium precursor compared to using TiCl$_4$. In the presence of co-surfactant OLAM, TiF$_4$ produces highly uniform tetragonal bipyramidal anatase NCs (sample F-OLAM) which are significantly truncated perpendicular to the [001] direction (Figure 8a). In the case of TiCl$_4$, pure phase brookite nanorods (Cl-OLAM), similar to as reported previously, are produced (Figure 8c). With co-surfactant 1-ODOL, TiF$_4$ results in anatase nanoplates (F-ODOL) with a high percentage of {001} facets (Figure 8d), while TiCl$_4$ produces tetragonal bipyramidal anatase NCs (Cl-ODOL) with primarily {101} facets (Figure 8f). The NCs are single crystalline as confirmed by HRTEM (Figure 9), and the size is observed to increase with time as more stock solution is added (Figure 10). In accordance with recent syntheses performed under aqueous conditions, we observe that the use of fluorine containing species results in the preferential exposure of the {001} facet of anatase, truncating the bipyramidal base morphology of the NCs.$^{151,153}$

The percentage of {001} and {101} facets in the TiO$_2$ NCs is further controlled through use of a mixed precursor solution consisting of equimolar TiF$_4$ and TiCl$_4$ as the precursor for the seeded growth of TiO$_2$ NCs. Using co-surfactant OLAM, highly monodisperse anatase tetragonal bipyramidal NCs are formed with the mixed precursor (M-OLAM), which have a small percentage of {001} facets. The mixed precursor in combination with 1-ODOL forms nanoplates
(M-ODOL) with large percentages of {001} facets, although not as high a percentage as observed with pure TiF₄ precursor and the particle size is much reduced. Thus, the present synthetic technique provides a means to tune both the size and truncation of anatase tetragonal bipyramidal NCs by modifying the surfactants and precursors in nonaqueous solution.

Figure 9: HRTEM images of samples a) F–OLAM, b) F–ODOL, c) M–OLAM, and d) Cl–OLAM.
Mechanism of Shape Control.

We have demonstrated that the choice of both titanium precursor and co-surfactants dramatically influences the morphology of TiO$_2$ NCs. Through an investigation of the reaction mechanism under nonaqueous conditions, we can rationalize why these effects are observed. The first step in the synthesis is the dissolution of TiX$_4$ precursors in the presence of OLAC. Short chain carboxylic acids have been shown to complex with TiCl$_4$ at low temperature in nonaqueous solutions, resulting in the formation of stable mono- and poly-nuclear chlorotitanium carboxylate complexes and the release of HCl.$^{175-177}$ Similarly, we expect the coordination of OLAC with titanium centers upon dissolution of titanium (IV) halides (TiX$_4$) into solutions of OLAC/1-ODE, releasing hydrohalic acids (HX) into the solution. In the case of TiF$_4$, this translates to the \textit{in situ} release of HF, which acts as a shape directing agent, providing the fluoride ions...
which bind selectively to the \{001\} facet of anatase TiO\textsubscript{2} and manipulate the NC shape.\textsuperscript{151}

In the presence of co-surfactant, the halotitanium carboxylate complex decomposes due to chemical reactions with the surfactant molecules. Aminolysis of titanium carboxylate complexes is known to occur at high temperature in solutions of OLAM, OLAC and organometallic titanium precursors, hydroxylating the titanium center (X\textsubscript{3}Ti-OH) and producing oleyl amide as a byproduct.\textsuperscript{145,147} The mechanism for the decomposition of the titanium complex in the presence of 1-ODOL and OLAC is more difficult to ascertain, due to the fact that multiple reaction pathways are feasible. In the absence of carboxylic acids, alcohols are known to react directly with TiX\textsubscript{4} to form X\textsubscript{3}Ti-OH or titanium alkoxides (X\textsubscript{3}Ti-OR).\textsuperscript{22,23,178,179} In addition, the free alcohol or the formed X\textsubscript{3}Ti-OR could react with the titanium carboxylate complex to generate X\textsubscript{3}Ti-OH and an ester as a byproduct, as described previously.\textsuperscript{22,23,180} We propose that a single mechanism is unlikely in the presence of 1-ODOL and OLAC. In any case, formation of X\textsubscript{3}Ti-OH is followed by polymerization to form TiO\textsubscript{2} and, under nonhydrolytic conditions, the release of additional HX.

The species HF formed \textit{in situ} is proposed to be a shape directing agent, binding selectively to the \{001\} facet of anatase and altering the shape of the resulting NCs. By using a mixed precursor (1:1 F:Cl), the amount of HF released is significantly reduced, and the percentage of \{001\} facets in the resulting NCs is
decreased. Likewise, we observe significant differences in the percentage of {001} facets depending on the choice of co-surfactant. Under otherwise identical reaction conditions, NCs produced in the presence of 1-ODOL have much higher percentages of {001} facets relative to the NCs synthesized in OLAM, indicating the presence of more HF in solution. This may be rationalized by considering the expected reaction of HF with the excess OLAM in solution to produce a primary ammonium fluoride salt (RNH$_3^+$ F$^-$). Through this reaction, the amine sequesters the HF generated, reducing the amount of fluorine available to bind to the {001} facet of anatase. In this way, the co-surfactant may be used to influence the particle shape. In addition to preferentially exposing the {001} facet, fluoride precursors have been shown to stabilize the anatase phase, preventing a phase transformation to rutile.$^{181,182}$ The stabilizing effect of fluorine on the anatase phase may explain why there is no phase transformation to the brookite phase in F-OLAM, as observed with Cl-OLAM.

**Isolation of Transition State.**

When heated to 290°C, solutions of TiCl$_4$, OLAC and 1-ODE produce no crystalline material even after hours of heating, although several color changes are observed, which have previously been attributed to the interconversion of various chlorotitanium oleate complexes.$^{147}$ In contrast to the apparent stability of the chlorotitanium oleate complexes, we observe that solutions of TiF$_4$, OLAC and 1-ODE decompose when heated to mild temperatures (150–200°C), forming
hexagonal phase titanium oxyfluoride (TiOF$_2$) (space group R$_3$c) nanocubes which have edge lengths of 7.3 ± 0.9 nm (Figure 11). The TiOF$_2$ nanocrystals are single crystalline, as shown by HRTEM.

Figure 11: a) TEM image of TiOF$_2$ nanocrystals, inset shows HRTEM image of a single TiOF$_2$ NC and a unit cell of hexagonal TiOF$_2$ (space group R-3c), where grey atoms are Ti, light blue atoms are F and red atoms are O (oxygen and fluorine atoms reside on the same sites in a random configuration). b) XRD pattern of TiOF$_2$ nanocrystals compared with cubic (PDF#77-0132) and hexagonal$^{183}$ TiOF$_2$ patterns from the literature.
Bulk TiOF$_2$ has been prepared through the hydrolysis of TiF$_4$ or the reaction of TiO$_2$ with HF, but the observed crystal structure is cubic (space group Pm$\overline{3}$m).$^{184-186}$ The hexagonal phase TiOF$_2$ has only recently been reported in nanostructured films prepared through the gas/solid metathetic reaction of TiF$_4$ with SiO$_2$.$^{183}$ Broadening, as a result of the small particle size, prevents the discrimination of many peaks in the XRD of the hexagonal TiOF$_2$ nanocrystals, but the observed peak at 2$\theta$ = 39.5° is deterministic of this phase, as it is not present in the cubic crystal structure. A recent publication has reported the synthesis of TiOF$_2$ nanoparticles and nanotubes using a method similar to as described here, but identify the products as being cubic phase.$^{187}$ Careful inspection of the XRD patterns reported in that work reveals a small peak at 2$\theta$ = 39.5°, indicative of hexagonal TiOF$_2$, suggesting that nano-sized TiOF$_2$ may have a tendency towards the hexagonal polymorph.

The formation of TiOF$_2$ in the absence of co-surfactant indicates that TiOF$_2$ may act as a transition state in the formation of TiO$_2$ from TiF$_4$ under nonaqueous conditions. Heating the fluorotitanium carboxylate species (TiF$_4$.$_x$(COOR)$_x$) to mild temperatures likely induces thermal pyrolysis, as reported previously for titanium carboxylate species$^{150}$, resulting in the formation of TiOF$_2$ and the further release of HF.

**Structural Characterization by X-ray Diffraction.**
Precise knowledge of the particular facets exposed in a catalytic material is pivotal in formulating structure-activity relationships. In the case of photocatalysis on anatase TiO$_2$, it is well known that the percentages of {001} and {101} facets have a dramatic influence on the photocatalytic activity. In the literature, the dimensions of the anatase crystals (from which the percentages of the facets are extracted) are determined through the analysis of electron microscopy images. While electron microscopy is an invaluable tool for morphological determination, image analysis to extract statistically significant shape and size information is time-consuming and is inevitably prone to bias and error. In addition, the accurate quantification of the dimension of a crystal becomes increasingly difficult with decreasing particle size. This is especially true when measuring the thickness of nanoplates and nanosheets which necessitates that the long axis of the crystal aligns nearly perpendicular to the substrate. Electron microscopy also requires significant infrastructure and is thus not always routinely achievable.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) has the advantage of being a fairly standard laboratory technique which probes the crystalline structure of a statistically large number of NCs in a single run. While XRD patterns are commonly used to identify the phases present in nanocrystalline materials, in conjunction with simulation, XRD may be used to confirm the morphology of NCs determined from electron microscopy. The Debye equation allows for the simulation of XRD
patterns based on atomistic models of NCs.\textsuperscript{27,130} An atomistic model which is consistent with both XRD and TEM results provides an accurate picture of the average NC morphology in a given sample. We have performed simulations of XRD patterns for three samples using atomistic models derived from a statistical analysis of TEM images in which the dimensions of 200 or more individual NCs are measured. Figure 13 shows the experimental powder XRD patterns together with the simulated spectra (insets show atomistic models with accurate percentages of \{001\} and \{101\} facets but at smaller scale, for actual atomistic models see Figure 12). TiO\textsubscript{2} NCs are dissolved in toluene, placed in glass capillaries and the X-ray diffraction patterns are measured in transmission mode, eliminating the effects of preferred orientation which are commonly observed in films of anisotropic NCs.\textsuperscript{39}

Figure 12: Actual atomistic models used in X-ray simulations, which represent the average NC size in the labeled sample.
Interestingly, all three anatase TiO$_2$ NC samples exhibit substantial deviations from standard lattice parameters. The shifting of the (200) peak at 2θ $\approx 48.0^\circ$ and (004) peak at 2θ $\approx 37.8^\circ$ clearly indicates expansion in the a-axis (0.3 %) and compression in the c-axis (0.39 – 0.55 %), respectively. Similar results have been reported previously for nano-sized anatase TiO$_2$, but significant changes in the lattice parameters are not typically observed until the
dimension of the crystallites is less than 10 nm.\textsuperscript{189,190} Changes in the lattice parameters may be caused by the presence of oxygen vacancies or fluorine on particle surface, as described below. While the origins are beyond the scope of this manuscript, the simultaneous c-axis compression and a-axis expansion are introduced into the XRD simulation by adjusting the lattice parameters in the atomistic model in order to fit the appropriate peak position.

Figure 14: Model of perfect tetragonal bipyramid with labels indicating measured dimensions.

Figure 13 shows the experimental and simulated XRD pattern for three samples with different percentages of \{001\} and \{101\} facets. Based on the XRD pattern fitting, sample M-OLAM is found to be 18.0 nm in length (along the c-axis) and 10.8 nm in width (along the a-axis). This is in excellent agreement with results from a statistical analysis of TEM images (Table 1). Similarly, for the more truncated sample F-OLAM, a length of 10.8 nm and a width of 14.5 nm is determined, while for sample M-ODOL, with the highest percentage of \{001\} facets, is fitted to a 5.8 nm length and 18.2 nm width. Based on the length and width derived from the XRD simulation fitting, we can precisely calculate the percentages of \{001\} and \{101\} facets from the atomistic models of NCs through
basic geometric calculations. The ratio of \{001\} to \{101\} facets was calculated assuming a model in which the nanocrystals are perfect truncated tetragonal bipyramids, possessing only \{101\} and \{001\} facets (see Figure 14). The area of the \{001\} and \{101\} facets and the total surface area for a given length along the c-axis \(l\), width along the a-axis \(w\), and top edge length \(t\) with lattice constants \(c\) and \(a\) are given as follows:

\[
A_{\{001\}} = 2w(l/a/c)^2
\]
\[
A_{\{101\}} = 2l^2(2l/w) - a/c) \sqrt{1 + (a/c)^2}
\]
\[
SA = (A_{\{101\}} + A_{\{001\}})/V
\]
\[
V = l/3 (w^2 + w \times t + t^2)
\]

where \(A_{\{001\}}\) is the surface area of \{001\} facets, \(A_{\{101\}}\) is the surface area of \{101\} facets, \(V\) is the volume of the tetragonal bipyramid, and \(SA\) is total surface area in units of \(1/m\). \(SA\) is then converted to \(m^2/g\) after division by the density of \(TiO_2\) in units of \(g/m^3\) (density of \(TiO_2 = 4.23 g/cm^3\)). In addition to the three samples measured in transmission mode, XRD patterns for samples Cl-OLAM, Cl-ODOL and F-ODOL are recorded in reflection mode (Figure 15).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Length (nm) (TEM)</th>
<th>Width (nm) (TEM)</th>
<th>Length (nm) (XRD sim)</th>
<th>Width (nm) (XRD sim)</th>
<th>% {001}</th>
<th>% {101}</th>
<th>SA (m^2/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-OLAM</td>
<td>18.5 ± 2.2</td>
<td>10.8 ± 1.4</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-OLAM</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-ODOL</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>24.5 ± 3.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Particle size of 3 anatase TiO₂ NC samples based on statistical analysis of TEM images and best fitting XRD pattern and derived percentages of \{001\} and \{101\} facets and surface area.
Figure 15: XRD patterns for samples a) Cl-OLAM and b) F-ODOL, and c) Cl-ODOL.

Optical Properties.

All of the samples of TiO$_2$ synthesized in the presence of fluorine were found to be blue in color (Figure 16a). Blue coloration has been reported previously in reduced TiO$_2$ (TiO$_{2-x}$)$^{161,191-194}$ and in TiO$_2$ irradiated with UV light under inert atmosphere$^{195-197}$ and is characteristic of free conduction band electrons in TiO$_2$. In the former case, additional electrons are proposed to result from oxygen vacancies or titanium interstitials which are formed during the synthetic process. In the latter case, band gap illumination produces conduction band electrons which localize on surface Ti atoms, but this coloration is quickly quenched upon exposure to oxygen or other oxidizers$^{195,196}$ except under
extremely high photon flux. Figure 16a shows the optical absorption of sample F-OLAM dispersed in CCl$_4$ from the UV to the IR (300 – 5500 nm). The spectrum shows a sharp absorption edge at 380 nm (3.2 eV) corresponding to band-to-band transitions, and broad absorption throughout the visible region and NIR, which peaks in the IR at around 3400 nm. The sharp oscillations observed near the peak of absorption and in other regions of the IR (2500 – 5500 nm) result from the vibrational transitions of surfactant molecules on the particle surface and residual solvent molecules.

Figure 16. a) Optical absorption of sample F-OLAM dispersed in CCl$_4$ (dashed line at 2500 nm indicates where data is joined) and b) EPR spectra of sample F-OLAM at several temperatures. Inset of a) shows proposed band diagram of blue TiO$_2$ nanocrystals and an optical image of TiO$_2$ nanocrystals dispersed in toluene.
The diffuse reflectance spectra from 300 – 2500 nm is shown in Figure 17 for all of the synthesized TiO$_2$ NCs. The absorption at 380 nm is observed in all of the samples, whereas only the NCs synthesized in the presence of fluorine have a broad Vis/NIR absorption. The blue coloration is stable in air for months without noticeable changes in the absorbance and persists after annealing under a nitrogen atmosphere at 300 °C. On the other hand, the sample turns white after annealing at 300 °C in air, providing strong evidence for the presence of oxygen vacancies as described above.

Figure 17: Diffuse reflectance spectra covering the UV-Vis-NIR (300 – 2000 nm) of TiO$_2$ NCs synthesized in a) OLAM and b) 1-ODOL.

We have performed electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) studies on sample F-OLAM to determine the chemical environment of unpaired electrons in the NCs (Figure 16b). A gradual increase in signal intensity is observed with
decreasing temperature at $g = 1.927$, which has previously been attributed to surface and subsurface paramagnetic Ti$^{3+}$ centers.\textsuperscript{195,198,199} This resonance is damped at high temperatures due to fast spin relaxation times.\textsuperscript{200} At all temperatures, a broad peak is observed at $g = 2.003$, which has previously been attributed to electrons localized on oxygen vacancies in TiO$_2$.\textsuperscript{201-203} These results are in agreement with the optical data presented above, strongly suggesting the formation of oxygen vacancies in the synthesized NCs and the presence of free electrons which localize to form Ti$^{3+}$ centers near the NC surface at low temperature. The absence of EPR signals at $g = 1.99$ and $g = 1.96$, which are usually observed for Ti$^{3+}$ ions in anatase crystallites, indicates that free electrons do not occupy bulk-like Ti positions in the NCs. A proposed energy level diagram for the blue TiO$_2$ NCs is shown as an inset in Figure 16a, which depicts band-to-band absorption in the UV, as well as red/NIR and IR absorption which results from the absorption of electrons localized on Ti$^{3+}$ sites and free electrons residing in the conduction band, respectively.

A broad absorption Vis-NIR-IR band is commonly attributed to the excitation of conduction band electrons in blue TiO$_2$ and therefore reflects the density of states of the conduction band.\textsuperscript{191,200} On the other hand, the fairly narrow linewidth of the absorption feature in the IR region (Figure 16a) suggests that the absorption may result from a localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR). While routinely observed in metallic nanostructures, surface plasmon
resonances, which result from the collective oscillation of electrons on the surface of a crystal, have also been recently reported in doped oxides with sufficient free carriers.\textsuperscript{204} For highly conductive oxides (ITO, AZO, etc.), the frequency typically lies within the NIR – terahertz (THz) region of the electromagnetic spectrum, depending on dopant concentration.\textsuperscript{108} The peak centered at 3400 nm for sample M-OLAM resembles the absorption features of doped oxide NCs recently reported in which a LSPR was identified.\textsuperscript{108,111,116} A plasmonic resonance in TiO$_2$ should be considered feasible, as oxygen-deficient TiO$_2$ supports many free carriers and is known to produce highly conductive thin films.\textsuperscript{191,205,206}

**Microanalysis of Fluorine Environment.**\textsuperscript{III}

While the blue coloration and EPR spectra are both readily explained by the presence of oxygen vacancies, an alternative explanation for the Ti$^{3+}$ EPR signal observed in our NCs is the substitutional doping of fluorine into the TiO$_2$ lattice. In this scenario, each fluorine atom that substitutes for an oxygen atom contributes an electron to the conduction band, similarly producing EPR signatures for Ti$^{3+}$ at low temperature.\textsuperscript{207} At first glance, this fluorine doping mechanism appears to be more probable, considering that using TiCl$_4$ (or TiBr$_4$) as the titanium precursor under identical reaction conditions results in TiO$_2$ NCs without blue coloration, and because no additional reductive gases are expected

\textsuperscript{III} XPS measurements and analysis are courtesy of Dr. Filippo Mangolini
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to form in the presence of TiF$_4$. On the other hand, the only optical changes observed for fluorine doped TiO$_2$ in the literature have been minor band-gap shifts, with no significant red/NIR absorption.$^{207-209}$

Figure 18: EDS spectrum of sample F–ODOL.

To clarify this issue, the nature of the interaction between fluorine and TiO$_2$ was further investigated using microanalysis techniques. Fluorine is detectable in sample F-ODOL using energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), despite the fact that the technique is rather insensitive to light elements such as fluorine (Figure 18). However, the relatively low concentrations make quantitative analysis using EDS prohibitive. Consequently, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) studies were undertaken, which not only allow for the quantification of the fluorine content, but also provide information regarding the
chemical environment of fluorine atoms in the NC samples. Figure 19 shows a survey and high resolution F1s XPS spectrum for sample F-OLAM and Figure 20 shows the F1s XPS spectra for all three samples. The centroid of the F1s peak at 684.8 ± 0.2 eV in all samples is consistent with fluorine bound to the surface of TiO₂, and does not indicate the presence of TiO₂xFₓ species. Based on this evidence, we propose that while fluorine is not substitutionally doped into the TiO₂ lattice, fluorine species play an important role in the formation of oxygen vacancies under the reported synthetic conditions. It is possible that the very strong bond between Ti and F (the only bond to titanium stronger than Ti-O) facilitates oxygen vacancy formation.

Figure 19: a) XPS survey spectrum and b) high resolution XPS spectrum of the F 1s peak for sample F-OLAM.
Figure 20: HR XPS spectra of the (a-c) F 1s and (d-f) Ti 2p peaks for samples (a,d) M-OLAM, (b,e) F-OLAM, and (c,f) F-ODOL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>[F]/[Ti]</th>
<th>[Ti^{2+}]/[Ti^{4+}]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-OLAM</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.023 ± 0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-OLAM</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.033 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-ODOL</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.014 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Tabulated XPS results for three samples of blue TiO$_2$ NCs deposited on Si wafers and subjected to 4 min of Ar ion plasma.

Table 2 shows the total [F]/[Ti] ratio derived from the XPS results for samples M-OLAM, F-OLAM and F-ODOL. The NC sample with the largest percentage of {001} facets (F-ODOL) also has the highest fluorine content. This is in agreement with previous studies which indicate that fluoride bound to the {001} facet remains even after multiple washing steps and is only removed after
annealing at high temperature or treatment with concentrated sodium hydroxide (NaOH).\textsuperscript{151,152} Figure 20 and Table 2 also display the HR Ti 2p spectra and the \([\text{Ti}^{3+}]/[\text{Ti}^{4+}]\) ratios for the three analyzed samples, respectively. This data confirms the presence of \(\text{Ti}^{3+}\) in the blue TiO\(_2\) NCs and indicates that the \([\text{Ti}^{3+}]/[\text{Ti}^{4+}]\) ratio lies between 0.014 and 0.033.

**Photocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution.**\textsuperscript{IV}

The high uniformity of the synthesized samples enables us to determine the influence of the \{001\} and \{101\} facets on the photocatalytic activity of oxygen deficient anatase TiO\(_2\) NCs. It must be underlined that the term photocatalysis encompasses a wide variety of reactions performed under different reaction conditions, making this field quite fragmented. In the present work, we investigate the evolution of hydrogen in the presence of methanol as a sacrificial agent under simulated solar light for three samples of oxygen deficient anatase NCs. This model reaction, known also as photoreforming, is gaining increasing interest in view of sustainable production of hydrogen.\textsuperscript{82,212}

The NCs synthesized using our method are highly hydrophobic due to the surfactants bound to the particle surface, and are not dispersible in aqueous solutions.\textsuperscript{147} Therefore, a recently developed ligand-exchange technique utilizing NOBF\(_4\) is used to disperse the photocatalyst in aqueous solution.\textsuperscript{142} After this simple procedure, the resulting NCs are dispersible in water and aqueous solutions.

\textsuperscript{IV} Photocatalytic activities and textural properties of TiO\(_2\) nanocrystals were measured in collaboration with Dr. Matteo Cargnello and Dr. Paolo Fornasiero at the University of Trieste.
mixtures and standard methanol photoreforming tests can then be carried out. This procedure accounts for a further advantage of the present method, which couples the extremely precise morphological control obtained through nonaqueous synthetic techniques with the subsequent water-dispersibility post ligand-exchange, which could be further exploited for biological applications. After NOBF$_4$ treatment, the water-dispersible NCs are still fluorine terminated on the surface. Recent publications indicate that the presence of surface fluorine results in similar H$_2$ evolution rates for the \{101\} and \{001\} facets of micron-sized anatase TiO$_2$ crystals. In the present work, we remove fluorine from the samples with a published procedure using NaOH treatment and compare the activity before and after the treatment.

Figure 21. Hydrogen production rate from 1 wt. % Pt loaded samples of ligand exchanged, a) fluorinated and b) NaOH-treated TiO$_2$ NCs under solar illumination in 1:1 mixtures of MeOH:H$_2$O. Samples are M-OLAM (green triangles), M-ODOL (black circles) and F-ODOL (blue squares).
Figure 21 shows the rate of photocatalytic hydrogen production as a function of time under simulated solar irradiation for three selected samples of Pt/TiO₂ with different percentages of {001} and {101} facets prepared as described above, both fluorinated and NaOH treated (data for all of the fluorinated samples is shown in Figure 22). Platinum is photodeposited on the NCs to obtain a final metal loading of 1 wt. % in all the cases. The metal is necessary to ensure that photogenerated electrons are capable of reducing protons to molecular hydrogen.¹⁻²¹³ No hydrogen is evolved in the absence of Pt or photocatalyst or when samples are illuminated with only visible light (λ > 430 nm). Very low, more sustainable Pt loadings (0.1 wt. %) show similar or even better overall performances (Figure 23), but a 1 wt. % Pt loading is necessary to simplify TEM characterization of the materials. An induction period of ~ 1 h is observed before the samples reach a maximum and stable H₂ evolution rate as complete photodeposition of Pt occurs. All the samples exhibit good performances, with up to ~2.1 mmol H₂ h⁻¹ g⁻¹ evolved under solar illumination. Although this value is lower than that obtained under similar conditions with platinized TiO₂ produced using advanced engineering²¹⁴, it is a remarkable result especially for the fluorinated samples (Figure 21a). In fact, both theoretical and experimental studies suggest that the presence of F on the {001} facet of anatase TiO₂ can result in unfavorable water dissociation⁹⁶ and reduced H₂ evolution rates.²¹⁵ It must be noted that different mechanisms can be active for
methanol photoreforming. In particular, there exists a direct pathway in which methanol adsorbs on the surface and is subsequently oxidized by photogenerated holes, and an indirect one in which water adsorbs and the hydroxyl radicals that form act as the true oxidative species.\textsuperscript{216} In the present work, a high concentration of methanol (50 \% by volume) is used, which results in the concomitant high production of formaldehyde (determined by GC-MS) and scarcity of CO\textsubscript{2} in the outflow. These observations suggest the predominance of the direct oxidation pathway, in which methanol interacts directly with the surface, truly acting as hole scavenger and that very little water oxidation occurs.

Figure 22: Hydrogen production rate from 1 wt. \% Pt loaded samples of ligand exchanged TiO\textsubscript{2} nanocrystals under simulated solar illumination in 1:1 mixtures of MeOH:H\textsubscript{2}O. Samples are M-OLAM (blue triangles), F-OLAM (green circles), M-ODOL (red diamonds) and F-ODOL (black squares).
We observe that higher percentages of \{101\} facets correlate with higher photocatalytic activity for both treated and untreated samples, indicating that the \{101\} is more active for the production of hydrogen from methanolic solutions under the employed experimental conditions. TEM images of the samples indicate minor differences in the Pt size distributions (Figure 24), excluding a major contribution of different Pt dispersions to the reactivity of the various photocatalysts. Our results conflict with studies which indicate that the \{001\} facet is more reactive than \{101\} for photocatalytic applications due to having a more open structure with exposed undercoordinated Ti moieties.\textsuperscript{157,217,218} On the other hand, it is in line with a recent report which suggests that the \{101\} surface may, in fact, be more active than the \{001\} for hydrogen evolution under similar reaction conditions using micron-sized anatase crystals.\textsuperscript{163}

Figure 23: H\textsubscript{2} production rate for methanol photoreforming under simulated solar irradiation over fluorinated M-OLAM with 0.1 wt. % Pt (green triangles) or 1.0 wt. % Pt (red squares) loadings.
Figure 24: TEM images of samples a) M-OLAM and b) F-ODOL loaded with 1 wt. % Pt after 15 hours of photoreforming reaction in the presence of methanol.

Analysis of the samples before and after the NaOH treatment reveals intriguing changes in photocatalytic activity. The sample F-ODOL, with the largest percentage of exposed {001} facets, shows a decrease in photocatalytic activity after NaOH treatment. On the contrary, the sample M-OLAM, with the largest percentage of exposed {101} facets, shows an increase of the photocatalytic activity. To complete the picture, the activity of the sample M-ODOL, where the percentages of {101} and {001} facets are almost equal, has very similar activity after treatment. This observation implies that the activity of {101} facets is increased by NaOH treatment and that of {001} is decreased, and that these two effects are near perfectly balanced in the M-ODOL sample, where the two facets coexist equally. Although a definitive explanation for the observed trend is beyond the scope of the present work, it is reasonable to infer that the
differences in the activity can be related both to structural and electronic factors. The presence of fluorine may increase the electron and hole lifetimes in the sample F-ODOL, where many undercoordinated Ti moieties are present on the \{001\} facet, the benefits of which are is lost upon fluorine removal.\textsuperscript{219} Furthermore, the increased hydroxylation of the surface following the NaOH treatment might saturate the Ti moieties on the \{001\} facet leading to a loss of the special reactivity demonstrated by the Ti\textsubscript{5c} centers. Likewise, in the case of the sample M-OLAM with exposed \{101\} facets, the residual fluorine could hinder the adsorption of methanol on the octahedral Ti\textsubscript{6c} sites, thus contributing to the relatively low activity when fluorinated. In this case, the removal of fluorine improves adsorption and decomposition of methanol following hydroxylation of the surface.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Surface area (\text{m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>Calculated surface area (\text{m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>Total pore volume (\text{mL} \text{ g}^{-1})</th>
<th>(D_{\text{MAX}}) (nm)\textsuperscript{c}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-OLAM</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-ODOL</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-ODOL</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-OLAM-NaOH</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-ODOL-NaOH</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-ODOL-NaOH</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Textural properties of the fluorinated and NaOH-treated samples. \textsuperscript{a}According to BET method. \textsuperscript{b}The surface area calculated as described in figure S4. For F-ODOL, size parameters are estimated from TEM analysis to be \(L = 12.4 \text{ nm}, W = 80.1 \text{ nm}\). \textsuperscript{c}According to BJH method, maximum of the pore size distribution taken from the desorption branch.

Table 3 shows the surface area of the three samples for which the photocatalytic activity is measured before and after NaOH treatment. The trend in
activity observed cannot be simply related to a difference in specific surface area of the samples. In fact, in the case of porous heterogeneous photocatalysts, the actual operating surface is unknown since portions of the sample are not illuminated as a consequence of internal shading. This element accounts for the intrinsic difficulties in obtaining reliable areal parameters in photocatalysis. Furthermore, routine BET measurements can underestimate the surface area of powders composed of assembled nanocrystals, particularly in the case of the nanoplates synthesized in this work where face-to-face packing can dramatically reduce the accessible surface area. During a photoreforming reaction in which the NCs are in the dispersed state, less association between particles would cause more surface area to be exposed. In addition, when the reaction is performed in liquid phase, mass transfer effects may limit the observed activity. Supporting this argument, we observe that NaOH treatment increases the surface area of the sample F-ODOL by almost three times (Table 3), while the photocatalytic activity drops, excluding a major effect of surface area on the presented trends. In addition, the surface area of the NCs may be estimated accurately using the atomistic models derived from XRD analysis (Table 1). For sample M-OLAM, the calculated surface area is 136 m² g⁻¹ compared to a measured value of 134 m² g⁻¹, again indicating the accuracy of the XRD fitting. In contrast, the measured surface area of the two nanoplate samples, M-ODOL and
F-ODOL, deviate significantly from the calculated values, demonstrating the effect of nanoplate stacking.

Although further experiments are necessary to understand the observed differences in photocatalytic activity for the production of hydrogen over the \{101\} and \{001\} facets of anatase, our experiments utilizing well-defined nanosized TiO$_2$ crystals suggest that \{101\} facets are the more photocatalytically active than the \{001\} facets for the evolution of H$_2$ by methanol photoreforming in the presence of Pt.

### 2.2.4 Conclusions

We have shown that the morphology of TiO$_2$ NCs 10-100 nm in size may be controlled through a nonaqueous seeded growth method. The precursor TiF$_4$ enables the exposure of the \{001\} facet of anatase TiO$_2$ through the \textit{in situ} release of HF. The fluoride ion from HF binds preferentially to the \{001\} facet and the HF concentration is controlled through dilution of TiF$_4$ with TiCl$_4$ and choice of co-surfactant, allowing the percentage of \{001\} and \{101\} facets to be engineered. XRD experiments, in conjunction with simulation, are introduced as a method to precisely determine the morphology of the produced NCs and quantify the percentage of \{001\} and \{101\} facets. In addition to the control provided over the NC shape, particles synthesized in the presence of TiF$_4$ are found to have blue coloration. The broad Vis/NIR absorption is the result of free electrons supplied by oxygen vacancies in the TiO$_2$ lattice. The photocatalytic
activity of three oxygen deficient anatase TiO$_2$ NC samples after Pt photodeposition indicate that the \{101\} facet is more active for the production of hydrogen from methanolic solutions under solar illumination.
2.3 Ultrathin Anatase Nanorods

2.3.1 Introduction

Precise control of the shape and size of materials at the nanoscale is a central focus in the field of nanotechnology, as it allows for the optical and electronic properties of materials to be precisely correlated with structure. Through advancing colloidal synthesis methods, control over the shape and size of nano-sized crystallites or nanocrystals (NCs) has been achieved in numerous materials systems, including metals, semiconductors, and oxides. Modification of synthetic parameters, such as reaction temperature and surfactant concentration allows for both shape and size to be precisely tuned using these methods.

Ultrathin metal oxide nanorods have been reported through high temperature surfactant assisted syntheses.\textsuperscript{221,222} An interesting phenomenon, observed by many groups, is that while the nanorod length is variable, the width of the nanorods is highly uniform and very thin, often less than 2 nm.\textsuperscript{145} At this width, a high percentage of the atoms in the nanocrystals are surface atoms, potentially leading to high photocatalytic activities, if electron-hole recombination can be suppressed. In addition, the nanocrystals are observed to self-assemble into liquid crystalline structures under appropriate deposition conditions, which

\textsuperscript{v} I would like to thank Dr. Matteo Cargnello and Yupeng Lu for assistance materials synthesis and in the collection of photocatalytic activities and Ben Diroll for collecting the SAXS pattern.
could allow for the production of photocatalytically active thin films with tunable orientation and packing arrangements.\textsuperscript{221,223-225}

In this work, we present the synthesis and selective purification of anatase TiO\textsubscript{2} nanorods. The single crystalline nanorods produced in solution are transferred from nonpolar to purely aqueous phase through a newly developed ligand-exchange procedure, allowing for the photocatalytic activity for methylene blue degradation to be measured.

\textbf{2.3.2 Experimental}

\textbf{Chemicals.}

The following chemicals are used as received without further purification. Titanium (IV) isopropoxide (TTIP, 99%), nitrosonium tetrafluoroborate (NOBF\textsubscript{4}), 1-octadecene (90\%) (1-ODE), methylene blue, N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), toluene, hexanes, acetone, methanol and 2-propanol are purchased from Acros Organics. Oleylamine (OLAM, 70\%), oleic acid (OLAC, 90\%), and acetonitrile (ACN) are purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

\textbf{Synthesis of TiO\textsubscript{2} nanorods:}

All syntheses are performed using standard Schlenk line techniques under nitrogen atmosphere. In a 250 mL flask, 60 mL of 1-ODE and 15 mL of OLAC are degassed at 120 °C for 1 hour under vigorous stirring. After placing the reaction under nitrogen environment, 3.4 mL of TTIP are added to the solution and the reaction is heated to 260 °C. Once stable, 5 mL of OLAM are injected quickly
into the flask and the reaction is heated at 260 °C for 1 hour. Then, the heating mantle is removed and the reaction is allowed to cool to RT.

**Purification and Size-Selective Precipitation:**

The resulting reaction is diluted to 90 mL with hexanes, forming a transparent yellow solution, and is divided into 15 mL aliquots. Then, acetone is slowly added to each aliquot. Once roughly 10 mL of acetone is added, the solution becomes slightly turbid. Centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 3 min is used to separate the longest nanorods. Then, an additional 2.5 mL of acetone are added to precipitate more material, followed by centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 3 min to separate. This procedure is repeated to isolated material of progressively smaller particle size.

**Ligand Exchange with NOBF$_4$.**

In a centrifuge tube, 0.1 g of NOBF$_4$ and 10 mL of ACN are combined and sonicated, resulting in the formation of a yellow solution indicating the solublization of NOBF$_4$. 10 mL of the NOBF$_4$ saturated ACN is added to the hexanes solution (10 mL) of NCs (50-150 mg). The NCs quickly become insoluble and are collected through centrifugation. The solid is then completely solubilized in DMF with sonication. To remove residual organics, DMF solutions are washed through the addition of a mixture of toluene and hexanes until precipitation occurs, followed by centrifugation. This process is repeated three times. The sample is then dried in an oven, and ground in an agate mortar.
Photocatalytic Activity.

Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue is performed to test the photocatalytic activity of anatase nanorods. 20 mg of the ligand exchanged powder is combined with 40 mL of $5 \times 10^{-5}$ M methylene blue in water in a glass cup. The cup is then placed in a stainless steel container with Teflon insert, a sapphire window and stainless steel tubes which allow air to enter. The resulting transparent solution is stirred for 30 minutes to allow for the adsorption of dye to occur, and is then illuminated starting at time 0 through the quartz window with a 200 W Hg(Xe) lamp without any optical filter. 0.5 mL samples are removed every 5 min, the TiO$_2$ is separated by filtration through a 0.2 $\mu$m PTFE filter, and the UV-vis spectrum of the dye is measured.

Characterization.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were recorded using a JEOL JEM1400 TEM equipped with a SC1000 ORIUS CCD camera operating at 120 kV. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images were recorded on a JEOL 2010F operating at 200kV. Samples were prepared by drop-casting a dispersion of NCs in toluene or hexanes on a 300 mesh carbon-coated copper TEM grid followed by drying at 50 °C under vacuum. All X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Rigaku Smartlab diffractometer equipped with a Cu K$_{\alpha}$ source.

2.3.3 Results and Discussion
Ultrathin anatase TiO$_2$ nanorods are produced using a scaled up and slightly modified version of a previously described hot-injection procedure based on aminolysis of a titanium carboxylate complex.$^{145}$ The reaction begins with the formation of a titanium carboxylate complex at low temperature through addition of TTIP to a solution of OLAC and 1-ODE. The solution is then heated to 260°C, where the complex is stable, with no crystallites being observed even after extended heating.$^{150}$ When the temperature is stabilized, OLAM is injected into the reaction, causing the decomposition of the carboxylate through aminolysis to form oleyl amide as a byproduct, as depicted in Figure 25.

$$\begin{align*}
(1) \quad & Ti(OR)_4 + R-COOH \rightarrow Ti(OR)_{4-x}(RCOO)_{x} + ROH \\
(2) \quad & Ti(OR)_{4-x}(RCOO)_{x} + R-NH_2 \rightarrow Ti(OR)_{4-x}(OH)_{x} + R-NHC-R
\end{align*}$$

Figure 25: Reaction mechanism describing the (1) formation of carboxylate from TTIP and oleic acid and (2) aminolysis of the carboxylate to form hydroxylated Ti centers and an oleyl amide byproduct.

The decomposition of the titanium carboxylate results in the formation of anatase TiO$_2$ nanorods which are very thin in diameter and variable in length from 10 to 40 nm (Figure 26). The nanorods of differing length can be effectively separated through size selective precipitation (SSP) of the reaction mixture. SSP is a purification method which relies on the fact that the magnitude of the Van der Waals attraction between particles increases with increasing particle size, and is a common method to improve the monodispersity of a sample of nanocrystals.$^{27}$
A non-solvent in which the particles are insoluble due to their hydrophobic exterior (in this case, acetone) is added to a solution of nanocrystals in which the particles are highly soluble. The largest particles begin to aggregate when a critical amount of non-solvent is added, and can be separated through centrifugation. Addition of more non-solvent will cause the precipitation of the next largest set of particles, which can be separated, and the process is continued.

Figure 26: TEM images of anatase TiO$_2$ nanorods (a) before and (b-d) after size selective precipitation, where b is the first fraction separated, and d is the last fraction.
The unique aspect for the TiO$_2$ nanorods described in this work is that separation of the nanorods by length is highly efficient and results in isolation of quite monodisperse rods with different lengths. It is also important to note that the SSP is only effective when a large excess of oleic acid is present in the nanocrystal solution. In fact, if all of the nanorods are first collected through addition of excess antisolvent and then SSP is performed, very poor separation results. This observation suggests the influence of depletion attraction on the SSP process.

Depletion attraction is a phenomenon known for many years to explain the interactions of polymers in colloidal solution,$^{226}$ but recently was utilized to rationalize the self-assembly of nanocrystals.$^{227-230}$ Essentially, depletion attraction is explained as follows: In a solution of particles, two particles will inevitably approach each other in solution. If there is a sufficiently large concentration of macromolecules (i.e. surfactants) in solution and the distance between the two particles becomes smaller than the size of the macromolecule, then only solvent molecules can occupy this space and an osmotic force will develop, forcing the particles together. In the case of the purification of TiO$_2$ nanorods, this attractive force is proposed to enable size sorting, by favoring the interactions between nanorods with similar length to maximize particle-particle contact.
In the previous report upon which this synthesis is based, the authors report that injection of different volumes of OLAM was found to modulate the length of the nanorods. This result was not replicated in our studies. In fact, modulating the OLAM injection volume from 1 mL to 10 mL was found to have little influence on the resulting particle morphology. Instead, different lengths of nanorods are simply separated by size selection. HRTEM and WAXS studies confirm the anatase polymorph as described previously (Figure 25). The SAXS pattern of the nanorods is shown in Figure 27a and is fitted using the Debye equation, as described in 2.2.2. For nanorods of this aspect ratio, the SAXS pattern is primarily sensitive to the width of the nanorods, with little change in the simulation observed with changing nanorods length. Nevertheless, the simulation
gives an accurate value for the nanorods width of $2.5 \pm 0.2$ nm, which is in line with what is observed by TEM analysis.

Figure 28: Self-assembled structures of TiO$_2$ nanorods, indicating A) lamellar type assembly and B) hexagonally close-packed vertical alignment.

Upon dropcasting or slow drying of nanocrystal solutions onto TEM grids, self-assembly of the nanorods is observed (figure 2). Two modes of self-assembly occur, lamellar side-by-side assembly in which the nanorods form chained 1-dimensional structures, and vertical assembly in which nanorods assemble 2-dimensionally perpendicular to the substrate in hexagonally close-
packed lattices. Similar self assembly behavior has been reported for nanorods of various materials and is enabled by the favorable interaction between surfactant chains on the particle surface and the driving force to achieve the highest packing densities.

Figure 29: TEM images of TiO$_2$ nanorods A) as synthesized and B) after NOBF$_4$ treatment.

Anatase TiO$_2$ nanorods can be transferred from non-polar solvents to polar solvents using a ligand exchange procedure involving the small molecule NOBF$_4$. First, a saturated solution NOBF$_4$ is produced in acetonitrile with sonication. Then, this solution is added to a solution of nanocrystals in hexane in equal volume. The nanorods precipitate and may be redissolved in N,N-dimethylformamide or dimethyl sulfoxide and are washed with toluene and hexanes to remove excess ligand. Post-treatment, the particles are found to possess a positive charge by zeta potential measurements. The positive charge is proposed to result from cationic sites on the particle surface which
once were bound to ligands. The BF$_4^-$ acts as a counter ion for this charge, but is loosely bound to the particle surface.$^{142}$

Figure 30 shows the results of the photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue in the presence of ligand-exchanged anatase nanorods under UV-vis illumination. The degradation (or decolorization) of methylene blue follows Lanmuir–Hinshelwood kinetics, with an approximate first order rate constant of 0.01024 s$^{-1}$, which is of the same order of magnitude as other TiO$_2$ photocatalysts.$^{231,232}$ Within 90 minutes, the anatase nanorods completely degrade the methylene blue, making it a promising material for photooxidation reactions which is prepared and activated without any high temperature annealing.

Figure 30: Temporal progression of methylene blue absorbance during photocatalysis in the presence of ultrathin anatase nanorods.
2.3.4 Conclusions

In this section, we report the synthesis of ultrathin anatase TiO\textsubscript{2} nanorods through a hot-injection method. The nanorods are observed to be highly uniform in width, but variable in length. Using SSP, the nanorods are very efficiently separated from each other based on length. The efficiency of this SSP procedure is attributed to depletion attraction forces in the presence of oleic acid, which enables improved size sorting. The nanorods may be self-assembled into liquid crystalline superlattices, including hexagonally close-packed vertical assemblies and lamellar side-by-side assemblies. The anatase nanorods can be transferred to polar solvents through ligand exchange procedure and are found to be active for the photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue. Future work should focus on the influence of nanorods length on photocatalytic activity.
2.4 Highly Photocatalytically Active Brookite TiO$_2$ Nanorods$^\text{VI}$

2.4.1 Introduction

As stated in section 2.1, three polymorphs of TiO$_2$ exist under natural conditions: rutile, anatase, and brookite. Structurally, anatase and rutile are very similar; both having tetragonal unit cells, while brookite has an orthorhombic crystal structure.$^{132}$ Among these polymorphs, anatase is commonly considered to be the most photocatalytically active, and is the standard choice for photocatalytic studies.$^{132}$ On the other hand, studies on the photocatalytic activity of brookite TiO$_2$ are limited due the difficulty of isolating it in pure form.$^{136}$ Brookite is primarily observed as an impurity during the synthesis of the other polymorphs. Determining the photocatalytic activity of brookite is important to understand how the unique crystal structure, band structure, and surface faceting of this polymorph influence its reactivity.

Preparation of pure-phase nanocrystalline brookite has been accomplished through hydrothermal methods, primarily under highly basic conditions and in the presence of liquid ammonia or amines.$^{136,233-237}$ Recently, Buonsanti, et al. demonstrated a major advance in the synthesis of pure-phase brookite TiO$_2$ nanomaterials through a nonaqueous surfactant-assisted approach.$^{147,238}$ A seeded growth approach was utilized, wherein anatase seeds

$^\text{VI}$ I would like to thank Dr. Matteo Cargnello, Prof. Paolo Fornasiero, and Yupeng Lu for assistance materials synthesis and in the collection of photocatalytic activities.
are first produced at high temperature and then additional precursor is slowly added via syringe pump (see section 1.1.6). The added solution causes the seeds to slowly grow into large brookite nanorods, in which a solid phase transition is proposed through a dissolution-crystallization process.\textsuperscript{147}

In our work, we study the shape dependent photocatalytic activity of brookite TiO\textsubscript{2} rods prepared through nonaqueous synthesis that are shown to be highly active for the evolution of hydrogen from methanolic solutions. We demonstrate that brookite phase nanorods can be produced through a heating-up method, not only seeded growth, generating smaller diameter brookite nanorods. Also, a review of the literature suggests that the brookite phase of TiO\textsubscript{2} selectively formed in the presence of amines. Results suggest that brookite nanorods should be explored further as a class of anisotropic photocatalysts.

2.4.2 Experimental

All syntheses are performed using standard Schlenk line techniques under nitrogen atmosphere. A general procedure proceeds as follows.

Chemicals.

The following chemicals are used as received without further purification. Titanium (IV) chloride (TiCl\textsubscript{4}, 99%), nitrosonium tetrafluoroborate (NOBF\textsubscript{4}), 1-octadecene (90%) (1-ODE), 1-octadecanol (1-ODOL, 97%), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), toluene, hexanes, acetone, methanol and 2-propanol are purchased from Acros Organics. Oleylamine (OLAM, 70%), oleic acid
(OLAC, 90%), acetonitrile (ACN) and potassium tetrachloroplatinate(II) (K₂PtCl₄, ≥99.9%) are purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

**Preparation of Stock Solutions.**

Stock solutions are prepared in a glovebox under N₂ atmosphere. Stock solution #1 consists of 0.2 M TiCl₄ and 1.0 M OLAC in 1-ODE, stock solution #2 consists of 0.5 M TiCl₄ and 1.0 M OLAC in 1-ODE. Once dissolved, the TiCl₄ stock solutions are dark brown.

**Seeded growth synthesis of brookite NRs.**

In a 125 mL flask, 30 mmol of co-surfactant (OLAM or 1-ODOL), 10.2 mL of 1-ODE and 0.48 mL (1.5 mmol) of OLAC are combined and degassed at 120 °C for 1 hour. After degassing the flask, 1.5 mL of the desired stock solution is added at 60 °C. Then, the solution is quickly heated to 290 °C and held 10 min to allow for the formation of seed crystals. 8 mL of the stock solution are then pumped into the flask kept at 290 °C at 0.3 mL min⁻¹ using a New Era Pump Systems NE-1000 syringe pump. Afterwards, the heating mantle is removed and the flask left to cool naturally to ambient temperature.

**Heating-up synthesis of brookite NRs.**

In a 125 mL flask, 15 mL of OLAM is degassed at 120 °C for 1 hour. Then 15 mL of the desired stock solution is injected slowly and the reaction is quickly heated to 290°C and is held for 30 min. Then, the heating mantle is removed and the flask left to cool naturally to ambient temperature.
Workup.

Post-synthesis, the reaction contents are first diluted with a small volume of toluene and are centrifuged at 6000 rpm to separate the nanorods. The nanorods are then redispersed through addition of toluene and 100 μL of OLAM with sonication. Insoluble surfactant and agglomerated particles are removed through centrifugation. A mixture of 2-propanol and methanol are added to precipitate the NCs, and centrifugation at 6000 rpm is used to recover the NCs. This washing process was repeated twice.

Ligand Exchange with NOBF₄.

In a centrifuge tube, 0.1 g of NOBF₄ and 10 mL of ACN are combined and sonicated, resulting in the formation of a yellow solution, indicating the solublization of NOBF₄. 10 mL of the NOBF₄ saturated ACN is added to the hexanes solution (10 mL) of brookite nanorods (50-150 mg). The brookite nanorods quickly become insoluble and are collected through centrifugation. The solid is then completely solubilized in DMF with sonication. To remove residual organics, DMF solutions are washed through the addition of a mixture of toluene and hexanes until precipitation occurs, followed by centrifugation. This process is repeated three times. After the final centrifugation, the NCs are dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C overnight to remove residual solvent molecules. Once dry, each sample is ground in an agate mortar and pestle into a fine powder with grain dimensions below 150 μm.
Photocatalytic Activity.

The photocatalytic activity of the ligand-exchanged nanorods is evaluated for hydrogen production using methanol as model sacrificial agent. ~27 mg of the NCs are suspended with sonication in 80 mL of an aqueous solution of methanol (1:1 by volume, final concentration of nanorods ca. 0.3 M). H$_2$PtCl$_4$ is added to obtain a final loading of platinum of 1 wt. %. The suspension is irradiated using a Hg lamp. An Ar flow (10 mL min$^{-1}$) ensures the complete removal of air from the reactor and that the reaction products reach the detector. Gaseous products are analyzed by gas chromatography (GC) using a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) for the quantification of H$_2$ and CO$_2$ with He as carrier.

Characterization.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were recorded using a JEOL JEM1400 TEM equipped with a SC1000 ORIUS CCD camera operating at 120 kV. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images were recorded on a JEOL 2010F operating at 200kV. Samples were prepared by drop-casting a dispersion of NCs in toluene or hexanes on a 300 mesh carbon-coated copper TEM grid followed by drying at 50 °C under vacuum. All X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Rigaku Smartlab diffractometer equipped with a Cu K$_\alpha$ source.

2.4.3 Results and Discussion

Brookite nanorods are produced using two methods which rely on a similar reaction mechanism as outlined in section 2.2.3. Aminolysis of a titanium
carboxylate species results in the formation of TiO₂ and an oleyl amide species as a byproduct. The first is a reported method, which was also outlined in section 2.2.3 for the synthesis of anatase nanocrystals, involves a seeded growth approach in which anatase seeds are first produced at high temperature, and then stock solution is added slowly via syringe pump at high temperature. The second method relies on a heating up method, in which all reagents are combined at low temperature and are heated to the high temperature, without any injection. Figure 31 outlines the synthetic scheme for each reaction.

![Synthetic schemes for two methods of producing brookite nanorods.](image)

Figure 31: Synthetic schemes for two methods of producing brookite nanorods.

TEM images of brookite nanorod samples prepared using each synthetic scheme are shown in Figure 32. For both methods, the width of the nanorods can be altered through choice of the stock solution concentration of TiCl₄. Using stock #1 (with 0.2 M TiCl₄), nanorods have a smaller aspect ratio (length/width) than those prepared using stock #2 (0.5 M TiCl₄). In the seeded growth method, the nanorods grow larger as more stock solution added, as depicted in Figure 33.
where aliquots are taken out during the course of a single reaction using stock #1. In this way the length of the nanorods can be effectively tuned from 2.5 x 9 nm (anatase seeds) to 5 x 55 nm long (brookite nanorods). This allows for nanorods of different sizes to be recovered.

Figure 32: TEM images of brookite nanorods produced by seeded growth after 8 mL of stock addition (a,c) and heating up methods (b,d) using stock #2 (a,b) and stock #1 (c,d).

Interestingly, as briefly mentioned in section 2.2, brookite nanorods are always isolated when a TiCl₄ is utilized as the Ti source and oleylamine acts as the cosurfactant. When alcohols are used as cosurfactants, TiO₂ is still formed,
through a number of possible mechanisms, but anatase phase is always observed. Also, even small amounts of TiF$_4$ precursor (1:9 F:Cl ratio) favors the production of anatase. Interestingly, a literature review of the synthesis of brookite in aqueous conditions reveals that amines (typically liquid ammonia) are almost exclusively present during the production of brookite.$^{136,234-236}$ Together with the results obtained under nonaqueous conditions, this suggests that amines stabilize the brookite phase, although the mechanism is not clear.

Figure 33: TEM images of aliquots taken from a seeded growth reaction using stock #1 (scale bars = 100nm)
Experimental XRD patterns are shown in Figure 34 for brookite nanorods formed using the seeded growth approach. The peak at $\theta = 30.9$ observed in both experimental results is characteristic of brookite, and is not seen in either rutile or anatase. On the other hand, a simulation of the XRD pattern of brookite nanorods of similar widths and lengths calculated using the Debye equation is in poor agreement with the experimental result. This suggests two possibilities: 1) the nanorods are largely composed of anatase phase TiO$_2$ which has a fairly similar XRD pattern or 2) distortions such as strain or stress in the crystal structure modify the resulting pattern. The nanorods in this work and in the original report can be made highly monodisperse with several widths and lengths, all of which show similar XRD patterns.\textsuperscript{147} HRTEM images of these nanorods are inconsistent with the symmetry requirements of the anatase phase, but rather suggest the brookite polymorph (see Figure 9).\textsuperscript{147} Although the authors observed some nanorods which were composed of both anatase and brookite phases, pure phase brookite rods were only observed by HRTEM after a significant volume of stock solution was added. Furthermore, HRTEM images revealed symmetry forbidden $\{1,0,0\}$ reflections as a result of lattice plane bending in the nanorods, caused by lattice strain.\textsuperscript{238,239} This result is confirmed by the clear bending of the nanorods along the long axis, as visible in Figure 32. In this case, the Debye function simulation confirms a more complex atomic arrangement is present at the nanoscale than observed in the bulk.
Given the ability to prepare brookite nanorods with tunable morphology, there is an opportunity to probe the morphological dependence of the photocatalytic activity. To date, only the photoinduced electron transfer to electron receptors has been used to probe the photocatalytic activity of brookite nanorods, and in that case only a single sample of pure phase brookite was investigated. In this report, we monitor the evolution of hydrogen from methanolic solutions in the presence of Pt under UV illumination. The nanocrystals are first treated with NOBF₄ in order to remove the surface ligands, which results in particles which are highly soluble in DMF and DMSO. The particles are then dried and ground to prepare for photocatalysis.
Figure 35: Hydrogen production rates from 1 wt. % Pt loaded samples of ligand-exchanged brookite nanorods and one sample of anatase under UV illumination in 1:1 mixtures of MeOH:H₂O. Triangle and circle are heating-up method with stock #2 and #1, respectively. Square is seeded growth, stock #1 and the star is M-OLAM from section 2.2.3.

Results of the photocatalytic reactions are shown in Figure 35. The first notable result is that all of the brookite nanorods have much higher activity than the most active sample of blue TiO₂ nanocrystals from section 2.2.3 (M-OLAM). This is an impressive result considering that the nanocrystals are treated identically and initially are coated with the same surfactants, although it does not rule out possible deleterious effects of oxygen vacancies or fluorine on the photocatalytic activity of M-OLAM. On the other hand, recent results indicate that oxygen vacancies and blue coloration may actually enhance photocatalytic activity under visible irradiation.¹⁹⁴ There is also a clear trend in the activity of the brookite nanorods, with smaller particle size leading to higher activity. This type
of behavior is often observed simply due to smaller particles having higher surface area, which leads to more reactions between photogenerated electrons and holes and substrate molecules on the surface.\textsuperscript{79} In addition, interesting dynamics are observed in the photocatalytic reaction rate for brookite nanorods, with the rate gradually increasing over time and then leveling off over the course of many hours. Such variability is not expected, and may indicate unusual behavior in the metal deposition or other structural changes in the catalyst under illumination, and should be explored in more detail.

\textbf{2.4.4 Conclusions}

In this section, the synthesis of brookite nanorods is described through a literature method and a new heating up approach. The nanorods are shown to be highly photocatalytically active for the evolution of hydrogen from methanolic solutions in the presence of platinum. To further explore the photocatalytic activity, samples with a larger range of sizes and aspect ratios should be studied to properly understand the influence of particle size and shape on reactivity.
2.5 Future Work

The TiO$_2$ nanocrystals described in this work may act as model systems for a variety of additional studies. In photocatalysis, the influence of faceting on other reactions should be investigated, particularly energy related reactions such as CO$_2$ reduction and photoreforming in the presence of higher alcohols. The relative reactivity of the facets is certain to vary depending on the interactions of target substrates with the particle surface. Similarly, these materials may act as model systems for catalytic reactions, in which TiO$_2$ is known as an active support, participating in the reaction mechanism. This relates to studies currently underway on the surface science of nanocrystalline materials. Typically, single crystalline surface are utilized for surface science studies, but through precise control of faceting, nanocrystals could potentially act as substrates which can be directly linked to measurements performed under realistic operating conditions.
3. ZINC OXIDE NANOCRYSTALS

3.1 Synthesis of Uniform Zinc Oxide Building Blocks

3.1.1 Introduction

Zinc oxide (ZnO) is an ubiquitous material in scientific research, finding applications in light emitting diodes, piezoelectrics, field effect transistors, transparent conducting electrodes, heterogeneous catalysis, and photocatalysis. It belongs to the II-VI class of direct band gap semiconductors, along with materials such as HgTe, CdS, CdSe, CdTe, and ZnSe, which exhibit quantum confinement at small particle size and are commonly referred to as quantum dots. While many recent studies have focused on the properties of these other II-VI materials, particularly cadmium chalcogenides, size quantization effects were reported years earlier for aqueous colloids of ZnO by Koch, et al. in 1985, although only at very small particle size.

Nevertheless, methods to synthesize ZnO building blocks with monodispersities comparable to typical quantum dots are currently lacking. Traditional methods, which rely on controlled hydrolysis of zinc sols, form ZnO nanocrystals which are excellent precursors for the production of field effect transistors and n-type layers in solar cells, but lack the uniformity in the individual ZnO units necessary for self-assembly. Nonaqueous synthetic techniques

VII I would like to acknowledge the contributions of Abdul-Rahman Rajii, for assisting with the synthesis of ZnO nanocrystals during his time at Penn.
have produced materials with comparably higher monodispersity, primarily
anisotropic in morphology, including rods, pyramids, cones and plates.\textsuperscript{46,248-252}
Peng, et al. demonstrated the first synthesis of highly monodisperse spherical
ZnO nanocrystals, but their self assembly has not been described.\textsuperscript{253}

There are several areas of intense study concerning ZnO nanomaterials. One is in the field of dilute magnetic semiconductors, which are semiconductors
doped with magnetic atoms, which has been shown to induce room temperature
ferromagnetism in certain systems, including ZnO.\textsuperscript{254,255} While these results are
often controversial, such materials may be the building blocks of future devices
based on spintronics.\textsuperscript{254,255} Another is in the production of UV lasing media. ZnO
has a large exciton binding energy (60 meV), which causes an enhancement of
the radiative transition rate in the UV.\textsuperscript{256} There is a great demand for efficient UV
lasers for optoelectronic devices.

In this work is described the synthesis of near-spherical ZnO building
blocks. The synthetic procedure is amenable to doping, allowing for incorporation
of Co into the wurtzite matrix of ZnO. In addition, we observe that the optical
properties of the materials can vary depending on the reaction conditions.

\subsection{3.1.2 Experimental}

\textbf{Chemicals.} All chemicals are used as received. Zinc acetate dihydrate is
purchased from Ricca Chemical. Zinc acetylacetonate, trioctylamine (TOA) and
oleic acid (OLAC) are purchased from Aldrich. 1,2-dodecanediol (1,2-DDIOL) is
purchased from TCI America. Zinc stearate, cobalt(II) acetate, 1-octadecene (1-ODE), 1-octadecanol, toluene, 2-propanol, and hexanes are purchased from Acros Organics.

**Synthesis I.** All reactions are performed using standard Schlenk line techniques. In a 125 mL flask, 2 mmol of metal precursor (if doped, a mixture of zinc and cobalt acetate), 4 mmol of OLAC, 10 mmol of 1,2-DDIOL (or 1-ODOL), 10 mL TOA and 10 mL of 1-ODE are combined and heated to 120°C under vacuum, creating a colorless, transparent solution. After 1 hour of degassing, the flask is filled with nitrogen atmosphere and heated to 280°C. At 280°C, the reaction turns yellow in color and gas is evolved violently, indicating the nucleation of ZnO nanocrystals. After 1 hour at 280°C, the reaction is cooled to room temperature. Reaction is washed with acetone and dispersed in hexanes.

**Synthesis II.** All reactions are performed using standard Schlenk line techniques. In a 125 mL flask, 2 mmol of zinc precursor, 4 mmol of OLAC (OLAC excluded when using zinc stearate), 10 mmol of 1,2-DDIOL, and 25 mL of 1-ODE are combined and heated to 120°C under vacuum, creating a colorless, transparent solution. After 1 hour of degassing, the flask is filled with nitrogen atmosphere and heated to 300°C. At 280°C, the reaction turns yellow in color and gas is evolved violently, indicating the nucleation of ZnO nanocrystals. After 1 hour at 300°C, the reaction is cooled to room temperature. Reaction is washed with 2-propanol and dispersed in hexanes.
Characterization.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were recorded using a JEOL JEM1400 TEM equipped with a SC1000 ORIUS CCD camera operating at 120 kV. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images were recorded on a JEOL 2010F operating at 200kV. Samples were prepared by drop-casting a dispersion of NCs in toluene or hexanes on a 300 mesh carbon-coated copper TEM grid followed by drying at 50 °C under vacuum. All X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Rigaku Smartlab diffractometer equipped with a Cu Kα source. UV-Vis-NIR spectra were recorded on a Varian Cary 5000 spectrometer. Photoluminescence spectra were recorded on a Jobin Yvon Fluorolog 3.

3.1.3 Results and Discussion

Zinc oxide nanocrystals are produced using original nonaqueous surfactant assisted syntheses. Both described synthetic methods proceeds through a heating-up method which begins by dissolving a metal-organic zinc precursor (zinc acetate dihydrate or zinc acetylacetonate) in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of OLAC (1:2 Zn:OLAC) and excess 1,2-DDIOL. Then the reaction is degassed to remove water and other volatile species and is quickly heated to either 280 or 300°C. The degassed solution is completely transparent, indicating dissolution of the zinc precursor. Upon heating, a clear color change from transparent to yellow is observed at approximately 280°C, along with violent bumping of the reaction solution, indicating the onset of nucleation.
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The reaction is presumed to occur through a alcoholysis type reaction as demonstrated previously in the synthesis of ZnO using monoalcohols.\textsuperscript{180}

Interestingly, the reaction rate is observed to be much faster in the presence of a monoalcohol, relative to the diol species. As shown in figure 1, under identical reaction conditions, nanocrystals produced using 1-ODOL are significantly larger and non-spherical post-synthesis and nucleate at much lower temperature (200°C) than in the presence of 1,2-DDIOL. This may indicate that the diol helps to direct the particle shape and size, by acting as a bidentate ligand, and is not as reactive with the zinc carboxylate species.

![Figure 36: ZnO nanocrystals produced using synthesis I, using a) 1,2-DDIOL and b) using 1-octadecanol.](image)

The resulting nanocrystals are 9 nm with a roughly 14% dispersion in size and crystallize in the hexagonal wurtzite crystal structure (Figure 37). Addition of cobalt acetate to the reaction mixture (10% Co) results in cobalt doped ZnO nanocrystals, as depicted in Figure 37. Incorporation of Co results in green
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coloration due to the d-d transitions of tetrahedrally coordinated Co in the ZnO wurtzite lattice. The three characteristic peaks of the $^4T_1(P) \rightarrow ^4A_2(F)$ transition of tetrahedrally coordinated cobalt(II) are observed in the absorbance spectrum (Figure 37c) of the Co doped nanocrystals, indicating successful substitutional doping of ZnO with Co(II). Addition of Co(II) has minimal change in the morphology of the ZnO nanocrystals, as seen in the TEM images and X-ray diffraction patterns (Figure 37 a,b,e). This is most likely a result of the two atoms being isovalent and having nearly identical ionic radii under tetrahedral coordination.

Figure 37: TEM images of a) undoped and b) Co-doped ZnO nanocrystals with insets showing photographs of the particle solutions. c) Absorbance and d) photoluminescence from ZnO nanocrystal solutions and e) WAXS patterns of undoped and doped ZnO nanocrystals.
Addition of Co also affects the photoluminescence spectrum of the ZnO nanocrystals. Using synthesis method I, undoped nanocrystals have two emission bands, one from bandgap emission in the UV ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 373$ nm), and one in the green region of the spectrum ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 498$ nm) referred to as “trap emission,” which is commonly seen in nanocrystalline ZnO and is due to the presence of oxygen vacancies.\textsuperscript{259,260} After cobalt doping, only a single photoluminescence peak was observed upon bandgap excitation, which is intermediate between the two emissions ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 430$ nm). Previous studies have indicated quenching upon doping with Co(II), due to the presence of deep traps.\textsuperscript{258} The observation of photoluminescence in our samples is not currently understood, but may indicate higher crystallinity in the samples described here relative to previous materials.

A second similar synthetic approach was developed which only utilizes 1-ODE as a solvent and slightly higher temperature (300°C). The resulting nanocrystals are much smaller, 5 nm in diameter with a 10% size dispersion. Despite the marginal difference in reaction conditions, the optical properties of these nanocrystals are different than as described above. While, the bandgap of the nanocrystals is roughly the same, only UV emission ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 365$ nm) is observed from these ZnO nanocrystals. Pure UV emission is observed in larger ZnO nanostructures, such as wires, and “trap emission” is typically found to decrease in intensity with larger particle size.\textsuperscript{261,262} “Trap emission” is commonly
thought to result from the recombination of singly ionized oxygen vacancies with photoexcited holes, and studies have correlated oxygen vacancy concentration to visible light emission. On the other hand, the role of the surface is extremely important, with ligands such as oleic acid being found to affect the photoluminescence. In one case, addition of oleic acid during the synthesis of ZnO in water was found to allow promote blue emission with high quantum yield in small particles, where the blue emission was attributed to the interface states of ZnO and the carboxylate.
In the current work, the differences observed between synthetic method I and II are possibly attributable to the reaction temperature. At higher temperature, oxygen vacancies may be “annealed out” of the nanocrystals, which may not be possible at lower temperature. Many authors have argued that recrystallization of nanocrystals occurs in solution, which acts as a mechanism to mend and fill defect sites.\textsuperscript{265,266} Better control reactions should be conducted to confirm this hypothesis, such as varying the reaction temperature under a single set of reaction conditions. Also, the effect of excess surfactant should be further explored, as the interaction of ligands with ZnO have been shown to influence the photoluminescence.

### 3.1.4 Conclusions and Future Work

In this work, two unique methods to synthesize ZnO nanocrystals are described. The resulting nanocrystals are fairly monodisperse and could act as transparent n-type building blocks for self-assembled structures. The synthetic strategy is shown to be amenable to doping with Co(II) into the wurtzite ZnO lattice. Doping is also shown to influence the emission properties of the nanocrystals. Nanocrystals synthesized at high temperature are shown to emit
only UV light, while at those synthesized at lower temperature are shown to emit both UV and green light, indicating the presence of oxygen vacancies. Further work is needed to confirm the source of the differences in optical properties.
4. DOPED CADMIUM OXIDE NANOCRYSTALS

4.1 Shape Directed Plasmonic Response in Cadmium Based TCOs

4.1.1 Introduction

The influence of particle shape on the plasmonic response and local field strength is well documented in metallic nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{267} Morphologies such as rods, plates, and octahedra are readily synthesized and exhibit drastically different extinction spectra than spherical particles.\textsuperscript{268} Despite this fact, the influence of shape on the optical properties of plasmonic semiconductor nanocrystals, in which free electrons result from heavy doping, has not been demonstrated. Here, we report the first observation of plasmonic resonance in indium doped cadmium oxide (ICO) nanocrystals, which exhibit the highest quality factors reported for semiconductor nanocrystals. Furthermore, we are able to independently control the shape and free electron concentration in ICO nanocrystals, demonstrating for the first time the influence of shape on the optical response of a plasmonic semiconductor. The highly uniform particles may be self-assembled into ordered single component and binary superlattices,

\textsuperscript{VIII} This work would not have been possible without help from Taejong Paik, for collecting X-ray scattering and electron microscopy data, and performing self-assembly, Dahlia R. Klein, for assistance with synthesis and optical measurements, Gururaj Naik and Prof. Alexandra Boltasseva for analysis of spectroscopic ellipsometry and extraction of optical constants, and Humeyra Caglayan for completing FDTD simulations of particle scattering.
demonstrating a new class of tunable low-loss plasmonic building blocks for 3D-metamaterials.

Strong resonances which appear at optical frequencies for metal nanoparticles result from the resonant oscillation of free electrons on the surface of the particles, and are referred to as localized surface plasmon resonances (LSPRs). By altering the shape of the metallic particles, one can sensitively manipulate the observed resonant frequencies throughout the UV and visible and even into the NIR. Highly anisotropic shapes, such as rods or plates, display multiple modes, while sharply faceted shapes are highly desirable due to the large field enhancements observed at tips and edges. The focusing properties of plasmonic particles have been harnessed to enable a number of new technologies and sensitive measurement tools, such as surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS), LSPR/SPR sensing, photothermal therapy (PTT), and plasmon-enhanced fluorescence.

A series of recent papers have reported tunable LSPR at NIR and mid-IR frequencies resulting from semiconductor nanocrystals. A plasmonic resonance is observed upon introduction of sufficient free carriers into the nanocrystals, either as a result of atomic vacancies or through doping with aliovalent cations. The LSPR frequency is dependent on the plasma frequency \( \omega_p \) of the material, which is determined by materials properties such as carrier concentration and carrier mobility. Unlike in the case of metals in which \( \omega_p \) is
typically considered an intrinsic property of the material, through adjustment of dopant concentrations, $\omega_p$ can be readily tuned in semiconductors.\textsuperscript{282} This opens the possibility of producing low-loss plasmonic nanocrystals with tunable LSPR frequency to substitute for metals, which typically have high losses in the NIR and mid-IR.\textsuperscript{283} Copper based chalcogenides and indium tin oxide (ITO) have arguably been the most heavily studied systems,\textsuperscript{108,280} and Cu$_{2-x}$Se have already been proven effective for applications such as photothermal therapy.\textsuperscript{284} On the other hand, poor particle uniformity and the inability to manipulate both particle shape and doping concentration independently has prohibited the correlation of particle morphology with the optical response, although some researchers have made strides towards this goal.\textsuperscript{285,286}

As expected, all of the semiconducting nanocrystals recently found to support LSPR in the NIR are known to form highly conductive thin films. Two of these systems, ITO and aluminum doped zinc oxide (AZO), belong to the well-known family of d$^{10}$ metal based transparent conducting oxides (TCOs), which are technologically important for applications in photovoltaics and displays.\textsuperscript{108,111} There has been a resurgence of interest in cadmium oxide (also d$^{10}$) based TCO films, which were previously highlighted as having excellent TCO characteristics.\textsuperscript{98,99,287,288} Highly transparent indium doped cadmium oxide (ICO) thin films may be produced with conductivities 2 to 5 times higher than that of commercial ITO films and near metallic n-type carrier densities ($10^{21}$ cm$^{-3}$).\textsuperscript{288}
addition, tin doped cadmium oxide (SCO) films were recently prepared with the highest electrical conductivities and carrier mobilities of any TCO. Together with the cubic rock salt crystal lattice, CdO has served as an excellent platform with which to sensitively test and model the influence of dopants, also including Sn, Ga, Y, and Sc, on the functional properties of high performing TCOs. Highly transparent and electrically conductive TCOs have been introduced as a category of low-loss plasmonic building blocks, making CdO-based materials a promising, yet unexplored, system.

In this work, we report the first observation of tunable LSPR in monodisperse doped cadmium oxide nanocrystals with both spherical and octahedral geometry, prepared through high temperature surfactant-assisted synthesis. The plasmonic resonance is tunable from 1.8 μm to 3.5 μm with increasing indium or tin concentration and the morphology of the nanocrystals is shown to influence the optical response. We report the highest quality factors for plasmonic semiconductor nanocrystals of spherical morphology reported to date. Furthermore, the ability to independently control the particle shape and doping concentration in highly uniform nanocrystals allows for the optical response to be correlated with the particle morphology. The high uniformity also enables self assembly of the particles, forming single component and binary superlattices in which the interparticle distance between plasmonic resonators is prescribed by the assembly.
4.1.2 Experimental

All syntheses are performed using standard Schlenk line techniques under nitrogen atmosphere.

Chemicals.

The following chemicals are used as received without further purification. Cadmium(II) acetylacetonate, cadmium(II) acetate, cadmium(II) oxide, indium(III) acetate, tin(IV) acetate, and 1-octadecene (90%) (1-ODE) are purchased from Acros Organics. Oleic acid (OLAC, 90%) is purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Anhydrous solvents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich or dried using standard methods.

Synthesis of spherical ICO NCs.

In a 125 mL flask, 1 mmol of total metal content (e.g. for 5% ICO, 0.95 mmol cadmium(II) acetylacetonate and 0.05 mmol indium(III) acetate), 3-5 mmol OLAC, and 30 mL of 1-ODE are combined and evacuated at 120°C for 1 hour. The reaction is heated then heated quickly to reflux (~320°C). Within 30 min, the reaction turns brown or dark green in color, indicating the nucleation of doped CdO nanocrystals. 30 min after the initial color change, the reaction is removed from heat and quickly cooled to room temperature with an air gun.

Synthesis of octahedral ICO NCs.

In a 125 mL flask, 1 mmol of total metal content, 3 mmol OLAC, and 30 mL of 1-ODE are combined and evacuated at 120°C for 1 hour. The reaction is
then placed under nitrogen atmosphere and quickly heated to 300°C. Within 40 min, the reaction turns yellowish brown in color, indicating particle nucleation. 30 min after the initial color change, the reaction is removed from heat and quickly cooled to room temperature with an air gun.

**Workup.**

All nanocrystal solutions are transferred air-free to a glovebox and washed under inert atmosphere. The solutions are initially washed with 2-propanol, recovered with centrifugation at 8000 rpm, resuspended in toluene and then washed again with 2-propanol and centrifuged. For smaller particles (<15 nm), the solids are suspended in hexanes and centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 2 minutes is used to remove any large aggregates. For nanocrystals with larger particle size (>15 nm), the material is only dispersible in hexanes or toluene solutions, and will settle over time.

**Characterization.**

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were recorded using a JEOL JEM1400 TEM equipped with a SC1000 ORIUS CCD camera operating at 120 kV. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images were recorded on a JEOL 2010F operating at 200kV. Samples were prepared by drop-casting a dispersion of NCs in toluene or hexanes on a 300 mesh carbon-coated copper TEM grid followed by drying at 50 °C under vacuum. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were recorded on a JEOL JSM7500F. Wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS)
patterns were recorded on a Rigaku Smartlab diffractometer equipped with a Cu Kα source. Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) patterns were recorded at the Multi-Angle X-ray Scattering Facility at the University of Pennsylvania. All X-ray scattering patterns were recorded in transmission mode. For solution measurements, NCs were placed as highly concentrated toluene solutions into 1.0 mm glass capillaries. For samples measured in polymer films, 0.5 mL of 100 mg/mL polyvinyl butyral (Butvar-98) was mixed with a chloroform solution of NCs (0.5 mL) solution to arrive at 10 wt. % NCs in polymer. This solution was dropcast onto a glass slide and slowly dried until solid, at which point a thin polymer film can be removed from the slide surface in one piece. UV-Vis-NIR spectra were recorded on a Varian Cary 5000 spectrometer. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Thermo-Fisher Continuum FT-IR system in transmission mode using a Harrick demountable liquid cell. Solutions for ICP-OES were prepared by drying 20 μL of hexanes solutions into a glass tube, dissolving with concentrated nitric acid, and diluting with ultrapure water.

X-ray Scattering Simulation.

The q-dependent powder X-ray diffraction intensity, I(q), is calculated using the Debye equation as described previously and detailed in section 2.2.2.27

4.1.3 Results and Discussion

ICO nanocrystals are produced through a high temperature surfactant assisted approach.292 Briefly, a mixture of cadmium(II) acetylacetonate and the
dopant metal acetate salt (indium(III) acetate or tin(IV) acetate) which totals to 1 mmol of metal content are mixed with 3-5 mmol of oleic acid (OLAC) and 30 mL of 1-octadecene (1-ODE). After degassing at 120 °C for 1 hour, the reaction is quickly heated to 300 – 315 °C. Within 10 – 30 min at high temperature, thermal decomposition of the formed cadmium and indium oleate complexes occurs. After 30 min – 1 hour of growth at high temperature, the nanocrystals are quickly cooled to RT. It is worth noting that other cadmium sources, including cadmium(II) oxide and acetate may be substituted for the acetylacetonate, yielding similar results. This synthesis has implications for the synthesis of cadmium chalcogenide quantum dots, in which Cd precursors, particularly CdO, are often heated in the presence of OLAC at high temperature to form cadmium oleate prior to the introduction of chalcogenide precursor. Any color change resulting from prolonged heating of such solutions at sufficient temperature signals the production of CdO nanocrystals, although Cd metal may act as an intermediary, as reported previously.

In Figure 39, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of ICO nanocrystals are shown, illustrating the control of shape and high level of monodispersity achievable with the given system. The morphology of the nanocrystals is readily tuned through modification of the reaction temperature and oleic acid (OLAC) concentration. Large octahedral nanocrystals are formed at 300°C, and by adjusting the oleic acid concentration from 2 mmol to 4 mmol,
the size may be tuned (Figure 39 a, b, c). Refluxing the reaction solution at roughly 315 °C results in much smaller, spherical nanocrystals, the size of which is also tunable depending on oleic acid concentration (Figure 39 d, e). These results can easily be interpreted based on previous mechanistic studies, wherein higher reaction temperatures results in faster thermal decomposition, yielding more initial nuclei and thus a larger number of smaller sized crystallites. Tin doped cadmium oxide NCs may also be produced using this method, demonstrating the generality of the method (Figure 40).

Figure 39: TEM images of octahedral ICO nanocrystals produced at 300 °C using a) 4 mmol and b) 3 mmol OLAC and SEM image of sample shown in b). TEM image of spherical nanocrystals produced at reflux using d) 5 mmol and e) 3 mmol OLAC. f) The unit cell of rock-salt type indium doped cadmium oxide (ICO).
Figure 40: Plasmonic optical response (A), and TEM images (B, C) of tin doped cadmium oxide nanocrystals with octahedral and spherical morphology.

The hexagonal cross section of the ICO octahedra could easily be interpreted as resulting from hexagonal plate or prism. To confirm the octahedral morphology, TEM tilt experiments were undertaken. Figure 41 shows a collection of octahedra lying on their face, displaying a hexagonal cross section and the effect of tilting the sample. Upon tilting in the upwards, the nanocrystals take on a diamond-like projection clearly indicating the octahedral geometry. In contrast, tilting downwards results in a square projection, in which the nanocrystals are being viewed directly down the point of the octahedra. Together with SEM imaging (Figure 39 c), the octahedral structure with sharp tips is confirmed.
Figure 41: TEM tilt series confirming the octahedral geometry of the ICO nanocrystals, shown for A) tilting in the positive x direction and B) tilting in the negative x direction.

HRTEM data indicate the NCs are single-crystalline, and adopt the Fm$ar{3}$m rock salt crystal structure typical of cadmium oxide crystallites (Figure 39 d inset). The crystal structure and monodispersity observed by TEM is confirmed through X-ray scattering studies, both small angle (SAXS) and wide angle (WAXS) in conjunction with a Debye function simulations (Figure 42). While TEM is an invaluable tool for the determination of sample morphology, statistically significant information is tedious to extract. X-ray scattering, in contrast, is a bulk measurement of nanocrystal structure, which is sensitive to particle morphology. In particular, SAXS is highly sensitive particle size distribution, WAXS is sensitive to interatomic spacings and crystal structure, while both are sensitive to particle morphology. In combination with Debye function simulations which are calculated based on atomisitic models, the morphology determined by TEM can be confirmed through a bulk measurement of WAXS and SAXS for a uniformly
dispersed sample. The X-ray fittings indicate that the octahedral nanocrystals are roughly 51.0 ± 3.6 nm in length (tip-to-tip), while the spherical nanocrystals are 8.0 ± 0.1 nm, in excellent agreement with measurements from TEM. Thus, we have produced highly uniform plasmonic NCs which may act as model systems to test the influence of particle shape on optical response.

![Figure 42: SAXS and WAXS patterns](image)

A strong plasmonic resonance is observed in the highly uniform ICO nanocrystals in the NIR range and the wavelength maximum of the plasmonic resonance ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$) is tunable from 1.8 μm to 3.5 μm depending on the indium concentration (Figure 43a, Figure 44). Cadmium and indium concentrations are determined by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) and are observed to correlate with the starting metal concentrations (Table 4), although indium concentrations are always observed to be significantly higher than the starting concentration. The enrichment of indium in the nanocrystals may result from the formation of metallic cadmium aggregates or unreacted cadmium precursors residing in solution.
Figure 43: a) Solution phase spectra of ICO nanocrystals dispersed in CCl$_4$ for 5 levels of % atomic doping of In (inset shows the blue shift of the bandgap with increasing In content). b) Solution phase spectra of three shapes/sizes (spherical, small octahedral and large octahedral) of nanocrystals with similar levels of In doping, where the TEM image above each image corresponds to the sample measured optically (scale bar = 50 nm). c) Solution phase spectra of ICO nanocrystal sample dispersed in CCl$_4$, TCE, and CS$_2$, with inset indicating the wavelength shift. d) Plot of LSPR wavelength with increasing In content for octahedral and spherical nanocrystals.
Figure 44: Optical absorbance of four samples of ICO octahedra with different concentrations of indium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shape</th>
<th>Initial % In</th>
<th>Meas. % In</th>
<th>Dia. / tip-to-tip length (nm)</th>
<th>MW of ICO NC* (g/mol)</th>
<th>Conc. ICO NCs (M)</th>
<th>λ_max (nm)</th>
<th>Abs at λ_max</th>
<th>ε at λ_max (M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sphere</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>1.30 x 10⁷</td>
<td>5.81 x 10⁻⁹</td>
<td>3296</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>1.29 x 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sphere</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.32 x 10⁸</td>
<td>4.55 x 10⁻⁹</td>
<td>2663</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>2.20 x 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sphere</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.70 x 10⁶</td>
<td>1.61 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>4.69 x 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sphere</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1.88 x 10⁸</td>
<td>4.92 x 10⁻⁹</td>
<td>2148</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>1.38 x 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sphere</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1.84 x 10⁸</td>
<td>1.24 x 10⁻⁹</td>
<td>2144</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td>5.00 x 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sphere</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.29</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.10 x 10⁷</td>
<td>3.06 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>2.41 x 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sphere</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.20</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>3.76 x 10⁶</td>
<td>4.57 x 10⁻⁹</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>1.36 x 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sphere</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.04</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>3.15 x 10⁸</td>
<td>6.24 x 10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>2029</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>9.45 x 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sphere</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35.53</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>4.03 x 10⁶</td>
<td>3.47 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>1944</td>
<td>0.393</td>
<td>1.13 x 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>octah.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>1.55 x 10⁸</td>
<td>3.55 x 10⁻⁹</td>
<td>3623</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>1.43 x 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>octah.</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>6.53 x 10⁷</td>
<td>9.35 x 10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>2929</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>5.87 x 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>octah.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>9.66 x 10⁷</td>
<td>6.30 x 10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>2611</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>9.36 x 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>octah.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>9.32 x 10⁷</td>
<td>2.51 x 10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>2519</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>6.53 x 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>octah.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>5.52 x 10⁷</td>
<td>6.01 x 10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>2407</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>4.81 x 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>octah.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>131.8</td>
<td>1.13 x 10⁸</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>octah.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>136.4</td>
<td>1.25 x 10⁸</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Properties of ICO NCs determined by ICP and UV-Vis-NIR spectroscopy.
Measured %In is determined by ICP-OES, $\varepsilon$ is the extinction coefficient, calculated as $\varepsilon = A/bc$, where $A$ is the measured absorbance, $b$ is the cuvette pathlength, and $c$ is the conc. of nanocrystals. $^*$MW is determined multiplying volume of the average NC (cm$^3$) by the density of CdO (8.15 g/cm$^3$) and Avogadro's number (6.022 x 10$^{23}$/mol). For sphere, $V = 4/3 \pi r^3$, for the octahedra $V = \sqrt{2}/3 \ a^3$, where $a$ is the edge length and $b = \sqrt{3} \ a$, where $b$ is the tip-to-tip length. Edge length is directly measured by TEM. $^*$This is the concentration of the nanocrystals when optical absorbance was measured. $^*$Due to resonance wavelength, the optical absorbance of this sample was measured using a liquid cell with 0.05 cm pathlength in an FTIR spectrometer.

High doping concentrations are found to negatively affect the morphology of the nanocrystals, resulting in polydispersity or bimodal distributions of particle size. For octahedral nanocrystals, sharp tips become rounded and small particles are observed above a starting concentration of 5% (initial) In doping. At reflux, retain spherical morphology and high uniformity at initial indium concentrations up to 15%, at which point bimodal distributions are observed. Interestingly, the plasmonic resonance is observed to be much more sensitive to indium concentration at low doping levels in both spherical and octahedral particles (Figure 43d). At very high doping levels, electron trapping may begin to occur at In centers, lowering the free-electron concentration, as suggested in the case of ITO nanocrystals.$^{108}$

Increasing indium concentration also results in an increase in the bandgap (inset of Figure 43a) referred to as a Burstein shift, which was previously noted in thin films, and is responsible for the high transparency of ICO films.$^{288,297}$ Intrinsc
CdO has a direct optical bandgap of 2.3 eV, which would make it unsuitable for TCO applications, but In incorporation significantly shifts the bandgap to the near UV. As CdO is a direct bandgap material, a plot of $(\alpha E)^2$ vs. E near the band edge should result in a linear plot for which the x-intercept is the bandgap energy. Figure 45 depicts the $(\alpha E)^2$ vs. E plots for several ICO nanocrystal samples, indicating the shift of bandgap with increasing In concentration. The bandgap widens from 2.97 eV to 3.34 eV with increasing In concentration.

![Figure 45: Plots of $(\alpha_h\nu)^2$ vs. photon energy (eV) for 5 samples of spherical ICO NCs. Open circles are measured data, dotted lines are linear fits, and the legend shows the extracted direct bandgap energies.](image)

The ability to synthesize ICO nanocrystals of two morphologies with high uniformity over the same concentration range allows for shape dependent optical properties to be unambiguously investigated. A clear shape dependence of the
optical response is apparent in the ICO nanocrystals, as depicted in Figure 43 b,d. Using the synthetic techniques described above, spherical nanocrystals 12 nm in diameter and two samples of octahedral nanocrystals 50 nm and 100 nm in length (tip-to-tip) are prepared with very similar atomic doping concentrations (0.64 – 1.43%). At the frequencies currently under study, even the largest particles are significantly sub-wavelength (< λ/40), meaning they are within the size region of the quasi-static limit and only dipolar coupling is expected to occur in the nanocrystals. 298 As a result, additional peaks and shoulders should not result from multipolar modes.

The resonance for the spherical nanocrystal at 3296 nm is very symmetrical, while the octahedra display a significantly redshifted λ_{max} relative to the sphere at 3606 nm and clear asymmetric broadening. The redshift in λ_{max} and asymmetric peak broadening is consistent for octahedral samples prepared at several wavelengths and doping concentrations, as depicted in Figure 43d and Figure 44. The large octahedra shows a dramatically different spectrum, with two peaks, one at 2507 nm, and one at 3898 nm. This dramatic spectral change is a clear demonstration of a shape effect on the plasmonic resonance.

For metal particles, shape effects on LSPRs are often confirmed through numerical simulations which require the optical constants of the material in question. While bulk values for metals are readily available, the permittivity of degenerately doped semiconductors is not as well studied and is highly
dependent on doping concentration. To circumvent this issue, spectroscopic ellipsometry is performed on spin-cast films of spherical ICO nanocrystals to extract approximate optical constants of bulk ICO using Bruggeman effective medium theory. These extracted constants are utilized to run FDTD simulations of the particle scattering. As shown in Figure 46, the simulation results predict the LSPRs of the 68 and 132 nm octahedra to be redshifted relative to the 12 nm sphere and significantly broader, as observed experimentally. Furthermore, to rule out the effect of size on the response, a 68 nm sphere is simulated to compare with the 68 nm octahedra. The 68 nm sphere is shown to have a similar response to the 12 nm sphere, and no redshifting of the peak occurs. Similarly for Au nanoparticles, the LSPR plasmon resonance is also redshifted in octahedra relative to spheres of similar size and when the size dependence of broadening has been described in spherical Au nanoparticles in the size region of the quasistatic limit, broadening actually increases with decreasing size due to electron surface scattering, contrary to what is observed here. In conjunction with the simulation, the experimental demonstration of a plasmonic shape effect in a semiconductor nanocrystal is unequivocal.

One interesting, unexplained feature observed in all spherical samples of ICO is a small peak at significantly higher energy than the main LSPR, as marked by an asterisk in Figure 43a. The origin of this peak is currently unknown, as it should not be multipolar, but would not be expected from an isotropic
geometry. Indirect bandgap or localized atomic states are feasible, although the indirect bandgap is very weak in CdO and localized states are unlikely for $d^{10}$ metals such as Cd and In, and would not be expected to shift with doping concentration, as observed here.

![Graphs of different nanocrystal shapes and extinction coefficients](image)

Figure 46: Calculated extinction coefficients simulated with FDTD using values of permittivity for ICO extracted using spectroscopic ellipsometry.

In order to ascertain whether monodisperse ICO nanocrystals could substitute for plasmonic metals in the NIR region, it is important to derive several metrics which are commonly used in the literature. First, is the quality factor, which is defined as the ratio of the plasmonic resonance energy to the peak linewidth. $^{300}$ A large quality factor is an indicator of high local field strength. The linewidth of the resonances reported in this work are quite sharp, which suggests that 1) few loss channels or non-radiative decay pathways are present and 2) little inhomogeneous broadening exists, due to the high uniformity of the samples, both in terms of morphology and dopant concentration. $^{300}$ The quality factors for the spherical ICO nanocrystals lie in the range of 3.5 to 8.2 (Table 5),
which far exceed the values of 1.6 and 3 previously reported for semiconductor nanocrystals WO$_{2.83}$ and Cu$_{2-x}$S, respectively and rival even the most monodisperse samples of Au and Ag nanocrystals.$^{116,281}$ The high quality factors exhibited by ICO nanocrystals make them promising materials as sensors in a unique wavelength range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial % In</th>
<th>Measured % In</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm)</th>
<th>Energy (eV)</th>
<th>$\Gamma$ (eV)</th>
<th>Quality Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>3296</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>2663</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>4.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>2323</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>6.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>2148</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>2144</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>7.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.29</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.617</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>7.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.20</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>0.617</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>7.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.04</td>
<td>2029</td>
<td>0.611</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>35.53</td>
<td>1944</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>4.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10*</td>
<td>13.18</td>
<td>2265</td>
<td>0.547</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>8.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Table of optical information extracted from several samples of spherical ICO nanocrystals. $\Gamma$ is the FWHM of the peak at the resonance wavelength. The quality factor is defined as $QF = \omega_{\text{max}} / \Gamma$, where $\omega_{\text{max}}$ is the photon energy at the LSPR maximum.

A second important parameter is the extinction coefficient, which is known to be much larger in plasmonic particles than in typical absorbers, justifying their use in photothermal therapy.$^{276}$ After the concentration of the cadmium and indium metal is determined in the nanocrystals by ICP-OES, the concentration of nanocrystals is calculated based on the appropriate atomistic model derived from TEM and X-ray modeling. By dividing the optical absorbance at $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ by the cuvette path length and the concentration the molar extinction coefficient of the
Plasmonic resonance is derived, which lies in the range of \(0.1 - 1.4 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}\) for spherical ICO and \(0.5 - 1.4 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}\) for the larger octahedral ICO. This large value of extinction coefficient is on the same order of magnitude as that of Au nanocrystals of similar particle size.\(^{298,301}\)

Plasmonic resonances are also sensitive to local dielectric environment, which is exploited in surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy and sensing. In the quasistatic limit, a spherical Drude metal will have a LSPR at:

\[
\omega_{LSPR}^2 = \frac{\omega_p^2}{\varepsilon_\infty + 2\varepsilon_a} - \Gamma^2,
\]

where \(\omega_{LSPR}\) is the LSPR frequency, \(\omega_p\) is the plasma frequency, \(\varepsilon_\infty\) is a constant offset, \(\varepsilon_a\) is the dielectric constant of the dispersing medium, and \(\Gamma\) is the damping constant.\(^{302}\) From equation 1, it is apparent that as the dielectric constant of dispersing medium increases, the plasmonic resonance will redshift. This formula also defines the relationship between the LSPR frequency and the plasma frequency, as described previously. Figure 43c and Figure 47 show the shift in the plasmonic resonance with the refractive index \((n)\) of the solvent. At optical frequencies, where the relative permeability \((\mu)\) of all materials is equal to 1, the refractive index is related to \(\varepsilon_a\) by the formula:

\[
n = \sqrt{\varepsilon_a \mu_a} = \sqrt{\varepsilon_a}.
\]

So, the effect of the change in refractive index is also a change in the relative permittivity of the solvent. The nanocrystals display plasmonic sensitivity of 150 nm per refractive index unit (nm/RIU), similar to other recently reported...
plasmonic semiconductors, as well as Au and Ag nanoparticles. When compared with traditional plasmonic materials, in each case ICO nanocrystals represent a sound substitute, with the added advantage of being tunable over a large frequency range.

The highly uniform ICO nanocrystals can be utilized as building blocks to form ordered self-assembled superlattices (Figure 48). All ordered films are produced using a liquid interfacial assembly technique described previously. Octahedra of 51 nm length from tip-to-tip self assemble into ordered Minkowski-type superlattices, similar to as recently reported for Ag octahedra. These oriented assemblies of octahedra have high packing densities and prescribed interparticle distances. In addition to forming single component assemblies, spherical ICO nanocrystals of 8 nm with a plasmonic resonance at 1975 nm have been self-assembled with 5 nm lead selenide (PbSe) quantum dots to form large

Figure 47: Plot of resonance wavelength vs. refractive index of solvent for a single sample dispersed in 3 different solvents.

![Graph showing LSPR λ max vs. refractive index for different solvents: CCl 4, TCE, CS 2.]

[Graph legend: CCl 4 (green), TCE (red), CS 2 (yellow).]
area AlB$_2$-type binary nanocrystal superlattices (BNSLs). BNSLs offer a platform in which the interaction between plasmonic particles can be readily tuned, depending on the structure of the BNSL and chemical composition of the building blocks. Given the number of known uniform nanocrystal building blocks and distinct BNSL structures, thousands of sub wavelength structures could be self-assembled from these ICO particles, each with its own unique interactions.

Figure 48: Self assembled structures formed at a liquid-air interface. a, b) SEM images of ICO octahedra, forming 3-D Minkowski-type superlattice structures. c) Long range [001] projection of
an MgZn$_2$-type BNSL of 8 nm ICO nanocrystals and 5 nm PbSe nanocrystals, inset shows electron diffraction pattern of BNSL. Depictions of the d) unit cell and e) [001] projection of the MgZn$_2$-type BNSL. e) Higher magnification TEM image of BNSL.

### 4.1.4 Conclusions

We have described the synthesis and optical properties of doped cadmium oxide nanocrystals with tunable morphology. The high monodispersity of the materials as confirmed by X-ray scattering allows for the influence of shape on the plasmonic response to be observed unequivocally. ICO nanocrystals possess the highest quality factors reported for plasmonic semiconductors and are comparable to traditional metals such as silver and gold. The high monodispersity of the spherical and octahedral nanocrystals allows for their self-assembly into 3-D superlattice structures with long range order. Spectroscopic ellipsometry of films of ICO nanocrystals reveal negative permittivity in the NIR, indicating strong coupling between the low-loss ICO nanocrystals and demonstrating their utility as a new class of building blocks for plasmonic metamaterials.
4.2 Tunable Metallic Thin Films from TCO Nanocrystal Precursors

4.2.1 Introduction

The study of metamaterials has led to the theoretical prediction and experimental demonstration of unique physical properties and functionalities such as negative refraction,\textsuperscript{117} negative refractive index,\textsuperscript{120,305} subdiffraction imaging,\textsuperscript{119} and cloaking\textsuperscript{117} at infrared and microwave frequencies. In the visible and NIR frequency range, where the impact of such devices is expected to be greatest, the noble metals gold and silver have been targeted as appropriate building blocks, due to having negative permittivity at these frequencies.\textsuperscript{122} However, one major problem roadblock to the development of optical metamaterials is the optical losses (interband transitions) present in the noble metals at these frequencies.\textsuperscript{122}

Degenerately doped semiconductors, particularly transparent conducting oxides (TCOs), have recently been introduced as low-loss substitutes for Au and Ag in the NIR frequency range for metamaterial applications in the NIR.\textsuperscript{283,306} As outlined above in previous sections, the advantages of TCOs include the low-loss, as well as the tunability of the plasma frequency with doping concentration. A range of materials have been investigated as potential materials of interest, including AZO, gallium doped zinc oxide (GZO), and ITO, which have plasma

\textsuperscript{IX} I would like to thank Gururaj Naik, and Alexandra Boltasseva for help in collecting data and extracting the optical constants of the films using spectroscopic ellipsometry.
frequencies tunable from 0.8 to 2 eV. Remarkably, a hyperbolic metamaterial containing layers of ZnO and AZO films, where AZO is the metallic component, has recently been prepared which shows negative refraction in the NIR and performs better than the same device prepared using gold, demonstrating the utility of such materials in the preparation of optical metamaterials.\textsuperscript{122}

The majority of approaches to the preparation of metamaterials rely on a “top-down” approach in which small features are produced through photo- or electron beam-lithography.\textsuperscript{123,307} While advances have been made, the requirement for significantly sub-wavelength features makes some designs untenable. “Bottom-up” approaches which rely on self-assembled gold and silver nanoparticles have also been proposed and experimentally demonstrated, potentially representing a more scalable route to metamaterials.\textsuperscript{308-311} Similarly, TCO nanocrystals should be considered as potential building blocks with tunable response. In nanocrystalline form, materials targeted for NIR applications, such as ITO and AZO, have been demonstrated to show LSPRs in the NIR, and could act as low loss building blocks for metamaterials.\textsuperscript{108,111}

In this work, we show that thin films can be constructed from ICO nanocrystals by simple spincasting procedure, which can be annealed to form conductive films. Spectroscopic ellipsometry performed on the annealed films reveals metallic response in the NIR and tunable plasma frequency depending on dopant concentration. Remarkably, we also observe that even the as-
prepared, non-conducting films, in which LSPRs continue to be observed, possess negative permittivity. The metallic-like optical response of these films is proposed to result from dipolar coupling between nanocrystals in the thin films.

4.2.2 Experimental

Spherical ICO nanocrystals are synthesized as described in section 4.1.3 starting with doping concentrations of 10, 15, and 20 % and are purified and stored under inert atmosphere. After purification, a portion of each solution was dried, and then redissolved in octane at 25 mg ICO/mL (*note this is mass of ICO determined by ICP-OES, not mass of nanocrystals). Films were produced using a Specialty Coating Systems G3P spincoater. Polished quartz windows from G. M. Associates were placed on the holder and a filtered portion of the concentrated ICO nanocrystal solution was dropped onto the surface until fully covered. The substrate was then spun at 800 rpm for 30 seconds, followed by 1000 rpm for 30 seconds.

Film Treatment.

Annealed samples were treated in a ULVAC MILA-5000 rapid thermal annealer in air. The temperature was increased at a rate of 10°C/second from room temperature to 350°C, and then was held for 10 min before cooling.

Characterization.

Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Thermo-Fisher Continuum FT-IR system. Transmission spectra are collected with a standard holder. Reflectance
spectra were measured on a Harrick variable angle Seagull accessory at 25° relative to normal, using a Thorlabs protected gold mirror as a reflectance standard. Solution phase spectra were recorded using a Harrick demountable liquid cell. Spectroscopic ellipsometry was performed using a J. A. Woollam M-2000 and V-VASE systems.

4.2.3 Results and Discussion

Thin films of ICO nanocrystals were prepared by spin coating concentrated nanocrystal solutions in octane onto quartz windows, resulting in 50-120 nm thick films which are highly transparent and slightly yellow in color. Figure 49a displays the NIR transmission spectra of the sample dispersed in CCl₄ and as deposited on the substrate. Upon deposition, a significant redshift is observed in the samples, which results from the coupling of the plasmonic particles, which is well documented in other plasmonic particle systems. Sharp peaks are observed at 3000 cm⁻¹ in the solution and as deposited, which result from C-H vibrations of the surfactant (oleic acid) on the particle surface.

Several methods were investigated to remove the surfactant from the surface of the ICO nanocrystals. Removing the surfactants without loss of structure and properties continues to be a hurdle for the application of nanocrystals in practical devices, particularly for electronics, and has received significant attention in recent years. Ironically, it is the surfactants which enable the precise control over size and shape which also cause the particles to
be insulating and unable to interact with their environment. In the case of oxide nanocrystals, several methods have emerged for the effective removal of surfactants. One promising approach, pioneered by our group, has been the use of small reactive molecules, such as nitrosonium tetrafluoroborate (NOBF₄) and diazonium tetrafluoroborate salts. While, the exact mechanism by which these molecule reacts is unknown, the oxidizing power of NO likely plays a role in the removal of the organic species, while the BF₄ group is retained on the particle surface, partially balancing the positively charged metal atoms which remain after surfactant removal.

Figure 49: A) NIR/IR transmission spectra of 10% ICO nanocrystals dissolved in CCl₄ solution, as deposited on quartz, and after annealing. *indicates C-H vibrations from surfactants on particle
surfaces. B) NIR/IR transmission spectra and reflectance spectra for 10% ICO nanocrystals as deposited on quartz, and after annealing. C) Photograph of ICO nanocrystal films before (left) and after (right) annealing.

For this work several ligand exchange techniques were attempted which have been used successfully to treat other oxide nanocrystals, including NOBF₄,¹⁴² 4-bromobenzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate,⁴¹⁴ tetramethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate (Me₃OBF₄, a Meerwein’s salt),³¹⁵ formic acid,³¹³ and ammonium thiocyanate (NH₄SCN).³¹⁴ None of these approaches proved effective for ICO nanocrystals, either resulting in dissolution of the particles into the ligand exchange solution or being ineffective in removal of the surface ligands. This result suggest an unusually strong bond between surface cadmium species and surfactant the oleic acid. Instead, annealing of the films was performed to remove the ligand. Annealing above 300°C in air proved effective in removing the oleic acid, resulting in conductive films of ICO nanocrystals, as measured using a standard multimeter. The transmission of the film after annealing indicates a significant redshift of the peak, broadening, and reduction in LSPR intensity. The reduction in LSPR intensity suggests that the redshift of the spectrum results not only from an increase in coupling between nanocrystals, but also oxidation which reduces the number of carriers through filling of oxygen vacancies.³¹³

The formed nanocrystal thin films were then studied before and after annealing using spectroscopic ellipsometry to extract the optical constants of the films. This step is crucial towards the implementation of nanocrystal thin films in
metamaterials applications, as a material’s optical constants are the starting point for simulations of optical response. This is particularly true in the case of TCOs, where even in bulk films the optical constants of the materials are dependent on the doping concentration, as well as thickness and microstructure.\textsuperscript{306}

Unless a material is thick enough to absorb all of the incoming light, a model is required to fit the collected ellipsometry data which incorporates the substrate, from which information such as the film thickness and optical constants can be extracted. Many models can be utilized, but for TCO thin films, the most commonly used model is the Drude-Lorentz.\textsuperscript{306} In this model, a Lorentzian line shape is typically used to fit the bandgap of the semiconductor, while a single Drude term is sufficient to model the optical properties in the NIR. In the TCO nanocrystal thin films described here, a Drude-Lorentz model can be used to model the optical response, but a more accurate (lower mean squared error) model utilizes two Lorentzians instead, without a Drude term. In the Lorentz-Lorentz model, there is a Lorentz oscillator in the UV to fit the bandgap, and in the NIR to fit the LSPR mode. This is a more appropriate model for the given system, particularly in the case of the unannealed films, because the LSPR remains in the optical transmission. In a Drude metal, the transmission should drop to a constant value and the reflectance should increase to a constant value, as observed for metals such as gold or silver. Simulated optical constants for the two types of models are shown in Figure 50. From these plots, it is clear that the
two models are quite similar less than a wavelength of 2400 nm, which is the limit of the ellipsometric measurement.

Figure 50: Simulated optical constants for optical models of TCO films, a) Lorentz-Lorentz and b) Drude-Lorentz.

Figure 51 displays the optical constants extracted using the two Lorentz model for films of ICO nanocrystals before and after annealing. For the annealed films which have appreciably DC conductivity, metallic-like behavior is expected, and the value of $\varepsilon_1$ would be expected to become negative at a certain point, which corresponds to the plasma frequency. This is observed experimentally, and the plasma frequency lies between 2200 nm and 2750 nm, although the 10% ICO sample does not cross zero within the experimental window. By changing the doping concentration, it is observed that the value of plasma frequency is tunable in the annealed films.
Figure 51: Extracted complex permittivity for films of 10, 15, and 20% ICO a) before and b) after annealing.

Intriguingly, negative values of $\varepsilon_1$ are also extracted for unannealed films of ICO nanocrystals (Figure 51a). This is surprising considering that the surfactants which separate the particles prevent conduction, making the films completely insulating based on DC conductivity. In fact, the plasma frequency of the unannealed films actually significantly exceeds the annealed films, and is tunable from 1930 nm to 2080 nm depending on doping concentration. The physical meaning of negative permittivity is related to electron oscillation in a material. In a Drude metal, when electromagnetic waves which have lower frequency lower than the plasma frequency (ie in the wavelength range where the material has negative permittivity) impinge on a surface, the electrons begin to oscillate collectively at that frequency, resulting in high reflectivity. In the two Lorentz model, negative permittivity is only observed over a narrow wavelength range, which presents an unusual set of optical constants, which must be further
explored. Similar observations have been made on films of silver nanoparticles embedded in polymer.\textsuperscript{316} At sufficient fill fractions of Ag (high loading), a small wavelength range of negative permittivity is observed, which is proposed to result from dipolar coupling between particles, resulting in collective modes between separate nanocrystals. Unfortunately, the authors to not indicate whether the Ag/polymer films display DC conductivity, which would indicate whether Ag particles are capable of forming conductive channels at high fill fractions.

Presumably, the negative permittivity observed for the ICO nanocrystal films also results from the mixing of single particle modes into collective modes of electron oscillation. This produces an optically metallic material which has very small DC conductivity. Interestingly, similar results were not observed in films produced by our group using gold nanocrystals coated with a similar surfactant, oleylamine.\textsuperscript{311} In that case, thin films of gold particles with surfactant appeared as dielectrics, but could be converted to having a metallic-like response after the ligands were removed. Several factors may explain the differences between ICO and gold. One major difference between the two materials is the loss component of the materials. Gold is known to be quite a lossy material, particularly in the visible, while silver and TCO films have much less loss, which may play a role in the increased plasmonic coupling observed between particles. On the other hand, particle size should also be considered as an important contributor as the smaller (6 nm) gold particles have significantly more organic than 8-12 nm ICO
nanocrystals. In the future, multiple types of plasmonic nanocrystals should be investigated with similar particle sizes to investigate the influence of particle size and loss on the optical constants of composite films.

4.1.4 Conclusions

We have shown that conductive thin films of ICO nanocrystals can be produced through spincoating, followed by annealing in air which removes surface ligands. Thin films were studied by spectroscopic ellipsometry to extract the effective optical constants. Negative permittivity was extracted for both unannealed and annealed films using a two Lorentz model. While negative permittivity was expected in the conductive annealed films, the observation of negative permittivity in unannealed films is explained based on plasmonic coupling between individual ICO particles which results in collective electron oscillations. In the future, the optical constants of these films should be extracted over a larger wavelength range, such that the nature of the localized modes can be better understood.
4.3 Future Work

I have a firm belief that semiconductor plasmonics have a very bright future in many applications. Numerous unusual and remarkable phenomena have already been observed through use of traditional plasmonic metals, including SERS, sensors, photothermal therapies, biological labels, and plasmonic enhanced fluorescence. The added tunability of semiconductors opens the door to active plasmonic structures, which are responsive to light, electrical currents, or temperature and can be sensitively modulated. Furthermore, theoretical and experimental advances in optical metamaterials which incorporate semiconductor plasmonics are sure to expand the range of applications.
5. Protein-Nanocrystal Hybrids

5.1 Soluble Gold-Protein-Cofactor Complexes for Enhanced Fluorescence

5.1.1 Introduction

Small gold crystallites show strong optical absorptions referred to as localized surface plasmonic resonances (LSPRs) which result from the collective oscillations of free electrons. Nanorods of gold have two plasmonic resonance modes, transverse and longitudinal, due to their anisotropic shape. The longitudinal resonance can be tuned from the visible to the NIR depending on the aspect ratio of the rod.\textsuperscript{317} The transverse mode typically lies between 500 and 600 nm and the longitudinal mode can be varied from 600 to 1600 nm. The LSPR results in an enhanced electric field in the particle vicinity. If fluorescent materials can be localized nearby the particle surface (specifically the tips of the nanorods) they will experience very large fields, potentially leading to enhanced fluorescence quantum yield, referred to as plasmonic fluorescence enhancement, as reported previously by several groups.\textsuperscript{277,278,318} Our group has demonstrated that highly monodisperse colloidal gold nanorods can be synthesized in aqueous solution with a tunable aspect ratio by precisely varying...
the reaction conditions and through addition of aromatic additives. The aspect ratios vary from approximately 2 to 5, which gives a large potential range for the frequency of the longitudinal mode to interact with fluorophores.

Many reports of plasmonic fluorescence enhancement have been demonstrated in the solid state, such as the enhanced fluorescence from thin films of CdSe quantum dots/dyes and Ag nanoprisms or thin films of phosphors and metal nanoparticles separated by an ALD spacer layer. On the other hand, many potential applications, particularly those in vivo, necessitate a fluorophore-nanoparticle complex compatible with biological media. In this work, we seek to produce fluorophore-gold nanorod complexes soluble in buffered solution which demonstrate enhanced fluorescence due to plasmonic coupling. Such fluorophores may act as sensitive biomarkers or, depending on the sensitivity, could act as local probes of fluorophore environment.

In order to direct the interaction of a fluorophore with the nanorod’s surface, we utilize computationally designed proteins, consisting of four alpha-helical bundles which have been shown to allow for vectorial orientation of a non-biological porphyrin cofactors at a liquid-air interface. These proteins have highly selective binding sites that only bind to specific metal porphyrins. In this work, diphenylporphyrin zinc (PZn) acts as the cofactor, but further studies could include a host of other porphyrins which bind in the protein’s hydrophobic pocket, including RuPZn. This opens the possibility to design linking chemistries and
binding pockets which provide improved functionality, as well as develop systems in which dynamic exchange processes can be monitored.

5.1.2 Experimental

Layer by Layer Polyelectrolyte Coating:

Gold nanorods were synthesized as described previously. The first step to assembling the nanorod-protein complex is to coat the gold nanorods with organic polyelectrolyte layers. This method provides the proper functionalization to bind to the next molecule in the complex and will ultimately stabilize the complex in a buffer. As synthesized, the gold nanorods are coated in a positively-charged molecule cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) and dissolved in water. We adapted a method for layer by layer polymer coating (LbL) of the gold nanorods using negatively-charged poly(sodium 4-styrenesulfonate) (PSS) and positively-charged poly(allylamine hydrochloride) (PAH), with molecular weights of approximately 70,000 g/mol and 15,000 g/mol, respectively. PAH is functionalized with exposed primary amines, which are used to bind to a crosslinker molecule discussed in the next section. The process works by alternating the charge of the polyelectrolyte layers, building up a micelle around the nanorod held together by electrostatic charge.

Stock solutions of PSS and PAH were prepared by dissolving 100 mg of one of the polymers in 10 mL of 1 mM NaCl solution. 3 mL of gold nanorods, as synthesized, were centrifuged for 6 minutes at 8000 rpm. The solid was
resuspended in 1 mL of 50 mM NaCl solution and 200 μL of PSS (-) stock solution. Then, the solution was placed on a shaker at 1000 rpm for 30 minutes. Then, it was centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 6 minutes. For the second layer of polyelectrolyte coating, the particles resuspended in 1 mL of 50 mM NaCl solution and 200 μL of PAH (+) stock solution, followed by being placed on a shaker at 1000 rpm for 30 minutes. This process can be repeated for the desired even number of layers to build a spacer between the gold nanorods and the protein/cofactor complex, leaving PAH (+) as the top layer of the nanorods. To wash, they were resuspended in 1 mL of 50 mM NaCl solution and then centrifuged for 6 minutes at 8000 rpm twice. They were finally resuspended in 1 mL of DMSO.

**SMCC Coating:**

Succinimidyl 4-(N-maleimidomethyl)cyclohexane-1-carboxylate (SMCC) is a crosslinker molecule soluble in DMSO that binds to primary amines and thiol groups, sold by Thermo Scientific. When added to the polyelectrolyte-coated gold nanorods, it binds to the top PAH (+) layer on the surface of the nanorods. The SMCC stock solution was prepared by dissolving 6.8 mg of SMCC in 2 mL of DMSO and stored at 4°C. 100 μL of this SMCC stock solution was added to 1 mL of the polyelectrolyte-coated gold nanorods in DMSO. The solution was placed on the shaker for 30 minutes at 1000 rpm and was then washed twice using 1 mL
of DMSO and then centrifuged for 6 minutes at 8000 rpm. The particles were then resuspended in 1 mL of DMSO.

**Protein Binding:**

Designer proteins are prepared on a protein synthesizer as described previously.\(^{323}\) PZn cofactors were dissolved in DMSO and injected quickly into a buffered solution of protein. After stirring, the excess cofactor was removed through centrifugation. The gold nanorods soluble in DMSO with SMCC coating were added to a buffered solution of protein with cofactor bound and stirred for several hours to allow for binding. Only the soluble portion of this solution was studied optically.

**Maleimide Detection:**

A maleimide quantification assay kit was purchased from Abcam to determine whether the SMCC crosslinker had bound to the polyelectrolyte-coated gold nanorods. The test was performed according to manufacturer’s instructions using serial dilutions of the samples along with maleimide standards included in the kit.

**Quantum Yield:**

The quantum yield of PZn was measured relative to a fluorescent dye, Lucifer Yellow, purchased through Sigma as Lucifer Yellow CH dilithium salt, which has a published quantum yield of 0.21.\(^{324}\)

5.1.3 Results and Discussion
The fluorophore PZn has optical properties common to porphyrin complexes. When excited at 415 nm into the Soret band, PZn has fluorescence emission peaks between 500 and 700 nm, resulting from S1 → S0 transitions. By coupling the longitudinal plasmon modes of the gold nanorod (Figure 52) with the fluorescence emission of PZn, it may experience plasmonic fluorescence enhancement (PFE). The fluorescence intensity of a molecule is given by:

\[ I = \gamma_{ex}\eta_f \varepsilon_{coll} \]

where \( \gamma_{ex} \) is the excitation rate, \( \eta_f \) is the emission quantum yield, and \( \varepsilon_{coll} \) is the light collection efficiency of optical measurement.

Figure 52: a) TEM image, absorbance spectrum and photographs of highly monodisperse gold nanorods with tunable longitudinal plasmonic resonance peaks, ranging from 600 to 900 nm. b) Chemical structure of diphenylporphyrin zinc (PZn) and RuPZn and an artistic representation of a computationally designed protein with two PZn molecules bound within it.

There are two mechanisms by which a plasmonic resonance can affect the fluorescence intensity. \( \gamma_{ex} \) can increase due to the localized electric field enhancement from the longitudinal plasmon mode if the plasmonic resonance
overlaps with the dye absorbance. In addition, the $\eta_f$ of the fluorophore can be increased through interactions with the plasmonic resonance, which is more relevant in this work, due to the potential overlap of gold’s longitudinal plasmon resonance with the S1 $\rightarrow$ S0 emission from PZn. In fact, this is also an advantage of using a porphyrin fluorophore, as the absorbance and emission bands are spectrally separated, potentially allowing for each of the enhancement mechanisms to be probed separately. The quantum yield is given by:

$$\eta_f = \frac{\gamma_{f,r}}{\gamma_{f,r} + \gamma_{f,nr}}$$

where $\gamma_{f,r}$ represents radiative decay rate and $\gamma_{f,nr}$ represents nonradiative decay rate of the fluorophore. The plasmonic resonance can potentially increase $\eta_f$ by providing alternate pathways for decay through resonance, which increases the ratio of the radiative decay rate to the total decay rate. Since the longitudinal mode’s absorbance peak can be tuned throughout a wide spectrum by altering the aspect ratio of the rods, it can be tuned very closely to the fluorescence emission peak of a fluorophore for maximized enhancement.

The overall process of constructing this nanorod-protein complex begins with gold nanorods with a longitudinal plasmon peak corresponding to the fluorophore’s emission peak (Figure 53). Next, a polyelectrolyte coating is added to the nanorods to build a tunable spacer layer between the nanorod and the protein, which is intended to prevent electron transfer between the two systems. The final layer of the positively charged polyelectrolyte contains primary amines.
(actually ammonium at low pH), which bind selectively to a common chemical crosslinker called SMCC. The other end of SMCC contains a maleimide, which binds strongly to thiol groups. The designer protein, with the fluorophore bound inside, has two exposed cysteine residues, which readily bind to the SMCC. The entire complex can then be dissolved in a buffered solution necessary to maintain the protein’s rigid structure. We excited the Soret band, which has a peak at approximately 416 nm outside the protein and 419 nm inside the protein.

![Diagram of nanorod-protein complex]

Figure 53: Schematic diagram for assembly of the nanorod-protein complex.

The results of the maleimide test showed that in a sample of polyelectrolyte coated gold nanorods functionalized with SMCC, the maleimide concentration was significantly above the blank control, at roughly 3 μM. This
A sample was compared to another sample that had been polyelectrolyte coated without the presence of NaCl throughout the process, and was found to have no maleimide. These results suggest that the presence of NaCl during the polyelectrolyte coating is necessary to stabilize the ions in the solution during the coating and allow for successive polymer layers to be deposited. Furthermore, it confirmed that the SMCC was indeed bound to primary amines on the micelles encompassing the nanorods.

![Figure 54](image.png)

Figure 54: b) Optical absorbance (dark green) and emission spectra at 419 nm excitation (light green) of the PZn cofactor bound to the protein and the extinction spectrum of two different samples of gold nanorods with different aspect ratios. a) Time-resolved photoluminescence of the PZn fluorophore in DMSO.

Before linking the PZn-protein complex to the gold nanorod, the quantum yield of the PZn in and out of the protein must first be determined. The quantum yield of a compound X relative to a standard ST is given by:

$$\Phi_x = \Phi_{ST} \left( \frac{Grad_x}{Grad_{ST}} \right) \left( \frac{n_x^2}{n_{ST}^2} \right)$$
where is $\Phi$ the quantum yield, $Grad$ is the gradient of the plot of fluorescence emission area vs. absorbance intensity, and $n$ is the refractive index of the solvent for each fluorophore. The absorbance peak and excitation wavelength of PZn shift upon binding to the protein from 416nm to 419 nm. The calculated quantum yields of the PZn outside of and bound to the protein were 1.62% and 0.91%, respectively. This result suggests that increased electronic coupling between the PZn and the protein causes a reduction in the quantum yield. The lifetime of the fluorescence was also measured, which is about 2.75 ns.

![Absorbance spectrum](image)

Figure 55: Optical absorbance of buffer-soluble gold-protein-cofactor heterostructure.

The SMCC coated gold nanorods solublized in DMSO were added to a solution of the protein with cofactor and binding was found to occur. After several washes, the optical absorbance confirms the presence of Au nanorods, protein and cofactor all in one soluble conjugate (Figure 55). However, there are some
issues with the resulting complex. Firstly, while the nanorod sample initially was closely overlapped with the emission peaks, the longitudinal mode is severely red-shifted in the final complex, suggesting aggregation. In addition, this complex is found to degrade in several days, releasing the chromophore, which prevented the optical properties from being properly measured. Future studies will start with smaller nanorods with more appropriate optical properties which allow for better overlap.

5.1.4 Conclusions and Future Work

The next step of the project is to study the optical properties of the nanorod-protein complex, including the quantum yield and the TRPL. We expect that the lifetime will be reduced and the quantum yield will increase if PFE is occurring via the interaction of gold nanorods with the fluorophore. Once this result is confirmed, the nanorods' longitudinal plasmon peak can be tuned on and off of the porphyrin’s fluorescence emission to ascertain the influence on the PFE effect. In addition, the polyelectrolyte spacing layer can be varied to observe how the distance between the nanorod and the porphyrin change the porphyrin’s quantum yield.
6. Conclusions

In this thesis, novel synthetic methods have been described for the directed synthesis of wide bandgap semiconductor nanocrystals with tunable morphology and composition. Through use of the nonaqueous synthesis techniques first developed for the preparation of quantum dots, greater control over the shape, size, and composition of the wide bandgap semiconductors has been demonstrated. This elevated control allows for the materials properties of oxide nanocrystals to be understood in a more precise way than previously possible. Rather than the collective response being attributed to a distribution of morphologies and compositions, it can be correlated with precise structural and chemical properties of a given sample.

In chapter 2, synthetic methods were described for precise tuning of the morphology, phase, and composition of TiO$_2$ nanocrystals. Fluoride containing precursors were shown to selectively expose the {001} facet of anatase under nonaqueous conditions, allowing for shape control of TiO$_2$ nanocrystals. These precursors were also shown to promote the formation of oxygen vacancies, which alter the color of the nanocrystals. The morphology was shown to influence photocatalytic activity, allowing for derivation of the relative reactivity of the {001} and {101} facets. Using a separate synthetic method, ultrathin anatase nanorods could be produced which self assemble into ordered superlattices. The nanorods were shown to be easily separable by size using a depletion-atraction enhanced
size-selective precipitation procedure. The ligand is exchanged from the monodisperse nanorods and they are found to be active for photodegradation of methylene blue. A seeded growth approach was utilized for the preparation of larger nanorods of the unusual brookite TiO$_2$ phase with tunable length. These nanorods are shown to be highly active photocatalysts for hydrogen evolution.

In chapter 3, synthetic procedures are described for synthesizing uniform ZnO nanocrystals. ZnO can be effectively doped with Co with minimal change in morphology, incorporation of which is shown to alter the optical properties of the nanocrystals. Reaction temperature is proposed to alter the photoluminescence of undoped ZnO nanocrystals.

In chapter 4, a new plasmonic semiconductor nanocrystal is described, indium doped cadmium oxide. The high level of control is over the shape and size of this material allows for the influence of shape on plasmonic response to be demonstrated for the first time. Thin films of conductive oxide nanocrystals are produced which display unique optical phenomena. Even when separate by surfactant, nanocrystal films display metallic-like optical constants, as determined by spectroscopic ellipsometry, which are tunable depending on doping concentration. Post-annealing, transparent conductive oxide films can be produced with relatively mild annealing temperatures.

The controlled nonaqueous synthesis of wide bandgap semiconductor nanocrystals provides many opportunities for future endeavors. In the 20 years
since the hot injection method was first developed, the synthesis of quantum dots has substantially increased in complexity, allowing for the synthesis of core-shells, heterostructures, and highly anisotropic morphologies. Through modification of synthetic parameters, the morphological control of oxide nanocrystals will surely follow, particularly through a more complete understanding of the mechanisms of particle formation and shape control.

Nanotechnology is a highly interdisciplinary and application-oriented branch of science. Only through the collaboration of individuals from dissimilar backgrounds can the big problems be solved, and one must often be willing to delve into a field which is mysterious to find an answer. While challenging, it comes with great rewards, and it promises to motivate researchers for years to come.
7. **APPENDIX A – X-ray scattering simulation code**

C++ code (XRD.exe)\textsuperscript{XI}

What follows is the C++ code written to simulate the X-ray scattering from a set of atomic coordinates. As inputs, the code requires the following:

1) file xyz, which is a tab-delimited listing of the atomic coordinates (in angstroms) of a nanocrystal followed by an index (0, 1, 2, …) which signifies the type of atom.

2) file p, which contains a tab delimited listing of the Cromer-Mann coefficients (see list below) for each atom (in the order a1, a2, a3, b1, b2, b3, c), preceded by the atomic index.

3) float lambda, which is the desired wavelength of the X-rays (in angstroms).

4) float theta0, which is the starting value of 2-theta (in degrees)

5) float thetaM, which is the ending value of 2-theta (in degrees)

6) float thetas, the step size in 2-theta (in degrees)

7) float DWFACTOR, the Debye-Waller factor (in square angstroms)

This code can be compiled with standard compilers.

//Last modified 1/15/2013
//Version 1.0
//Authors: Steve Hershman, Thomas R. Gordon, Vicky Doan-Nguyen
//xrd.exe xyz p lambda theta0 thetam thetas DWFACTOR
//input files should have newlines at the end or else the last item won't get read!

\textsuperscript{XI} The C++ code was primarily written by Steve G. Hershman, but was debugged and modified by T.R.G. and Vicky Doan-Nguyen.
#include <iostream>
#include <sstream>
#include <fstream>
#include <string>
#include <vector>
#include <algorithm>
#include <cmath>

//constants - Many of these are changed by command line parameters
using namespace std;
float res=100.0;
float lambda=1.5406;
float theta0=10;
float thetaM=120;
float thetaS=0.05;
float DWFACTOR=1.0;
const float PI=3.1415926;
vector<vector<float>*>* paramd;

//Function Declarations
float calc_s(float theta);
float calc_at_sct_factor(float s, int at);

template <class T> T from_string(const std::string& s) {  
  T t;
  std::istringstream iss(s);
  iss >> std::dec >> t;
  return t;
}

void doub_split(const string& s, char c,  
    vector<float>& v) {  
  //No error checking
  int i = 0;
  int j = s.find(c);
  float tempnum;
  while (j >= 0) {
    v.push_back(from_string<float>(s.substr(i, j-i)));
    i = ++j;
    j = s.find(c, j);
    if (j < 0) {
      v.push_back(from_string<float>(s.substr(i, s.length())));
    }
  }
}
void doub_loadCSV(istream& in, vector<vector<float>>* & data) {

    vector<float>* p = NULL;
    string tmp;

    while (!in.eof()) {
        getline(in, tmp, '\n');                // Grab the next line
        p = new vector<float>();
        doub_split(tmp, '\t', *p);
        data.push_back(p);
        tmp.clear();
    }
}

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    //Checks command line paramters.  Note the lack of error checking.  If you try to wrong
    //the script, it will wrong your results!
    if (argc < 8)
        return(EXIT_FAILURE);
    ifstream xyz(argv[1]);
    ifstream param(argv[2]);
    lambda = from_string<float>(argv[3]);
    theta0 = from_string<float>(argv[4]);
    thetaM = from_string<float>(argv[5]);
    thetaS = from_string<float>(argv[6]);
    DWFACTOR = from_string<float>(argv[7]);
    if (!xyz || !param)
        return(EXIT_FAILURE);

    //Read in files using doub_loadCSV
    //Paramd was declared earlier because its used by the scattering angle function
    vector<vector<float>> xyzd;
    doub_loadCSV(xyz, xyzd);
    doub_loadCSV(param, paramd);
    int num_atom = xyzd.size()-1;
    int atom_types = paramd.size()-1;
    int num_comb = int(pow(2.0, atom_types+1)-2);
    //initialize Dist_count array of arrays.  The inner arrays will grow dynamically!
    vector<vector<int>> dist_count;
    for (int i = 0; i < num_comb; i++) {
        dist_count.push_back(vector<int>());
    }
    // This is an array that will let us go back from a bondtype to the 2 atomtypes.
    vector<vector<int>> btmem (num_comb, vector<int> (2));
    for(int at1=0; at1 < atom_types; at1++) {
        for(int at2=0; at2 < atom_types; at2++) {
            int bt=int(pow(2.0, at1) + pow(2.0, at2)-2);
            btmem[bt][0]=at1;
            btmem[bt][1]=at2;
        }
    }
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This initializes array that will count number of copies of each type of atom.

```
vector<int> at_count;
for(int i=0; i<atom_types; i++){
    at_count.push_back(0);
}
```

```
int* bt_store=new int[num_atom];
for( int i=0; i < num_atom; ++i){
    bt_store[i]=int(pow(2, (*xyzd[i])[3]));
}
```

```
for( int i=0; i < num_atom; ++i){
    //Count atomtype
    at_count[int((*xyzd[i])[3]) ]+=1;
    //For all other atoms, find distance and put it in memory
    for (int j=i+1; j < num_atom ; ++j){
        float dx = pow( (*xyzd[i])[0] - (*xyzd[j])[0], 2);
        float dy = pow( (*xyzd[i])[1] - (*xyzd[j])[1], 2);
        float dz = pow( (*xyzd[i])[2] - (*xyzd[j])[2], 2);
        int bt = bt_store[i]+bt_store[j]-2;
        unsigned int dist=int(res*sqrt(dx+dy+dz));
        //Grow list to appropriate size - this removes need for max dist.
        while(dist > dist_count[bt].size())
            dist_count[bt].push_back(0);
        dist_count[bt][dist]+=1;
    }
}
```

```
//This is where the calculation is
for( float theta=theta0; theta<thetaM; theta+=thetaS){ //for each theta
    float s=calc_s(theta/2.0);
    //bigtot is for all bondtypes. tots are used for each bondtype
    float bigtot=0.0;
    for (int bt=0; bt<num_comb; bt++){
        float tot=0.0;
        for(unsigned int d=0; d < dist_count[bt].size(); d++){
            if(dist_count[bt][d]>0){
                float dist_cont=dist_count[bt][d]/(d/res) * sin(2.0*PI*s*d/res );
                tot+= dist_cont;
            }
        }
        int at1=btmem[bt][0];
        int at2=btmem[bt][1];
        float ss=calc_at_sct_factor(s, at1)*calc_at_sct_factor(s, at2)/(PI*s);
        if (ss<0){
            cout << "ss<0" << endl;
        }
        tot*= ss;
        bigtot+=tot;
    }
    for(int at=0; at<atom_types; at++){
```
float calc_s(float theta) {
    /* This function returns the value of S at a given theta. */
    float s = (2 * sin(theta * PI / 180)) / lambda;
    if (s < 0) {
        cout << "S<0! " << endl;
    }
    return s;
}

float calc_at_sct_factor(float s, int at) {
    /* Returns the atomic scattering factor (f) at a given value of S. Equation taken from Intl. Tables for crystallography. f is then multiplied a Debye-Waller factor to simulate the effects of thermal vibration. */
    float at_sct_factor = 0.0;
    for (int index = 0; index < 4; index++) {
        at_sct_factor += (*paramd[1 + index]) * exp(-1 * (*paramd[1 + index] * (pow(s, 2) / 4)));
    }
    at_sct_factor += (*paramd[9]);
    at_sct_factor *= exp(-DWFACOR * (s * s) / 4);
    return at_sct_factor;
}

Perl code (build_collection.pl)

The following code is used as a front end to XRD.exe. It takes as input a large supercell of atomic coordinates for a given crystal structure, cuts the desired shape(s), inputs the shapes into XRD.exe, and then calculates the Gaussian weighted result. In the current implementation, this source code must be edited prior to running. Editable settings lie between "Begin editable settings" and "End editable settings." This code prints a log file with most of the relevant input parameters. Needed in the folder along with this file are:

Perl code was written and debugged by T.R.G. with some prior code from Steve G. Hershman.
1) file XRD.exe, the compiled C++ code written above. Input file name into definition of $xrd_exe.

2) .clust file, a tab delimited list of atomic symbol, charge, and x, y, z coordinates for a large supercell (each line is: Symbol Charge x y z), from which the nanocrystals will be cut (typically produced using Accelrys Materials Studio). Input file name into $input.

3) file of Cromer-Mann coefficients, tab delimited listing of atomic symbol charge and the 9 Cromer-Mann coefficients (a1, b1, a2, b2, a3, b3, c) (see below). Input file name into $scattering_params.

Other than these three files, all the parameters are set by variables defined in the perl file.

```perl
#!perl

use strict;
use warnings;
use Math::Trig;
use POSIX qw(strftime);

my $datetime1 = localtime();
print "\n$datetime1\n\n"

print "XRD v 1.0 - Welcome to X-ray Scattering Simulation Software\nPlease enter directory name: ";
my $dir = <STDIN>;
chomp($dir);
mkdir($dir);
print "\n";

# Authors: Tom Gordon, Steve Hershman
# Version: 0.5
# Date: 01/17/2013
# Script: build_collection.pl

# Begin User Editable Settings
```
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# Input File
my $input = "corr24nm.clust"; # Previously generated .clust file

# Shape Parameters
my $shape = "prolate"; # shape of particle (sphere, prolate, cube, oplate, hplate,
# rod or bipyramid)
my $l = "60"; # diameter of the sphere or edge length of the cube or length of
# orthorhombic/hexagonal plate or c-axis length of bipyramid to be simulated (in angstroms)
my $pr = "1.3"; # aspect ratio of prolate (c/a)
my $t = "20"; # thickness of plate (otherwise unused)
my $w = "40"; # width of tetragonal bipyramid or rod (otherwise unused)

# Parameters needed for prolate, oplate, hplate, rod or bipyramid
my $salat = "5.781"; # a lattice constant
my $cLAT = "11.6422"; # c lattice constant

# lattice constants (necessary for oplate and bipyramid, otherwise unused)
my $prchange = "0"; # % change in the a lattice constant
my $cchange = "0"; # % change in the c lattice constant

# Settings for adjusting a and c axes
my $doping = "0"; # % of atom 1 to be doped with atom 2
my $at1e = "O"; # element symbol of atom 1
my $at1c = "-2"; # oxidation state of atom 1
my $at2e = "F"; # element symbol of atom 2
my $at2c = "-1"; # oxidation state of atom 2

# Settings for substituting one atom for another

# Distribution Parameters (for a single simulation, set $num to 1 and ignore other parameters)
my $num = "1"; # number of points on to fit to gaussian (if not odd,
# rounded up to next odd)
my $dev = "27"; # standard deviation in the size (in angstroms)
my $numdev = "2"; # number of standard deviations to fit

# XRD Calculation Parameters
my $out_tab = qq(XRD $shape $l $num $dev $numdev .tab); # Name of tab

# Advanced/Environment Settings
my $scattering_params = 'cromer_mann.tab'; # The name of the file with
# scattering parameters
my $xyz_out = 'xyz'; # Name of temporary file to hold xyz and atom type for all
# atoms
my $p_out = 'p'; # Name of temporary file to store scattering parameters
my $n_out = 'n'; # Name of temporary file to store neutral atoms in case no
# ions are present
my $xrd_exe = 'XRD_2012_new.exe'; # Name of C program that does x-ray diffraction

# # End User Editable Settings

open LOG, ">$dir/log.txt" || die "can't make log file!";
print LOG qq{Start: $datetime1
Input File: $input
Shape Parameters
Shape: $shape
Diameter/Edge Length/Length: $l
Plate or Bipyramid only:
Thickness: $t
Width: $w
Changes in lattice parameters:
lattice constant: $alat
change: $achange
lattice constant: $clat
change: $cchange
Distribution Parameters
Number of Crystals Simulated: $num
Standard Deviation: $dev
Number of Standard Deviations Spanned: $numdev
XRD parameters
Gaussian Weighted Output File: $out_tab
Theta0: $theta0
ThetaMax: $thetaM
Theta Step Size: $thetaS
Wavelength of X-ray: $lambda
Debye Waller Factor: $debyewaller

my @dist;
unless ($num % 2) {  # Tests for an odd
    $num+=1;  # If not odd, gives you next biggest odd
    warn "Number of points not odd, changed to $num."
}
if ($num == 1) {
    push @dist, $1;
} else {
    my $half = int($num/2-.5);
    @dist = -$half..$half;  #Integers that span the origin (-n .. 0 .. n)
    foreach (@dist)
    {
        $_ = $_*$dev*$numdev/($half)+$1;  #This loop changes array of integers into the desired sizes of nanocrystals
    }
}
print "Will make $num nanocrystals with sizes (angstroms): @dist \n";
Output files are made for each of the nanocrystals, file handles stored in a hash with the nanocrystals sizes as keys. Output files named crystal_size (e.g. crystal_46 for a 4.6nm particle).

```perl
my %handles;
foreach (@dist) {
  open my $fh, ">$dir/crystal_$_.txt" || die "Cannot open output file";
  $handles{$_} = $fh;
}
```

```
my @at;
open CLUST, "<$input" or die "Cannot find input file $input
Check file name..."
my $atom_type_count=0;
my %atom_types;
if ($shape eq "sphere") {
  #SPHERE - This routine calculates r (radius) for each point after centering and subtracts any that are too big for the largest crystal needed.
  print "Go sphere!
  my $maxrad = $dist[$num-1]/2;
  while (my $line = <CLUST>) {
    chomp $line;
    @at = split("\t", $line);
    my $k = $at[0];
    my $v = $at[1];
    if ($k eq $at1e && $v eq $at1c && rand(100) < $doping) {
      $k = $at2e;
      $v = $at2c;
    }
    my $x = $at[2]*(1+$achange/100)-$maxrad;
    my $y = $at[3]*(1+$achange/100)-$maxrad;
    my $z = $at[4]*(1+$cchange/100)-$maxrad;
    my $r = sqrt($x**2 + $y**2 + $z**2);  #calculate the radius of each atom from the translated crystal
    unless (exists %atom_types{$k}{$v}){
      $atom_types{$k}{$v}=$atom_type_count;
      $atom_type_count++;}
  }
  foreach (@dist) {
    if ($r <= $_[2]) {
      print $('handles{$_} join("\t", $x, $y, $z, $atom_types{$k}{$v}), "\n";
    }
  }
}
```
my $k = $at[0];
my $v = $at[1];
if ($k eq $at1e && $v eq $at1c && rand(100) < $doping) {
    $k = $at2e;
    $v = $at2c;
}
my $x = $at[2]*(1+$achange/100)-$maxrad;
my $y = $at[3]*(1+$achange/100)-$maxrad;
my $z = $at[4]*(1+$cchange/100)-$maxrad*$pr;
unless (exists $atom_types{$k}{$v}){
    $atom_types{$k}{$v}=$atom_type_count;
    $atom_type_count+=1;
}
foreach (@dist) {
    unless ($x > $_ || $y > $_ || $z > $_){
        print { $handles{$_} } join( "\t", $x, $y, $z, $atom_types{$k}{$v} ), "\n";
    }
}

#CUBE - This routine removes atoms larger than the largest cube needed, then prints to
file the other cubes needed.
else ($shape eq "cube") {
    print "Go cube!\n";
    while (my $line = <CLUST>) {
        chomp $line;
        @at = split("\t", $line);
        my $k = $at[0];
        my $v = $at[1];
        if ($k eq $at1e && $v eq $at1c && rand(100) < $doping) {
            $k = $at2e;
            $v = $at2c;
        }
        my $x = $at[2]*(1+$achange/100);
        my $y = $at[3]*(1+$achange/100);
        my $z = $at[4]*(1+$cchange/100);
        unless (exists $atom_types{$k}{$v}){
            $atom_types{$k}{$v}=$atom_type_count;
            $atom_type_count+=1;
        }
        foreach (@dist) {
            unless ($x > $_ || $y > $_ || $z > $_){
                print { $handles{$_} } join( "\t", $x, $y, $z, $atom_types{$k}{$v} ), "\n";
            }
        }
    }
}

#ROD - This produces a rod morphology with spherical caps on the ends. There would be an
issue if the rod is made too short relative to the width. If l is less than 2w, you'd
have problems. This routine removes atoms larger than the largest rod needed, then
prints to file the other rods needed.
else ($shape eq "rod") {

print "Go rod!\n";
my $asprat = $w/$l;
my $maxrad = $dist[$num-1]*$asprat/2;
while (my $line = <CLUST>) {
    chomp $line;
    @at = split("\t", $line);
    my $k = $at[0];
    my $v = $at[1];
    if ($k eq $at1e && $v eq $at1c && rand(100) < $doping) {
        $k = $at2e;
        $v = $at2c;
    }
    my $x = $at[2]*(1+$achange/100)-$maxrad;
    my $y = $at[3]*(1+$achange/100)-$maxrad;
    my $z = $at[4]*(1+$cchange/100);
    unless (exists $atom_types{$k}{$v}){
        $atom_types{$k}{$v}=$atom_type_count;
        $atom_type_count+=1;
    }
    foreach @$dist {
        my $temprad = $_* $asprat/2;
        unless ( sqrt($x**2 + $y**2) > $temprad || $z > $_ ||
            (sqrt($x**2+$y**2+($z-$temprad)**2) > $temprad) && $z < $temprad) ||
            (sqrt($x**2+$y**2+($z-$_+$temprad)**2) > $temprad) && $z > ($_ - $temprad) ){
            print {handles{$_}} join("\t", $x, $y, $z,
                $atom_types{$k}{$v}), "\n";
        }
    }
}

#OPLATE - The way this is currently being implemented, it only pertains to the
orthorhombic lanthanide fluoride plates in which the short axis is the b (y) axis and the
edge of the plate is along the (1 0 1) direction.
} elsif ($shape eq "oplate") {
    print "Go plate!\n";
    my $asprat = $alat/$clat;
    my $max1 = $dist[$num-1];
    while (my $line = <CLUST>) {
        chomp $line;
        @at = split("\t", $line);
        my $k = $at[0];
        my $v = $at[1];
        if ($k eq $at1e && $v eq $at1c && rand(100) < $doping) {
            $k = $at2e;
            $v = $at2c;
        }
        my $x = $at[2]*(1+$achange/100)-$max1/2;
        my $y = $at[3]*(1+$achange/100);
        my $z = $at[4]*(1+$cchange/100)-$max1/(2*$asprat);
        unless (exists $atom_types{$k}{$v}){
            $atom_types{$k}{$v}=$atom_type_count;
            $atom_type_count+=1;
        }
    }
    }
foreach (@dist) {
    unless ($x > 1 - abs($z) || $y > $t*$l/$l) {
        print \n        if ($$dist{$_}{$_} > (abs($x) + ($t*$_/$l)) || $y > $atom_types{$$atom_types{$_}$_}$_)) {
            print \n        }
    }
}

#HPLATE - The way this is currently being implemented, it only pertains to the hexagonal plates in which the short axis is the c (z) axis. Would also work for hexagonal rod, just make thickness very long.
} elsif ($shape eq "hplate") {
    print \n    my $maxl = $dist[+$num-1];
    while (my $line = <CLUST>) {
        chomp $line;
        @at = split("\t", $line);
        my $k = $at[0];
        my $v = $at[1];
        if ($$at{$_}$_ & $$at{$_}$_ & rand(100) < $doping) {
            $k = $at2e;
            $v = $at2c;
        }
        my $x = $at[2]*($l+$achange/100)-$maxl/2;
        my $y = $at[3]*($l+$achange/100)-$maxl/2;
        my $z = $at[4]*($l+$achange/100);
        unless (exists $atom_types{$$atom_types{$_}$_}){
            $atom_types{$$atom_types{$_}$_}$_ = $atom_type_count;
            $atom_type_count += 1;
        }
        foreach (@dist) {
            unless ($$atom_types{$_}$_ > ($l/2 - (abs($x)/sqrt(3)))) || $y > $atom_types{$_}$_) {
                print \n            }
        }
    }
}

#BIPYRAMID - This was made to simulate the pattern for truncated tetragonal bipyramids of TiO2, should work for other bipyramidal structures which are c-axis elongated.
} elsif ($shape eq "bipyramid") {
    print \n    my $unitAR = $a/lat/$c/lat;
    my $crystalAR = $w/$l;
    my $maxz = $dist[+$num-1];
    my $maxw = $maxz*$crystalAR;
    while (my $line = <CLUST>) {
        chomp $line;
        @at = split("\t", $line);
        my $k = $at[0];
        my $v = $at[1];
        my $x = ($at[2]*($l+$achange/100))-$maxw/2;
my $y = ($at[3]*(1+$achange/100))-$maxy/2;
my $z = ($at[4]*(1+$achange/100))-$maxz/2;
unless (exists $atom_types{($k){$v}}){
  $atom_types{($k){$v}}=$atom_type_count;
  $atom_type_count+=1;
}
foreach (@dist) {
  unless(abs($x)+sunitAR*abs($z) > $crystalAR*$x / 2 || abs($y)+sunitAR*abs($z) > $crystalAR*$y / 2 || abs($z) > $z / 2){
    print ($handles{$_}) join("\t", $x, $y, $z, $atom_types{($k){$v}}), "\n";
  }
}
# OCTAHEDRON - This was made to simulate the pattern for octahedra of CdO, will work for other octahedra.
} elsif ($shape eq "octahedron") {
  print "Go octahedron!\n";
  my $maxl = $dist[$num-1];
  while (my $line = <CLUST>) {
    chomp $line;
    @at = split("\t", $line);
    my $k = $at[0];
    my $v = $at[1];
    if ($x eq $at[1]e && $v eq $at[1]c && rand(100) < $doping) {
      $k = $at[2]e;
      $v = $at[2]c;
    }
    my $x = ($at[2]*(1+$achange/100))-$maxl/2;
    my $y = ($at[3]*(1+$achange/100))-$maxl/2;
    my $z = ($at[4]*(1+$achange/100))-$maxl/2;
    unless (exists $atom_types{($k){$v}}){
      $atom_types{($k){$v}}=$atom_type_count;
      $atom_type_count+=1;
    }
    foreach (@dist) {
      unless(abs($x)+abs($y)+abs($z) > $z / 2){
        print ($handles{$_}) join("\t", $x, $y, $z, $atom_types{($k){$v}}), "\n";
      }
    }
  }
  # This section of the script opens the scattering parameters file and extracts parameters. The program will issue an error if it finds an atomtype twice or if one is missing.
  # But if both happen, then it won't know the difference!
  # Script also substitutes the parameters for a neutral atom if parameters are not available for a given -1 or -2 ion (noteable examples include S, Se and Te).
  my $found_ats=0;
  my $neutrals=0;
  open NOUT, ">$n_out" or die "Can't open $n_out : $!";
  open POUT, ">$p_out" or die "Can't open $p_out : $!";
  # This section of the script opens the scattering parameters file and extracts parameters. The program will issue an error if it finds an atomtype twice or if one is missing.
  # But if both happen, then it won't know the difference!
  # Script also substitutes the parameters for a neutral atom if parameters are not available for a given -1 or -2 ion (noteable examples include S, Se and Te).
  my $found_ats=0;
  my $neutrals=0;
  open NOUT, ">$n_out" or die "Can't open $n_out : $!";
  open POUT, ">$p_out" or die "Can't open $p_out : $!";
open XRS, "< $scattering_params" or die "Can't open $scattering_params : $!";
print "\tIndex\tAtom\tOxidation \n";
while(my $line=<XRS>){
    chomp $line;
    my @data=spli("\t", $line); # tab delimited scattering parameters are split into @data array
    #scattering parameters are resorted from a1, b1, a2, etc. to a1, a2, a3, b1, etc.
    if( exists $atom_types{data[0]}{data[1]}){
        $found_ats+=1;
        print \t"Found: \t\t\t\t\\n" . $atom_types{data[0]}{data[1]}. "\t" .
        $data[0]. "\t" . $data[1]. "\n";
        print NOUT join("\t", $atom_types{data[0]}{data[1]},
        $data[2], $data[4], $data[6], $data[8], $data[3], $data[5], $data[7],
        $data[9],$data[10]) . "\n";
        $neutrals+=1;
    } elsif ( (exists $atom_types{data[0]}{-2}) && ($data[1]==0)) {
        print NOUT join("\t", $data[0], $data[1],
        $atom_types{data[0]}{-2}, $data[2], $data[4], $data[6], $data[8],
        $data[3], $data[5], $data[7], $data[9],$data[10]) . "\n";
        $neutrals+=1;
    } elsif ( (exists $atom_types{data[0]}{-1}) && ($data[1]==0)) {
        print NOUT join("\t", $data[0], $data[1],
        $atom_types{data[0]}{-1}, $data[2], $data[4], $data[6], $data[8],
        $data[3], $data[5], $data[7], $data[9],$data[10]) . "\n";
        $neutrals+=1;
    }
}

close XRS;

# I expanded this section so that if an -2 or -1 ion was not found and a neutral atom is available, it is inserted.
if($found_ats!=$atom_type_count) {
    if ($neutrals==0) {
        print "$atom_type_count atoms found from atomisitic document. \n";
        print "$found_ats ion/neutral atom in scattering parameters file $scattering_params. \n"
        print "Could not find an atom type. Look above to see which were found (internal count, element, charge)\n"
        exit;
    } else {
        open (NOUT, "<$n_out") or die "Can't open $n_out : $!";
        my $1 = <NOUT>;
        close NOUT;
        my @v = split('\t', $1);
        open (POUT, ">$p_out") or die "Can't open $p_out : $!";
        print POUT join("\t", @v[2..11]);
        close POUT;
        warn "Error - No parameters for $v[0] ion, will use parameters for neutral atom (minimal error for Z>37)\n"Added: \t$\v[0] \t$\v[1] \n";
    }
}
NOUT
unlink $n_out
close POUT;

open P, "<p" || die "can't find p";
open PSORT, ">psort" || die "can't find p"
my $ii=0;
my @sort;
while (<P>) {$sort[$ii++]=$_;}
@sort = sort byAtom @sort;
unlink $p_out;

sub byAtom {
    my @one = split( '	', $a );
    my @two = split( '	', $b );
    ($one[0] <=> $two[0]);
}

# This calls the C program to do Xray Diffraction (loop does xrd on each of the
# nanocrystals).
print "Starting XRD (oh boy!)....\n\n";
my %sum;
foreach (@dist) {
    print "crystal_$_.txt...
    my $result = \$xrd_exe $dir/crystal_$_.txt psort $lambda $theta0 $thetaM $thetaS $debyewaller
    # This foreach loop splits the results of the xrd script into $theta and $bigtot and
    # prints them to a tab delimited file and a graph.
    open OUTTAB, ">$dir/XRD_$_.txt" || die "Cannot open XRD output file"
    foreach my $line (split("\n", $result)){
        my @tmp = split("\t", $line);
        print OUTTAB "$tmp[0]\t$tmp[1]\n"
        #Print to separate files for each nanocrystal size
        my $gaussweight = (1/sqrt(2*pi*(\$dev**2)))*exp(-(((\$_ - $1)**2)/(2*\$dev**2)));
        #This is the Gaussian function, used to weight the data. Mean and Std dev are used as inputs.
        my $bigtot = $gaussweight*\$tmp[1];
        #Multiplying by intensity measured to weight the intensities
        $sum{\$tmp[0]} += $bigtot;
        #Sum the gaussian weighted terms for each theta (store in hash)
    }
    close OUTTAB;
    print "done!\n\n";
}
print "Finished!\n\n";
print "Calculating Distribution...\n";

open OUTNEW, ">$dir/$out_tab" or die "Can't open $out_tab : $!";
foreach (sort { $a <=> $b } keys(%sum)) {
print OUTNEW "$\_\_t$sum"$\_\_n";  #output the two arrays to a tab-delimited file
}

$datetime1 = localtime();
print LOG "$\_\_End:\_t$datetime1\_n";
close LOG;

close OUTNEW;
# And we're done!
print "DONE\_n";
exit;

Cromer-Mann Coefficients.

The following is a listing of the Cromer-Mann coefficients for every atom and ion listed in the International Tables for Crystallography.\textsuperscript{129} O$^2-$ is not listed in the International Tables, but an estimated value was reported by Hovestreydt, et al.\textsuperscript{325}

| Atom | H | H (-1) | Ne | Na | Na (-1) | Na (-2) | Mg | Mg (-1) | Si | Si (-1) | Si (-2) | Cl | Cl (-1) | Ar | O | O (-1) | O (-2) | Si | Si (-1) | Si (-2) | P | P (-1) | S | S (-1) | Cl | Cl (-1) | Ca | Ca (-1) | Ca (-2) | Ca (-3) |
|------|---|-------|----|----|--------|--------|---|---------|---|---------|---------|---|---------|---|---|--------|--------|---|---------|---------|---|---------|---|---------|---|---------|---|---------|---|
|      | 0 | 0.489918 | 20.6593 | 0.262003 | 7.74039 | 0.196767 | 49.5519 | 0.049879 | 2.20159 |
|      | 0.001305 | 0.897661 | 53.1368 | 0.565616 | 15.187 | 0.145815 | 186.576 | 0.119673 | 3.56709 |
|      | 0.002389 | 0.8734 | 9.1037 | 0.6309 | 3.3568 | 0.3112 | 22.9276 | 0.178 | 0.9821 |
|      | 0.001201 | 0.8462 | 13.874 | 1.6606 | 5.7011 | 0.3239 | 21.7184 | 0.3515 | 32.9089 |
|      | 0.001201 | 0.8126 | 2.1271 | 2.2868 | 5.7011 | 0.3239 | 32.9089 | 0.2508 | 21.9412 |
|      | 0.002389 | 0.8734 | 9.1037 | 0.6309 | 3.3568 | 0.3112 | 22.9276 | 0.178 | 0.9821 |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Charge</th>
<th>Atomic Number</th>
<th>Atomic Mass</th>
<th>Electron Config.</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Heat of Formation (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>First Ionization Energy (eV)</th>
<th>Second Ionization Energy (eV)</th>
<th>Third Ionization Energy (eV)</th>
<th>Fourth Ionization Energy (eV)</th>
<th>Fifth Ionization Energy (eV)</th>
<th>Sixth Ionization Energy (eV)</th>
<th>Seventh Ionization Energy (eV)</th>
<th>Eighth Ionization Energy (eV)</th>
<th>Ninth Ionization Energy (eV)</th>
<th>Tenth Ionization Energy (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Element</td>
<td>Charge</td>
<td>Atomic Number</td>
<td>Atomic Mass</td>
<td>Mossbauer Energy (eV)</td>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>107.86</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>107.86</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>112.41</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>112.41</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Io</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>126.87</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Io</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>126.87</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>118.71</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>118.71</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>114.82</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>114.82</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>127.60</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>127.60</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xe</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>131.29</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xe</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>131.29</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>85.47</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>85.47</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>87.62</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>87.62</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>137.34</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>137.34</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>138.91</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>138.91</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>140.11</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>140.11</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>140.91</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>140.91</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>144.24</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>144.24</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>144.89</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>144.89</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>150.36</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>150.36</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>151.96</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>151.96</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>157.25</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>157.25</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>158.93</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>158.93</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>162.50</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>162.50</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>164.93</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>164.93</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>167.25</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>167.25</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>170.93</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>170.93</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>173.04</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>173.04</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>174.93</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>174.93</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>178.49</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>178.49</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>180.95</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>180.95</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>183.85</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>183.85</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>186.21</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>186.21</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>190.22</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>190.22</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>192.22</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>192.22</td>
<td>0.8812</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>192.2150</td>
<td>0.82008</td>
<td>3.0254</td>
<td>3.2793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tl</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tl</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tl</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Po</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>191.2240</td>
<td>0.53672</td>
<td>1.6481</td>
<td>2.4911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fr</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>208.9804</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ra</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>226.0257</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>227.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>230.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>232.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>231.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>238.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>235.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>235.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>235.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Np</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>237.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Np</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>240.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>239.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>242.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>242.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>242.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>243.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>244.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bk</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>246.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cf</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>247.0381</td>
<td>0.64999</td>
<td>1.0295</td>
<td>1.4714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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