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Abstract
The academic library profession has a long tradition of responding to two conflicting forces: local autonomy and national interdependence. The demand for self-sufficiency is usually promulgated by faculty who expect to have their teaching and research interests satisfied by the collections at their local Institutions. Indeed, academic librarians involved in collection development pride themselves on not merely fulfilling, but anticipating, the needs of faculty. Further, the gravity of research libraries, in particular, has been measured by both the breadth and depth of coverage in their stock. A library's national rank has often been determined primarily in terms of the number of volumes it holds. These factors led research libraries to strive for - if not to attain - comprehensive collections.
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The academic library profession has a long tradition of responding to two conflicting forces: local autonomy and national interdependence. The demand for self-sufficiency is usually pro-mulgated by faculty who expect to have their teaching and research interests satisfied by the collections at their local institutions. Indeed, academic librarians involved in collection development pride themselves on not merely fulfilling, but anticipating, the needs of faculty. Further, the gravity of research libraries, in particular, has been measured by both the breadth and depth of coverage in their stock. A library's national rank has often been determined primarily in terms of the number of volumes it holds. These factors led research libraries to strive for— if not to attain— comprehensive collections.

At the same time, limited acquisitions budgets have always forced librarians to practice a degree of selectivity in building collections. While the encyclopedic library may have been an ideal, it has never been a budgeted reality in recent times, except in the case of narrowly defined specialized subject collections. As a consequence, at least since the Second World War, research libraries—including the Library of Congress—have embarked on various cooperative projects at a national level to mitigate local inadequacies.

J. Michael Smethurst, Director General of the British Library's Humanities and Social Sciences Division, identifies two requirements that must be satisfied in order to promote resource-sharing: (1) "realistic and reliable guides to the scope of the collections in the major libraries in the system" and (2) "knowledge of their priorities for future acquisition expenditure in particular subject areas." The "Conspectus" meets these conditions.

The Conspectus, which derives its roots from the word "to perceive" or "to make conspicuous," provides a means to survey the composite strengths of library collections on a national level. It is an inventory, available both in printed form and interactively online, which describes the existing collection strengths and the current collecting interests of libraries. It is a subjective, qualitative tool, usually based on the perceptions of those librarians or bibliographers who have nurtured the collections in various subject areas at their local institutions. In this regard, the Conspectus differs from other collection evaluation methods, such as the National Shelflist Count based
strictly on title counts held by an institution in each division of the Library of Congress (LC) classification scheme.

The Conspectus was conceived in 1979 as a means to encourage resource-sharing by systematically describing collections at four major U.S. research libraries: Stanford, Yale, New York Public, and Columbia. It was adopted in 1980 as a principal program of the Research Libraries Group (RLG), a non-profit consortium which numbers 36 members today. In 1983, the 108-member Association of Research Libraries (ARL) chose the Conspectus as the tool for its North American Collections Inventory Project (NCIP). The Canadian Association of Research Libraries (CARL) and the National Library of Canada endorsed the Conspectus in 1985.

By the mid-1980s the Conspectus had become a national standard for describing library collections. It now includes collection descriptions of RLG libraries, the Library of Congress, and selected ARL libraries, including Indiana University, Notre Dame, Purdue, University of California system, and the University of Virginia. The Conspectus is an evolving tool and presently contains records from about one-third of the major U.S. research libraries. Notable exclusions, particularly for those interested in Dutch studies, are the University of Illinois, Texas, Chicago, and Harvard.

Today, the Conspectus is also being adopted in Western Europe. The British Library has already completed an inventory of its collections using the Conspectus Instrument, and the results of its findings appear alongside those of U.S. research libraries in the online version of the RLG Conspectus. The Conspectus has also been completed in Scotland and Sweden; is underway in France; and is under discussion in the Netherlands. Thus, in less than a decade, the Conspectus has expanded from an informal descriptive tool used by four U.S. research libraries to an international instrument used as a standard for describing collections.

The Conspectus uses a scale ranging from zero to five to describe a library's collection strength in some 7,000 subjects in 22 broad fields derived from the Library of Congress classification scheme. In brief, a rank of "zero," identifies a subject area "out of scope" and not collected by the library. "One" specifies a "minimal level" collection in which few works are acquired. "Two" is used for "basic information" collections which offer an introduction to the subject, but are not of sufficient depth to satisfy advanced undergraduate, graduate, or independent study. "Three" denotes an "instructional support" collection that might adequately sustain undergraduate and most graduate instruction. According to the Conspectus manual, a level 3 collection "includes a wide range of basic monographs, complete collections of the works of more important writers, selections from the works of secondary writers, a selection of representative journals,
access to appropriate non-bibliographic databases, and the reference tools and fundamental bibliographical apparatus pertaining to the subject." "Four" signifies a "research level" collection that can support dissertation and independent research. Level 4 collections are extensive, include pertinent foreign language materials, and retain older materials for historical research. Finally, "five" represents a truly "comprehensive" collection in which a library attempts to acquire "all significant works of recorded knowledge, in all applicable languages." Level 5 is reserved, by necessity, for well defined and limited subject fields, such as those represented in a "special collection."

Codes are assigned for both "existing collection strength" (ECS) and "current collecting intensity" (CCI) to allow for change over time in collecting policies. In other words, it is possible for a library to rate its collection on the "Dutch language," 1/2, as indeed the University of Minnesota has, to indicate that its existing collection is at a minimal level, but that its current collecting policy has been upgraded to a basic information level. Conspectus ratings - even for existing collections - are fluid, and may be revised at the discretion of the local institution.

Core indicators are used along with language codes to mark the prevailing languages represented in the collecting area. The following codes are used to indicate the language coverage:

- **E** -- English language material predominates. Little or no foreign language material is in the collection.
- **F** -- Selected foreign language material included in addition to the English language material.
- **W** -- Wide selection of material in all applicable languages. No programmatic decision is made to restrict materials according to the language.
- **Y** -- Material is primarily in one foreign language. The overall focus is on collecting material in the vernacular of the area.

In conjunction with provisions for brief notes, the language codes permit a more refined definition of the collection level. For example, the Library of Congress identifies its "Dutch language" collection as a 4/3W, noting that textbooks are at level 3 while scholarly works and dictionaries are at level 4. This means that although LC has an existing research collection, it currently collects at an instructional support level in a wide array of languages.

Using Dutch studies as a case study, the Conspectus informs us at the aggregate level about subject areas which are adequately represented among research libraries in this country. It also exposes those areas which are "endangered subjects" - where only one or two libraries are collecting materials at a research level,
or in certain instances, at an instruction support level. Libraries that accept "primary collecting responsibility" (PCR) for a subject area, agree to maintain their collections at the highest level within the partnership. Subjects with "PCR" assignments represent areas for which scholars might be concerned about our collective responsibility to preserve library support.

As is the case for any interdisciplinary study, collection data relevant to Dutch studies is spread across a number of subject areas. There are 22 broad subject fields represented in the Conspectus, ranging from agriculture to technology. Materials specifically pertaining to Dutch studies can be found in seven of the 22 divisions. The areas are: art and architecture; cartographic materials; education; government documents; history; law; and linguistics, languages, and literatures.

Although the Library of Congress classification scheme, from which the Conspectus derives its structure, provides great depth for subjects relevant to the Low Countries, the Conspectus typically relies on very broad subject categories. For example, Dutch literature is treated as a whole (LC class: PT5001-5980) despite the fact that the class itself is broken down into fine detail according to genre, historical period, and even individual authors. Or to cite another example, constitutional history of the Netherlands is simply identified by LC class JN5703, whereas the class dealing with the Netherlands itself ranges from JN5703 to JN5999 and covers historical periods, treatises, government structure (executive, legislative, and judiciary) and political parties. Further, many interdisciplinary subjects such as social anthropology, women's studies, and political economy defy a simple class approach and are not identified through the Conspectus framework for the Netherlands. From the area specialist's perspective, and unless the library has provided a note, it is difficult to judge subjects in-depth or to ascertain how thoroughly different authors or chronological periods are covered. The Conspectus, holding true to its etymological roots, provides only a broad overview.

With these limitations in mind, what can be learned about Dutch studies' collections from the Conspectus? In the seven divisions with pertinent information, there are a total of 47 subtopics relevant to the Low Countries - half of these are found in the history category which contains by far the most detailed data pertinent to Dutch studies. Linguistics, languages, and literatures, and art and architecture follow with six subdivisions in each. Cartographic materials and government documents are represented by four subdivisions. The history of education in the Netherlands and Belgium is identified by one subdivision. Finally, foreign law collections for Belgium, Luxembourg, and the Netherlands are rated in three separate subdivisions.
For the three core areas of art and architecture, history, and linguistics, languages, and literatures, the first has the most research level collections. Among the 38 libraries with Conspectus data recorded in art and architecture, 30 indicate existing or current collecting patterns at the research level for Dutch paintings (LC class: ND631). Eighteen libraries specify research collections in Dutch Renaissance or Baroque art; nine in 18th-century, ten in 19th-century, and seven in 20th-century Dutch art (all in LC class: N6911-6925); and eleven in Dutch architecture (LC class: NA1131-1173). In brief, it appears that Dutch art and architecture are well represented and maintained by a variety of research libraries.

The indicators for the area of Dutch language and literature are not so reassuring. In this instance, a somewhat different set of 38 libraries reveals that only seven have existing or current collections at a research level for either Dutch language or literature.

Upon closer examination only four of the seven, namely, Berkeley, UCLA, Columbia, and the British Library, indicate stable retrospective and current research collecting patterns. The Library of Congress and the University of Michigan both show a reduction from research to instructional support levels across these subjects, while New York Public Library shows a downgrading of two levels from research to basic information collections. The fields of Dutch language and literature (LC classes: PF1-979 and PT5001-5980) are considered "endangered" and Columbia has been assigned "primary collecting responsibility" to assure their future viability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Conspectus Data for Dutch Language and Dutch Literature for Collections at Level 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LINGUISTICS, LANGUAGES, AND LITERATURES — GERMANIC LANGUAGES AND LITERATURES (EXCEPT ENGLISH) PCR:NYCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutch Language PF1-979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Berkeley 4/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCLA 4/4F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC 4/3W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan 4/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia 4/4F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYPL 4/2F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Library 4/4F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Textbooks level 3; scholarly works, dictionaries level 4.
The outlook for the other subdivisions in the language and literature area is even bleaker. Among U.S. libraries, only the New York Public indicates an existing collection strength at the research level for Frisian language and literature (LC class: PF1401-1558) or Flemish language (PF1001-1184). Primary collecting responsibility for Frisian has been assigned at the instructional support level only to Berkeley. No "PCR" assignment has been made for Flemish language, an obsolete class, but presumably it will be covered by Columbia's responsibility for Dutch language. Columbia has also been assigned responsibility to maintain research level collections for provincial and local Dutch literature. Alongside the British Library, Columbia is the only library which professes to collect at level 4 in this subject. Meanwhile, Berkeley has been assigned responsibility for Flemish literature since 1830 (LC class: PT6000-6471) - an area for which only four libraries, including the British Library, affirm research collections.

To summarize, it is evident that for the area of language and literature, researchers can expect to rely almost solely on Columbia and Berkeley. The Library of Congress and Yale remain consistent at level 3 for most of the areas. Meanwhile, the New York Public Library appears to have targeted this area for reduction, moving from level 4 to level 2 in all subjects. It is heartening to note that the British Library rates itself as a stable 4/4 for each of the seven subdivisions in language and literature.

Among the 23 subject subdivisions for history, Stanford, Yale, Berkeley, the Library of Congress, Princeton, and the British Library attest to numerous research level collections. These collections are supported by a strong line of level 3 collections at such institutions as the University of Iowa, Northwestern, Dartmouth, Rutgers, Columbia, and Brigham Young. Princeton and Brown appear to be regularly upgrading their history collections from level 3 to level 4, while Minnesota, Michigan, and Cornell register a decline from level 3 to level 2 in several subjects. New York Public Library indicates constant research
collections for Belgian history, but registers a downgrading from level 4 to level 2 for Dutch history. None of the history subdivisions is considered sufficiently "endangered" to warrant assigning particular institutions "primary collecting responsibility."

The note fields are particularly rich for the history subdivisions and often provide useful information about the collections. For Belgian history, Cornell notes that it collects in "French, Flemish, and some English"; also, "mainly the big cities: Brussels, Bruges, and Antwerp." Northwestern comments that "the library makes very few accessions of materials in the Flemish language." And Stanford frequently comments on the strengths of the Hoover Institution when these indicators vary from its other collections. For example, Stanford registers a 3/3F for the history of Holland (LC class: DJ401), but notes that Hoover acquires "internal history of World War II, including the German Occupation at 4/4F."

All of this data is accessible interactively via computer and its usefulness will increase as more libraries here and abroad add their Conspectus ratings to it. Presently, it is possible to search the database by combinations of 15 different indexes. The indexes include broad subject division phrases, such as art and architecture, as well as specific subject phrases like Benelux Economic Union. A keyword index combines the division, category, and subject indexes, thereby allowing for greater flexibility in retrieving relevant material. Boolean logical operators — and, or, not — can be used to extend or limit search commands. A search by keyword for "Netherlands or Belgium or Flemish or Dutch" will retrieve all Conspectus records categorized at any level in these subjects. Records are also indexed by existing collection strength, current collecting intensity, and primary collecting responsibility. A summary of the "PCR" assignments for Dutch studies appears below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>LC Class</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dutch language</td>
<td>PF1-929</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>4/4F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutch literature</td>
<td>PT5001-5980</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>4/4F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutch provincial &amp; local literature</td>
<td>PT5901-5980</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>4/4F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flemish literature since 1830</td>
<td>PT6000-6471</td>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>4/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frisian language &amp; literature</td>
<td>PF1401-1558</td>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Education - Netherlands, Belgium</td>
<td>LA800-829</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>4/4W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maps - Surinam, Dutch Guiana</td>
<td>G5260-5264</td>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>3W/3W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/4 Topo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A search can be limited to retrieve only those institutions with a current collecting intensity of level 2, for example. Or the search can be set to identify the records belonging to a particular institution or set of institutions. It is possible to extract, for example, only British Library records on any given subject.

The Conspectus has engendered "verification studies" - expertly constituted literature lists used to review duplicate and unique holdings in a given subject among libraries and to confirm the Conspectus code assignments. Nine verification studies have been carried out on a variety of subjects, ranging from Swiss history to agricultural economics. A library is expected to have a certain percentage of titles from the bibliographic tool in order to validate its Conspectus rank as a level 3, 4, or 5 collection. Verification studies attempt to quantify and render objective the Conspectus indicators. In the case of Dutch literature one might suppose, for example, that even a minimal level collection should hold all of works listed in the new edition of Books for College Libraries. At the instructional support level, King’s and Wintle’s new The Netherlands bibliography might be used as a yardstick for certain subject areas.

At the local level, the process of completing the Conspectus may be one of its greatest assets. In conducting this exercise, the librarian is required to analyze systematically the scope of the library's collection in a certain subject area. It also provides a flexible means of manipulating and comparing local records, in essence, a collection development policy in machine readable form.

At the institutional level, the Conspectus may be used to identify library materials for preservation treatment, to establish budget priorities, to communicate with new faculty, to document grant proposals, or to support accreditation reviews. Several libraries are creating an institutional database from the Conspectus that contains all local records. The database may be enhanced and annotated with faculty research interests, corollary course offerings, acquisitions budgets, or shelflist title counts.

Nationally and internationally, the Conspectus provides a common framework in which to assess and compare collection resources. It forms the basis for cooperative agreements in acquiring, cataloging, making accessible, and preserving library materials.

NOTES

1 The author gratefully acknowledges the editorial advice of Mariann Tiblin, University of Minnesota.


6 In the Netherlands, librarians are discussing how the Conspectus structure coincides with the existing national online union catalog (PICA). The Royal Library is considering the feasibility of entering Conspectus codes directly into the national bibliography for each new title listed. For elaboration see the report: UKB - Samenwerkingsverband van de Universiteitsbibliotheken, de Koninklijke Bibliotheek en de bibliotheek van de Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen, "Coördinatie van de Collectievorming: Methodiek en Realisering," in Voortgangsrapport UKB - Begeleidingscommissie Coördinatie van de Collectievorming, (Maart, 1987): 1-73. Available from the Secretariaat UKB, p/a Bibliotheek der Rijksuniversiteit, Postbus 616, 6200 MD Maastricht, Nederland.


8 See note 7 above.

9 Table 1 was downloaded directly from the RLG online Conspectus.

