



May 2008

Robustness in Design and Background Variations in Metamaterial/Plasmonic Cloaking

Andrea Alù

University of Pennsylvania, andreal@seas.upenn.edu

Nader Engheta

University of Pennsylvania, engheta@seas.upenn.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.upenn.edu/ese_papers

Recommended Citation

Andrea Alù and Nader Engheta, "Robustness in Design and Background Variations in Metamaterial/Plasmonic Cloaking", . May 2008.

Postprint version. An edited version of this paper was published by AGU. Copyright 2000 American Geophysical Union.

Alù, Andrea; Engheta, Nader, (2008), Robustness in Design and Background Variations in Metamaterial/Plasmonic Cloaking, *Radio Science*, Volume 43, Issue 4, Article RS4S01, May 2008.

Publisher URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2007RS003815>

This paper is posted at ScholarlyCommons. http://repository.upenn.edu/ese_papers/400

For more information, please contact libraryrepository@pobox.upenn.edu.

Robustness in Design and Background Variations in Metamaterial/ Plasmonic Cloaking

Abstract

Here we discuss our recent numerical results concerning the robustness of the scattering cancellation effect produced by a plasmonic cloak with near-zero permittivity and correspondingly negative polarizability. Being based on an integral effect and on an intrinsically non-resonant phenomenon, we show how variations of the geometrical parameters of the design and changes in the background do not sensibly affect the invisibility properties of the plasmonic/metamaterial cloak. Design examples are presented and discussed in order to highlight this robustness and to provide some insights into this cloaking phenomenon, different from other cloaking techniques recently presented in the literature.

Comments

Postprint version. An edited version of this paper was published by AGU. Copyright 2000 American Geophysical Union.

Alù, Andrea; Engheta, Nader, (2008), Robustness in Design and Background Variations in Metamaterial/
Plasmonic Cloaking, *Radio Science*, Volume 43, Issue 4, Article RS4S01, May 2008.

Publisher URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2007RS003815>

1 ***Robustness in Design and Background Variations in***
2 ***Metamaterial/Plasmonic Cloaking***

3
4 *Andrea Alù and Nader Engheta*

5 *University of Pennsylvania*

6 *Department of Electrical and Systems Engineering*

7 *Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, U.S.A.*

8
9 **Abstract**

10 Here we discuss our recent numerical results concerning the robustness of the scattering
11 cancellation effect produced by a plasmonic cloak with near-zero permittivity and
12 correspondingly negative polarizability. Being based on an integral effect and on an
13 intrinsically non-resonant phenomenon, we show how variations of the geometrical
14 parameters of the design and changes in the background do not sensibly affect the
15 invisibility properties of the plasmonic/metamaterial cloak. Design examples are
16 presented and discussed in order to highlight this robustness and to provide some insights
17 into this cloaking phenomenon, different from other cloaking techniques recently
18 presented in the literature.

19
20 ***1. Introduction***

21 Metamaterial science and technology has received increased attention in the past few
22 years, due to some potential breakthrough technologies and applications based on their

1 anomalous physical properties [Pendry, 2000], [Engheta, Ziolkowski, 2006]. Also
2 plasmonic materials, often naturally available in the optical and infrared domains
3 [Bohren, Huffman, 1983], may be protagonist of several anomalous electromagnetic
4 effects due to their anomalous values of permittivity, which can lead to ideas for some
5 novel devices and components.

6 As one of such metamaterial applications, cloaking has been recently investigated by
7 several groups worldwide. Transformation-based cloaking with specifically tailored
8 metamaterial cloaks is arguably one of the prominent techniques in this sense, as first
9 envisioned in [Pendry, et al., 2006], [Leonhardt, 2006]. Experimental realization,
10 applications at different frequencies, limitations and properties of this cloaking technique
11 have been discussed in subsequent contributions [Cummer, et al., 2006], [Schurig, et al.,
12 2006], [Schurig, et al., 2006b], [Leonhardt, 2006b], [Cai, et al., 2007]. A different
13 cloaking technique, based on anomalous localized resonances, has also been recently
14 proposed in [Milton, Nicorovici, 2006], [Milton, et al., 2006]. As an alternative
15 possibility, scattering reduction associated with the use of soft and hard surface has been
16 discussed at microwave frequencies [Kildal, et al., 1996]. Intrinsic limitations dictated by
17 causality over these cloaking techniques and the possible use of active materials and
18 sources have also been discussed in a subsequent interesting contribution [Miller, 2006].

19 In our group, we have been interested in the concepts of cloaking and transparency for
20 several years. In 2003, we proposed a mechanism based on the resonance of
21 complementary metamaterials to make planar layers of otherwise opaque materials totally
22 transparent to the impinging radiation [Alù, Engheta, 2003]. Later on, we proposed the
23 use of covers with negative polarizability in order to cancel the dominant portion of the

1 scattering from a given object [Alù, Engheta, 2005]. Some aspects of this mechanism had
2 been suggested under stringent quasi-static assumptions in earlier works [Kerker, 1975],
3 [Chew, Kerker, 1976]. This mechanism is based on the anomalous negative scattering
4 properties that characterize some plasmonic materials.

5 In [Alù, Engheta, 2005], [Alù, Engheta, 2007], we have reported some detailed full-wave
6 analysis of the scattering reduction properties applied to dielectric and metallic objects,
7 underlining the intrinsic robustness of this mechanism, whereas in [Alù, Engheta, 2007b]
8 we have extended these concepts to collections of particles and larger objects. Moreover,
9 in [Silveirinha, et al., 2007], we have shown how similar concepts may be achieved not
10 only with isotropic and homogeneous plasmonic materials at IR and optical frequencies,
11 which may be naturally available, but also with simple parallel-plate metamaterials that
12 effectively possess similar plasmonic properties in a cylindrical geometry.

13 The mechanism of cloaking on which this scattering cancellation is based on is inherently
14 non-resonant, which represents an important distinction from the other previously
15 mentioned techniques for cloaking that have been recently proposed. This implies that
16 this mechanism, as we discuss in the following, is relatively robust to changes, in
17 frequency, design, shape and electromagnetic parameters of both the cloaked object and
18 the cover itself. Some discussions regarding this robustness have been presented in [Alù,
19 Engheta, 2007b], where we have shown how the presence of reasonable ohmic losses,
20 shift in the frequency of operation, presence of small defects on the surface of the object
21 to be cloaked do not change the main effect of drastic scattering reduction with respect to
22 the bare case. In the following, we analyze and discuss in more detail the robustness of

1 this cloaking technique with some further numerical simulations and novel physical
2 insights.

3

4 2. *Design Robustness*

5 The visibility of an object at a specific frequency is directly associated with its total
6 scattering. Therefore, the multipole expansion of its scattered fields, in terms of the Mie
7 coefficients, allows obtaining an overall figure of merit for the cloaking of a given object.
8 Using the notation of [Alù, Engheta, 2005b], we may define the total scattering cross
9 section (SCS) of a given object as:

$$10 \quad \sigma_s = \frac{2\pi}{k_0^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^n (2n+1) \left(|c_{nm}^{TE}|^2 + |c_{nm}^{TM}|^2 \right), \quad (1)$$

11 which measures the degree of visibility of a 3D object, independent of the position of the
12 observer and the form of excitation. It is noted, in particular, that substantially reducing
13 σ_s by canceling one or more of the dominant scattering coefficients c is equivalent to
14 cloaking the object to its surrounding. As we have first shown in [Alù, Engheta, 2005],
15 this may be done by employing covers constituted of plasmonic materials with
16 permittivity near zero. It is interesting to note that the cover design is relatively
17 straightforward when compared with the design of inhomogeneity and anisotropy profiles
18 required for transformation-based cloaking techniques. A homogeneous, isotropic
19 permittivity of about one tenth of the background's permittivity may achieve, under
20 proper conditions, a substantial reduction of scattering from the object, by canceling or
21 reducing one or more of the coefficients corresponding to the dominant TM spherical
22 harmonics. If the object is made of conducting material and/or electrically not too small,
23 and portion of its scattering is dominated by TE harmonics, a cover with a properly

1 designed positive permeability larger than the permeability of the background is usually
2 sufficient to cancel a good portion of this residual scattering [Alù, Engheta, 2007].
3 The mechanism of this type of cloaking, as described in [Alù, Engheta, 2005], is based on
4 the fact that the scattered wave from the cloaking material is “oppositely-signed” with
5 respect to that of the object to be cloaked. This implies that a judicious choice of the
6 cloak volume surrounding the object may almost completely cancel the scattering from
7 the system. A corollary of this collective cancellation mechanism is that the small
8 changes in the shape of the cloak and/or of the object do not substantially affect such
9 scattering reduction.


10 Consider for instance the perfectly electric conducting (PEC) sphere analyzed in [Alù,
11 Engheta, 2007], with diameter $2a = 0.4\lambda_0$, λ_0 being the background (free-space)
12 wavelength at the design frequency f_0 , covered by a suitably designed cover with
13 permittivity $\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_0 \left[1 - \omega_p^2 / \omega(\omega - j\gamma) \right]$, with ω_p chosen in such a way to have
14 $\text{Re}[\varepsilon_c] = 0.1\varepsilon_0$ at frequency f_0 , $\gamma = 0.002\omega_p$ to consider the possible presence of
15 realistic ohmic losses, permeability $\mu_c = 5.1\mu_0$ and radius $a_c = 1.09a$. Here ε_0 , μ_0 are
16 permittivity and permeability of free-space that constitutes the background material in
17 this and the following examples. The presence of the properly designed cover reduces the
18 total scattering cross section by more than 99% at the design frequency, when compared
19 to the bare sphere, making it effectively invisible at the design frequency, in all directions
20 and independent on the polarization and form of excitation [Alù, Engheta, 2007]. These
21 already exciting results, which show the robustness of this technique and its versatility
22 compared to other cloaking techniques, are even more striking if we start deforming and

1 varying the shape of the original object. In [Alù, Engheta, 2007], we have added dimples
2 and bumps or cuts on the surface of the PEC sphere, achieving very similar results in
3 terms of total scattering reduction. Here we consider a gradual transformation of the
4 original sphere in a more and more eccentric spheroidal shape, varying the length of two
5 of its three axes.

6 Figure 1a shows the plot of the peak in the scattering-cross-section radiation pattern
7 versus normalized frequency, evaluated with CST Microwave StudioTM, for several
8 different configurations when excited by a plane wave. The solid black line refers to the
9 original cloaked sphere, consistent with the results in [Alù, Engheta, 2007]. Compared to
10 the dashed line, which corresponds to the bare sphere, the drastic reduction of scattering
11 caused by the cloak over a relatively broad range of frequencies around f_0 is indeed
12 evident. The other lines refer to different geometries obtained after a perturbation of this
13 spherical shape, for which both the object and the cloak are squeezed in the plane
14 orthogonal to the impinging electric field, making the cloaked object prolate spheroids.
15 The numbers in the inset refer to the ratio between the minor and major axes of each
16 spheroid. It should be noted that the spheroid axis parallel to the impinging electric field
17 (the one that effectively determines the “electrical aperture” of the object), has been kept
18 fixed in all the different geometries, whereas the other two axes have been shortened
19 accordingly to the ratio indicated in the inset of Fig. 1. For better comparison, the
20 scattering peak gain (ratio in dB between the peak in scattering cross section of the bare
21 sphere and that of the cloaked objects) has been reported in Fig. 1b.

22 It is evident how the cloaking effect is not worsened by a drastic change in the geometry
23 and, even if the object shape is significantly distorted, the cloaking bandwidth and

1 efficiency remain impressively large (even larger when the spheroids are very “prolate,
2 looking” like needles). The reason for this additional increase in bandwidth when prolate
3 spheroids are considered resides in the fact that the object is electrically smaller in one
4 dimension, allowing a reduction of the non-dipolar scattering that is usually more
5 challenging. This makes the whole setup more robust to variations in the geometry and
6 frequency of operation. In this sense, it is worth underlining that the orientation of the
7 spheroids with their major axis parallel to the impinging electric field represents the
8 “worst-case” scenario for this scattering reduction. Any other orientation of the particle
9 would provide even better cloaking results, due to the smaller effective “aperture” of the
10 object.



1

2 Figure 1 – (a) Peak in the scattering-cross-section pattern versus normalized frequency and (b) scattering
 3 peak gain compared to the bare sphere, for several geometries: starting from the cloaked sphere designed in
 4 [Alù, Engheta, 2007] (black line), the other curves refer to prolate spheroids obtained by squeezing two
 5 axes of the original sphere, with a ratio indicated in the inset.

6


7 It is important to underline that here the cloaking materials have not been re-optimized in
 8 each simulation, but rather the parameters of the original (spherical) cloaking material
 9 have been used to design all the other spheroidal cloaks, only deforming both object and
 10 cloak with the same aspect ratio. This shows the robustness of the design to changes in

1 shape and design parameters: going from a sphere to a needle, the cloaking effect remains
2 essentially unaffected.

3 The variation of the frequency dispersion and bandwidth with different cover thicknesses
4 has been analyzed in details in [Alù, Engheta, 2007]. Consistent with the results of Fig. 1,
5 the perturbation of the sphere to a spheroidal shape does not notably modify the concepts
6 outlined in [Alù, Engheta, 2007], which have shown robustness to the geometrical and
7 electromagnetic parameters of the cloak and a relatively large bandwidth of operation,
8 due to the intrinsically non-resonant properties of this phenomenon. Possible variations in
9 the shape and design of the cloak, due to technological imperfections, like bumps,
10 dimples and cuts have been addressed in [Alù, Engheta, 2007b] and they similarly apply
11 to this scenario.

12 Figures 2 and 3 report the real part of the Poynting vector distribution in the E plane for
13 some of the spheroids simulated in Figure 1 at the design frequency f_0 . The plane wave
14 is impinging in all the panels from left to right, with the electric field linearly polarized
15 from top to bottom. Figure 2a refers to the spheroid with ratio between minor and major
16 axes being 5/6, Fig. 2b to 1/2, Fig. 3a to 1/3 and Fig. 3b to 1/6. It can be seen how the
17 Poynting vector is rerouted around the impenetrable object by the suitably designed
18 plasmonic cover (which is homogeneous and isotropic) and its presence may be hardly
19 detectable by an external observer, even if placed very close to its surface. The overall
20 effect is similar to the one obtainable with a transformation-based cloak, in the sense of
21 rerouting of the energy in the cloak region, but here the cloak has an arguably much
22 simpler geometry and design and it is also thinner. The effect is still unchanged when the
23 object becomes needle-shaped, with a strong aspect ratio, despite its length and shape


1 being close to those of a resonant half-wavelength dipole, which is expected to produce a
2 strong scattering response when uncovered.



3
4 Figure 2 – Real part of Poynting vector distribution in the E plane at the design frequency f_0 for a cloaked
5 spheroid as in Fig. 1. Panel (a) refers to a ratio between minor and major axis of $5/6$, panel (b) for $1/2$.
6

7 It is also noted that here we are dealing with impenetrable objects. In the case of
8 dielectric objects to be cloaked, on the other hand, the wave is not necessarily required to
9 be rerouted around the object, but it can simply pass through it, with the cover simply

1 eliminating the distortion in the outside region. This may allow better bandwidth and
2 cloaking efficiency compared to the PEC scenario, which remains the most challenging
3 for an effective cloak.



4


5 Figure 3 – Similar to Fig. 2, but for a ratio between minor and major axis of: (a) 1/3; (b) 1/6.

6

7 3. *Background Variations*


1 As a different set of simulations that shows the robustness of this cloaking technique to
2 changes in the background environment, we have simulated the original cloaked PEC
3 sphere in Fig. 1 with a nearby conducting (PEC) cubic object. Figure 4 and 5 show the
4 magnetic and electric field distributions on the E and H planes, respectively, for plane
5 wave incidence on such a system. The distance between the PEC cube and the cloaked
6 object is completely arbitrary, and the two objects may be as close as desired, due to the
7 cloaking properties discussed above. In [Alù, Engheta, 2007b] we have discussed in
8 details these coupling issues between different neighboring objects in relation with this
9 cloaking setup. In each figure, panel (a) refers to the case in which the sphere is bare,
10 whereas in panel (b) the sphere is cloaked by the properly designed cover described
11 above. One can see how in the first scenario the plane wave impinging on the system is
12 highly perturbed by the presence of the uncloaked PEC sphere and it can barely feel the
13 presence of the cube, which is placed in the sphere “shadow”. However, when the sphere
14 is cloaked, the planar phase fronts are essentially restored right outside the sphere, and
15 the plane wave “tunnels” through the sphere and illuminates the cube as if the sphere
16 were effectively not there, even if the cube is placed right behind it. The small scattering
17 perceived by an external observer is just the one coming from the cube, as if the sphere
18 were not there. In other words, an observer placed on the back of the sphere, from where
19 the plane wave originates, would “perceive” the presence of the cube through the
20 impenetrable sphere.

21 This effect can be seen in both planes of polarization in Fig. 4-5, and it shows how this
22 cloaking effect is indeed achievable in 3D and independent of the polarization of the
23 incident field and the observer’s position.




1
2
3
4
5

Figure 4 – Magnetic field distribution in the E plane for a system formed by the perfectly electric conducting (PEC) sphere of Fig. 1 and a small conducting cube placed behind it. In panel (a) the sphere is bare, i.e., uncloaked, in (b) it is cloaked with the optimized cloak of Fig. 1.



1
2
3

Figure 5 – Electric field distribution in the H plane for the geometry of Fig. 4.



1


2 Figure 6 – Magnetic field distribution in the E plane for the same geometry as Fig. 4-5, but for an electric
 3 dipole excitation placed very close to the sphere on the back of it with respect to the cube.

4

5 Figure 6 and 7 refers to the same geometry as in Fig. 4-5, but now for a finite near-zone
 6 excitation in the form of an electric dipole placed behind the sphere. Also in this case, it
 7 is striking to see how the cloak may effectively restore the dipolar pattern, isolating the
 8 scattering of the small cube on the back of the cloaked object. Once again, an observer
 9 placed near the source location would not experience the presence of the cloaked system.

10 The two figures refer to the two polarization planes and confirm how the cloak is robust

- 1 to changes in the surrounding environment and to the polarization and form of the source,
- 2 which may be placed in the near as well as in the far-zone region.



3
4 Figure 7 – Electric field distribution in the H plane for the geometry and excitation of Fig. 6.
5
6 The functionality of this cloaking technique and the examples in Fig. 4-7 may effectively
7 represent a novel scenario for drastically lowering the field disturbance that a sensor
8 introduces when it is near the structure it measures. If the sphere in the previous examples

1 represents the tip of a sensor (e.g., Near Field Scanning Optical Microscope (NSOM) tip)
2 placed near a small object to be detected/measured, it is clear that the reduction in
3 disturbance that this robust cloaking mechanism may achieve would greatly improve the
4 overall measurement mechanism and possibly its signal-to-noise ratio. This is one of the
5 potential applications we are planning to explore.

6

7 ***Conclusions***

8 Here we have presented some numerical results and full-wave simulations concerning the
9 robustness of the scattering cancellation method in metamaterial cloaking applications.
10 We have shown how this setup may be robust to shape or design variations, even
11 relatively drastic, or to the presence of small objects in the surrounding of the cloaked
12 system. Applications for scattering reduction and non-invasive probing and sensing can
13 be forecasted over different frequency regimes using naturally-available plasmonic
14 materials and/or metamaterials.

15

16 ***References***

17 Alù, A., and N. Engheta (2003), Pairing an epsilon-negative slab with a mu-negative slab:
18 anomalous tunneling and transparency, *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* **51**, 2558-2570.

19

20 Alù, A., and N. Engheta (2005), Achieving transparency with plasmonic and
21 metamaterial coatings, *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 016623.

22

1 Alù, A., and N. Engheta (2005b), Polarizabilities and effective parameters for collections
2 of spherical nano-particles formed by pairs of concentric double-negative (DNG), single-
3 negative (SNG) and/or double-positive (DPS) metamaterial layers, *Journal of Applied*
4 *Physics* **97**, 094310.

5

6 Alù, A., and N. Engheta (2007), Plasmonic materials in transparency and cloaking
7 problems: mechanism, robustness, and physical insights, *Optics Express* **15**, 3318-3332.

8

9 Alù, A., and N. Engheta (2007b), Cloaking and transparency for collections of particles
10 with metamaterial and plasmonic covers, *Optics Express* **15**, 7578-7590.

11

12 Bohren, C. F., and D. R. Huffman (1983), *Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small*
13 *Particles*, Wiley, New York, USA.

14

15 Cai, W., U. K. Chettiar, A. V. Kildishev, and V. M. Shalaev (2007), Optical cloaking
16 with metamaterials, *Nature Photonics* **1**, 224-227.

17

18 Chew, H., and M. Kerker (1976), Abnormally low electromagnetic scattering cross
19 sections, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **66**, 445-449.

20

21 Cummer, S. A., B. I. Popa, D. Schurig, D. R. Smith, and J. B. Pendry (2006), Full-wave
22 simulations of electromagnetic cloaking structures, *Phys. Rev. E* **74**, 036621.

23

1 Engheta, N., and R. W. Ziolkowski (2006), *Metamaterials: Physics and Engineering*
2 *Explorations*, IEEE Press, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, USA.
3
4 Kerker, M. (1975), Invisible bodies, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **65**, 376- 379 (1975).
5
6 Kildal, P. S., A. A. Kishk, and A. TENGs (1996), Reduction of forward scattering from
7 cylindrical objects using hard surfaces, *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.* **44**, 1509–1520.
8
9 Leonhardt, U. (2006), Optical conformal mapping, *Science* **312**, 1777-1780.
10
11 Leonhardt, U. (2006b), Notes on conformal invisibility devices, *New Journal of Physics*
12 **8**, 118.
13
14 Miller, D. A. B. (2006), *Opt. Expr.* **14**, 12457.
15
16 Milton, G. W., and N. A. Nicorovici (2006), On the cloaking effects associated with
17 anomalous localized resonance, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A: Math. Phys. Sci.* **462**, 3027–3059.
18
19 Milton, G. W., M. Briane, and J. R. Willis (2006), On cloaking for elasticity and physical
20 equations with a transformation invariant form, *New Journal of Physics* **8**, 248.
21

1 Nicorovici, N. A. P., G. W. Milton, R. C. McPhedran, and L. C. Botten (2007),
2 Quasistatic cloaking of two-dimensional polarizable discrete systems by anomalous
3 resonance, *Optics Express* **15**, 6314-6323.
4
5 Pendry, J. B. (2000), Negative refraction makes a perfect lens, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3966-
6 3969.
7
8 Pendry, J. B., D. Schurig, and D. R. Smith (2006), Controlling electromagnetic fields,
9 *Science* **312**, 1780-1782.
10
11 Schurig, D., J. J. Mock, B. J. Justice, S. A. Cummer, J. B. Pendry, A. F. Starr, and D. R.
12 Smith (2006), Metamaterial electromagnetic cloak at microwave frequencies, *Science*
13 **314**, 977-980.
14
15 Schurig, D., J. B. Pendry, and D. R. Smith (2006b), Calculation of material properties
16 and ray tracing in transformation media, *Optics Express* **14**, 9794-9804.
17
18 Silveirinha, M. G., A. Alù, and N. Engheta (2007), Parallel-plate metamaterials for
19 cloaking structures, *Phys. Rev. E* **75**, 036603.