3-30-2012

Temperature-Pressure Scaling for Air-Fluidized Grains near Jamming

L. J. Daniels  
University of Pennsylvania

T. K. Haxton  
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

N. Xu  
University of Science and Technology of China

Andrea J. Liu  
University of Pennsylvania, ajliu@physics.upenn.edu

Douglas J. Durian  
University of Pennsylvania, djdurian@physics.upenn.edu

© 2012 American Physical Society

This paper is posted at ScholarlyCommons. http://repository.upenn.edu/physics_papers/248
For more information, please contact repository@pobox.upenn.edu.
Temperature-Pressure Scaling for Air-Fluidized Grains near Jamming

Abstract
We present experiments on a monolayer of air-fluidized beads in which a jamming transition is approached by increasing pressure, increasing packing fraction, and decreasing kinetic energy. This is accomplished, along with a noninvasive measurement of pressure, by tilting the system and examining behavior versus depth. We construct an equation of state and analyze relaxation time versus effective temperature. By making time and effective temperature dimensionless using factors of pressure, bead size, and bead mass, we obtain a good collapse of the data but to a functional form that differs from that of thermal hard-sphere systems. The relaxation time appears to diverge only as the effective temperature to pressure ratio goes to zero.

Disciplines
Physical Sciences and Mathematics | Physics

Comments

© 2012 American Physical Society
Temperature-Pressure Scaling for Air-Fluidized Grains near Jamming

L. J. Daniels,1 T. K. Haxton,2 N. Xu,3 A. J. Liu,1 and D. J. Durian1

1Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6396, USA
2Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA
3Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, People’s Republic of China

(Received 25 October 2011; published 30 March 2012)

We present experiments on a monolayer of air-fluidized beads in which a jamming transition is approached by increasing pressure, increasing packing fraction, and decreasing kinetic energy. This is accomplished, along with a noninvasive measurement of pressure, by tilting the system and examining behavior versus depth. We construct an equation of state and analyze relaxation time versus effective temperature. By making time and effective temperature dimensionless using factors of pressure, bead size, and bead mass, we obtain a good collapse of the data but to a functional form that differs from that of thermal hard-sphere systems. The relaxation time appears to diverge only as the effective temperature to pressure ratio goes to zero.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.138001 PACS numbers: 45.70.−n, 64.30.−t, 64.70.ps

The relaxation time for amorphous liquids can grow unbearably long when the temperature is lowered [1,2]. It can also grow when the pressure is increased, although this is more difficult to study experimentally [3–5]. Similarly, the relaxation time for colloidal suspensions can exceed experimentalists’ patience when the packing fraction or pressure is increased [6–8]. In both the thermal and colloidal glass transitions, the particles appear to develop a fixed range of the soft repulsive potential. These numerical simulations with a variety of finite-ranged repulsive interactions exhibited collapse of dimensionless relaxation time with \( P/\sigma d^2 \) as long as the pressure was low, so that \( P \ll \epsilon/\sigma d \), where \( \epsilon \) is the interaction energy scale [9,12]. In this low pressure limit, collapse is observed because the effective hard-sphere diameter is simply the range of the soft repulsive potential. These numerical results suggest that real systems might exhibit the temperature-pressure scaling of hard spheres; however, this has not been tested by experiment. For hard-sphere colloids, this is not possible for a single system because the packing fraction \( \phi \), or equivalently the pressure \( P \), is the only control parameter; temperature is bounded by the freezing and boiling points of the solvent and therefore cannot be varied appreciably. For molecular liquids, scaling is not expected to hold where van der Waals attractions are appreciable compared to the pressure; in this regime the scaling must be modified to account for the mean-field effect of the attractions [8,13,14].

Here we describe experiments on a granular monolayer of bidisperse beads, subjected to random in-plane forcing by turbulence in a uniform up-flow of air. In this system, jamming may be approached by variation of either air flow rate or bead density [15–18]. The thermal energy of these beads is negligible compared with their kinetic energy due to airflow. However, it has been shown that there is a well-defined effective temperature, \( T_{\text{eff}} \), that corresponds equivalently to the kinetic energy, the ratio of diffusivity to mobility, and the energy of an embedded harmonic oscillator [18]. Here, we push the concept of effective temperature further by asking whether temperature-pressure scaling is obeyed using \( T_{\text{eff}} \).

Indeed, we find reasonable collapse with \( T_{\text{eff}}/P\sigma^2 \) of both the equation of state and relaxation time, even though our system is far from equilibrium. However, the functional dependence on the temperature-pressure ratio is different from that in a true thermal system. These results suggest that while the effective thermal glass transition and the colloidal glass transition remain the same phenomenon even for these driven hard spheres, the dynamics differ from those of equilibrium hard spheres.

Fluidization and imaging procedures follow Refs. [15–19]. The granular medium is a 1:1 mixture of 796 steel bearings, with diameters \( \sigma = 0.397 \) cm and \( 1.4\sigma \), which uniformly fill the \( A = (14.9 \) cm\(^2\) sample square to 67\% projected-area fraction (\( \Sigma \pi R^2/A = 0.67 \)). The superficial airflow speed is 700 cm/s, which is well below the terminal speed of 3000 cm/s and
ranges in $\phi$, $T_{\text{eff}}$, and $\tau$ values for the different tilt angles; therefore, any collapse achieved by temperature-pressure scaling will have significance.

The first quantity we consider is the equation of state, which is independent of the bead relaxation time. Thus we form the dimensionless combination $P \sigma^2 / T_{\text{eff}}$ and plot it vs $\phi$ in Fig. 2. This causes the raw data shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) to collapse reasonably well onto a single curve, with scatter that is random in tilt angle. As expected the dimensionless pressure is low for small $\phi$, grows with increasing $\phi$, and appears to diverge as $\phi \rightarrow \phi_c$ where $\phi_c = 0.84$ corresponds to random close packing for hard spheres in two dimensions [21]. For comparison, we show fits to two forms: free-volume theory, $P \sigma^2 / T_{\text{eff}} \propto \phi / [1 - (\phi / \phi_c)^{1/2}]$, and Carnahan-Starling [22,23]. Both give a reasonable description, but the former fits better near jamming and the latter fits better away from jamming.

We note that the collapse of $P \sigma^2 / T_{\text{eff}}$ with $\phi$ is remarkable, given the nonequilibrium nature of the system. Our result shows that the effective temperature gives rise to a well-defined equation of state, underscoring the conclusion of Ref. [18] that the air-fluidized beads have an effective temperature with thermodynamic meaning.

The measured equation of state may also be compared with simulation results for a thermal system. For this, event-driven molecular dynamics are performed in the microcanonical ensemble for a 1:1 bidisperse mixture of 1024 hard-core disks with the same diameter and mass ratios as in the experiment. The results for $P \sigma^2 / T$ are plotted as a solid curve vs $\phi$ in Fig. 2. In comparison with experimental data, the simulated equation of state is slightly high for $\phi < 0.5$ and does not diverge rapidly.
enough for \( \phi > 0.8 \). One possible source of this discrepancy is that the air-mediated bead-bead repulsion is strong or long-ranged, as measured previously for two beads alone [19]. However, the interactions are based on turbulent wakes and hence are not pairwise additive; plus, a similar bead-boundary interaction is washed out for many-bead systems. Furthermore, if air-mediated interactions (pairwise-additive or not) were significant, we would not expect to find collapse, which should only occur in the hard-sphere limit. Thus we speculate that the nonequilibrium nature of the experimental system is responsible for the discrepancy with the simulation. The driven system has a well-defined equation of state that just happens to differ slightly from the equilibrium equation of state.

Next we consider the relaxation time, \( \tau \), which is the key dynamical quantity specifying the extent to which the system is jammed. Since the Reynolds number is large and the dynamics are collisional, the viscosity of air is not relevant for setting the time scale. Thus we form the dimensionless combination \( \tau(P/m)^{1/2} \), as in Ref. [9], and plot it versus the packing fraction in Fig. 3. Data for the different tilt angles all collapse reasonably well onto a single curve. Again, the deviation from collapse is not systematic in tilt angle. The fact that the data exhibit collapse suggests that the grains behave as hard spheres—significant corrections to the hard-sphere potential would lead to deviations from scaling collapse. Note that \( \tau(P/m)^{1/2} \) decreases towards a number of order unity at low \( \phi \) far from jamming, reinforcing the conclusion that \( \tau(P/m)^{1/2} \) is indeed the correct dimensionless relaxation time to consider. Above \( \phi = 0.7 \), the relaxation time grows at an ever increasing rate and appears to diverges as \( \phi \rightarrow \phi_\ell \), as expected. The simulation results for equilibrium hard spheres, in comparison, are lower at small volume fractions and increase more steeply at high volume fractions.

We also plot the scaled relaxation time \( \tau(P/m)^{1/2} \) versus scaled temperature \( T_{\text{eff}}/P\sigma^2 \) in Fig. 4. There we also include data from earlier experiments for different beads [15–18], where \( T_{\text{eff}}/P\sigma^2 \) values were obtained by interpolating the measured equation of state in Fig. 2 to the desired packing fractions. The good agreement between prior and current data shows that analyzing the video data in narrow strips does not introduce unwanted artifacts. It also shows that the bead sizes and masses, which are all different except for Refs. [16,17], do not noticeably affect the collapse. See Table I and Fig. 4 of the supplemental material [20] for system specifications and a plot of dimensionless relaxation time versus packing fraction with different symbols for the earlier systems of Refs. [15–18]. The common behavior of all data, for over two decades in scaled temperature and over five decades in relaxation time, is quite different from the simulation results for equilibrium hard spheres. In particular, the experimental relaxation times appear to diverge only in the limit \( T_{\text{eff}}/P\sigma^2 \rightarrow 0 \), while the simulated relaxation times appear to diverge more rapidly.

In the supplemental material [20] we also show that good collapse is found for the size of dynamical heterogeneities versus the dimensionless relaxation time [24,25], both for air-fluidized beads [16–18] as well as for two other systems on approach to jamming [26,27].

The Fig. 4 scaling plot of relaxation time versus temperature allows comparison with well-known functions.
for thermal systems. For this we display fits to the Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann (VFT) and Elmatad-Chandler-Garrahan (ECG) [28] forms, as well as a stretched exponential, respectively,

$$\tau(P/m)^{1/2} \propto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exp[A/(x - x_0)], \\ \exp[B(1/x - 1/x_1)^2], \\ \exp[C/x^a]. \end{array} \right\}$$

where \(x = T_{\text{eff}}/P \sigma^2\) and the other quantities are fitting parameters. The VFT form traditionally accounts for divergence of relaxation time at a nonzero temperature. But here the best fit gives a proportionality constant of 1.3 ± 0.2, \(A = 0.36 ± 0.02\), and a negative critical temperature \(x_0 = -0.029 ± 0.005\). The latter causes the fit to roll over to a constant at \(T_{\text{eff}}/P \sigma^2\) goes to zero, which is not a physical feature supported by the data. The best fit to the ECG form gives a proportionality constant of 0.0035 ± 0.0025, \(B = 0.00032 ± 0.00002\), and \(x_1 = -0.00625 ± 0.00015\). This diverges at zero temperature, and gives a better fit than VFT; however, the divergence is too fast. Overall, the best fit is a stretched exponential with proportionality constant 0.40 ± 0.05, \(C = 2.0 ± 0.6\), and stretching exponent \(a = 0.35 ± 0.04\). Thus it appears that the data diverge only at zero temperature.

In the case where \(T_{\text{eff}}\) is well defined so that different definitions yield the same result, one would expect collapse with \(T_{\text{eff}}/P \sigma^2\) with the same functional form as in equilibrium. Indeed, simulations of thermal hard spheres under shear [29] support this expectation. It is surprising that the relaxation time for our driven system shows temperature-pressure scaling but with a functional form that is different from that in equilibrium. The scaling collapse of relaxation time in our system suggests that the effective thermal glass transition, observed by lowering \(T_{\text{eff}}\), is equivalent to the “colloidal” glass transition, observed by varying \(P\). However, the difference in functional form suggests that the glass transitions of the driven system are somewhat different from those of the equilibrium system. In particular, for equilibrium hard spheres, the value of \(T/P \sigma^2\) at which the relaxation time diverges is ambiguous; the VFT form, which diverges at a nonzero value of \(T/P \sigma^2\), and the ECG form, which diverges at \(T/P \sigma^2 = 0\), both fit simulation data equally well [9]. It is still not known whether equilibrium hard spheres have a thermodynamic glass transition (corresponding to a divergence at nonzero \(T/P \sigma^2\)). But for the driven granular hard spheres, the answer seems much more clear: The relaxation time appears to diverge at \(T/P \sigma^2 = 0\). This has a special significance because it corresponds to the zero-temperature jamming transition of spheres, Point \(J\) [30,31]. Our results therefore imply that glassy dynamics in air-fluidized grains are controlled not by a thermodynamic glass transition, but by Point \(J\).
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