



June 2006

Special Section on Symbolic Methods for Complex Control Systems

Magnus B. Egerstedt
Georgia Institute of Technology

Emilio Fazzoli
University of California

George J. Pappas
University of Pennsylvania, pappasg@seas.upenn.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.upenn.edu/ease_papers

Recommended Citation

Magnus B. Egerstedt, Emilio Fazzoli, and George J. Pappas, "Special Section on Symbolic Methods for Complex Control Systems", . June 2006.

Copyright 2006 IEEE. Reprinted from *Transactions on Automatic Controls*, Volume 51, Issue 6, June 2006, pages 921-923.

This material is posted here with permission of the IEEE. Such permission of the IEEE does not in any way imply IEEE endorsement of any of the University of Pennsylvania's products or services. Internal or personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution must be obtained from the IEEE by writing to pubs-permissions@ieee.org. By choosing to view this document, you agree to all provisions of the copyright laws protecting it.

This paper is posted at ScholarlyCommons. http://repository.upenn.edu/ease_papers/207
For more information, please contact repository@pobox.upenn.edu.

Special Section on Symbolic Methods for Complex Control Systems

Abstract

The increasing complexity associated with many modern engineering applications, including autonomous robot guidance and navigation, process control in sensor-rich environments, and control of biological systems, has far-reaching implications for control system design. As an example, reactive, embedded software systems, interacting among themselves and remote users over communication networks, introduce a whole new set of system-level challenges, and classic control design objectives such as stability, performance, and robustness are being complemented with a number of new questions. These include the cost of hardware implementation, measured for example not only by computational requirements such as speed and memory, but also by communication requirements such as available communication bandwidth. Moreover, the complexity associated with specifying the control procedures and with verifying the behavior of the closed-loop system increasingly plays a fundamental role, especially in safety-critical control systems arising in energy and transportation networks, and in medical applications.

Comments

Copyright 2006 IEEE. Reprinted from *Transactions on Automatic Controls*, Volume 51, Issue 6, June 2006, pages 921-923.

This material is posted here with permission of the IEEE. Such permission of the IEEE does not in any way imply IEEE endorsement of any of the University of Pennsylvania's products or services. Internal or personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution must be obtained from the IEEE by writing to pubs-permissions@ieee.org. By choosing to view this document, you agree to all provisions of the copyright laws protecting it.

Special Section on Symbolic Methods for Complex Control Systems

THE increasing complexity associated with many modern engineering applications, including autonomous robot guidance and navigation, process control in sensor-rich environments, and control of biological systems, has far-reaching implications for control system design. As an example, reactive, embedded software systems, interacting among themselves and remote users over communication networks, introduce a whole new set of system-level challenges, and classic control design objectives such as stability, performance, and robustness are being complemented with a number of new questions. These include the cost of hardware implementation, measured for example not only by computational requirements such as speed and memory, but also by communication requirements such as available communication bandwidth. Moreover, the complexity associated with specifying the control procedures and with verifying the behavior of the closed-loop system increasingly plays a fundamental role, especially in safety-critical control systems arising in energy and transportation networks, and in medical applications.

During the last decade, significant progress has been made toward addressing these issues and overcoming the complexity associated with such novel control tasks. This complexity stems from a number of sources, including the complexity of the task itself, the complexity of the system dynamics, and the complexity of the environment in which the system is deployed. An emerging approach to address the complexity issue is to decompose the control task into a finite collection of building blocks, or modes of operation. As a result, control procedures are no longer solely thought of as mappings from sensory data to actuator signals, but rather as sequences of tokenized instructions that contain descriptions of such mappings. Throughout this Special Section in the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON AUTOMATIC CONTROL, we hope to highlight some of these recent results, and illustrate how a number of new control system analysis and design problems can be properly addressed at the level of symbols rather than signals.

The general area of “Symbolic Control” has been developed under the banner of “Hybrid Systems.” Such systems are systems that are influenced and characterized by models with both discrete and continuous components, from switched linear systems to full-scale hybrid automata. A number of results have emerged in this area with a classic control-theoretic flavor, including optimal control, stability, system identification, observers, and well-posedness of solutions. However, a new line of research in hybrid systems has also been launched that studies issues not quite standard to the controls community, including formal verification, abstractions, model expressiveness, computational tools, and specification languages. These

latter results belong to the class of results that we refer to as symbolic control, and what makes them different from the first class of problems is that they address questions at the highest level, i.e., at the level of symbols, and as such draw on tools from computer science and discrete mathematics as much as on classic control theory. At the same time, they provide faithful descriptions of the continuous level performance of the actual system, and as such, provide a formal bridge between the continuous and the discrete.

When we began working on this Special Section, in the spring of 2004, our goal was to provide an accessible survey of state-of-the-art, as well as point out promising future research directions. In fact, as the area of symbolic control has been gaining momentum, a number of technical challenges have been addressed (and to a certain degree resolved), all focusing on better understanding and design of continuous signal to finite symbol mappings. These include abstracting continuous dynamics to symbolic control descriptions, instruction selection and coding in finite-bandwidth control applications, and applying formal language theory to the continuous systems domain. We are quite happy with the response from the community, and all of these issues are present in some form in this Special Section.

Two of the papers in this Special Section study the problem of control using strings of symbolic control instructions, sometimes referred to as motion description languages, maneuver automata, or control quanta. In particular, Bicchi *et al.* considers the problem of generating (in polynomial time) reference trajectories for dynamical systems using a symbolic representation of the available inputs. Andersson and Hristu-Varsakelis address a similar issue in a slightly more applied setting, and a method is proposed for generating sequences of symbolic feedback control laws for mobile robots navigating in unknown environments.

The paper by Tabuada looks at the problem of producing finite models of continuous systems, by constructing models that contain only a finite number of the possible trajectories. The question that is addressed is then to what extent such simplified (or abstracted) models can be used to control the original system. Delvenne and Blondel assume that such a useful, abstracted model has been obtained, in order to characterize the complexity associated with the controller itself. In particular, the main result concerns the generation of estimates of the minimal complexity that a controller needs to exhibit in order to solve certain point-to-point transfer problems.

Another subarea of symbolic control is to use symbolic, discrete methods for characterizing and/or improving the continuous-level behavior of the system. This line of thought is represented by two papers in the Special Section. The paper by Habets *et al.* focuses on the problem of synthesizing control laws for piecewise-affine hybrid systems on simplices. The paper proposes a solution to this problem based on the control-to-facet

problem at the continuous level, and on dynamic programming at the discrete level. In Bemporad and Giorgetti, logic/symbolic methods are used for accelerating and improving the performance of numerical solutions to optimal control problems. In particular, hybrid numerical/symbolic solution techniques for finite-horizon optimal control problems are considered.

In Klavins *et al.*, the symbolic aspect of the control procedures stand out clearly since that paper introduces a formal grammar for governing self-assembly processes. The main idea is to let grammatical rules describe the interactions that can occur between two or more individual parts upon contact. Through this formalism, algorithms are proposed for solving self-assembly and self-organization problems.

As a final remark, it should be noted that this Special Section (or any special issue/section for that matter) only represents a particular snapshot of the field and there are undoubtedly areas and results that are not included in this section. Although we made every effort to include every aspect of the symbolic controls field, we cannot claim that the coverage is complete.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Guest Editors would like to thank A. Bicchi for suggesting that they venture down this path in the first place. They

would also like to thank C. Cassandras for making sure that they stayed on the right path once they started working on this Special Section.

MAGNUS B. EGERSTEDT
School of Electrical and Computer Engineering
Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, GA 30332
(e-mail: magnus@ece.gatech.edu)

EMILIO FRAZZOLI
Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering
University of California at Los Angeles
Los Angeles, CA 90032
(e-mail: frazzoli@ucla.edu)

GEORGE PAPPAS
Department of Electrical and Systems Engineering
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, PA 19104
(e-mail: pappasg@ee.upenn.edu)



Magnus B. Egerstedt (S'99–M'00–SM'05) was born in Stockholm, Sweden. He received the B.A. degree in philosophy from Stockholm University in 1996, and the M.S. degree in engineering physics and the Ph.D. degree in applied mathematics, both from the Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, in 1996 and 2000, respectively.

He spent 2000–2001 as a Postdoctoral Fellow with the Division of Engineering and Applied Science, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA. In 1998, he was a Visiting Scholar at the Robotics Laboratory at the University of California, Berkeley. He is currently an Associate Professor with the School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, the Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta. His research interests include optimal control as well as modeling and analysis of hybrid and discrete-event systems, with emphasis on motion planning and control of (teams of) mobile robots. He has authored over 100 articles in the areas of robotics and controls.

Dr. Egerstedt received the CAREER Award from the National Science Foundation in 2003.



Emilio Frazzoli (S'99–M'01) received the Laurea degree in aerospace engineering from the University of Rome, "La Sapienza," Rome, Italy, in 1994, and the Ph.D. degree in navigation and control systems from the Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, in 2001.

Between 1994 and 1997, he worked as an Officer in the Italian Navy, and as a Spacecraft Dynamics Specialist for the European Space Agency, Darmstadt, Germany, and Telespazio, Rome, Italy. From 2001 to 2004, he was an Assistant Professor of Aerospace Engineering at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Since 2004, he has been an Assistant Professor of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering at the University of California, Los Angeles. His current research interests include algorithmic, computational, and geometric approaches to the design and development of decision and control architectures for complex networked and autonomous systems, in aerospace and other domains. Application areas include distributed cooperative control of multiple vehicle systems, guidance and control of agile vehicles, high-confidence software engineering for

high-performance dynamical systems, and verification of hybrid systems.



George Pappas (S'91–M'98–SM'04) received the Ph.D. degree from the University of California, Berkeley, in December 1998.

In 1999, he was a Postdoctoral Researcher at the University of California, Berkeley and the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia. In 2000, he joined the University of Pennsylvania, where he is currently an Associate Professor and Director of the GRASP Laboratory. He also holds secondary appointments in the Departments of Computer and Information Sciences and Mechanical Engineering and Applied Mechanics. He has published over 100 articles in the areas of hybrid systems, hierarchical control systems, distributed control systems, nonlinear control systems, and geometric control theory, with applications to flight management systems, robotics, and unmanned aerial vehicles. He co-edited *Hybrid Systems: Computation and Control* (New York: Springer-Verlag, 2004, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science).

Dr. Pappas is the recipient of a National Science Foundation (NSF) Career Award in 2002, as well as the 2002 NSF Presidential Early Career Award for Scientists and Engineers (PECASE).

He received the 1999 Eliahu Jury Award for Excellence in Systems Research from the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, University of California, Berkeley. His and his students' papers were finalists for the Best Student Paper Award at the IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (1998, 2001, and 2004), and the American Control Conference (2001 and 2004). He is currently an Associate Editor for the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON AUTOMATIC CONTROL.