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Abstract
A computational methodology based on Metropolis Monte Carlo (MC) and the weighted histogram analysis method (WHAM) has been developed to calculate the absolute binding free energy between functionalized nanocarriers (NC) and endothelial cell (EC) surfaces. The calculated NC binding free energy landscapes yield binding affinities that agree quantitatively when directly compared against analogous measurements of specific antibody-coated NCs (100 nm in diameter) to intracellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) expressing EC surface in in vitro cell-culture experiments. The effect of antibody surface coverage ($\sigma_s$) of NC on binding simulations reveals a threshold $\sigma_s$ value below which the NC binding affinities reduce drastically and drop lower than that of single anti-ICAM-1 molecule to ICAM-1. The model suggests that the dominant effect of changing $\sigma_s$ around the threshold is through a change in multivalent interactions; however, the loss in translational and rotational entropies are also important. Consideration of shear flow and glycocalyx does not alter the computed threshold of antibody surface coverage. The computed trend describing the effect of $\sigma_s$ on NC binding agrees remarkably well with experimental results of in vivo targeting of the anti-ICAM-1 coated NCs to pulmonary endothelium in mice. Model results are further validated through close agreement between computed NC rupture-force distribution and measured values in atomic force microscopy (AFM) experiments. The three-way quantitative agreement with AFM, in vitro (cell-culture), and in vivo experiments establishes the mechanical, thermodynamic, and physiological consistency of our model. Hence, our computational protocol represents a quantitative and predictive approach for model-driven design and optimization of functionalized nanocarriers in targeted vascular drug delivery.
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A computational methodology based on Metropolis Monte Carlo (MC) and the weighted histogram analysis method (WHAM) has been developed to calculate the absolute binding free energy between functionalized nanocarriers (NC) and endothelial cell (EC) surfaces. The calculated NC binding free energy landscapes yield binding affinities that agree quantitatively with directly compared against analogous measurements of specific antibody-coated NCs (100 nm in diameter) to intracellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) expressing EC surface in in vitro cell-culture experiments. The effect of antibody surface coverage ($\sigma$) of NC on binding simulations reveals a threshold $\sigma_r$ value below which the NC binding affinities reduce drastically and drop lower than that of single anti-ICAM-1 molecule to ICAM-1. The model suggests that the dominant effect of changing $\sigma_r$ around the threshold is through a change in multivalent interactions; however, the loss in translational and rotational entropies are also important.

Consideration of shear flow and glycocalyx does not alter the loss in translational and rotational entropies are also important. Fractionation space and determination of various (translational and rotational) entropy changes upon binding. Using atomistic models, Woo and Roux (26) developed a general methodology to calculate $K_a$ between a flexible ligand and a receptor based on the potential of mean force (PMF). Following the framework in ref. 26, here we develop a model to calculate the binding affinity of spherical NC functionalized with anti-ICAM-1 antibody to ICAM-1 expressing EC surface. Using a Monte Carlo approach, we compute the PMF profiles between NC and the EC surface and determine the absolute binding affinities. The important advantage of this protocol is that it allows us to systematically investigate the effects of a wide range of experimentally tunable parameters, including the receptor surface density, antibody coverage on NC ($\sigma$), flexural rigidity of the receptors, presence of glycocalyx, and effect of shear flow. We show that our model predictions can quantitatively describe the results of three broad classes of experiments, namely, (i) binding measurements of NCs in cell culture, (ii) in vivo targeting of NC to lung EC in mice, and (iii) biophysical characterization of NC–EC interaction using atomic force microscopy (AFM). For all cases investigated,
model predictions agree remarkably well with experimental observations. Our results, thus, provide quantitative mechanistic understanding as well as help establish guiding principles for rational design of NC for efficacious EC targeting under various in vitro (cell-culture) and in vivo conditions.

### Model

#### Model Parameters and MC Simulations.

The NC is modeled as a rigid sphere (100 nm in diameter), and both the ligands and receptors are modeled as cylinders with reactive tips. The NC is constructed by uniformly distributing $N_{ab}$ antibodies (anti-ICAM-1) onto its surface (see Fig. 1). To make direct contact with the experimental system (18), the receptor parameters are chosen to mimick ICAM-1. The model parameters are summarized in Table S1.

The ligand parameters are chosen to mimic the murine anti-ICAM-1 antibody, which binds specifically to ICAM-1. The Bell model (27) provides the interactions between antibody and ICAM-1 through the reaction free energy: $\Delta G_i(d) = \Delta G_0 + \frac{1}{2}kd^2$, where $d$ represents the distance between the reaction sites of the interacting antibody and ICAM-1. $\Delta G_0$ is the free energy change at equilibrium state ($d = 0$) and $k$ is the interaction bond force constant. Muro et al. (18) reported the equilibrium free energy change between antibody and ICAM-1 to be $-7.98 \times 10^{-20}$ J at 4°C, which we set as $\Delta G_0$ in our simulations. We obtain the bond spring constant $k = 1.0 \text{ dyn/cm}$ by fitting rupture-force distribution data reported from single-molecule force spectroscopy (28, 29). Both $\Delta G_0$ and $k$ are assumed to be temperature-independent based on which we derive the value of the reactive compliance $\gamma$ (distance along the reaction coordinate to reach the transition state or point of rupture) to be $\sim 0.4 \text{ nm}$, which agrees very well with experimental evaluations (29, 30). We also account for the ICAM-1 flexure (Fig. 1). Because the exact flexural rigidity for ICAM-1 proteins is not available in the literature, we set the flexural rigidity 7.000 pN-nm$^2$, which lies between glyco-proteins (700 pN-nm$^2$) and the actin filament (15-73 $\times$ 10$^2$ pN-nm$^2$) (10). An orientational bias MC sampling technique (31) is employed to explore the configurations of flexural movement while regular Metropolis Monte Carlo steps are employed for: (i) bond formation/breaking, (ii) NC translation and rotation, and (iii) ICAM-1 translation. Move $i$ is selected randomly with a probability of 50%, and in the remaining 50%, the NC translation, rotation, and ICAM-1 translation are selected randomly with probability of 0.5 $\times$ $N_{ab}/N_i$, 0.5 $\times$ $N_{ab}/N_r$, and $(N_i - N_{ab})/N_i$ respectively; $N_i$ is the combined total number of antibodies ($N_{ab}$) and ICAM-1 molecules. The simulations are run in parallel on four processors with different realizations of the same physical system. The error bars are reported as the standard deviation across multiple (four) realizations. An adaptive step size for NC translation/rotation and ICAM-1 diffusion is implemented to ensure a Metropolis acceptance rate of 50%.

#### Absolute Binding Free Energy.

For binding of ligands ($L$) (or NC) to receptors ($R$), the binding process can be described as: $\text{L + R} \rightarrow \text{LR}$, where LR is the ligand and receptor in binding state. At thermodynamic equilibrium, the binding affinity (or association constant) $K_a$ is defined as:

$$K_a = \frac{[\text{LR}]}{[\text{L}][\text{R}]} = \frac{p_1[R]_{\text{tot}}}{p_1[L][R]_{\text{tot}}} = \frac{1}{p_0} \times \frac{p_1}{p_1},$$

Here $[L]$, $[R]$, and $[\text{LR}]$ are concentrations of each species. We define $p_0$ and $p_1$ as the fraction of receptors with no ligand and one ligand bound respectively, so that $[\text{R}]$ and $[\text{LR}]$ can be expressed as $[\text{R}] = p_0[R]_{\text{tot}}$ and $[\text{LR}] = p_1[R]_{\text{tot}}$, where $[R]_{\text{tot}}$ is the total receptor concentration in the whole system. We relate the fraction in Eq. 1 to the ratio of the integral of configurational degrees of freedoms in the bound state to the unbound state (26):

$$K_a = \frac{1}{p_0} \times \frac{\int_{\text{bound}} d\text{k} \text{X}^p U_{\text{bound}}}{\int_{\text{unbound}} d\text{k} \text{X}^p U_{\text{unbound}}},$$

where $U_{\text{bound}}$ and $U_{\text{unbound}}$ are the total potential energies of the system at bound and unbound states, $\beta = 1/k_BT$ in which $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T$ is the absolute temperature. $T$ represents the two degrees of freedom associated with the ligand (NC) and $X$ is the degrees of freedom for the remaining molecules (receptors). On a per ligand basis, the ligand concentration is $[\text{L}] = 1/[V_{\text{unbound}}$, where the denominator is the volume accessible to an unbound ligand. The integral associated with the unbound state ($U_{\text{unbound}} = 0$) in Eq. 2 is determined over translational degrees of freedom (yielding the volume $V_{\text{unbound}}$), and rotational degrees of freedom (yielding a factor of $8\pi^2$ in three dimensions).

We choose a reaction coordinate $z$ along which we perform umbrella sampling with harmonic biasing potentials. The umbrella sampling is performed with window size of $\Delta z = 0.05$ nm, and the harmonic biasing potential in each window is chosen to be $0.5k_B(z - z_{0j})^2$, where $0.5k_B(\Delta z)^2 = 1.0 \times 10^{-20}$ J. $k_B$ is the harmonic constant and $z_{0j}$ is the location of the center of window $j$. The NC is slowly moved to the cell surface by updating $z_{0j}$. A total of 200 million Monte Carlo steps are performed in each window, and the histogram is stored only when there exists at least one bond. All the relevant parameters including the window size $\Delta z$, strength of the biasing potential $k_B$, and the sampling size in each window have been tested to ensure convergence. The weighted histogram analysis method (WHAM) algorithm (32) is used to unbias and combine the histograms in different windows to form a complete PMF ($W(z)$) profile using a tolerance factor of $10^{-6}$. PMF profiles for each system are averaged over four independent realizations and the standard deviation is reported as the error bar.

### Binding of Antibody-Coated NC to EC Surface.

In calculating $K_a$ for antibody-coated NC using a Langmuir model framework (see section S2 in SI Text), we first compute the PMF ($W(z)$) profiles of NC binding to receptors expressed on a minimal patch on the EC surface, which allows firm binding; here the reaction coordinate $z$ is defined as the vertical distance between the center of NC and the EC surface. The binding association constant is calculated as:

$$K_a = \frac{1}{L} \times T_1 \times T_2 \times T_3,$$

Three terms $T_1-T_3$ account for entropy loss upon binding: $T_1$ accounts for the entropy loss of receptors associated with binding to the minimal patch:

---

References:

where \( A_{n,b}^{(a)} \) is the accessible surface area of the \( n \)th bound receptor in its bound state and \( A_{n,b}^{(u)} \) is the accessible surface area of the \( n \)th receptor in its unbound state. \( T_1 \) is associated with the NC rotational entropy loss in binding, and \( T_1 \) is related to loss of NC translational entropy:

\[
T_1 = \frac{A_{n,b}^{(1)} \times A_{n,b}^{(2)} \times \ldots \times A_{n,b}^{(N_b)}}{A_{n,b}^{(1)} \times A_{n,b}^{(2)} \times \ldots \times A_{n,b}^{(N_b)}} \quad [4]
\]

where \( N_b \) is the total number of bonds in equilibrium state. \( \Delta \omega \) is the rotational volume of the NC in the bound state which is quantified using the rmsd of Euler angle fluctuations in the bound state, (see section S3 of SI Text and Fig. S2). Substitution of the NC concentration \([L] = 1/\langle A_{N_{Ca,b}}^\beta \rangle\) in Eq. 3 yields the final expression of the binding constant \( K_b \).

**Results**

**Binding of NC to EC Surface.** We initially set \( N_b = 162 \), which corresponds to 74% of saturation coverage (see Materials and Methods). The computed PMF (Fig. 2A) at \( T = 27 \) °C indicates three firm bonds on average (multivalency or \( N_b = 3 \)) characterized by a PMF well of 32k_BT when projected along \( z \). The PMF change is smaller for the second and third bonds compared to the first as a result of ICAM-1 flexure and differences in loss in translational and rotational entropies of NC as well as ICAM-1. The multivalency or the number of bonds formed at equilibrium is affected by the bond reaction free energy, bond spring constant, ICAM-1 bending rigidity, antibody surface coverage (Fig. S5), and NC size (Figs. S3 and S4). Fig. 2B also shows the projection (on the \( xy \) plane) of the spatially averaged distribution of bound ICAM-1 relative to the center of NC. The outer envelope of the bond distribution in \( B \) defines the minimal patch on the EC surface for compete NC binding; the first bound ICAM-1 can freely access the area within the outer circle with outer radius \( r_o = 12.5 \) nm (see section S2 of SI Text), yielding \( A_{n,b}^{(1)} = \pi r_o^2 \), the second bound ICAM-1 can only access the area within the annulus between the outer and inner circles (inner radius \( r_i = 9.7 \) nm) with corresponding \( A_{n,b}^{(2)} = \pi (r_o^2 - r_i^2) \), and the third bound ICAM-1 is restricted to a patch with accessible area \( A_{n,b}^{(3)} = (r_o - r_i)^2 \). In contrast, each of these ICAM-1 molecules in the unbound state can access a surface area of \( \pi r_i^2 \). Similarly, the translational area accessed by a bound NC is \( A_{N_{Ca,b}} = (r_o - r_i)^2 \), and as stated above the rotational mobility of bound NC \( \Delta \omega \) is estimated from the rmsd of Euler angle fluctuations in the bound state, (see section S3 of SI Text and Fig. S2). Substitution into Eq. 3 yields the expression:

\[
K_b = \frac{(N_{ab}/3)\Delta \omega}{8\pi^2} \times \frac{\pi (r_o^2 - r_i^2)(r_o - r_i)}{\langle A_{N_{Ca,b}}^\beta \rangle^2}. \quad [6]
\]

Based on the calculated PMF in Fig. 2, the computed binding association constant \( K_b = 5.9 \times 10^{10} \) nm \(^2\) and the dissociation constant \( K_d = 1/K_b = 280 \) pM, which compares very favorably with the in vitro measurement of 77 pM under similar conditions at a temperature of 4 °C (18) (see section S2 of SI Text and Fig. S1).

**Effect of Antibody Surface Coverage.** The antibody surface coverage on NC (\( \sigma \)) is a tunable experimental parameter shown to influence NC binding in vitro (34). To study the effect of \( \sigma \), we carried out simulations with antibody surface coverages \( N_{ab} = 12, 42, 60, 75, 100, 140, \) and 162 per NC (i.e., \( \sigma \approx 5–74\% \) of saturation coverage). The computed PMF profiles and corresponding bond distributions are provided in section S6 of SI Text and Fig. S5, and the corresponding binding association constant as a function of antibody coverage is provided in Fig. 3A.

From Eq. 5, \( \sigma \) impacts the NC binding constant in two ways: (i) the multiplicity factor \( N_{ab}/N_b \) is a geometric effect and defines a linear dependence of \( K_b \) on \( \sigma \) when the multivalency is unaltered; (ii) the more significant effect results from changes in the calculated PMF profiles due to changes in multivalency, which exponentially affects \( K_b \) (through the exponential integration term in Eq. 5). In Fig. 3A, the linear dependence is verified (see dotted lines) for the two ranges of \( \sigma \), which support constant multivalency. Below a threshold value of \( \sigma \approx 45\% \), there is an exponential decrease in \( K_b \) where the PMF drops by \( \sim 8k_BT \) as the average multivalency decreases from three to two. Significantly, for \( \sigma < 45\% \), the \( K_b \) of NC is lower than that of free antibody binding to ICAM-1 (see dash-dot blue line). The primary reason for the decrease of \( K_b \) for NC below that of free ICAM-1-antibody value is that the rotational entropy loss of the NC (Eq. 5) is much greater than that for the free ICAM-1-antibody binding. Further discussion on the regime \( \sigma \ll 1 \) is provided in section S5 of SI Text.

**Fig. 2.** (A) Calculated PMF \( W(z) \) as function of distance between center of NC and cell surface. (B) The averaged spatial distribution antibody-ICAM-1 bound pairs at equilibrium state indicated by the arrow in \( A \) projected on the EC surface (xy plane). The antibody surface density is \( \sigma_s = 162/\text{NC}, \) which corresponds to 74% of saturation coverage. The dotted circle represents the projection of NC boundary on the EC surface, provided as a reference.
Effect of Hydrodynamic Shear and Glycocalyx. To evaluate the role of hydrodynamic force, as displayed in Fig. 1, a steady shear flow with a shear rate $S$ is considered. The force and torque exerted on the NC ($F_x$ and $T_y$ in Fig. 1) are computed by solving the Stokes equation. The effect of shear on the specific binding of NC is investigated by comparing the equilibrium distributions of multivalency in the presence and absence of shear for a range of $\sigma_s$ values (see section S7 of SI Text and Fig. S6). Even though the magnitude of the shear force on the 100 nm NC is small (the energy change of the NC due to the shear gradient is 2% of $k_B T$), shear flow does quantitatively perturb the distribution of multivalency (Fig. S6) and introduces a slight asymmetry in the distribution of flexure angles. This effect is small, and the flow-field is not expected to alter the adhesion landscape nor the computed binding affinity (which were both computed under zero shear) except for the low antibody coverage ($\sigma_s \leq 14\%$). In this case, as evident from the low $\sigma_s$ cases in Fig. S6, the population of states with multivalency less than two under shear is increased, which implies that a shear-induced detachment of the NC is likely.

We account for the glycocalyx by introducing a layer of $h = 100$ nm in height above the cell surface. In ref. 10, the flow in the glycocalyx layer is described using the Brinkman equation. Here, we only consider the effect of glycocalyx in the absence of shear in the glycocalyx layer is described using the Brinkman equation. The effect of shear on the specific binding of NC into glycocalyx (see Fig. 1) and immersed in the glycocalyx; a function of lung uptake of NCs in mice. Lung uptake is representative of endothelial targeting because the lung accounts for a sensitivity analysis). As an additional check, we estimate the reactive compliance to be $\gamma \sim 0.2$ nm (see section S9 of SI Text), which closely agrees with results from single-molecule experiments (29, 30). Given that the rupture-force distribution is governed mainly by $k$ rather than by $\Delta E_{br}$ (see section S9 of SI Text), the agreement of model predictions with AFM measurements establishes a mechanical consistency of the model, which is independent of the thermodynamic consistency achieved from the close agreement of the computed binding affinity with that measured in binding experiments. We also note that the predicted multivalency of 3 evident from the PMF in Fig. 2 (for $\sigma_s = 74\%$) is consistent with some (5–10%) of the AFM force traces which record multiple rupture events (Fig. S9A).

Comparison with in Vivo Experiments. For a direct comparison of the predicted $K_s$ vs. $\sigma_s$, we quantified endothelial targeting as a function of lung uptake of NCs in mice. Lung uptake is representative of endothelial targeting because the lung accounts for roughly 30% of the endothelium in vivo (35). Moreover, additional data (see Fig. S8 and section S8 of SI Text) indicate that only uptake in the pulmonary vasculature is dependent on the number of anti-ICAM molecules; thus we do not account for nontargeted tissues, because the uptake of particles in the main reticuloendothelial system (RES) organ, liver, did not change with variations of the anti-ICAM surface density. Fig. 3B depicts endothelial targeting of NCs with varying surface coverage with anti-ICAM-1 molecules. Full coverage of NCs was expressed as 100% endothelium targeting because it corresponds to the highest localization to the lung. Most significantly, the predicted behavior of $\sigma_s$ versus NC binding in Fig. 3A agrees remarkably well with in vivo results in Fig. 3B.

Comparison with AFM Experiments. To make a direct comparison of our computed PMF for NC binding with AFM force measurements probing the interactions of anti-ICAM-1 functionalized NC with EC surface, we computed the rupture-force distribution (36) of the attached NC at different loading rates (see section S9 in SI Text). The computed force distribution in Fig. 4A, based on our computed PMF profile for $\sigma_s = 74\%$, reveals a mean rupture force of $215$–$230$ pN with a standard deviation of $40$ pN at a loading rate of $100$–$200$ nN/s.

The AFM experiments probing the interactions of the AFM tip functionalized with antibody-bearing NCs were carried out. A typical trace showing a single rupture event is shown in Fig. 4B; triplet events were also observed in some cases (see Fig. S9A). The complete distribution of experimental NC rupture forces (see inset) shows a mean rupture force of $316$ pN with a standard deviation of $48$ pN over $89$ experiments. For comparison, AFM experiments for ICAM-1 immobilized surface with AFM tip directly functionalized with antibody (i.e., without NC) shows a rupture force of $291$ pN and a standard deviation of $32$ pN over $174$ experiments (Fig. S9B). The model results in panel A compare favorably with experimentally determined rupture-force measurements in the inset of panel B (see section S9 of SI Text for a sensitivity analysis).

Discussion

We present a general protocol to calculate the absolute binding affinity for specific binding of NC to functionalized surfaces mediated through receptor–ligand interactions. Our results for the binding affinities of 100 nm antibody-coated NCs at large surface coverage ($\sigma_s \geq 45\%$) to ICAM-1 expressing EC surface shows several hundredfold enhancement in binding compared to...
with that of isolated antibody to ICAM-1. This prediction agrees remarkably well with experimental measurements of the NC affinity to EC in cell culture (18), as depicted in Fig. S1. Our results on the computed effect of surface coverage of antibodies \( \sigma \) on NC binding suggests a linear effect of \( \sigma \) on \( K_s \) for \( 0 < \sigma_s < 45\% \) and for \( 45\% < \sigma_s \leq 100\% \); in these regimes, the average multivalency is not altered, and the linear effect arises from contributions of translational and rotational entropy losses upon NC binding (see red and green dotted lines in Fig. 3A). At the threshold of antibody coverage \( \sigma_s = 45\% \) we predict an exponential effect of \( \sigma_s \) on \( K_s \) primarily due to a change in multivalency associated with NC binding (Figs. S5 and S6). We note that for \( \sigma_s > 45\% \), the increase in NC binding affinity with increasing \( \sigma_s \) is only modest, while for \( \sigma_s \leq 45\% \), the NC binding affinity abruptly drops below that for free antibody with ICAM-1. Our results imply a negligible effect of shear (\( S \approx 6 \times 10^{-3} \) s\(^{-1}\)) when \( \sigma_s \geq 14\% \) (see Fig. S6). Moreover, the glycocalyx while reducing the fractional binding at a given \( \sigma_s \) does not alter the dependence of \( K_s \) on \( \sigma_s \) (see Fig. S7). We are therefore justified in comparing the model results with in vivo data of NC binding and targeting to mice endothelium. Most significantly, the model prediction of \( \sigma_s \) versus NC binding agrees remarkably well with in vivo results (see Fig. 3B), while simultaneously providing consistent agreement with AFM force-rupture experiments (Fig. 4) as well as in vitro equilibrium binding experiments (Fig. S1).

Our model is predictive for binding of spherical nanocarriers to endothelial apical molecules and defining the critical threshold of antibody density for effective anchoring as well as the associated multivalent interactions. The practical significance is that, exceeding the optimal surface density of antibody or other affinity ligands on the surface of nanocarriers may predispose to immune response to the protein. The model is designed to predict results for a broad range of antibodies (ICAM-1) and can be easily adapted to other endothelial molecules localized on the apical luminal surface of endothelium at similar density to ICAM-1 \( \sim 10^5 \) copies per cell (angiotensin-converting enzyme, VCAM-1, thrombomodulin, etc.). In the future, the model may also be extended further to treat distinct endothelial epitopes (such as molecules localized to the caveolii), filamentous carriers, or intracellular uptake of carriers. Despite their absence in the current model description, the remarkable agreement of the computed results with three broad and independent classes of experiments, namely, AFM rupture-force, in vitro binding affinity, and in vivo endothelial targeting in mice, strongly imply mechanical, thermodynamic, as well as physiological consistency. On these bases, our model represents a promising tool for the rational design of functionalized NCs in targeted drug delivery.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of NC. A solvent extraction emulsification procedure was used to form PLGA NCs whose size (100 nm) and zeta-potential were determined on a Brookhaven 90Plus zeta-potential and dynamic light scattering apparatus (18). Anti-ICAM-1 nanocarriers were prepared by coating green fluorescent polystyrene beads with antimurine ICAM-1 (18). Radiolabeled NCs were prepared containing a mix of anti-ICAM-1 and \(^{125}\)I-lgG at 95:5 molar ratio. After separation of the free anti-ICAM-1 by centrifugation, the amount of \(^{125}\)I-tracer coated onto the nanocarriers was determined in a gamma counter. This procedure was employed to synthesize as well as characterize a range of surface coverages of antibodies on NC (5% < \( \sigma_s \) < 100%). The saturating anti-body surface coverage on the NC surface was estimated to be 220 antibody molecules per NC (or 7,000 antibody per \( \mu \)m\(^2\)) (18, 34), which we assumed as 100% coverage. The diameter of the anti-ICAM-1 coated NCs was determined by dynamic light scattering to be 92 ± 6 nm.

In Vivo Targeting to Vascular Endothelium in Mice. Anesthetized C57BL/6 female mice (16–24 g, Harlan) were injected intravenously via jugular vein with NCs coated with murine anti-ICAM-1 (Y1N1 clone, Biogenoid) or control rat IgG (Jackson Labs). The injected dose was \( 200 \mu \)l (or \( 10 \) mg/kg) with a tracer amount of antibody-coated \(^{125}\)I-labeled NC. Blood was collected from the retro-orbital plexus at 30 min post-injection and organs (heart, kidneys, liver, spleen, and lungs) were collected at 30 min post-injection. Radioactivity and weight of the samples were determined to calculate NC targeting. These studies were carried out in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals as adopted and promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

AFM Experiments. Silicon nitride AFM cantilevers were functionalized with maleimide-terminated flexible polyethylene glycol linkers (Novascan, Ames, IA) having a nominal spring constant of 0.06–0.12 N/m. These were incubated with antibody-coated 1 \( \mu \)m diameter polystyrene beads in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (18, 34) for 10 min, then washed three times to remove the excess. Recombinant human ICAM-1 (hiICAM; R&D Systems, Minneapolis) at a concentration of 25 \( \mu \)g/mL in PBS was adsorbed onto clean glass coverslips for 15 min, then washed three times to remove the excess. An atomic force microscope (Molecular Imaging 5500, Tempe, AZ) was used in force spectroscopy mode to obtain force-distance cycles for functionalized cantilever-functionalized coverslip interactions at fixed velocities of 1.6 \( \mu \)m/s (corresponding to a force loading rate of 96–192 nN/s). Experiments were conducted in PBS at 20°C. The negative control experiments (performed by blocking available ICAM-1 sites by incubating with excess free anti-ICAM-1 antibody) yielded no significant binding interaction between the cantilever and the sample surface.
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