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Efficient Reduction of CO$_2$ in a Solid Oxide Electrolyzer

Abstract
The electrolysis of CO$_2$ has been examined in a solid oxide electrolyzer (SOE) using a ceramic electrode based on La$_{0.8}$Sr$_{0.2}$Cr$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_3$ (LSCM), infiltrated into a yttria-stabilized zirconia scaffold together with 0.5 wt % Pd supported on 5 wt % Ce$_{0.48}$Zr$_{0.48}$Y$_{0.04}$O$_2$. An SOE with this electrode exhibited a total cell impedance of 0.36 Ω cm$^2$ at 1073 K for operation in CO–CO$_2$ mixtures. An additional benefit is that the CO–CO$_2$ electrode was shown to be redox stable, with LSCM exhibiting good conductivity in both oxidizing and reducing environments, so that the cell can operate in pure CO$_2$.
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The electrolysis of CO₂ has been examined in a solid oxide electrolyzer (SOE) using a ceramic electrode based on Laₙ₀.₈Sr₀.₂Cr₀.₅Mn₀.₅O₃ (LSCM), infiltrated into a yttria-stabilized zirconia scaffold together with 0.5 wt % Pd supported on 5 wt % Ce₀.₄₂Zr₀.₄₂Y₀.₁₂O₂. An SOE with this electrode exhibited a total cell impedance of 0.36 Ω cm² at 1073 K for operation in CO–CO₂ mixtures. An additional benefit is that the CO–CO₂ electrode was shown to be redox stable, with LSCM exhibiting good conductivity in both oxidizing and reducing environments, so that the cell can operate in pure CO₂.

When the economy is based on renewable energy resources, such as wind and solar, the major source of H₂ for chemical production and energy storage will be from the electrolysis of water. The ability to reduce CO₂ efficiently by a similar process could also play a role in reducing greenhouse gas emissions and moving us toward a more sustainable economy. CO produced in this manner could be used in chemical production or reacted with H₂ to produce liquid fuels via the Fischer–Tropsch reaction.

Solid oxide electrolyzers (SOEs), which are essentially solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) operated in reverse, are capable of higher water electrolysis efficiencies compared to solution-based electrolysis cells because they operate at higher temperatures (>925 K). The higher operating temperatures result in a lower Nernst potential, the thermodynamic potential required for water splitting, and in lower electrode overpotentials. (The electrode overpotential is the difference between the actual electrode potential and the Nernst potential, and is a measure of the lost efficiency in the cell.) SOEs also differ from low-temperature, solution-based electrolyzers in that the electrolyte membrane conducts oxygen anions, rather than protons. The material most often used for the electrolyte is yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ), a material that is a good oxygen-anion conductor and an electronic insulator. In an SOE, the cathode (the fuel-side electrode) reaction for water electrolysis is the electrochemical dissociation of steam to produce H₂ and O²⁻ ions, Reaction 1, while recombination of the oxygen ions to O₂, Reaction 2, occurs at the anode (the air-side electrode)

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- \rightarrow O^{2-} + H_2 \]  
\[ O^{2-} \rightarrow 2e^- + 1/2O_2 \]

By analogy to Reaction 1, the reduction of CO₂ can also be carried out at the fuel-side electrode using Reaction 3

\[ \text{CO}_2 + 2e^- \rightarrow O^{2-} + \text{CO} \]

Finally, note that the Nernst potentials for the electrolysis of H₂O and CO₂ are virtually identical.

There are a few reports for the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to CO in SOEs. Most of these have focused on O₂ production for space missions and have employed expensive bulk Pt electrodes that would not be practical for large-scale CO₂ electrolysis as would be required for sustainable chemical and fuel production. Furthermore, the overpotentials for the Pt electrodes used in these studies were very high, so that the efficiency for CO₂ reduction was low.

In conventional SOE used for steam electrolysis, the fuel-side electrode is a composite of Ni and YSZ. The same material that is used in SOFCs for oxidation of H₂. In principle, Ni–YSZ electrodes can be used for CO₂ electrolysis, but they suffer from several important limitations. First, while Ni–YSZ electrodes are efficient for H₂ oxidation, electrode overpotentials for CO oxidation are much higher. Indeed, a highly optimized SOFC that was able to produce 1.8 W/cm² when H₂ was the fuel produced less than 0.3 W/cm² on a 44% CO–56% CO₂ mixture at 1073 K. When SOFCs are operated on syngas, a mixture of CO and H₂, the oxidation of CO proceeds primarily through the water–gas-shift reaction, CO + H₂O → H₂ + CO₂, with H₂ oxidation still the primary electrochemical reaction. Second, there are concerns about the stability of Ni–YSZ composites in a CO–CO₂ environment. Ni carbonyls are highly volatile, making it important to choose operating conditions for which carbonyl formation is less favorable. Ni is also a superb catalyst for the Boudouard reaction, 2CO → C + CO₂, so that operation would be limited to higher temperatures and CO/CO₂ ratios to avoid equilibrium conditions favorable for this reaction. Finally, Ni–YSZ composites are severely damaged by reoxidation. Because Ni would be oxidized by pure CO₂, it would be necessary to ensure that the feed to any CO₂ electrolyzer contained sufficient CO or H₂ to make the gas composition reducing over all parts of the electrode.

Our groups have recently demonstrated that it is possible to achieve a very high performance with an electrode made from 45 wt % La₀.₈Sr₀.₂Cr₀.₅Mn₀.₅O₃ (LSCM), 0.5 wt % Pd, and 5 wt % ceria infiltrated into a porous YSZ scaffold. In this composite electrode, LSCM provides electronic conductivity, YSZ provides ionic conductivity, and the Pd–ceria mixture enhances the catalytic activity for fuel oxidation. An SOFC with this fuel–electrode composition exhibited maximum power densities at 1073 K of 1.1 and 0.71 W/cm² in humidified (3% H₂O) H₂ and methanol, respectively, even though the cell had a relatively thick, 60 μm YSZ electrolyte. The composite electrode was also stable to oxidation and reduction cycles, showing conductivity under both oxidizing and reducing conditions. Finally, none of the materials used in the LSCM-based electrode forms vapor-phase carbonyls, and none is a good catalyst for carbon formation by the Boudouard reaction. These attributes make this electrode design a good candidate for use in a CO₂ SOE system.

In this paper, we describe the performance characteristics for CO₂ electrolysis of an SOE with a fuel electrode based on LSCM. The results demonstrate that this electrode is very efficient for the electrochemical reduction of CO₂.

Experimental

Cells were fabricated by first preparing a three-layer YSZ wafer, consisting of two porous layers separated by a dense electrolyte layer, 65 μm thick as previously described. The three-layer ceramic wafers were produced by laminating three green ceramic tapes, synthesized by tape casting, with pore formers in the two outer tapes. The laminated green tapes were fired to 1773 K to produce the final ceramic structures. The porous layer on one side of
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the electrolyte was 300 μm thick YSZ (~65% porous) and was used as the scaffold for the air-side electrode, while the other porous layer was 60 μm thick YSZ (~65% porous) and was used as the scaffold for the fuel-side electrode. Porosity in the 300 μm layer was obtained using a mixture of graphite and polyurethane pore formers (the latter was used to introduce larger pores), while the thinner porous layer used only graphite.

The addition of 40 wt % LSCM to the porous fuel-side layer was the next step in cell fabrication. The impregnating solution was prepared by adding La(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (Alfa Aesar, ACS 99.9%), Sr(NO₃)₂ (Alfa Aesar, ACS 99.0%), Cr(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (Alfa Aesar, ACS 98.5%), and Mn(NO₃)₂·xH₂O (Alfa Aesar, ACS 99.98%) to distilled water in the correct molar ratios, then mixing this with citric acid (~99.5%, Aldrich) to produce a solution with a citric-acid:metal-ion ratio of 2:1. After infiltrating the porous layer with this solution, the ceramic wafer was heated in air to 750 K to decompose the nitrate ions and the citric acid. This procedure was repeated until the desired weight loading of LSCM was achieved. Finally, the wafer was heated in air to 1473 K to produce the perovskite structure.

After forming the LSCM in the porous layer, the (La₀.₈Sr₀.₂FeO₃)–YSZ air-side electrodes were synthesized by impregnating the 300 μm thick layer with an aqueous solution containing La(NO₃)₃·6H₂O, Sr(NO₃)₂, and Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, to a loading of 40 wt % LSF, followed by calcination to 1123 K. The impedance of LSF–YSZ electrodes prepared in this way is between 0.1 and 0.15 Ω cm² at 973 K and is independent of current density under both fuel-cell and electrolyzer conditions. Following the addition of LSF, 0.5 wt % Pd, 5 wt % of the mixed oxide, Ce₀.₄₂Zr₀.₄₂Y₀.₀₂O₁.₃ (CZY), were added as catalysts to the LSCM-containing layer by addition of the nitrate salts and heating in air to 750 K. Pd supported on ceria–zirconia is known to be highly active for oxidation catalysis, and Y doping of the ceria–zirconia maintains the mixed oxide as a single-phase material following high-temperature treatments. The addition of an oxidation catalyst was found to be essential for achieving high electrode performance.

For testing, cells were attached to an alumina tube with a ceramic adhesive (Aremco, Ceramabond 552). Electrical connections were achieved using Ag paste and Ag wire at both the air and fuel electrodes. The gas to the fuel-side electrode was humidified (10% H₂O) H₂ or a mixture of CO and CO₂ with the concentration 0.5 cm² for operation in CO and CO₂, in good agreement with the calculated resistance of 0.35 Ω cm² expected for the 65 μm electrolyte, using reported YSZ conductivities. The nonohmic losses, determined from the length of the arc under the impedance curves, were 0.19 cm² for operation in H₂–H₂O and 0.6 cm² for operation in CO–CO₂. Losses for the LSF–YSZ air electrode are estimated to be between 0.1 and 0.15 cm² at 973 K, suggesting that the fuel electrode losses in H₂–H₂O are approximately 0.1 cm² and those in CO–CO₂ mixtures are 0.5 cm².

H2–10% H₂O–90% H₂ (●) and 10% CO–90% CO (●) mixtures at 973 K. Negative currents correspond to electrolysis of H₂O or CO₂. The cell composition was as follows: 40 wt % LSF in YSZ/YSZ (65 μm) | 0.5 wt % Pd, 5 wt % CZY, and 45 wt % LSCM in YSZ.

**Results and Discussion**

A complete description of the LSCM–YSZ electron microstructure is given elsewhere. What this earlier work showed is that the infiltration process produces a remarkable morphology, with a thin porous layer of electronically conductive LSCM covering the surface of the YSZ scaffold. Infiltration of the PD/Ce₀.₄₂Zr₀.₄₂Y₀.₀₂O₁.₃ catalyst into the LSCM pores then adds the necessary catalytic sites. This microstructure appears to be critical for achieving high performance by providing a mixed conducting substrate with a large, catalytically active, three-phase boundary. The electrode was demonstrated to be thermally stable on cycling up to at least 1173 K.

Figure 1 shows a comparison of the cell performance with the fuel-side electrode exposed to 90% H₂–10% H₂O and 90% CO–10% CO₂ mixtures at 973 K. In this figure, oxidation of H₂ and CO is indicated by positive currents and reduction of H₂O and CO₂ by negative currents. The cell potential at zero current was 1.05 V in H₂–H₂O and 1.07 V in CO–CO₂, which is close to the calculated Nernst potentials for these fuel compositions when the opposite electrode is exposed to ambient air. Because the feeds to the fuel side of the cell were dilute in both H₂O and CO₂, the overpotentials in electrolysis are higher than those under fuel-cell conditions. With the H₂–H₂O mixture, a current density of 1.14 A/cm² was obtained at a cell potential of 0.5 V, while the corresponding electrolysis current density at 1.5 V was only 0.64 A/cm².

The corresponding open-circuit impedance spectra, Fig. 2, provide insight into the origin of the overpotential losses while operating in the electrolysis mode. As expected, a significant fraction of the cell losses was ohmic and attributable to the internal resistance drop in the 65 μm YSZ electrolyte. The measured ohmic losses, determined from the high-frequency intercept with the abscissa, were 0.37 Ω cm² for operation in H₂ and H₂O and 0.35 Ω cm² for operation in CO and CO₂, in good agreement with the calculated resistance of 0.35 Ω cm² expected for the 65 μm electrolyte, using reported YSZ conductivities. The nonohmic losses, determined from the length of the arc under the impedance curves, were 0.19 cm² for operation in H₂–H₂O and 0.6 cm² for operation in CO–CO₂. Losses for the LSF–YSZ air electrode are estimated to be between 0.1 and 0.15 cm² at 973 K, suggesting that the fuel electrode losses in H₂–H₂O are approximately 0.1 cm² and those in CO–CO₂ mixtures are 0.5 cm².

Figures 3 and 4 show the voltage–current density (V–i) relationships for the cell during electrochemical reduction of CO₂ and oxidation of CO as a function of the CO/CO₂ ratio in the fuel at 973 and 1073 K, respectively. When pure CO₂ is fed to the fuel-side
Negative currents correspond to electrolysis of CO₂. 100% CO₂ to avoid diffusional limitations. Diffusion limitations are obviously conditions for which a Ni–YSZ electrode would undergo oxidation. The potential rises to ~0.8 V as CO is produced by CO₂ reduction. At 1.5 V, the reduction current reaches 0.96 A/cm² at 973 K and 1.8 A/cm² at 1073 K. At 973 K for a CO₂:CO ratio of 9:1, the OCP is 0.87 V and increases with decreasing the CO₂:CO ratio. The slope of the V-i curve remains nearly the same as that for pure CO₂, however, as long as there is sufficient CO₂ to avoid diffusional limitations. Diffusion limitations are almost certainly the reason for the increase in the slope at higher current densities. The nearly constant slopes, approximately 0.63 Ω cm² at 973 K and 0.36 Ω cm² at 1073 K, reflect the fact that the electrode impedances are nearly current-independent so long as the diffusion of CO₂ (for CO₂ reduction) or CO (for CO oxidation) is not limiting. Because 65 μm YSZ electrolyte contributes to the increased slope of these lines, 0.35 Ω cm² at 973 K and 0.15 Ω cm² at 1073 K, significant improvements could be made by using a thinner electrolyte.

Next, we consider how the LSCM-based electrode in the present study compares to the best Ni–YSZ electrodes. Because the only available CO₂ electrolysis data on cells with Ni–YSZ electrodes are for much higher temperatures, 1223 K, we instead compare the performance of our cells operating as a fuel cell. As mentioned in the beginning, a highly optimized SOFC with a Ni–YSZ anode and a 10 μm thick electrolyte produced less than 0.3 W/cm² on a 44% CO–56% CO₂ mixture at 1073 K, even though it was capable of producing 1.8 W/cm² on 100% H₂ at this temperature. Figure 5, which shows the power density as a function of current density for our cell operating in the fuel-cell mode in various CO–CO₂ mixtures, demonstrates that our cell achieved 0.45 W/cm² in a 50% CO₂–50% CO mixture at this temperature, even with a 65 μm electrolyte. Clearly, the performance of these LSCM-based electrodes in CO–CO₂ mixtures is excellent.

Conclusion

We have demonstrated that it is possible to reduce CO₂ electrochemically with an efficiency that is similar to that which can be achieved for H₂O electrolysis. This result suggests that reduction of CO₂ by electrolysis in an SOE is feasible and could play a role in the development of sustainable and nongreenhouse-gas-emitting energy and fuel cycles which use renewable energy sources such as wind and solar to produce chemicals and liquid fuels.
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