



November 2007

# Anomalies of Sub-Diffractive Guided-Wave Propagation along Metamaterial Nanocomponents

Andrea Alù

*University of Pennsylvania*, [andreaal@seas.upenn.edu](mailto:andreaal@seas.upenn.edu)

Nader Engheta

*University of Pennsylvania*, [engheta@seas.upenn.edu](mailto:engheta@seas.upenn.edu)

Follow this and additional works at: [http://repository.upenn.edu/ease\\_papers](http://repository.upenn.edu/ease_papers)

---

## Recommended Citation

Andrea Alù and Nader Engheta, "Anomalies of Sub-Diffractive Guided-Wave Propagation along Metamaterial Nanocomponents", . November 2007.

An edited version of this paper was published by AGU. Copyright 2007 American Geophysical Union. Published in *Radio Science*, Volume 42, Issue 6, Article No. RS6S17, November 2007.

This paper is posted at ScholarlyCommons. [http://repository.upenn.edu/ease\\_papers/436](http://repository.upenn.edu/ease_papers/436)  
For more information, please contact [libraryrepository@pobox.upenn.edu](mailto:libraryrepository@pobox.upenn.edu).

---

# Anomalies of Sub-Diffractive Guided-Wave Propagation along Metamaterial Nanocomponents

## **Abstract**

We describe our recent results on some of the anomalous propagation properties of subdiffractive guided modes along plasmonic or metamaterial cylindrical waveguides with core-shell structures, with particular attention to the design of optical subwavelength nanodevices. In our analysis, we compare and contrast the azimuthally symmetric modes, on which the previous literature has concentrated, with polaritonic guided modes, which propagate in a different regime close to the plasmonic resonance of the waveguide. Forward and backward modes may be envisioned in this latter regime, traveling with subdiffraction cross section along the cylindrical interface between plasmonic and nonplasmonic materials. In general, two oppositely oriented power flows arise in the positive and negative permittivity regions, consistent with our previous results in the planar geometry. Our discussion applies to a various range of frequencies, from RF to optical and UV, even if we are mainly focused on optical and infrared propagation. At lower frequencies, artificially engineered plasmonic metamaterials or natural plasmas may be envisioned to obtain similar propagation characteristics.

## **Keywords**

metamaterials, plasmonics, surface waves

## **Comments**

An edited version of this paper was published by AGU. Copyright 2007 American Geophysical Union. Published in *Radio Science*, Volume 42, Issue 6, Article No. RS6S17, November 2007.

1     ***Anomalies of Sub-Diffractive Guided-Wave Propagation along***  
2                             ***Metamaterial Nanocomponents***

3                             *Andrea Alù and Nader Engheta*

4                             *University of Pennsylvania*

5                             *Department of Electrical and Systems Engineering*

6                             *Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, U.S.A.*

7  
8     **Abstract**

9     We describe here our recent results on some of the anomalous propagation properties of  
10    sub-diffractive guided modes along plasmonic or metamaterial cylindrical waveguides  
11    with core-shell structures, with particular attention to the design of optical sub-  
12    wavelength nanodevices. In our analysis, we compare and contrast the azimuthally  
13    symmetric modes, on which the previous literature has concentrated, with polaritonic  
14    guided modes, which propagate in a different regime close to the plasmonic resonance of  
15    the waveguide. Forward and backward modes may be envisioned in this latter regime,  
16    traveling with sub-diffraction cross-section along the cylindrical interface between  
17    plasmonic and non-plasmonic materials. In general, two oppositely oriented power flows  
18    arise in the positive and negative permittivity regions, consistent with our previous results  
19    in the planar geometry. Our discussion applies to a various range of frequencies, from RF  
20    to optical and UV, even if we are mainly focused on optical and infrared propagation. At  
21    lower frequencies, artificially engineered plasmonic metamaterials or natural plasmas  
22    may be envisioned to obtain similar propagation characteristics.

1 ***1. Introduction***

2 It is well known how metamaterials and plasmonic media may allow squeezing the  
3 dimensions of waveguide components due to the local plasmonic resonances when  
4 interfaced with regular dielectrics. A recent review and discussion on this possibility is  
5 given in [Alù and Engheta, 2005], but the possibility of propagation along plasmonic  
6 planar layers or cylinders dates back to the middle of the past century (see, e.g., [Rusch,  
7 1962; Vigants, 1962; Al-Bader, 1992]). The field of artificial materials and metamaterials  
8 has revived this interest, and with the new advances of current technology it is possible to  
9 envision sub-diffractive waveguides with lateral confinement at frequencies for which the  
10 diffraction limit is already down to fractions of the micron.

11 As some of the recent works on metamaterials have shown, the diffraction limit -- a  
12 general physical law that seems to forbid the concentration of the field below half-  
13 wavelength size -- may be overcome in several geometries and for different purposes by  
14 properly exciting resonances at the interface between oppositely-signed permittivity  
15 materials. Sub-wavelength focusing [Pendry, 2000; Alù and Engheta, 2003], negative  
16 refraction [Lezec, et al., 2007], diffractionless propagation [Alù and Engheta, 2005;  
17 Brongersma, et al., 2000; Alù and Engheta, 2006b], sub-wavelength resonant cavities  
18 [Engheta, 2002], plasmonic nanoresonances [Oldenburg, et al., 1999; Alù and Engheta,  
19 2005b] and small antennas [Alù, et al., 2007; Ziolkowski and Kipple, 2003] are examples  
20 of this possibility.

21 In this sense, the use of plasmonic materials turns out to be important, due to the  
22 anomalous compact resonances arising at their interface with regular materials  
23 (dielectrics or free-space). Nature has endowed us with a relatively wide class of

1 negative-permittivity materials, most of them in the optical, infrared and THz frequency  
2 ranges [*Bohren and Huffman*, 1983], which include also a class of resonant polaritonic  
3 dielectrics and some semiconductors. At microwave frequencies, regular gaseous plasmas  
4 possess a negative permittivity, but more easily the effective permittivity of a  
5 metamaterial may be designed and tuned to a negative value with a suitable engineering  
6 of inclusions in a host material [*Engheta and Ziolkowski*, 2006].

7 It should be born in mind that passive plasmonic materials are naturally limited by  
8 causality and Kramers-Kronig relations [*Landau and Lifschitz*, 1984] to be frequency  
9 dispersive and intrinsically lossy -- conditions that affect and somehow limit the  
10 following considerations on the anomalous modal propagation along plasmonic  
11 waveguides. However, with proper design, plasmonic materials may indeed provide the  
12 designer with novel tools to reduce and overcome some of these limitations, in order to  
13 design waveguides with a cross-section significantly smaller than the wavelength of  
14 operation.

15 The problem of guided wave propagation along cylindrical components with coaxial  
16 core-shell geometry has been studied in the past (see, e.g., [*Vigants*, 1962; *Al-Bader*,  
17 1992; *Takahara et al.*, 1997]). In the following, we underline the main theoretical aspects  
18 of the anomalous regime of propagation and we describe the conditions under which  
19 guided modes along plasmonic core-shell waveguides may be tailored and designed.  
20 With respect to previous works on this topic (see, e.g., [*Takahara et al.*, 1997]), which  
21 have all focused on the dominant azimuthally symmetric guided modes, here we report  
22 and fully describe the possibility that these geometries may offer for supporting two  
23 different regimes of propagation, one related to the polaritonic resonance of the


1 waveguide, arising only for higher-order modes, and the other related to the geometrical  
2 resonance of the sub-wavelength plasmonic waveguide, usually achieved for the  
3 fundamental azimuthally symmetric mode. While the previous studies reported in the  
4 literature have been focused on this latter mode, we show in the following how the first  
5 possibility may also open up interesting scenarios that, to our best knowledge, have not  
6 been analyzed in the past. In particular, as we show here, the geometrical modes are  
7 limited to become very slow-wave for sub-diffractive propagation, and therefore this  
8 regime of propagation is inherently associated with signal dispersion and absorption. A  
9 large phase constant generally accompanies slow energy velocity, which may lead to  
10 more sensitivity to material losses, implying smaller propagation lengths and larger  
11 frequency dispersion. The novel set of polaritonic modes we consider here, however, is  
12 shown to remain potentially very confined, but also possibly to sustain reasonably faster  
13 wave propagation. We discuss these aspects with physical insights and full-wave  
14 analytical results with a complete theory that describes both regimes of propagation.

15

## 16 **2. *Theoretical Formulation***

17 Consider the cylindrical waveguide depicted in Fig. 1 in a suitably chosen cylindrical  
18 reference system  $(\rho, \varphi, z)$ . In general, the structure is supposed to be constituted of a  
19 core cylinder and a concentric cylindrical shell of isotropic materials, with radii  $a_1$  and  
20  $a > a_1$ , respectively, and corresponding permittivities  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$ . The surrounding  
21 background material has permittivity  $\varepsilon_0$ , and all the permeabilities are the same as free  
22 space  $\mu_0$ , as it is the case for natural materials at infrared and optical frequencies.  
23 Extension of this analysis to materials with different permeabilities is straightforward and

1 it does not add much to the present discussion, apart from the further degree of freedom  
2 in the choice of the polarization of interest. We assume in the following an  $e^{j\omega t}$   
3 monochromatic excitation.



4  
5 Figure 1 - Geometry of an infinitely long plasmonic or metamaterial core-shell cylindrical waveguide.

6  
7 In the scattering scenario, the possibility of polaritonic resonances in plasmonic or  
8 metamaterial sub-wavelength structures analogous to the geometry of Fig. 1 has been  
9 investigated in [Alù and Engheta, 2005b], showing how high resonant peaks in the  
10 scattering cross section of sub-wavelength spherical and cylindrical objects, associated  
11 with the material polariton resonances of the structure, may be obtained by utilizing  
12 materials with negative constitutive parameters.  
13 The guided modes supported by this geometry may have an analogous resonant behavior,  
14 associated with the material polaritons supported by the structure. The guided spectrum  
15 for the geometry of Fig. 1 is in general composed of hybrid modes, linear combinations

1 of  $TE^z$  or  $TM^z$  modes propagating in the  $z$  direction with a  $e^{-j\beta z}$  factor. Their field  
 2 distribution is given by the combination of the field components for the two  
 3 polarizations:

$$4 \quad \mathbf{E}_{TE} = -j\omega\mu_0\rho^{-1} \frac{\partial u_i^{TE}}{\partial \varphi} \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} + j\omega\mu_0 \frac{\partial u_i^{TE}}{\partial \rho} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{TE} = \frac{\partial^2 u_i^{TE}}{\partial \rho \partial z} \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} + \rho^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 u_i^{TE}}{\partial \varphi \partial z} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} + \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_i^{TE}}{\partial z^2} + k_i^2 u_i^{TE} \right) \hat{\mathbf{z}}$$

$$5 \quad \mathbf{E}_{TM} = \frac{\partial^2 u_i^{TM}}{\partial \rho \partial z} \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} + \rho^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 u_i^{TM}}{\partial \varphi \partial z} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} + \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_i^{TM}}{\partial z^2} + k_i^2 u_i^{TM} \right) \hat{\mathbf{z}} \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{TM} = j\omega\varepsilon_i\rho^{-1} \frac{\partial u_i^{TM}}{\partial \varphi} \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - j\omega\varepsilon_i \frac{\partial u_i^{TM}}{\partial \rho} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$$

6 where  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , respectively, in the vacuum, in the first and in the second medium,  
 7  $k_i^2 = \omega^2 \mu_0 \varepsilon_i$  is the wave number in each medium and

$$8 \quad u_1 = c_1 J_n(k_{11}\rho) e^{-j(n\varphi + \beta z)}$$

$$u_2 = [c_2 J_n(k_{12}\rho) + c_3 Y_n(k_{12}\rho)] e^{-j(n\varphi + \beta z)} \quad (3)$$

$$u_0 = c_s H_n^{(2)}(k_{10}\rho) e^{-j(n\varphi + \beta z)}$$

9 Equations (3) are valid both for TE and TM polarization, with  $\beta$  representing the real  
 10 longitudinal wave number of the mode,  $n$  being its integer angular order describing the  
 11 azimuthal variation,  $k_{ii}^2 = k_i^2 - \beta^2$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) being its transverse radial wave number,  
 12  $c_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3, s$ ) being the excitation coefficients.  $J_n$ ,  $Y_n$  and  $H_n^{(2)} = J_n - jY_n$  are  
 13 cylindrical Bessel functions [Abramowitz and Stegun, 1972]. The sign ambiguity in the  
 14 square root definition in the argument of the  $H_n^{(2)}$  functions should be resolved by  
 15 imposing a field distribution exponentially decaying in the background region (we note  
 16 that this restriction is not present when considering leaky modes supported by analogous



1 cylindrical waveguides acting as leaky-wave antennas, as we have recently reported [Alù,  
2 *et al.*, 2007b], [Alù, *et al.*, 2007c].

3 By imposing the proper boundary conditions at the interface between the two shells and  
4 at the metallic boundary, one finds the following relations among the excitation  
5 coefficients:

$$6 \quad \begin{aligned} c_2 &= c_1 \frac{k_{t1}^2}{k_{t2}^2} \frac{J_n(k_{t1}a_1)Y_n(k_{t2}a)}{\Delta} - c_s \frac{k_{t0}^2}{k_{t2}^2} \frac{Y_n(k_{t0}a)Y_n(k_{t2}a_1)}{\Delta} \\ c_3 &= -c_1 \frac{k_{t1}^2}{k_{t2}^2} \frac{J_n(k_{t1}a_1)J_n(k_{t2}a)}{\Delta} + c_s \frac{k_{t0}^2}{k_{t2}^2} \frac{Y_n(k_{t0}a)J_n(k_{t2}a_1)}{\Delta} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

7 where  $\Delta = J_n(k_{t2}a_1)Y_n(k_{t2}a) - Y_n(k_{t2}a_1)J_n(k_{t2}a)$ , again valid both for TE and TM  
8 polarizations.

9 As expected, the constraint:

$$10 \quad \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \frac{\mu_0}{k_{t1}} \frac{J'_n(k_{t1}a_1)}{J_n(k_{t1}a_1)} - \frac{\mu_0}{k_{t2}} \frac{N_{a_1a}}{\Delta} & \frac{\mu_0}{k_{t2}} \frac{N_{a_1a_1}}{\Delta} & -\frac{n\beta}{j\omega a_1} \frac{k_1^2 - k_2^2}{k_{t1}^2 k_{t2}^2} & 0 \\ -\frac{\mu_0}{k_{t2}} \frac{N_{aa}}{\Delta} & \frac{\mu_0}{k_{t0}} \frac{H_n^{(2)'}(k_{t0}a)}{H_n^{(2)}(k_{t0}a)} + \frac{\mu_0}{k_{t2}} \frac{N_{aa_1}}{\Delta} & 0 & -\frac{n\beta}{j\omega a} \frac{k_0^2 - k_2^2}{k_{t0}^2 k_{t2}^2} \\ \frac{n\beta}{j\omega a_1} \frac{k_1^2 - k_2^2}{k_{t1}^2 k_{t2}^2} & 0 & \frac{\epsilon_1}{k_{t1}} \frac{J'_n(k_{t1}a_1)}{J_n(k_{t1}a_1)} - \frac{\epsilon_2}{k_{t2}} \frac{N_{a_1a}}{\Delta} & \frac{\epsilon_2}{k_{t2}} \frac{N_{a_1a_1}}{\Delta} \\ 0 & \frac{n\beta}{j\omega a} \frac{k_0^2 - k_2^2}{k_{t0}^2 k_{t2}^2} & -\frac{\epsilon_2}{k_{t2}} \frac{N_{aa}}{\Delta} & \frac{\epsilon_0}{k_{t0}} \frac{H_n^{(2)'}(k_{t0}a)}{H_n^{(2)}(k_{t0}a)} + \frac{\epsilon_2}{k_{t2}} \frac{N_{aa_1}}{\Delta} \end{array} \right| = 0 \quad (5)$$

11 which results from fulfilling the boundary conditions at the two interfaces, represents the  
12 dispersion relation for the possible wavenumber  $\beta$ . In general the guided modes are  
13 hybrid, i.e., they are linear combinations of the TE and TM modes previously defined, as  
14 evident from the structure of the matrix in Eq. (5). Here

15  $N_{xy} = J'_n(k_{t2}x)Y_n(k_{t2}y) - Y'_n(k_{t2}x)J_n(k_{t2}y)$ , with  $x, y$  being either  $a$  or  $a_1$ . In the

1 particular case of azimuthally symmetric modes, i.e.,  $n = 0$ , the matrix in (5) has zero  
 2 coupling terms and the dispersion relation decouples into TE and TM surface modes:

$$3 \quad DispTE_n \cdot DispTM_n = 0 \quad (6)$$

4 with:

$$5 \quad DispTE_n = \left( \frac{1}{k_{r1}} \frac{J'_n(k_{r1}a_1)}{J_n(k_{r1}a_1)} - \frac{1}{k_{r2}} \frac{N_{a_1a}}{\Delta} \right) \left( \frac{1}{k_{r0}} \frac{H_n^{(2)}(k_{r0}a)}{H_n^{(2)}(k_{r0}a)} + \frac{1}{k_{r2}} \frac{N_{aa_1}}{\Delta} \right) + \frac{1}{k_{r2}^2} \frac{N_{a_1a_1} N_{aa}}{\Delta^2} \cdot (7)$$

$$6 \quad DispTM_n = \left( \frac{\epsilon_1}{k_{r1}} \frac{J'_n(k_{r1}a_1)}{J_n(k_{r1}a_1)} - \frac{\epsilon_2}{k_{r2}} \frac{N_{a_1a}}{\Delta} \right) \left( \frac{\epsilon_0}{k_{r0}} \frac{H_n^{(2)}(k_{r0}a)}{H_n^{(2)}(k_{r0}a)} + \frac{\epsilon_2}{k_{r2}} \frac{N_{aa_1}}{\Delta} \right) + \frac{\epsilon_2^2}{k_{r2}^2} \frac{N_{a_1a_1} N_{aa}}{\Delta^2}$$

6 In the most general case of  $n \neq 0$ , however, only hybrid modes are expected, since TE  
 7 and TM modes with field distributions given by (1) or (2) would not satisfy by  
 8 themselves the boundary conditions, consistent with the discussion in [Pincherle, 1944].

9 The dispersion relation (5) may be rewritten in the following compact form:

$$10 \quad DispTE_n \cdot DispTM_n = \frac{n^2 \beta^2 (k_1^2 - k_2^2)^2}{k_0^2 a_1^2 k_{r1}^4 k_{r2}^4} \cdot (8)$$

11 It should be noted how the dispersion relations (7) are not symmetric, and this is due to  
 12 the fact that we are not considering possible differences in the permeabilities of the  
 13 involved materials. This implies that in the following scenario the TM or ‘quasi-TM’  
 14 hybrid modes are the most appealing in the sub-wavelength regime of operation, since TE  
 15 modes may resonate only due to “size” resonances, similar to dielectric waveguides.

16 It should be underlined that the previous analysis is valid for any value of permittivities,  
 17 even complex when losses are taken into account. The interest here is focused on sub-  
 18 wavelength structures, i.e., the core-shell waveguides with radii much less than the  
 19 wavelength of operation. If we consider electrically thin waveguides, for which

1  $a \propto \min(|k_{t1}|, |k_{t2}|, |k_{t0}|)$ , a Taylor expansion of (5) for small arguments of the Bessel and  
 2 Neumann functions gives the following approximate condition:

$$3 \left( \gamma^{2n} - \frac{\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1} \frac{\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_0}{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_0} \right) = 0, \quad (9)$$

4 where  $0 < \gamma = a_1 / a < 1$  and  $n > 0$ .

5 This interesting result, consistent with our findings relative to resonant cylindrical  
 6 scatterers in [Alù and Engheta, 2005b], confirms that it is indeed possible to exploit  
 7 polaritonic resonances to excite guided surface modes in sub-wavelength structures. The  
 8 previous dispersion relation, although quite simple in its form, has several interesting  
 9 features. First, it seems not to be directly dependent on the frequency and on the guided  
 10 wave number  $\beta$ , and depends only upon the geometrical filling ratio of the waveguide  $\gamma$   
 11 and on the material permittivities. (However, as we mention later, some of the material  
 12 parameters are frequency-dependent.) This is consistent with our previous findings in the  
 13 planar geometry [Alù and Engheta, 2005], related to the fact that these resonances are  
 14 ‘quasi-static resonances’ in nature, and they are inherently related to the local plasmonic  
 15 resonance at the interface between a plasmonic and a non-plasmonic material. The  
 16 cylindrical geometry plays also an important role in the form of Eq. (9) and by varying  
 17 the cross section of the waveguide the condition on the filling-ratio may vary.

18 The dependence of the resonance condition on frequency mainly comes from the intrinsic  
 19 frequency dispersion of the plasmonic materials, and therefore indirectly Eq. (9) still  
 20 manifests a dependence on  $\omega$ . This is consistent with Chu’s limit requirements on  
 21 bandwidth that the small size imposes on these resonant waveguides [Chu, 1948]. Also  
 22 the dependence on  $\beta$  is not directly observed in Eq. (9), consistent with the analogous

1 situation in some planar waveguides composed of metamaterials [Alù and Engheta,  
2 2005]. This is due to the facts that: (a) small variations of the geometrical parameters may  
3 induce a large variation on the guided wave number  $\beta$ ; and (b) all possible wave  
4 numbers may be guided once a polaritonic resonance is supported and condition (9) is  
5 approximately satisfied. The quick variation of  $\beta$  with the geometry of the waveguide,  
6 and therefore also with the frequency (see the previous discussion) are another indication  
7 of the small bandwidth of operation, and large signal dispersion, that would characterize  
8 electrically too small waveguides. A trade-off between size and operational bandwidth  
9 should be sought in the design of these structures.

10 As a corollary of the previous findings, such waveguides may guide not only surface  
11 (bounded) modes, but also leaky-modes, when  $\text{Re}[\beta] < k_0$ , and therefore sub-wavelength  
12 leaky-wave nanoantennas may be envisioned with this technique, satisfying the same  
13 dispersion relation (9). This is consistent with the preliminary findings that we have  
14 presented in [Alù, et al., 2007c], where an analogous dispersion relation has been derived.  
15 This regime is however not of interest for the present manuscript.

16 Another interesting point resulted from Eq. (9) is that this sub-wavelength regime may be  
17 supported only under the condition of exciting higher-order modes, i.e., surface modes  
18 with  $n \geq 1$ , that is with some azimuthal variation. Azimuthally symmetric modes (with  
19  $n = 0$ ) are not supported in the ‘quasi-static resonance’ regime, consistent with our  
20 findings in [Alù and Engheta, 2005b] and [Alù, et al., 2007b].

21 Finally, it should be underlined how this dispersion relation depends just on the  
22 permittivity of the materials, implying that the hybrid modes supported in this  
23 configuration under the quasi-static condition are quasi-TM mode, with a field

1 configuration very close to the one described by Eq. (2). The more the waveguide is sub-  
 2 wavelength, the more the (necessarily) hybrid modes are close to a TM configuration. In  
 3 fact, in the limiting case of  $\beta = 0$ , Eq. (9) becomes the ‘quasi-static’ dispersion equation  
 4 for TM material polaritons, and the weak dependence of (9) over  $\beta$  corresponds to an  
 5 equally weak dependence of the corresponding field distribution. (Of course, a small TE  
 6 modal component still needs to be present to match the boundary conditions for any  
 7  $\beta \neq 0$ , but the corresponding hybrid modes are quasi-TM). If the permeability of the  
 8 involved materials were also allowed to assume negative values, then the dual dispersion  
 9 relation to (9) would be in place for quasi-TE modes. This scenario is not of interest in  
 10 the present manuscript, and it may be investigated using duality and following an analysis  
 11 similar to the one presented here.

12 It is interesting to note that, to our knowledge, this regime of quasi-TM guided-wave  
 13 polariton propagation represented by Eq. (9) (with  $n \geq 1$ ) has never been considered  
 14 before in the technical literature. Researchers have been mainly concerned with  
 15 investigating azimuthally symmetric purely TM modes in plasmonic waveguides, which,  
 16 as we mentioned above, are not supported under the small-radii condition  
 17  $a \ll \min(|k_{t1}|, |k_{t2}|, |k_{t0}|)$ .

18 The possibility of guiding a sub-diffraction mode with  $n = 0$  arises due to the fact that  
 19 modes may become very slow when plasmonic resonances are present. As reported in,  
 20 e.g., [Takahara, *et al.*, 1997], in this regime the waveguide cross section may still  
 21 become electrically small, even though the product  $k_{t0}a$  is not necessarily small. This  
 22 implies a fast variation of the transverse field distribution, for which the ‘quasi-static’  
 23 conditions previously imposed do not apply and Eq. (9) does not hold. In the following,

1 we compare the two regimes of anomalous propagation in plasmonic waveguides, for  
 2 both of which the theoretical formulation presented in this section applies.

3

### 4 3. *Azimuthally Symmetric Modes ( $n = 0$ )*


5 Following the above discussion, for simplicity we now consider a homogeneous  
 6 plasmonic sub-wavelength nanowire (which falls into the geometry considered in the  
 7 previous section when  $a_1 = a$ ). In this case, sub-diffraction TM modes with  $n = 0$  are  
 8 supported for  $\beta \ll k_0$  and the approximate dispersion relation may be written as:

$$9 \quad \text{Disp}TM_n \approx \frac{I_1(\beta a)}{I_0(\beta a)} + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_1} \frac{K_1(\beta a)}{K_0(\beta a)} = 0, \quad (10)$$

10 where  $I_n$  and  $K_n$  are modified Bessel functions [Abramowitz and Stegun, 1972]. In this  
 11 situation, the solution yields  $\beta a = \text{const}$ , which is consistent and analogous with our  
 12 similar findings in planar geometry [Alù and Engheta, 2006] and chain propagation [Alù  
 13 and Engheta, 2006b], [Alù and Engheta, 2007]. As already anticipated, it should be noted  
 14 that the field distribution in this configuration is not necessarily “quasi-static” when  
 15 compared to the size of the nanowire, and in fact the argument of the Bessel functions is  
 16 not small, despite the sub-wavelength size of the waveguide. This is due to the fact that in  
 17 this regime a decrease in  $a$  corresponds to an hyperbolic increase of  $\beta$ , which may reach  
 18 values much larger than the background wave number  $k_0$ .

19 Fig. 2 presents the variation of  $\beta a$ , solution of Eq. (10), as a function of  $-\varepsilon_1 / \varepsilon_0$ . It is  
 20 noticeable how for a fixed permittivity the product  $\beta a$  is constant and not necessarily  
 21 small, implying that a smaller waveguide cross-section implies a larger  $\beta$  (i.e., slower  
 22 guided mode). As already noticed in the planar geometry [Alù and Engheta, 2005], [Alù

1 and Engheta, 2006], and in the cylindrical case in [Takahara et al., 1997], this property  
 2 implies that a smaller waveguide cross section of such plasmonic waveguides would  
 3 confine the guided modes in a smaller and smaller modal cross section, laterally very  
 4 confined around the interface between the plasmonic nanowire and the background  
 5 material, in an opposite way to what happens to modes guided by standard dielectric  
 6 materials. If this behavior ensures sub-diffractive propagation, as a drawback it also  
 7 implies a very slow guided mode when sub-wavelength waveguides are considered,  
 8 which corresponds to highly increased sensitivity to losses and modal dispersion. In other  
 9 words, the possibility of shrinking the guided mode to a sub-wavelength cross section is  
 10 quickly limited by the highly resonant slow wave factor and the high concentration of the  
 11 field in lossy materials.




12

13 Figure 2 – Solution of the dispersion relation (10) varying the nanowire material  $\epsilon_1$ .

14

15 This regime of operation may be obtained only for values of permittivity  $\epsilon_1 < -\epsilon_0$ , and  
 16 when the permittivity approaches its upper limit, the value of  $\beta a$  becomes increasingly

1 large, as Fig. 2 shows, since  $\varepsilon = -\varepsilon_0$  is the resonance condition for the simple nanowire  
 2 geometry (see Eq. (9) with  $\gamma = 1$ ) for resonant polariton modes, which are not supported  
 3 for  $n = 0$ .




4  
 5 Figure 3 – Dispersion of the normalized wave number with the nanowire radius varying the waveguide  
 6 permittivity  $\varepsilon_1$ .

7  
 8 Figure 3 shows the variation of the guided wave number with the waveguide radius,  
 9 showing the hyperbolic dispersion of the phase velocity with the waveguide size. It is  
 10 evident how for sub-wavelength waveguides very slow modes may be supported,  
 11 implying a higher sensitivity to losses and a higher Q factor. An increase in the  
 12 permittivity decreases the corresponding value of  $\beta$ , consistent with Fig. 2, and reduces  
 13 the sensitivity to losses, consistent with the fact that the field can hardly penetrate the  
 14 lossy plasmonic material when  $\text{Re}[\varepsilon_1]$  is sufficiently negative. These results for the  
 15 azimuthally symmetric mode, consistent with the results reported in the recent literature,



1 see, e.g., [Takahara et al., 1997], are correspondent to the analogous results in the planar  
 2 geometry [Alù and Engheta, 2006] and in periodic nanomaterials [Alù and Engheta,  
 3 2007] and nanowaveguides [Alù and Engheta, 2006b].



4  
 5 Figure 4 – Dispersion of the normalized damping factor, i.e., the imaginary part of the guided wave number  
 6  $\beta$ , adding losses to the materials considered in Fig. 3.


7  
 8 Figure 4 considers the presence of absorption in the materials used in Fig. 3, showing the  
 9 dispersion of  $\beta_i = \text{Im}[\beta]$ , which describes the attenuation factor of the guided modes. It  
 10 is evident how a trade-off should be found between modal cross-section and propagating  
 11 distance, since a too thin waveguide results in a very slow mode with a damping factor  
 12 that may result too high for any practical application. Consistent with the results in  
 13 [Takahara et al., 1997], it is evident here that it is possible to utilize natural materials,  
 14 like silver (blue dash-dot lines in Fig. 3-4) to realize sub-diffractive nanowaveguides at  
 15 optical frequencies.

1 A last note to add with regard to this regime of propagation refers to the anomalous  
2 power flow that is established in this type of plasmonic waveguides. The local time-  
3 averaged Poynting vector in the direction of propagation may be easily calculated from

4 Eq. (2) as  $\frac{1}{2} \text{Re} [E_{\rho} H_{\phi}^*]$ .

5 It may be shown analytically that the Poynting vectors in the plasmonic and background  
6 region are oppositely directed. Consistent with the planar geometry [Alù and Engheta,  
7 2006], in the cylindrical case the Poynting vector for any azimuthally symmetric guided  
8 mode is also anti-parallel to the phase propagation in the regions with negative  
9 permittivity and it is parallel to it in positive- $\epsilon$  materials.

10 A sketch of the power flow distribution for a nanowire waveguide supporting TM  
11 propagation is reported in Fig. 5, showing how the power flows are oppositely directed  
12 inside and outside the plasmonic interface. It is clear how the net-power flow is given by  
13 the difference between these two flows, and for this modal propagation, since  $\epsilon_1 < -\epsilon_0$ ,  
14 the field is mainly concentrated in the background region, implying forward-wave  
15 propagation (the power flow parallel to the phase velocity is necessarily larger in  
16 magnitude than the anti-parallel contribution flowing inside the nanowire). This is a  
17 necessary condition for these modes, suggesting that they are always forward-wave  
18 modes, as confirmed by the sign of  $\beta_i$  in Fig. 4. This is also consistent with the modal  
19 properties of periodic nanochain propagation in the longitudinal polarization, which in  
20 the limit of closely packed particles resembles this forward-wave regime [Alù and  
21 Engheta, 2006b].



1

2 Figure 5 – Power flow distribution (real part of  $S_z$ , i.e., the Poynting vector component along the  $z$  axis)  
 3 for a nanowire supporting TM  $n=0$  propagation. In this case, we have assumed  $\epsilon_1 = -3\epsilon_0$ ,  $a = \lambda_0/20$   
 4 and therefore  $\beta = 6.91k_0$ .

5

6 It is interesting to underline that the excitation of this anomalous power flow distribution,  
 7 which is typical of plasmonic waveguides, does not imply any violation of causality or a  
 8 need for anomalous feeding techniques. As we have already discussed in the planar  
 9 geometry [Alù and Engheta, 2005] for an analogous situation, this modal distribution is  
 10 an eigensolution that is obtained only in the steady-state regime and for an infinitely-long  
 11 waveguide. In a realistic waveguide, in which a source and a termination are present, the  
 12 source feeds the net power-flow, that is always directed away from it, whereas in the  
 13 termination section evanescent modes are excited at the abruption, which, together with  
 14 the necessary reflection, feed the backward power-flow directed back towards the source  
 15 in a part of the waveguide cross section. Even in the singular case for which the two  
 16 power flows are equal and opposite, the situation would lead to no paradox: in this case  
 17 the guided mode would look like a resonant cavity, which in the steady-state does not  
 18 take any net-power from the source, i.e., it can be self-sustained due to its resonant


1 properties. A mode-matching technique has numerically confirmed this analysis in the  
 2 planar configuration [Alù and Engheta, 2005].

3

#### 4 **4. Higher-Order Modes ( $n > 0$ )**

5 A very distinct regime of propagation is represented by the polaritonic regime, as  
 6 described in Section 2. In this case, ‘quasi-static’ field distributions may be supported,  
 7 implying that the product  $\beta a$  may now become, at least in principle, arbitrarily small.

8 The corresponding dispersion relation for these quasi-TM modes is given by Eq. (9).



9


10 Figure 6 – Variation of  $\beta_i$  versus  $a/\lambda_0$  for several  $\beta_r$  in the design of a nanowire for the quasi-TM  
 11 polaritonic mode. In this case it is assumed  $\text{Im}[\varepsilon]/\text{Re}[\varepsilon] = -0.01$  and  $n = 1$ .

12

13 For a fair comparison between the two regimes of propagation, we start the analysis from  
 14 the homogeneous nanowire. As already mentioned, the polaritonic resonance in this case  
 15 is obtained for  $\varepsilon_1 \ll -\varepsilon_0$ , for the dominant mode  $n = 1$ . In this quasi-TM modal regime,

1 we may design the value of the supported  $\beta = \beta_r + j\beta_i$  by slightly changing the  
2 waveguide geometry, or the nanowire permittivity around the condition  $\varepsilon_1 \square -\varepsilon_0$ . Figure  
3 6 shows the variation of  $\beta_i$ , i.e., the damping factor, varying the designed  $\beta_r$  and the  
4 nanowire radius  $a$ . This has been done assuming a loss tangent factor for the nanowire  
5 permittivity equal to  $\text{Im}[\varepsilon]/\text{Re}[\varepsilon] = -0.01$ . It can be seen in this case that a polaritonic  
6 mode may always be found with the desired  $\beta_r$  for any value of the radius  $a$ , even  
7 though once again the level of loss sensitivity increases in the small radius limit.  
8 Although this quasi-TM modal distribution allows an arbitrary choice of the slow-wave  
9 factor  $\beta$ , it is not realistic to assume that the desired permittivity value for the materials  
10 may be readily available at the frequency of interest, particularly if we want to rely on  
11 plasmonic materials present in nature. Moreover, the requirement of using values of  
12 permittivity close to  $-\varepsilon_0$  is not always desirable, since, as we have discussed in the  
13 previous section, a more negative value of permittivity generally implies a better  
14 robustness to losses, since the field hardly penetrates the lossy material.  
15 These two problems that the polaritonic regime presents may be both overcome by  
16 employing a core-shell system, as the one analyzed in Section 2. In this case, the  
17 additional degrees of freedom due to the presence of the extra shell may be employed to  
18 excite the polaritonic resonance with available and desirable values of permittivity at the  
19 frequency of interest.  
20 To demonstrate this point, we have reported in Fig. 7 a design considering realistic silver  
21 as the outer shell of a polaritonic waveguide, varying the permittivity of the inner core,  
22 for a fixed outer radius  $a = 32 \text{ nm}$  at the wavelength  $\lambda_0 = 633 \text{ nm}$ , for which the

- 1 permittivity of silver is  $\varepsilon_2 = (-19 - j0.53)\varepsilon_0$ , with  $\varepsilon_0$  being the free-space permittivity,
- 2 as used in [Takahara *et al.*, 1997].



3  
4 Figure 7 – (a) Variation of the required ratio of radii for the desired  $\beta_r$  and (b) variation of the  
5 corresponding  $\beta_i$ , for different values of the inner core permittivity in a nanowaveguide partly composed  
6 of silver at optical frequencies for the hybrid quasi-TM mode with  $n = 1$ .

7  
8 It is evident from the figure how we can fine tune the value of  $\beta_r$  as desired and, by  
9 varying the ratio of radii, we can obtain a minimized level of losses. Even though these  
10 level of losses are larger than those obtained in the azimuthally independent geometry  
11 reported in Fig. 4, a proper optimization may be carried out to obtain level of losses

1 analogous to the other regime of operation, consistent with the results we have obtained  
2 for the periodic chain propagation in the two polarizations (we note that this polaritonic  
3 regime would indeed correspond to the transverse propagation in [Alù and Engheta,  
4 2006b]).

5 A possible advantage of this configuration relies on the fact that here plasmonic modes  
6 may be supported also with backward propagation, since the hybrid quasi-TM mode  
7 supports backward propagation, again closely corresponding to the transverse  
8 propagation in the periodic chain guided propagation [Alù and Engheta, 2006b].

9 Backward propagation may be interesting for various purposes, in the framework of the  
10 new findings in left-handed or backward-wave media and their anomalous properties  
11 when interfaced with forward-wave materials (see, e.g., [Engheta and Ziolkowski, 2006]).

12 Also the possibility of exciting higher-order ( $n > 1$ ) modes may be considered in this  
13 polaritonic regime, with more concentrated field distributions in the transverse plane. We  
14 note however, that higher-order modes may have higher Q factors, and therefore poor  
15 bandwidth and higher sensitivity to losses.

16 As a final note, we should hint at ways to excite these azimuthally asymmetric guided  
17 modes, which necessarily require an asymmetric form of excitation. A near-field  
18 scanning optical microscope (NSOM) probe illuminating from the side the  
19 nanowaveguide or a plane wave illumination over a prism coupler are two viable ways of  
20 exciting these modes.

21

22 **5. Conclusions**

1 Here we have reported our recent theoretical analysis on some of the anomalous  
2 propagation properties of sub-diffractive modes along plasmonic or metamaterial  
3 cylindrical waveguides, with particular attention to the design of optical sub-wavelength  
4 nanowaveguides. We have been particularly interested in considering, in addition to the  
5 well known azimuthally symmetric propagation reported in the literature, a novel  
6 polaritonic excitation that may support a different regime of guided waves, with the  
7 possibility of backward propagation, of having a relatively faster guided modes, and of  
8 employing readily available plasmonic materials. These results may be of interest in the  
9 realization of plasmonic waveguides at RF, IR and optical frequencies.

10

## 11 ***References***

- 12 Abramowitz, M., and I. A. Stegun (1972), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with*  
13 *Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, Dover, New York, USA.
- 14 Al-Bader, S. J., and M. Imtaar (1992), Azimuthally uniform surface-plasma modes in thin  
15 metallic cylindrical shells, *IEEE Journal of Quantum Electronics* **28**, 525-533.
- 16 Alù, A., F. Bilotti, N. Engheta, and L. Vegni (2007), Sub-wavelength, compact, resonant  
17 patch antennas loaded with metamaterials, *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and*  
18 *Propagation* **55**, 13-25.
- 19 Alù, A., F. Bilotti, N. Engheta, and L. Vegni (2007b), Theory and simulations of a  
20 conformal omni-directional sub-wavelength metamaterial leaky-wave antenna, *IEEE*  
21 *Transactions on Antennas and Propagation* **55**, in press.
- 22 Alù, A., F. Bilotti, N. Engheta, and L. Vegni (2007c), Cylindrical metamaterial sub-  
23 wavelength antennas supporting higher-order leaky modes for cellular and satellite



1 applications, *Proceedings of the 23rd International Review of Progress in Applied*  
2 *Computational Electromagnetics (ACES 2007)*, Verona, Italy.

3 Alù, A., and N. Engheta (2003), Pairing an epsilon-negative slab with a mu-negative slab:  
4 anomalous tunneling and transparency, *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* **51**, 2558-2570.

5 Bohren, C. F., and D. R. Huffman (1983), *Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small*  
6 *Particles*, Wiley, New York, USA.

7 Alù, A., and N. Engheta (2005), An overview of salient properties of planar guided-wave  
8 structures with double-negative (DNG) and single-negative (SNG) layers, a chapter in  
9 *Negative Refraction Metamaterials: Fundamental Properties and Applications*, edited by  
10 G. V. Eleftheriades, and K. G. Balmain, pp. 339-380, IEEE Press, John Wiley & Sons  
11 Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, USA.

12 Alù, A., and N. Engheta (2005b), Polarizabilities and effective parameters for collections  
13 of spherical nano-particles formed by pairs of concentric double-negative (DNG), single-  
14 negative (SNG) and/or double-positive (DPS) metamaterial layers, *Journal of Applied*  
15 *Physics* **97**, 094310.

16 Alù, A., and N. Engheta (2006), Optical nano-transmission lines: synthesis of planar left-  
17 handed metamaterials in the infrared and visible regimes, *Journal of the Optical Society*  
18 *of America B* **23**, 571-583.

19 Alù, A., and N. Engheta (2006b), Theory of linear chains of metamaterial/plasmonic  
20 particles as sub-diffraction optical nanotransmission lines,” *Physical Review B* **74**,  
21 205436.

1 Alù, A., and N. Engheta (2007), Three-dimensional nanotransmission lines at optical  
2 frequencies: a recipe for broadband negative-refraction optical metamaterials, *Physical*  
3 *Review B* **75**, 024304.

4 Brongersma, M. L., J. W. Hartman, and H. A. Atwater (2000), Electromagnetic energy  
5 transfer and switching in nanoparticle chain arrays below the diffraction limit, *Physical*  
6 *Review B* **62**, 16356-16359.

7 Chu, L. J. (1948), Physical limitations of omni-directional antennas, *Journal of Applied*  
8 *Physics* **19**, 1163-1175.

9 Engheta, N. (2002), An idea for thin subwavelength cavity resonators using  
10 metamaterials with negative permittivity and permeability, *IEEE Antennas and Wireless*  
11 *Propagation Lett.* **1**, 10-13.

12 Engheta, N., and R. W. Ziolkowski (2006), *Metamaterials: Physics and Engineering*  
13 *Explorations*, IEEE Press, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, USA.

14 Landau, L., and E. M. Lifschitz (1984), *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media*, Pergamon  
15 Press, Oxford, UK.

16 Lezec, H. J., J. A. Dionne, and H. A. Atwater (2007), *Negative refraction at visible*  
17 *frequencies*, *Science* **316**, 430-432.

18 Oldenburg, S. J., J. B. Jackson, S. L. Westcott, and N. J. Halas (1999), Infrared extinction  
19 properties of gold nanoshells, *Applied Physics Letters* **75**, 2897-2899.

20 Pendry, J. B. Negative refraction makes a perfect lens, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3966-3969.

21 Pincherle, L. (1944), Electromagnetic waves in metal tubes filled longitudinally with two  
22 dielectrics, *Phys. Rev.* **66**, 118-130.

- 1 Rusch, W. T. (1962), Propagation constants of surface waves on a plasma-clad cylinder,  
2 *IEEE Trans. Antennas and Propagation* **10**, 213-214.
- 3 Takahara, J., S. Yamagishi, H. Taki, A. Morimoto, and T. Kobayashi (1997), Guiding of  
4 a one-dimensional optical beam with nanometer diameter, *Optics Letters* **22**, 475-477.
- 5 Vigants, A., S. P. Schlesinger (1962), Surface waves on radially inhomogeneous  
6 cylinders, *IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques* **10**, 375-382.
- 7 Ziolkowski, R. W., and A. D. Kipple (2003), Application of double negative materials to  
8 increase the power radiated by electrically small antennas, *IEEE Transactions on*  
9 *Antennas and Propagation* **51**, 2626-2640.