



1-2012

Declarative Constraint Optimization in Distributed Systems

Changbin Liu
University of Pennsylvania

Boon Thau Loo
University of Pennsylvania, boonloo@cis.upenn.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.upenn.edu/cis_papers

 Part of the [Computer Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Changbin Liu and Boon Thau Loo, "Declarative Constraint Optimization in Distributed Systems", . January 2012.

Liu, C. & Loo, B., Declarative Constraint Optimization in Distributed Systems, *Workshop on Languages for Distributed Algorithms (LADA)*, Jan. 2012

This paper is posted at ScholarlyCommons. http://repository.upenn.edu/cis_papers/689
For more information, please contact libraryrepository@pobox.upenn.edu.

Declarative Constraint Optimization in Distributed Systems

Abstract

In distributed systems management, operators often have to configure system parameters that optimize performance objectives given constraints in the deployment environment. This position paper presents our recent work on a declarative optimization platform that enables constraint optimization problems (COP) to be declaratively specified and incrementally executed in distributed systems. Traditional approaches in implementing COPs use imperative languages like C++ [2] or Java [1]. This often results in multi-thousand lines of code, that are difficult to maintain and customize. Moreover, due to scalability issues and management constraints imposed across administrative domains, it is often necessary to execute a COP in a distributed setting, where multiple local solvers coordinate with each other and each one handles a portion of the whole problem to together achieve a global objective. Central to our optimization platform is the integration of a declarative networking [9] engine with an off-the-shelf constraint solver [2]. We have applied our platform to two use cases. First, in mesh networks, policies on wireless channel selection [7, 6] are declaratively specified and optimized, in order to reduce network interference and maximize throughput, while not violating constraints such as refraining from channels owned exclusively by the primary users. Second, in automated cloud resource orchestration [8], we use our optimization framework to declaratively control the creation, management, manipulation and decommissioning of cloud resources, in order to realize customer requests, while conforming to operational objectives of the cloud service providers at the same time. Beyond these two use cases, we envision our platform has a wide-range of potential applications, for example, optimizing distributed systems for load balancing, robust routing, scheduling, and security.

Disciplines

Computer Sciences

Comments

Liu, C. & Loo, B., Declarative Constraint Optimization in Distributed Systems, *Workshop on Languages for Distributed Algorithms (LADA)*, Jan. 2012

Declarative Constraint Optimization in Distributed Systems

Changbin Liu
University of Pennsylvania
3330 Walnut St, Philadelphia
PA, USA
changbl@cis.upenn.edu

Boon Thau Loo
University of Pennsylvania
3330 Walnut St, Philadelphia
PA, USA
boonloo@cis.upenn.edu

1. INTRODUCTION

In distributed systems management, operators often have to configure system parameters that optimize performance objectives given constraints in the deployment environment. This position paper¹ presents our recent work on a declarative optimization platform that enables constraint optimization problems (COP) to be declaratively specified and incrementally executed in distributed systems.

Traditional approaches in implementing COPs use imperative languages like C++ [2] or Java [1]. This often results in multi-thousand lines of code, that are difficult to maintain and customize. Moreover, due to scalability issues and management constraints imposed across administrative domains, it is often necessary to execute a COP in a *distributed* setting, where multiple *local* solvers coordinate with each other and each one handles a portion of the whole problem to together achieve a global objective.

Central to our optimization platform is the integration of a *declarative networking* [9] engine with an off-the-shelf constraint solver [2]. We have applied our platform to two use cases. First, in mesh networks, policies on *wireless channel selection* [7, 6] are declaratively specified and optimized, in order to reduce network interference and maximize throughput, while not violating constraints such as refraining from channels owned exclusively by the primary users. Second, in *automated cloud resource orchestration* [8], we use our optimization framework to declaratively control the creation, management, manipulation and decommissioning of cloud resources, in order to realize customer requests, while conforming to operational objectives of the cloud service providers at the same time. Beyond these two use cases, we envision our platform has a wide-range of potential applications, for example, optimizing distributed systems for load balancing, robust routing, scheduling, and security.

2. DECLARATIVE LANGUAGE

Our optimization platform uses the *Colog* declarative policy language, which allows operators to concisely model distributed system resources and formulate management decisions as declarative programs with specified goals and constraints. Compared to traditional imperative alternatives, *Colog* results in orders of magnitude reduction in code size, and is easier to understand, debug and extend.

Given the space constraints, we refer the reader to [7, 6, 8] for details on the language, complete examples, and use

¹If possible, we would like to give an oral presentation on our work. If time permits, we can also include a short demonstration of our system.

cases. Here, we present some high level intuitions on the language.

Colog declarative policy language is based on Datalog, a recursive query language used in the database community for querying graphs. Our choice of Datalog as a basis for *Colog* is driven by Datalog’s conciseness in specifying dependencies among system states, especially distributed system states that exhibit recursive properties. Its root in logic provides a convenient mechanism for *Colog* to extend traditional Datalog with constructs expressing COP formulations in the form of policy goals and constraints. Moreover, there exists distributed Datalog engines used in declarative networking that facilitate distributed COP computations. *Colog* specifications are compiled into execution plans executed by a distributed query engine integrated with constraint solving modules.

In *Colog*, regular Datalog rules are used to generate intermediate tables used by the solver. This is specified as regular Datalog rules of the form $p :- q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n$, resulting in the derivation of p , whenever the rule body (q_1 and q_2 and \dots and q_n) is true. We adopt standard Datalog terminology, and refer to each term within a rule (e.g. q_1, q_2) as a *predicate*, and the corresponding derivation obtained (e.g. p) during rule body execution is referred to as *tuples*.

Language extensions. Two reserved keywords `goal` and `var` specify the *optimization goal* and *variables* used by the constraint solver, respectively. *Constraint* rules of the form $p \rightarrow q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n$, denotes the logical meaning that whenever p is true, then the rule body (q_1 and q_2 and \dots and q_n) must also be true to satisfy the constraint. Unlike a Datalog rule, which derives new values for a predicate, a constraint *restricts* a predicate’s allowed values, hence representing an invariant that must be maintained at all times. These are used by the solver to limit the search space when computing the optimization goal. Using *Colog*, it is easy to customize policies simply by modifying the goals, constraints, and adding additional derivation rules.

Distributed COP. *Colog* can be executed in a distributed setting. At a high level, multiple solver nodes execute a *local* COP, and then iteratively exchange COP results with neighboring nodes until a stopping condition is reached. The distributed COP program is written using distributed variant of Datalog used in declarative networking, where a location specifier `@` denotes the source location of each corresponding tuple. This allows us to write rules where the input data spans multiple nodes, a convenient language construct for formulating distributed optimizations.

3. COMPILATION AND EXECUTION

To execute *Colog* programs in a distributed setting, COPE integrates Gecode [2], an off-the-shelf constraint solver and the RapidNet declarative networking engine [3] for communicating policy decisions among different solver nodes.

RapidNet was originally designed as a platform for executing declarative networks [9]. We adopted its usage in order to leverage its distributed Datalog engine. This allows us to execute the derivation rules in *Colog* programs using standard query processing techniques involving database operators, such as joins (variable matching in rule body), aggregation (e.g. SUM, MAX), selection filters, and rule head renaming.

Whenever solving a COP, *Colog* programs are compiled into executable code in RapidNet, which invokes Gecode's high-performance constraint solving modules. Our compilation process maps *Colog's* goal, var, and constraints into equivalent COP primitives in Gecode. These modules are invoked either as a *one-time* program, *periodically* (via periodic timer events generated from *Colog* rules), or in a *continuous* fashion triggered by incremental maintenance [10] as the body predicates are updated.

Gecode adopts the standard branch-and-bound searching approach to solve the optimization while exploring the space of variables under constraints. In addition to these constraints, rules that use solver results as input are rewritten into constraints to further prune the search space.

One of the interesting aspects of *Colog*, from a query processing standpoint, is our integration of RapidNet (an incremental bottom-up distributed Datalog evaluation engine) and Gecode (a top-down goal-oriented constraint solver). This integration allows us to implement a distributed solver that can perform incremental and distributed constraint optimizations.

To execute distributed COPs, *Colog* uses RapidNet for executing distributed Datalog rules, which already provides a runtime environment for implementing these rules. At a high level, each distributed rule or constraint (with multiple distinct location specifiers) is rewritten using a *localization* rewrite [9] step. This transformation results in rule bodies that can be executed locally, and rule heads that can be derived and sent across nodes. The beauty of this rewrite is that even if the original program expresses distributed properties and constraints, this rewrite process will realize multiple local COP operations at different nodes, and have the output of COP operations via derivations sent across nodes.

4. REPRESENTATIVE USE CASES

Wireless channel selection [7, 6]. Our first example is wireless channel selection in mesh networks. *Colog* is used to address the problem of assigning wireless channels to multi-radio multi-channel nodes to reduce interference based on the *one-hop interference model* [12]. In this model, any two adjacent links are considered to interfere with each other if they both use channels whose frequency bands are closer than a certain threshold. This is equivalent to classic graph coloring problem [5]. Moreover, *Colog* can be easily modified to support the more complex *two-hop interference model* [12], which is often considered a more accurate measurement of interference in wireless deployments such as IEEE 802.11. This model considers interference that results from any two links using similar channels within two hops of each other. In addition, COPE can flexibly declare more

constraints, e.g. impose regional policies on spectrum usage, avoid channels with low SNR, ensure channel diversity along each path.

Cloud resource orchestration [8]. Our second example is cloud resource orchestration in the *Follow-the-Sun* [11] cloud service, which aims to migrate VMs across geographical distributed data centers based on customer dynamics. Here, the geographic location of the primary workload (i.e., the majority of customers using the cloud service) derives demand shifts during the course of a day, and it is beneficial for these workload drivers to be in close proximity to the resources they operate on. The migration decision process has to occur in real-time on a live deployment with minimal disruption to existing services. In this scenario, the cloud infrastructure service aims to optimize for two parties: enable service consolidation (for providers) to reduce operating costs, and improve application performance (for customers), while ensuring that customer SLAs of web services (e.g. defined in terms of the average end-to-end experienced latency of user requests) are met. In addition, they may be performed to reduce inter-data center communication overhead [13]. Since data centers in this scenario belong to different cloud providers (similar to federated cloud [4]), *Colog* are executed in a distributed setting, where each solver node is responsible for controlling resources within their data center.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] Choco solver. <http://www.emn.fr/z-info/choco-solver/>.
- [2] Gecode constraint development environment. <http://www.gecode.org/>.
- [3] RapidNet. <http://netdb.cis.upenn.edu/rapidnet/>.
- [4] CAMPBELL, R., GUPTA, I., HEATH, M., KO, S. Y., KOZUCH, M., KUNZE, M., KWAN, T., LAI, K., LEE, H. Y., LYONS, M., MILOJICIC, D., O'HALLARON, D., AND SOH, Y. C. Open cirrus cloud computing testbed: federated data centers for open source systems and services research. In *Proc. of HotCloud Workshop (2009)*.
- [5] JAIN, K., PADHYE, J., PADMANABHAN, V. N., AND QIU, L. Impact of interference on multi-hop wireless network performance. In *MobiCom (2003)*.
- [6] LIU, C., CORREA, R., GILL, H., GILL, T., LI, X., MUTHUKUMAR, S., SAEED, T., LOO, B. T., AND BASU, P. PUMA: Policy-based Unified Multi-radio Architecture for Agile Mesh Networking. In *The Fourth International Conference on COMMunication Systems and NETWORKS (COMSNETS) (2012)*.
- [7] LIU, C., LI, X., MUTHUKUMAR, S. C., GILL, H., SAEED, T., LOO, B. T., AND BASU, P. A Policy-based Constraint-solving Platform Towards Extensible Wireless Channel Selection and Routing. In *PRESTO: Proceedings of the ACM workshop on Programmable routers for extensible services of tomorrow (2010)*.
- [8] LIU, C., LOO, B. T., AND MAO, Y. Declarative Automated Cloud Resource Orchestration. In *ACM Symposium on Cloud Computing (SOCC) (2011)*.
- [9] LOO, B. T., CONDIE, T., GAROFALAKIS, M., GAY, D. E., HELLERSTEIN, J. M., MANIATIS, P., RAMAKRISHNAN, R., ROSCOE, T., AND STOICA, I. Declarative Networking. In *Communications of the ACM (CACM) (2009)*.
- [10] MENGMEI LIU, NICHOLAS TAYLOR, WENCHAO ZHOU, ZACHARY IVES, AND BOON THAU LOO. Recursive Computation of Regions and Connectivity in Networks. In *ICDE (2009)*.
- [11] VAN DER MERWE, J., RAMAKRISHNAN, K., FAIRCHILD, M., FLAVEL, A., HOULE, J., LAGAR-CAVILLA, H. A., AND MULLIGAN, J. Towards a ubiquitous cloud computing infrastructure. In *"Proc. of the IEEE Workshop on Local and Metropolitan Area Networks" (2010)*.
- [12] YI, Y., AND CHIANG, M. Wireless Scheduling Algorithms with O(1) Overhead for M-Hop Interference Model. In *IEEE ICC (2008)*.
- [13] ZHANG, Z., ZHANG, M., GREENBERG, A., HU, Y. C., MAHAJAN, R., AND CHRISTIAN, B. Optimizing cost and performance in online service provider networks. In *NSDI (2010)*.