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Using electrical and optical tweezers to facilitate studies of molecular motors

Abstract
Dielectrophoresis was used to stretch and suspend actin filaments across a trench etched between two electrodes patterned on a glass slide. Optical tweezers were used to bring a motor protein-coated bead into close proximity to a pre-selected, suspended actin filament, facilitating the attachment of the myosin-coated bead to the filament. The clearance beneath the filament allowed the bead to move freely along and around its filamentous track, unhindered by solid surfaces. Using defocused images, the three-dimensional position of the bead was tracked as a function of time to obtain its trajectory. Experiments were carried out with myosin V and myosin X. Both motor proteins followed left-handed helical paths with the myosin X motor exhibiting a shorter pitch than the myosin V. The combined use of electrostatic and optical tweezers facilitates the preparation of motility assays with suspended tracks. Variants of this technique will enable higher complexity experiments in vitro to better understand the behavior of motors in cells.
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Dielectrophoresis was used to stretch and suspend actin filaments across a trench etched between two electrodes patterned on a glass slide. Optical tweezers were used to bring a motor protein-coated bead into close proximity to a pre-selected, suspended actin filament, facilitating the attachment of the myosin-coated bead to the filament. The clearance beneath the filament allowed the bead to move freely along and around its filamentous track, unhindered by solid surfaces. Using defocused images, the three-dimensional position of the bead was tracked as a function of time to obtain its trajectory. Experiments were carried out with myosin V and myosin X. Both motor proteins followed left-handed helical paths with the myosin X motor exhibiting a shorter pitch than the myosin V. The combined use of electrostatic and optical tweezers facilitates the preparation of motility assays with suspended tracks. Variants of this technique will enable higher complexity experiments in vitro to better understand the behavior of motors in cells.

1. Introduction

Molecular motors are cellular energy transducers involved in determining cell shape and motions. They power muscle contraction, transport cargo along tracks of cytoskeletal actin or microtubule filaments, and are implicated in many disease processes.1–4 For the most part, mechanical studies of myosin and other molecular motors have utilized surface-immobilized motors5–9 or filaments,10–16 which impact on the range of motion of a motor. For example, myosin V has been shown to have an average step size of 36 nm, coinciding with the half-pitch of the actin helix.6,14 However, in these studies, the actin track was attached to a glass microscope slide that restricts motions to the demi-cylindrical domain on one side of the filamentous track, possibly constraining the motor to particular binding sites. The classic three-bead optical trap assay—an actin “dumbbell” lowered onto a myosin-coated polymeric or ceramic bead—has yielded valuable information on the force production, step size, and kinetics of molecular motors.5–9 In this case, immobilization of the motor onto the bead may again adversely impact on the range of motion of the motor. To enable greater freedom of motion, it is desirable to develop motility assays that enable the transport activity to take place away from any surfaces.

One way of avoiding surface immobilization of the filament and motor is to suspend filaments from fixed supports, giving the motor or the motor-coated bead unimpeded freedom of motion about its filamentous track. To this end, Ali et al.5 suspended actin filaments (randomly) between two immobilized 4.5 μm-diameter beads and, using optical tweezers, brought 1 μm-diameter, myosin-coated beads into close proximity to the filament.17,18 They were able to detect the path of the myosin-coated bead along and around actin for two myosin isoforms in the absence of constraints imposed by surface attachment. This technique is laborious since just a small fraction of the filaments falls in or next to a horizontal plane and few are sufficiently stretched between the two supporting beads to facilitate accurate tracking of the attached bead.

Here, we describe an attractive alternative that facilitates the control of placement and tightness of actin filaments or microtubules. The method consistently yields tightly suspended filaments positioned in a single horizontal plane, allowing us to keep the entire length of a filament in focus during motility experiments. Dielectrophoresis, which enables positioning the filaments at predetermined locations and controlling their tautness,19 is combined with an optical trap (laser tweezer), which is used to bring a motor protein-coated bead near the filament. Once the bead is positioned next to the filament and a motor protein binds to the filament, the optical trap is turned off, and the bead is carried along the filament by the molecular motors. The coordinates of the center of the bead, \( \vec{x} \), \( \vec{y} \), and \( \vec{z} \), are then tracked by processing images obtained with an optical microscope. Although the use of electric fields to trap and position actin filaments and microtubules is not new,19,20 the combined use of dielectrophoresis and optical traps, to the best of our knowledge, has not been previously reported.
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2. Experimental procedure

The electrode arrangement, a filament, and a bead are depicted schematically in Fig. 1. Electrodes with a 7 μm gap and a 2 μm-deep trench were patterned on a glass coverslip using standard photolithography techniques. The coverslip containing the electrodes was assembled into a flow cell using a second coverslip and double-sided adhesive tape. See Experimental Methods for additional information on the patterning of the electrodes and the other materials.

A solution of actin filaments was infused into the flow cell. An AC potential was applied across the electrodes. The electric field polarized the suspended filaments and their adjacent electric double layer and induced AC electro-osmosis in the cell, which was observed by seeding the solution with 900 nm diameter tracer beads. It consisted of two counter-in the cell, which was observed by seeding the solution with motors traveling along, and rotated around, the actin filament [ESI+: myosin V (Movie S1) and myosin X (Movie S2)]. The bead motion was recorded using bright-field illumination in an inverted microscope and a 60×, 1.2 NA water immersion objective (Olympus plan apo). Fluorescent images of the filament were periodically taken to ensure that, throughout the experiment, the filament remained securely attached to the gold electrodes and remained approximately in focus. The three-dimensional coordinates of the position of the bead were determined using the defocused images and a custom-written algorithm in MatLab™.

Fig. 1 A schematic depiction of a dielectrophoretically positioned and tightened actin filament suspended across a trench between two gold electrodes. A myosin-coated bead is being positioned near the filament with optical tweezers.

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 2a shows a series of images of a myosin-V-coated bead traveling along a tightly suspended actin filament. The white, dotted lines identify the location of the filament. Bright and dark images of the center of the bead indicate, respectively, that the bead is above and below the focal plane. In other words, the motor followed a helical path around the actin filament. In Fig. 2, the path is left-handed as explained below.

When a bead is displaced out of the focal plane of the imaging optics, spherical aberrations and diffraction form ring patterns centered on the bead.22,23 The unique topologies of these images can be used to estimate the out-of-plane position of the bead. A bead fixed to each slide was used to calibrate the z-position data. These calibration images were taken as the slide was translated at 50 nm increments along the optical axis and were fit to the triple Gaussian function (one Gaussian peak and two Gaussian rings)

$$A(x, y) = A_B + A_0 \exp[-\frac{1}{2}((x-x)^2 + (y-y)^2)/\sigma_x^2]$$

$$+ A_1 \exp[-\frac{1}{2}((x-x)^2 + (y-y)^2 - R_1^2)/\sigma_1^2]$$

$$+ A_2 \exp[-\frac{1}{2}((x-x)^2 + (y-y)^2 - R_2^2)/\sigma_2^2],$$

similar to Gosse and Croquette22 In the above equation, $A_B$ is the background intensity, $(x, y)$ is the lateral position of the center of the bead, $\sigma_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) is a width factor, and $R_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) is the radius of the Gaussian ring. Fig. 2b shows the defocused images of a stationary, calibration bead at 150 nm increments along the optical axis, where image 1 is closest to the microscope objective lens, located below the focal plane. $z$-movement of the stage is equivalent to $z$-motion of a bead in solution, because the objective lens is a water immersion type. Fig. 3 depicts the intensity distributions of the images for a bead located farther from the objective lens (a, above the focal plane) and closer to the objective lens (b, below the focal plane). The images had their background intensities subtracted using a two-dimensional moving average, taken over a square region with sides approximately equal to the diameter of the outermost Gaussian ring, and were smoothed using a Gaussian convolution kernel of half width $= 1$ pixel.23 Eqn (1) was fitted to the smoothed images by minimizing the square of the difference between the fitted function (Fig. 3c and d) and the smoothed image. Fig. 3e and f compare $A(x, y)$ as a function of $x$ (solid line) and the experimental data (symbols) of Fig. 3a and b.

The fits of the experimental data were normalized with $(\max[A(x,y)] - \min[A(x,y)])$. To determine the z-position of a bead, we compared the normalized fits $A_{\text{norm}}$ (eqn (1)) of the experimental data with the $k$ normalized fits of the calibration data $A_{\text{cal,norm}}^k$ (Fig. 2b). In other words, the z-position of a bead was determined by minimizing

$$\chi_k = \sum_{ij} (A_{ij} - A_{ij}^{\text{cal}}(k))^2$$
over \( k \), where \( k = 1, 2, 3 \ldots \) correspond to the fitted surfaces of the calibration bead intensities that were located at known \( z \)-positions and \( i \) and \( j \) are integers designating individual pixels.

Additionally, as checks of this procedure, estimates of the \( z \)-positions were obtained using the fitted radius of the outermost Gaussian ring and using the zeroth and second moments of the light intensity. All these techniques yielded similar estimates for \( z \) (Fig. S5, ESI†).

After beads were brought into proximity with the suspended actin filament and released from the optical trap, many of the beads remained in contact with and moved along the actin filament with helical paths. The diameter of the helix was approximately the diameter of the bead, 1 \( \mu \text{m} \), as expected, making the helical path readily observable. The trajectory, velocity, pitch, and handedness of the path of each bead were determined from its three-dimensional position as a function of time. Twenty-one myosin-V-coated beads were bound to single actin filaments, and all were observed to travel along their filaments. The average pitch was calculated using only beads that rotated for more than half a turn (Table 1).

Displacements in the transverse direction (\( x \)) and along the optical axis (\( z \)), depicted as functions of the position along the filament (\( y \)) (Fig. 4a), showed that the \( x \)-position (solid line) is roughly 90° out-of-phase with the \( z \)-position (dashed line), as expected for a helical path. The bead moves in the positive \( y \)-direction. Since, in the first quadrant (\( x > 0, z > 0 \)), \( \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta y} < 0 \) and \( \frac{\Delta z}{\Delta y} > 0 \), the path is left-handed (Fig. 4b).

All helical paths taken by myosin-V-coated beads were left-handed with an average pitch of 1.8 \( \mu \text{m} \) without significant dependence on [MgATP] (Table 1). The handedness and pitch agree with a previous report that found the average pitch of myosin-V-coated beads on suspended actin filaments to be 2.2 \( \mu \text{m} \).

Similar experiments with myosin X at 50 \( \mu \text{M} \) MgATP yielded left-handed helical motion with a pitch of 1.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) (calculated from 4 beads – all the beads that were tested rotated). These observations are new. The path, handedness, and pitch of myosin X have not been previously reported. Our results are compatible with a recent report indicating torque generation by myosin X in gliding filament assays. In the latter study, the pitch and the handedness of the motion resulting from this torque were not determined.

With processive myosins, a helical path along actin can be explained by the motor preferentially binding to actin monomers that do not coincide with the pitch of the actin helix. The helical geometry of the actin filament can be defined in several ways. The tightest helical disposition, termed the ‘genetic’ helix, is a left-handed 5.5 nm pitch helix, with an axial spacing of 2.75 nm per monomer and left-handed rotation angle around the filament axis of 166° per monomer. Because this rotation per subunit along the genetic helix is close to 180°, the structure is often described as two 72–74 nm pitch, right-handed helices that coil around each other.

Actin filaments are often approximated as a 13/6 helix when interpreting the path of myosins on actin. The 13/6 nomenclature defines an actin filament with monomers located along the left-handed short-pitch (5.5 nm) genetic helix rotating 6 full turns in 13 monomers. Taking the origin as the zeroth actin monomer, the 13th monomer along this short-pitch helix is oriented at the same azimuth around the axis of the filament as the zeroth monomer. A motor binding to successive sites 13 monomers apart would walk straight. Based on the left-handed helical motions of the beads along the suspended actin filaments, it was surmised that myosin V occasionally binds the 11th monomer, resulting in a gradual, left-handed rotation about the actin filament that was insensitive to the number of
myosin motors bound to the bead. On the 13/6 actin helix, myosin V binding to the 11th monomer more often than the 15th would produce left-handed twirling. Since the filament is flexible, other known actin helical indices would also be consistent with left-handed rotation. For instance, on a 28/13 helix (generated by a slight untwist of the 13/6 helix), monomer 13 is not disposed on a straight path, but azimuthally 13° to the left of monomer zero. Monomer 15 is disposed 13° to the right. Thus myosin binding to monomer 13 more often than monomer 15 would still result in a left-handed path.

We have also found that beads coated densely with myosin X track a left-handed helix with a shorter pitch than myosin V. In contrast, myosin VI has been shown to twirl with a right-handed pitch according to a different assay (fluorescent labeled actin monomers in a gliding assay). Whenever a comparison is possible between data on single molecule trajectories and unconstrained paths of cargos transported by several motors, the paths have been similar in handedness and pitch, indicating that the helical paths are generated by intrinsic properties of the motor mechanism. For processive motors, the main determinants of their paths seem to be the step size distribution and the actin monomer indices selected by the stepping molecule. Helical paths, possibly resulting from off-axis forces or attachment biased towards sites before or after the azimuthally optimal binding site, have also been observed with myosin II, a non-processive motor. The techniques presented here may help to better our understanding of the various factors determining the functional mechanisms of molecular motions.
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**Fig. 3** (a) and (b) Smoothed surface plots of images similar to those in Fig. 2. (c) and (d) Fits of eqn (1) to images (a) and (b), respectively. (e) and (f) Average radial line profiles from the center of the images shown in (a) and (b), respectively (symbols), and the corresponding radial slices of the axisymmetric fits shown in (c) and (d), respectively (solid lines).

**Table 1** Summary of myosin-V-coated bead experiments (variabilities are presented as ±1 standard deviation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[MgATP]</th>
<th>500 nM</th>
<th>2 μM</th>
<th>5 μM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myosin–bead ratio</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of trials</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of beads that rotated &gt;0.5 turns</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear velocity/nm s⁻¹</td>
<td>21 ± 3</td>
<td>49 ± 11</td>
<td>116 ± 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch/μm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Experimental methods

Electrodes were fabricated on sulfuric–peroxide (H₂SO₄–H₂O₂, 3 : 1)-cleaned, 150 μm-thick glass coverslips. 10 nm of NiCr was evaporated to act as an adhesion layer, upon which 100 nm of gold was evaporated. The electrode pattern was defined in positive photoresist (Shipley 1813), and the gold and NiCr were wet-etched using a potassium-iodide-based etchant and nichrome etchant, respectively. Hydrofluoric acid (HF) was used to etch a 2μm-deep trench in the glass between the electrodes, using the patterned electrodes as a mask. Electric fields (20 kHz, 2 V rms AC) were applied across the electrodes, and the signals (and currents) were monitored using an oscilloscope (Tektronix 3036-B).

G-actin was obtained from rabbit skeletal muscle and purified.²⁸ F-actin was prepared from G-actin, at 1 mM total actin monomer concentration, and stabilized with 1.1 mM rhodamine-phalloidin (Molecular Probes, Carlsbad, CA). F-actin was suspended in 37 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM K-EGTA, 20 mM HEPES, and 1 mM DTT. Polystyrene beads (1 μm diameter, Polysciences, Inc.) were incubated in 30 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM K-EGTA, 20 mM Heps, 10 mM DTT, 6 μM CaM (expressed in bacteria²⁹), 100 ng mL⁻¹ tetramethyl rhodamine (TMR) BSA, and then with myosin V or X at a molar ratio of ~10⁴ myosin molecules per bead. The TMR-coated beads were easily observable under low-light conditions before attachment to actin. Chick brain myosin V was purified from tissue.³⁰ Myosin X was a kind gift of Dr Mitsuo Ikebe and Dr Osamu Sato, University of Massachusetts. The motility buffer (pH = 7.4) also contained 0.2 mg mL⁻¹ casein, 5 mM phosphocreatine (Sigma P7936), 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ creatine phosphokinase (Sigma C3755), 0.5 mg mL⁻¹ unlabeled BSA, 7.2 mg mL⁻¹ glucose, 9 units per mL catalase, 4 mg mL⁻¹ glucose oxidase, and various concentrations of MgATP.

5. Conclusions

In summary, we have developed an improved method for studying the path and azimuthal rotation of molecular motors in vitro that uses two non-contact techniques: dielectrophoresis and optical trapping. Although both methods have been previously used independently, their combined application is new. The ability to place tracks for molecular motors at predetermined locations and to control their tension with electrostatic tweezers overcomes a significant technical hurdle – searching for tracks that are fortuitously suspended between fixed beads with a sufficient degree of tension.

We describe an assay that facilitates the study of the motility of motors unhindered by solid surfaces, enabling the motors to rotate around their tracks as they may be able to do in vivo. The study indicates that when they are afforded the opportunity, myosin V and myosin X motors, indeed, rotate.

The left-handedness of myosin V reported earlier was reproduced, and we found an average pitch of 1.8 μm without significant dependence on [MgATP]. Additionally, we found that myosin X also follows a left-handed helical path, with an average pitch of 1.5 μm, as it proceeds along actin.

Our method makes progress toward the goal of generating in vitro assays that reproduce some of the complexity present in cells. Using other electrode and flow arrangements will allow more complex filamentous networks to be generated for molecular motor studies.
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