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Using density-functional theory, we computed all the independent elastic constants of coesite, a high-pressure polymorph of silica, as functions of pressure up to 15 GPa. The results are in good agreement with experimental measurements under ambient conditions. Also, the predicted pressure-dependent elastic properties are consistent with x-ray data in the literature concerning lattice strains at high pressures. We find that coesite, like quartz, exhibits a gradual softening of a shear modulus $B_{44}$ with increasing pressure, in contrast to the rising bulk modulus.
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Using density-functional theory, we computed all the independent elastic constants of coesite, a high-pressure polymorph of silica, as functions of pressure up to 15 GPa. The results are in good agreement with experimental measurements under ambient conditions. Also, the predicted pressure-dependent elastic properties are consistent with x-ray data in the literature concerning lattice strains at high pressures. We find that coesite, like quartz, exhibits a gradual softening of a shear modulus $B_{44}$ with increasing pressure, in contrast to the rising bulk modulus. © 2008 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2888558]

I. INTRODUCTION

The behavior of silica (SiO$_2$) under pressure is of considerable interest in geophysics and materials science. Despite its simple chemical composition, silica shows rich polymorphism at elevated pressures and temperatures. Thus the phases of silica serve as model systems for studying high-pressure and/or high-temperature phase transitions, including amorphization. Here we focus on the coesite crystal structure, originally discovered in the laboratory under 3.5 GPa pressure at 750 °C. Later, coesite was also found in rocks inside the Barringer Meteorite Crater in Arizona, as well as near nuclear explosion sites, suggesting formation under impact. Coesite, like quartz and cristobalite, consists of tetrahedral units of silicon surrounded by four oxygen atoms. Of all the silica polymorphs, coesite is the highest density tetrahedral form. It has 16 formula units of SiO$_2$ in a monoclinic unit cell that is nearly hexagonal.2

Despite its obvious importance, not much is known about the elasticity of silica under high pressure, since measurement of elastic constants is challenging under these conditions.3 In particular elastic anisotropy, characterized by the difference in acoustic velocity in various directions, has not been systematically studied. On the other hand, a number of molecular dynamics and first-principles calculations have been performed on various high-pressure and/or temperature silica phases, including coesite (see, for example, Refs. 4–11). However, a systematic study has not been performed on the pressure evolution of the complete set of elastic constants of coesite.

In the present article, we report the complete set of high-pressure elastic constants of coesite as determined from first-principles density-functional-theory (DFT) calculations. The data thus obtained can be compared with numerous experimental compressibility data and also the single-crystal elastic constants reported by Weidner and Carleton.12

II. SIMULATION TECHNIQUES

First-principles total-energy calculations under hydrostatic pressure ($\tau_{ij} = -P \delta_{ij}$, unit-cell geometries are relaxed) are performed using the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP).13 We apply the projector-augmented-wave (PAW) method14,15 and the Ceperley–Alder exchange-correlation potential16 in a local-density approximation (LDA), which is parametrized by Perdew and Zunger.17 The calculations employ the primitive cell of coesite (space group $C2/c$), containing 48 atoms. A plane-wave basis set with 1400 eV kinetic energy cutoff is adopted. We also employ a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ Monkhorst–Pack18 k mesh (two irreducible k points) for carrying out the Brillouin-zone integration, using the tetrahedron method with Blöchl correction.21 We increase the pressure in 5 GPa increments for pressures up to 20 GPa. Forces on atoms and internal Cauchy stresses are calculated, and atomic positions and cell geometries are allowed to relax using a conjugate gradient technique until their residual forces have converged to less than 0.0005 eV/Å.

In strained crystals, the acoustic velocities correspond to the elastic stiffness coefficients or Birch coefficients (see, for example, Refs. 22 and 23). The definition of elastic stiffness coefficients $B_{ijkl}(X)$ at a finite-stress state X is given as the linear expansion coefficient of stress versus strain,24

$$\tau_{ij}(Y) = \tau_{ij}(X) + B_{ijkl}(X)(\eta_k^X)_l + O[(\eta_k^X)^2], \quad (1)$$

where $\eta_k^X$ is the Lagrangian strain connecting states X and Y, and $\tau(X), \tau(Y)$ are the Cauchy stresses of X and Y. In our calculations, a complete set of $B_{ij}$’s (in Voigt notation) are computed from numerical derivatives of the internal Cauchy stress with respect to strain. The crystal structure of coesite is monoclinic, which means there exist 13 independent elastic constants. The total energy and internal stress are calculated in the strained lattice for several values of the magnitude of the linear strain $\varepsilon$. Generally three values of $\varepsilon$ are chosen, $\varepsilon=0.005, 0.0075$, and 0.01. The unit cell is slightly deformed with every $\varepsilon$ in different directions, each corresponding to a certain component of elastic constants, and then the atomic
coordinates are allowed to relax. $B_{ij}$'s are then obtained by fitting a line to the internal stress $\tau$ as a function of $\eta(\varepsilon)$, and then taking the slope $\partial \tau / \partial \eta$.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Structural variations with pressure

The lattice parameters $(a, b, c,$ and $\beta)$ and unit-cell volume ($\Omega$) of coesite at ambient pressure are evaluated. The obtained values are $a_0=7.084 \, (7.1366) \, \text{Å}$, $b_0=12.327 \, (12.3723) \, \text{Å}$, $c_0=7.157 \, (7.1749) \, \text{Å}$, $\beta_0=120.33^\circ \, (120.33^\circ)$, and $\Omega_0=538.5 \, (546.81) \, \text{Å}^3$, where the numbers in parentheses are the experimental values$^{19}$ at room temperature. For the present method, the calculated unit-cell parameters are slightly smaller (within 0.8% for $a_0$, $b_0$, $c_0$ and 1.6% for volume) but fairly close to those obtained from the x-ray diffraction data.$^{19}$ The slight underestimation of the lattice constants at zero pressure is partly attributed to the LDA and partly to zero-temperature (static) calculations.

With increasing pressure from 0 to 20 GPa, the unit-cell parameters decrease continuously. Figure 1(a) shows the volume compressibility curve, along with the x-ray diffraction data from Refs. 18, 25, and 19. Note that our theoretical data obtained from the DFT calculations show good agreement with the experimental data above 20 GPa. Figure 1(b) shows the axial compressibility curves for the $a$, $b$, and $c$ axes. The $a$ axis is the most compliant among the three axes, and the compressibility of coesite is highly anisotropic in the $a$-$c$ plane. This behavior is due to the aligned silicate chains that run parallel to $c$.$^{18}$ The chains are relatively stiff along their lengths, but the structure is relatively flexible in the $a$ direction, largely inclined from the chains. Our theoretical data are found to agree well with the experimental data all for $a$, $b$, and $c$, as indicated in Fig. 1(b). This result suggests that our calculations have the ability to satisfactorily reproduce the anisotropic compression behavior of coesite.

B. Elastic constants

The elastic stiffness coefficients ($B_{ij}$) of coesite are evaluated under pressure from 0 to 15 GPa using the DFT calculations (Table I). We have included the experimental values$^{12}$ at ambient pressure for comparison, which were determined from Brillouin scattering measurements. Whereas $B_{11}$, $B_{22}$, and $B_{33}$ are within 12–15% off the experimental values, the deviation for $B_{44}$, $B_{12}$, and $B_{13}$ is larger, around 24–33%. This large deviation may be understood from the fact that these three elastic constants have relatively low magnitudes. The rank order of the 13 elastic stiffness coefficients is largely preserved.

Figure 2 displays the pressure evolution of the $B_{ij}$'s. A similar monotonous pressure dependence is observed, however their magnitudes are quite different. With increasing pressure up to 15 GPa, the $B_{11}$, $B_{22}$, and $B_{33}$ values increase significantly and their pressure dependence is nonlinear, whereas $B_{55}$ and $B_{66}$ change little and are almost constant in this pressure range. In particular, it is noteworthy that $B_{44}$ manifests negative pressure slope. This behavior of the elastic constants indicates the possibility that the high-pressure phase transition in coesite will be driven by softening of the shear modulus $B_{44}$, and the shear instability occurs at high pressure.

The bulk modulus ($K$) of monoclinic crystals depends on a combination of elastic compliance constants $S_{ij}$, the inverse of the $B_{ij}$ matrix,$^{26}$

$$K^{-1} = S_{11} + S_{22} + S_{33} + 2(S_{12} + S_{13} + S_{23}).$$

FIG. 1. Pressure evolution of the (a) unit-cell volume and (b) lattice constants of coesite. (a) The open diamonds represent data from the DFT calculations. The x-ray diffraction data (solid symbols) are from Refs. 18, 25, and 19. (b) The open squares, triangles, and circles represent normalized lattice lengths $a/a_0$, $b/b_0$, and $c/c_0$ obtained from the DFT calculations, respectively. The x-ray diffraction data (solid symbols) are from Refs. 18 and 19.
According to Eq. (2), the $K$ values derived from the $B_{ij}$'s (via the $S_{ij}$'s) at various pressures are also tabulated in Table 1. By another way, we can determine $K$ from fitting a polynomial to the pressure dependence of $\ln \Omega$, based on Fig. 1(a). With the best-fit coefficients of a third-order polynomial, $K (=-dP/d \ln \Omega)$ is calculated as a function of $P$. The obtained values are 89.6, 111.2, 135.2, 159.7, and 183.9 GPa at pressures of 0, 5, 10, 15, and 20 GPa, respectively. Figure 3 shows the pressure evolution of the bulk modulus obtained in the analysis. The numerical derivative of pressure with respect to volume ($-dP/d \ln \Omega$) is shown as a solid curve as a function of $P$, based on the theoretical volume compressibility data, also along with the x-ray experimental data (open circles) from Refs. 18, 25, and 19. It is noteworthy that the $K$ values derived from our $B_{ij}$'s are consistent with these volume compressibility data.

### C. Linear compressibility

The pressure dependence of the lattice parameter is also related to a combination of elastic constants, and thus we can make use of the linear compressibility $K$ to check the validity of the calculated $B_{ij}$'s. In monoclinic crystal, the axial compressibilities $k_a$, $k_b$, and $k_c$ are of the form

$$
k_a = -d \ln(a)/dP = S_{11} + S_{12} + S_{13},
$$

$$
k_b = -d \ln(b)/dP = S_{12} + S_{22} + S_{23},
$$

$$
k_c = -d \ln(c)/dP = S_{13} + S_{23} + S_{33}. \tag{3}
$$

Here the ratios $k_a/k_b$ and $k_c/k_b$ reflect the anisotropy of the linear compressibility. On the other hand, we can determine $k_a/k_b$ and $k_c/k_b$ by fitting a polynomial to the evolution of $\ln(a)$ and $\ln(c)$ with respect to $\ln(b)$ at various pressures.

Thus we could examine the consistency between the $k$ ratios derived from the strained lattice parameters and those derived from the calculated $B_{ij}$ values.

Figure 4 displays the relations among the three axial compressibilities for coesite, along with the diffraction data from Refs. 18 and 19. As clearly shown in Fig. 4, the logarithms of $a$ and $c$ change linearly with $\ln(b/b_0)$ both for the DFT and experimental data, and the slopes of their least-squares fits are almost the same: 1.87 and 0.948 for the DFT data on $\ln(a/a_0)$ and $\ln(c/c_0)$, and 1.85 and 0.795 for the experimental data on $\ln(a/a_0)$ and $\ln(c/c_0)$, respectively.

Our predicted $B_{ij}$'s for coesite as listed in Table 1 yield the ratio of $k$ values at various pressures. At ambient pressure, the $k_a/k_b$ and $k_c/k_b$ values derived from the DFT data and the experimental data agree well with one another. At above 5 GPa, the $k_a/k_b$ values (ranging from 1.54 to 2.30) and $k_c/k_b$ values (ranging from 0.99 to 1.30) apparently coincide with the above $k$ ratios over the pressure range up to
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15 GPa. This suggests that the present $B_{ij}$'s are consistent with the compressibility behavior, both for the experimental and DFT data.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have obtained the high-pressure elastic constants of coesite via first-principles density-functional-theory calculations. Our results are consistent with not only the elastic constants obtained from Brillouin scattering measurements at ambient pressure, but also the x-ray diffraction data concerning the volume and axial compressibilities in high-pressure experiments. The present calculations provide insight into the elastic behavior of coesite at high pressure, and help us better understand the physics of solid silica.
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