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Abstract

We report on a novel leg strain sensory system for the autonomous robot RHex [8] implemented upon a cheap, high performance local wireless network [1]. We introduce a model for RHex's 4-bar legs [4] relating leg strain to leg kinematic configuration in the body coordinate frame. We compare against ground truth measurement the performance of the model operating on real-time leg strain data generated under completely realistic operating conditions. We introduce an algorithm for computing six degree of freedom body posture measurements in world frame coordinates from the outputs of the six leg configuration models, together with a priori information about the ground. We discuss the manner in which such stance phase configuration estimates will be fused with other sensory data to develop the continuous time full body state estimates for RHex.

1 Introduction

The hexapod robot, RHex [8], presently driven by task level open loop controllers performs extraordinarily well over badly broken and unstable surfaces at speeds exceeding two body lengths per second [9]. However, both the efficiency and behavioral repertoire of RHex are limited because of the lack of information about its state and that of its environment. In our initial studies with sensor based controllers we observed significant behavioral improvement from even minimal feedback [2,6]. In this paper we describe a much longer step toward the implementation of robust full state estimation for RHex.

A recently developed high performance family of dynamical hexapodal robot running controllers presumes the availability of full body state estimates [5] throughout the stance and flight phases of locomotion. This paper introduces a novel leg strain sensory system for the autonomous robot RHex [8] that will, in concert with other sensory modalities, supply the information stream at the bandwidth required to implement these new controllers on that machine.

We introduce a model for RHex's 4-bar legs [4] relating leg strain to leg kinematic configuration in the body coordinate frame. We compare against ground truth measurement the performance of the model operating on real-time leg strain data generated under completely realistic operating conditions. We introduce an algorithm for computing six degree of freedom body posture in world frame coordinates from the outputs of the six leg configuration models, together with a priori information about the ground. We discuss the manner in which such stance phase configuration estimates would be fused with other sensory data to develop the continuous time full body state estimates.

Figure 1: RHex with 4-bar legs in a natural setting.
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tinual recirculation. The strain measurements from the compliant members of the freely rotating 4-bar legs are obtained through a wireless high speed network, LegNet [1], which is also described briefly.

The organization of the paper is as follows. We start with a brief introduction to the 4-bar legs in Section 2 followed by a modeling discussion in Section 3 that introduces a polynomial representation of the empirical function relating strain measurement to leg configuration. Section 4 concerns the data collection and fitting procedures for computation of leg model. Model performance is demonstrated by empirical data. A body posture computation algorithm is explained in Section 5. Empirical data is presented to discuss its performance. Section 6 concludes with final remarks, a summary of contributions and future directions.

2 4-Bar Legs

The RHex 4-bar leg [4] illustrated in Figure 2 is a passive mechanical system composed of four parts: a hip clamp; front and rear compliant members; and a shin. The compliant members are thin rectangular fiber glass strips that are rigidly fixed at one end to the shin and connected to the hip clamp at the opposite end by a revolute joint (hinge) creating a 4-bar linkage structure on a plane which will be refer as the “leg plane” and denoted by \( L := \mathbb{R}^2 \). The compliant parts are flexible in directions within the leg plane, \( L \), but “infinitely” stiff in other directions for all practical purposes. Combined with the constraints imposed by the closed chain mechanism the entire leg structure remains in the leg plane, \( L \), for all times. The hip clamp rigidly attaches this leg structure to the hip motor shaft such that the shaft axis is normal to the leg plane, \( L \), and goes through its origin.

![Figure 2: Key components of 4-bar leg mechanism.](image)

A strain gauge (Vishay EA-250BK-10C) is installed onto the rear compliant part for measurement of strain, \( \sigma \in \Sigma := \mathbb{R}^+ \), which varies as a function of leg configuration. The gauge is located on the inside face near the hinge where strain is the smallest. In our empirical studies the maximum strain measured during tripod walking gait is approximately 1000 microstrain which falls within the \( 10^6 \) cycle at 1500 microstrain range reported in the strain gauge specifications.

RHex’s freely rotating legs introduce a significant technical difficulty in taking measurements from sensors installed on them — this is essentially a “remote sensing” problem. In our implementation strain gauge measurements are transferred to the PCI104 stack over a bi-directional digital wireless communication network, LegNet [1], featuring 50 Kband communication channel over a 916MHz carrier connecting a master located in the PCI104 stack in the body to six self-contained embedded slave units mounted on each leg. In this setting we achieve synchronous sampling of all legs at 300Hz at 6-bit resolution.

3 Leg Model

We prefer to represent leg configuration in the clamp frame, \( \mathcal{C} := \mathbb{R}^+ \times S^1 \) — a polar coordinate system, attached to the hip clamp as depicted in Figure 3(b), whose states are the distance between hip attachment point and the toe, \( l \in \mathbb{R}^+ \), or “the effective leg length”; and, the angular deflection of toe vector from its rest position, \( \delta \in S^1 \).

Considering a quasi-static setting we ignore damping and leg mass and model the 4-bar leg as a pure passive spring attached to the hip clamp with torsional and radial compliance giving rise to a memoryless leg configuration model. Furthermore, since the locus of the physically valid toe positions, presented in Figure 4(left), has very small area we will consider 4-bar leg effectively as a 1-DOF mechanism. As a result, we define a scalar leg model mapping the strain, \( \sigma \), to the polar coordinates of the toe, \( c \), given by a Taylor function, \( m : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \),

\[
c = \begin{bmatrix} l \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} = m(\sigma) := \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} \sigma^j \right] \\ \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left[ \frac{\pi^2}{2} \sigma^j \right] \end{bmatrix}
\] (1)

1At a typical walking speed, the legs recirculate at roughly 2 Hz, hence we should expect mechanical sensor failures at a specified leg every \( 5 \times 10^5 \) sec, hence, with six operating simultaneously, at some leg every \( 8 \times 10^4 \) sec. Thus, at a typical a cruising speed of 1 m/s, we would expect to travel 80 km before suffering a leg sensor failure.
Figure 3: 4-bar leg (a) at rest and (b) during compression. Left sketch depicts two coordinate frames: the body frame, $F_b$ and a clamp frame, $C$. The right sketch illustrates the basic leg configuration variables, length, $l$, and deflection, $\delta$, on a compressed leg. The dashed line depicts the approximate one dimensional locus of physically relevant toe positions.

where the length coefficients, $\{\eta_i^l | i = 0, ..., N\}$, and deflection coefficients, $\{\eta_i^\delta | i = 0, ..., N\}$, are computed by the fitting studies in Section 4.1.

4 System Identification

4.1 Data Collection and Model Fitting Study

A benchtop setup [3] is utilized to collect quasi-static leg data for modeling. This two degree of freedom setup allows the user to manually alter the configuration of an attached leg while recording the strain, $\sigma$, effective leg length, $l$, and leg deflection, $\delta$, as well as the reaction force at the toe, $F_r$.

The raw benchtop data set has an uneven distribution over the leg configuration space and contains configurations that cannot occur during locomotion. Therefore, prior to the fitting studies the raw data is averaged out and evenly sampled, then filtered to pick those “physically relevant” points that correspond to ground contact with no slippage characterized by two conditions: 1) positive ground reaction force, $F_n > 0$; and 2) surface force that is less than the maximum Columb friction force, $F_s < F_c$. The maximum Columb friction force is given by $F_c = \mu F_n$ where $F_n$ is the normal component of the ground reaction force, and $\mu$ is the friction coefficient which is empirically measured to be 0.5 for the 4-bar legs over card board paper. Figure 4(left) shows the scatter-plot of the set of physically relevant toe positions which forms a “thin” set — i.e., essentially a thickened curve — in the leg plane, $C$.

Figure 4(center) and Figure 4(right) illustrate scatter-plots of the effective leg length, $l$, and the deflection angle, $\delta$, versus measured strain, $\sigma$, respectively. We compute the coefficients of the leg model in (1) by ordinary least squares. Letting $d$ denote model prediction of a physical measurement, $\delta$, we measure model performance by the normalized root mean square error, $p(d, \hat{d})$, between the original data, $d$, and the corresponding model output, $\hat{d}$, given by

$$p(d, \hat{d}) := \sqrt{\frac{\|d - \hat{d}\|^2}{M(d_{\text{max}})^2}} \times 100$$

where $M$ is the length of the data vectors and $d_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum value in original data.

Table 1 reports fitting and cross validation performances for the leg model in (1) with varying polynomial order, $N$. Since the improvement in model performance is insignificant for quadratic and higher order polynomials we choose to use the linear model due to its simplicity. Figure 4 also presents the best linear fits for the leg length, $l$, and deflection angle, $\delta$.

4.2 Verification of Leg Model in Walking

In order to assess the performance of the linear leg model during walking we ran a set of experiments where the leg configuration as measured by a “ground truth” visual test station is compared with the leg model output. During these experiments we concentrate on a single leg whose motion is recorded by a high speed camera (RadLake HR-1000) at 125Hz and processed off-line to obtain ground truth leg configuration.
5 Body Posture Computation

Define “tripod stride” to be a motion of the robot where the body is supported by three legs whose ground contact points (toes) are non-collinear and stationary (no slippage and no lift-off) for a time interval, \( t \in [0, t_s] \). During a tripod stride the body frame, \( B \), is related to the world frame, \( \mathcal{W} \), by a unique homogenous transformation which is parameterized by the configurations of the supporting legs.

Driven by this observation we introduce an on-line algorithm for 6-DOF body pose computation within a tripod stride in Section 5.1 followed by a discussion of preliminary verification studies in Section 5.2.

5.1 Computation of Body Pose within a Tripod Stride

Figure 6 illustrates a typical robot posture during a tripod stride. Without any loss of generality we will assume that supporting leg indices are \( i = 0, 1, 2 \). Using the leg model defined in (1) we compute the position of the \( i \) toe, \( \mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{m}(\sigma_i) \), in its associated hip clamp frame, \( \mathcal{C}_i \), as a function of the strain across its compliant part, \( \sigma_i \). The hip clamp frame, \( \mathcal{C}_i \), is related to the body coordinate frame, \( B \), by a homogenous transformation, \( h_b^{i} : \mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow B \), which is parametrized by the angular position of its hip shaft, \( \theta_i \). It directly follows
that the position of the toe in the body coordinate frame, $s_i \in B$, is given by $s_i(\theta_i, \sigma_i) = h_i^{\theta_i} \circ m(\sigma_i)$.

Using the three ground contact points, $s_i$, we define the support coordinate frame, $Q$, centered at $s_0$ with an orthonormal basis,

\begin{align*}
q_1 &:= e_1, \\
q_2 &:= (e_2 - (q_1^T e_2)q_1) / \|e_2 - (q_1^T e_2)q_1\|_2, \\
q_3 &:= q_1 \times q_2,
\end{align*}

represented in the body frame, $B$, where $e_1 := (s_1 - s_0)/\|s_1 - s_0\|_2$ and $e_2 := (s_2 - s_0)/\|s_2 - s_0\|_2$ are the unit vectors along the two edges of the support triangle. A homogeneous coordinate transformation, $r : B \rightarrow Q$, relates the body frame, $B$, to this support frame, $Q$,

\begin{equation}
 r(b) := Ab - A s_0,
\end{equation}

where $A := [q_1 \, q_2 \, q_3]^T$.

The 6-DOF configuration of the body in the support frame, $Q$, is composed of the position of the center of mass (COM),

\begin{equation}
u := -A s_0,
\end{equation}

and three rotational configurations: roll, $\gamma$; pitch, $\alpha$; and yaw, $\beta$. The body lateral and fore/aft unity vectors in the world coordinates are $b_1 = A [1 \, 0 \, 0]^T$ and $b_2 = A [0 \, 1 \, 0]^T$, respectively. By direct computation we obtain the rotational configurations,

\begin{align*}
\alpha &= \arctan \left( \frac{z_1 b_1}{\sqrt{(x_1 b_1)^2 + (y_1 b_1)^2}} \right), \\
\gamma &= \arctan \left( \frac{z_2 b_2}{\sqrt{(x_2 b_2)^2 + (y_2 b_2)^2}} \right), \\
\beta &= \arctan \left( \frac{z_3 b_3}{\sqrt{(x_3 b_3)^2 + (y_3 b_3)^2}} \right),
\end{align*}

where we use the notation $z_i$ of to denote the $i^{th}$ entry of a vector, $f \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Note that during a stride the ground contacts remain stationary, hence, the support frame, $Q$, is rigidly attached to the world coordinate frame, $W$, and related by a homogenous transformation, $r : Q \rightarrow W$, which can be specified by the initial pose of the the body at the beginning of the stride.

5.2 Empirical Results

To assess the performance of the body pose computation algorithm on an operational robot we compare the ground truth measurements of the body pose to the output of this algorithm.

We attach three markers on the body denoting the body frame, $B$, as illustrated in Figure 6. During locomotion a visual tracking system [7] logs the marker trajectories which are employed by an off-line process yielding 6-DOF body posture in world frame, $W$.

Because the pose model represented in equations (4) and (5) is predicated upon the assumption that the robot is supported by a single tripod, it is important to
extract cues from the strain measurements that mark the onset and termination of the tripod stride. To this end, taking advantage of the assumption of a level support surface, we define a measure of co-planarity for all six legs, \( \rho := \sum_{i=1}^{6} s_i^T s_i - s^T s \) where \( s := 1/6 \sum_{i=1}^{6} s_i \). Smaller values of \( \rho \) imply that all six toes are close to a common plane. In tripod walking small \( \rho \) values indicate double stance, and large values point out tripod support. We empirically pick a threshold, \( \rho_c \), to determine the start and the end of tripod support intervals. Figure 7 compares the body pose states obtained from ground truth measurement and output of pose computation algorithm showing very close match in most variables.

The reader should notice that the visual tracking system presently has a 5mm mean accuracy — coarse enough to jeopardize the accuracy of the angular state measurements. Furthermore, since both cameras of the tracking system look at the sagittal plane those states with significant component orthogonal to the sagittal plane, such as x displacement, have relatively higher error.

6 Conclusion

We have introduced a quasi-static leg model for a 4-bar linkage leg structure relating the strain in its rear compliant member to its configuration. Detailed empirical verification of the model both in benchtop experiments and in the normally functioning robot are presented where we demonstrated less than 2% error in leg length and less than 10% error in angular position of toe.

Based on the leg configuration model an algorithm for computation of robot posture during tripod support is introduced. Preliminary experimental data comparing its performance against ground truth measurements is presented.

In the near future we plan to fuse other sensory data streams such as 3-DOF laser rate gyros readings and visually registered landmarks to build a full body state estimator mechanism with well characterized error margins. Such a technology will enable us to implement dynamical feedback controllers with significantly improved robustness and efficiency.
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