December 2003

Analog Realization of Arbitrary One-Dimensional Maps

Emilio Del Moral Hernandez
University of Sao Paulo

Geehyuk Lee
Information and Communications University

Nabil H. Farhat
University of Pennsylvania, farhat@seas.upenn.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.upenn.edu/ese_papers

Recommended Citation

Publisher URL: http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/tocresult.jsp?isNumber=28126&puNumber=81

This material is posted here with permission of the IEEE. Such permission of the IEEE does not in any way imply IEEE endorsement of any of the University of Pennsylvania's products or services. Internal or personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution must be obtained from the IEEE by writing to pubs-permissions@ieee.org. By choosing to view this document, you agree to all provisions of the copyright laws protecting it.
Analog Realization of Arbitrary One-Dimensional Maps

Abstract
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Abstract—An increasing number of applications of a one-dimensional (1-D) map as an information processing element are found in the literature on artificial neural networks, image processing systems, and secure communication systems. In search of an efficient hardware implementation of a 1-D map, we discovered that the bifurcating neuron (BN), which was introduced elsewhere as a mathematical model of a biological neuron under the influence of an external sinusoidal signal, could provide a compact solution. The original work on the BN indicated that its firing time sequence, when it was subject to a sinusoidal driving signal, was related to the sine-circle map, suggesting that the BN can compute the sine-circle map. Despite its rich array of dynamical properties, the mathematical description of the BN is simple enough to lend itself to a compact circuit implementation. In this paper, we generalize the original work and show that the computational power of the BN can be extended to compute an arbitrary 1-D map. Also, we describe two possible circuit models of the BN: the programmable unijunction transistor oscillator neuron, which was introduced in the original work as a circuit model of the BN, and the integrated-circuit relaxation oscillator neuron (IRON), which was developed for more precise modeling of the BN. To demonstrate the computational power of the BN, we use the IRON to generate the bifurcation diagrams of the sine-circle map, the logistic map, as well as the tent map, and then compare them with exact numerical versions. The programming of the BN to compute an arbitrary map can be done simply by changing the waveform of the driving signal, which is given to the BN externally; this feature makes the circuit models of the BN especially useful in the circuit implementation of a network of 1-D maps.

Index Terms—Bifurcating neuron (BN), coupled map lattice, neural network, one-dimensional (1-D) map, parametrically coupled map lattice (PCML).

I. INTRODUCTION

It is often seen that a one-dimensional (1-D) map arises as a simple model for explaining the dynamics of complex physical or biological systems, such as an ecological system [1], periodically driven nonlinear oscillators [2], condensed-matter systems [3], [4], chemical reaction systems [5], [6], and laser systems [7]. 1-D maps occur also in the modeling of a neuron [8]–[12] or an assembly of neurons [13]–[15]. All of these suggest the potential of a 1-D map as an information processing element. Indeed, there are already many successful applications of a 1-D map in information processing systems. A few examples are artificial neural networks for combinatorial optimization [16]–[18], image processing systems for object segmentation [19], communication systems using a map to generate chaotic carriers [20]–[22], and communication systems utilizing the synchronizing behavior of the coupled map lattice [23].

In spite of the increasing application possibilities of a 1-D map, relatively little effort has been made in search for an efficient hardware design to compute a 1-D map. One may argue that there is no point in designing any dedicated hardware since a digital computer can compute a 1-D map efficiently due to the map’s mathematical simplicity. In fact, this is true only in part: there are many good reasons why we need a dedicated hardware design to compute a 1-D map. First, there are occasions where parallel or collective processing in multiple 1-D maps needs to be considered. An obvious example is networks of 1-D maps [16], [17], [19], [24], [25]. Although collective computations carried out by such networks can be simulated on a digital computer, this approach may often be too slow for certain applications. Second, some applications require a compact and low-power solution to compute 1-D maps. A typical example is a secure communication system utilizing the chaotic signal of a 1-D map.

Our search for a hardware design to compute 1-D maps was started in an effort to implement a neural network consisting of 1-D maps [26]–[29]. This body of work involved a simple model of a biological neuron driven by an external sinusoidal signal which we called bifurcating neuron (BN) [8]–[11], [30], [48]. It was so named because the original work on the BN revealed that it could, when driven by an external sinusoidal signal, exhibit complex bifurcating behavior that is reminiscent of the experimental observations in real biological neurons [31]–[33]. Despite its rich dynamical properties, its mathematical definition is simple enough to lend itself to a compact circuit implementation. As an example of a possible circuit model of the BN, the original work described the programmable unijunction transistor oscillator neuron (PUTON) [8]–[11], [30], [48], which is a simple circuit built around a programmable unijunction transistor (PUT) [34]–[36]. Notably, it was shown that the firing time of the BN with respect to the phase of the external sinusoidal signal is precisely determined by the sine-circle map [see (4)]. Conversely, this means that the BN is computing the sine-circle map.
If the BN could compute only the sine-circle map, although useful, its practical value would be limited. The obvious question then is how to generalize it so that it can be used to compute an arbitrary 1-D map, such as the logistic map or the tent map. The answer is given by our observation that the behavior of the BN changes drastically when the waveform of the external driving signal is switched to a periodic signal other than sinusoidal [25], [37]. Actually, this could have been predicted from the differential equation that describes the dynamics of the BN. Starting with the differential equation, we could derive a simple rule to prescribe the waveform of the external driving signal for the BN to compute an arbitrary 1-D map.

The BN as a computer of a 1-D map has many significant merits. First of all, it is an analog circuit solution. It is not subject to the limitations of the digital computer, as we pointed out above. Second, it is simple and efficient in terms of circuit complexity and power consumption. Lastly, but most importantly, it offers programmability: the same circuit can be used for computing all different kinds of 1-D maps. The switching among different maps can be accomplished simply by changing the external driving signal. The bifurcation parameter of a map, too, is controlled by the external driving signal. This feature is particularly beneficial when we need many identical maps to compose a network. Imagine that a network of the logistic maps can be converted to a network of sine-circle maps almost instantly simply by switching the common driving signal.

We will start Section II with the mathematical definition of the BN and a review of deriving the relationship between the BN and the sine-circle map as preamble to our arbitrary map synthesis. In Section III, we will derive the rule for prescribing the driving signal waveform for the BN needed to compute a particular desired 1-D map. In Section IV, we will introduce the two original and alternative circuit designs for the BN: the PUTON, which was introduced in the original work as a circuit model of the BN, and the integrated-circuit relaxation oscillator neuron (IRON), which was developed for more precise modeling of the BN. This section also provides observations that might be of use to the device designer for improving the solid-state design of existing, off-the-shelf PUTs of the kind used by us to make them more ideally suited for arbitrary map generation. In Section V, we will use the IRON to generate the bifurcation diagrams of three different 1-D maps: the sine-circle map, the logistic map, and the tent map. Section VI will summarize the current work and suggest potential future applications of the BN as an analog computer of 1-D maps.

II. BN AND SINE-CIRCLE MAP

The mathematical definition of the BN was introduced in 1991 [8]. Its ramifications are elaborated upon and studied further in [9], [10], [27]–[30], [37], [48]. The BN concept was influenced by the seminal work of van der Pol and coworkers acknowledged and elaborated upon by Chua [38]. Here, we present a brief review of BN theory and how it relates to the sine-circle map to facilitate the subsequent formulation of the arbitrary map generator. We start with the equation of an integrate-and-fire neuron without leakage, defined as follows:

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = c$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\phi$ is the internal potential of the BN and $c$ is a positive constant that represents the constant buildup rate of the internal potential. It is implied that the BN relaxes to the relaxation level $\rho$ when it reaches the threshold level $\theta$. When both the threshold level and the relaxation level are held constant, the time evolution of the BN is quite straightforward; it simply repeats a limit cycle of slow charging and instantaneous discharging indefinitely. A simple but significant remedy to this monotonicity is to introduce a sinusoidal oscillation in the relaxation level $\rho$

\[ \rho(t) = -\rho_0 \sin 2\pi ft \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\rho_0$ is the amplitude and $f$ is the frequency of the sinusoidal oscillation. Because the sinusoidal signal will be provided by an external source and because it is the only signal that comes from outside the BN which is otherwise autonomous, we will refer to it as the external driving signal, or simply, the driving signal in the following discussion. Also, because the threshold level $\theta$ will be taken to be constant in the following discussion, we assume that $\theta = 1$, without loss of generality, as a way to avoid unnecessary complication. Fig. 1 sketches the BN bifurcating among different dynamical modes in response to the change of the driving signal amplitude. In the figure, the frequency of the free running BN is assumed to be the same as that of the driving signal, i.e., $c = f$. When the amplitude $\rho_0$ is small, the relaxation oscillation of the BN is phase-locked to the driving signal, as shown in Fig. 1(a). As the modulation amplitude is increased above a certain threshold, the relaxation oscillation appears to lose periodicity. However, a closer observation reveals that the relaxation oscillation is still maintaining periodicity, though the period is doubled. As the modulation amplitude is further increased, the relaxation oscillation completely loses any periodicity and enters a chaotic mode. Such a state transition leading eventually to chaos is called a cascade of bifurcations and is one of the most typical routes to chaos commonly observed in many 1-D maps, such as the sine-circle map and the logistic map (see, for example, [39]).

It can be shown that the successive relaxation times $t_n$ and $t_{n+1}$ of the BN are related by the sine-circle map by a simple graphical argument, which is illustrated in Fig. 2. Notice that the slope $c$ of the buildup of the internal potential of the BN...
is the ratio between the two perpendicular sides of the shaded triangle shown in the figure. This observation leads to the following recursion:

$$t_{n+1} = t_n + \frac{1}{c} \sin 2\pi f t_n. \quad (3)$$

In terms of the normalized phase $x_n \equiv f t_n \pmod{1}$, whose relationship with the relaxation time $t_n$ is also illustrated in Fig. 2, the recursion is expressed as follows:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \Omega + \frac{K}{2\pi} \sin 2\pi x_n \pmod{1} \quad (4)$$

where $\Omega \equiv f/c$ and $K \equiv (2\pi \rho_c f)/c$. Notice that this is exactly in the form of the sine-circle map [2], [39]. The parameter $\Omega$ is the rotation frequency of the normalized phase $x_n$ in the absence of the nonlinear term, and can be controlled by the ratio between the frequency $f$ of the driving signal and the buildup rate $c$ of the internal potential. The parameter $K$ determines the strength of the nonlinear term and can be controlled by the amplitude of the driving signal after $\Omega \equiv f/c$ is fixed. In conclusion, the BN can compute the sine-circle map for any combination of the two parameters $\Omega$ and $K$.

III. COMPUTATION OF AN ARBITRARY 1-D MAP

Starting with the mathematical definition of the BN, we now derive a simple rule to prescribe the waveform of the external driving signal for the BN to compute an arbitrary 1-D map. The detailed rigorous derivation was obtained by one of the authors in [25]. For the sake of brevity, we will start here with the result and focus on its proof.

Fig. 3 shows the time evolution of the BN when it is subjected to a periodic driving signal of an arbitrary waveform. The driving signal is periodic but is piecewise continuous, i.e., it has periodic jumps, or discontinuities in mathematical terms, during every cycle. The following equation defines the driving signal:

$$\rho(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{T} - f\left(\frac{t}{T}\right), & 0 \leq t < T \\ \rho(t - T), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $T$ is the period of the modulation signal and the function $f(x)$ is a real function defined on the unit interval, $f : [0, 1) \mapsto [0, 1)$. The first case in (5) defines the driving signal for a single period, while the second case completes the definition by making it periodic with period $T$.

Given the driving signal $\rho(t)$ and the buildup rate $c$ of the internal potential, the relation between successive relaxation times can be derived as follows. Let $t_n$ be the time of $n$-th relaxation of the BN. The shaded triangle in Fig. 3 defines the buildup rate $c$ in terms of $\rho(t_n)$ and $t_{n+1} - t_n$:

$$c = \frac{1 - \rho(t_n)}{t_{n+1} - t_n}. \quad (6)$$

If we solve (6) for $t_{n+1}$, we have

$$t_{n+1} = t_n + \frac{1}{c} - \frac{1}{c} \rho(t_n). \quad (7)$$

The relation between the normalized phase $x_n(0 \leq x_n < 1)$ and the relaxation time $t_n$ is given by $t_n \equiv T(m_{n+1} + x_n)$, where $m_n$ is an integer. In terms of the normalized phase $x_n$, we can rewrite (7) as follows:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \frac{1}{cT} - \frac{1}{cT} \rho(t_n) - m_{n+1} + m_n. \quad (8)$$

Using (5) and requiring that $cT = 1$, we can reduce (8) to

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n) + 1 - m_{n+1} + m_n. \quad (9)$$

Since both $x_{n+1}$ and $f(x_n)$ are constrained in the interval $[0,1)$, it follows that

$$-1 < x_{n+1} - f(x_n) = 1 - m_{n+1} + m_n < 1 \quad (10)$$

and, because $m_n$ and $m_{n+1}$ are integers, the above inequality implies that

$$1 - m_{n+1} + m_n = 0. \quad (11)$$

By (9) and (11), we arrive at the final conclusion

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n) \quad (12)$$

$$m_{n+1} = m_n + 1. \quad (13)$$

Notice that (12) is in the form of a 1-D map. This means that the successive values of the normalized phase $x_n$ and $x_{n+1}$ follow the 1-D map defined by the real function $f(x)$. In other words, the BN can be programmed to compute an arbitrary 1-D map by way of a proper choice of the driving signal $\rho(t)$. Equation (13) states that relaxation will occur once every cycle of the driving signal. In other words, the BN computes a single iteration of a 1-D map at every cycle of the driving signal. This is particularly important when we need to have many identical maps working together. In a network of 1-D maps, the common external driving signal will act as a central clock that coordinates the synchronous stepping of all the maps.

As an example, suppose we want to program the BN to compute the logistic map. The recursion of the logistic map is given by

$$x_{n+1} = \mu x_n(1 - x_n). \quad (14)$$

A comparison with (12) produces the expression for $f(x)$

$$f(x) = \mu x(1 - x). \quad (15)$$

1 Because the function $f(x)$ is defined on the unit interval, the 1-D maps that the BN can compute will be restricted to those defined on the unit interval. However, this does not result in a serious limitation of the BN since many 1-D maps are actually defined on the unit interval, while most others can be transformed to one on the unit interval by a suitable scaling of a variable.
Fig. 3. Time evolution of the BN when it is subjected to a periodic driving signal of an arbitrary waveform. The relation between $t_{n+1}$ and $t_n$ can be derived by considering the ratio of the two perpendicular sides of the shaded triangle.

According to (5), the required waveform of the driving signal in order to program the BN is given by

$$\rho(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu}{\rho(t-T)} & 0 \leq t < T \\ \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

(16)

The experimental result using the given waveform will be presented in Section V.

IV. CIRCUIT MODELS OF BN

The original circuit design of the BN, which is called PUTON, is a simple relaxation oscillator built around a PUT, as the name implies. It had been used successfully to obtain the bifurcation diagrams of the sine-circle map [11]. However, its dynamic capability turned out to be limited in both amplitude and frequency. It started to deviate from the mathematical definition of the BN when the amplitude and/or the frequency of the driving signal exceeded a certain limit. The problem seemed to be originating from the limited device characteristics of the commercially available PUT. In fact, the PUTs that are available off the shelf are not designed with high-frequency or wide-dynamic range applications in mind, probably, because one of the most typical applications of the PUT these days is the thyristor-trigger used in high-current controllers [34], [35]. We believe there is no technological problem that forbids designing a PUT that can serve our purposes better. Until such a PUT becomes available, we are obliged to depend on a different circuit design that does not suffer from the limitation of the PUT. The IRON, which was developed in such an effort, consists of an analog comparator and a monostable multivibrator and proves to conform better to the mathematical definition of the BN. Although it is not as simple as the original circuit design, it is still simple enough to be implemented in a compact integrated circuit. The circuit variables in this section are related to the mathematical variables in the mathematical definition of the BN by a constant dimensional scaling factor: $V_g = 5\phi[V]$, $V_a = 5\phi[V]$, $V_p = 5\phi[V]$, and $\gamma = 5\zeta[V/s]$.

The comparative discussion of the PUTON and the IRON given in Sections IV-A and B, may be of use to device physicists and designers for producing versions of the PUTON that are especially optimized for use in nonlinear information processing including arbitrary map synthesis.

A. PUTON

The skeletal circuit diagram of the PUTON and a typical I–V curve of the PUT are both shown in Fig. 4. This circuit is a typical way of building a relaxation oscillator using the PUT, and a circuit similar to this has been widely used in many electronic devices to provide a saw-tooth waveform and a time-base. The I–V curve shows the relationship between the voltage between the anode $A$ and the cathode $K$ and the current out of the cathode. A brief description of the operation principle of the PUTON is given below.

The gate $G$ of the PUT is held fixed at a constant voltage level $V_\theta$. The cathode of the PUT is subject to the periodic driving signal $V_p(t)$, which oscillates around the ground level but never exceeds the gate voltage level $V_\theta$. Suppose initially that the capacitor $C_m$ is charged to the same level as $V_\theta$, so that the voltage between the anode and the cathode of the PUT is zero. At this moment, the PUTON becomes available, we are obliged to depend on a different circuit design that does not suffer from the limitation of the PUT. The IRON, which was developed in such an effort, consists of an analog comparator and a monostable multivibrator and proves to conform better to the mathematical definition of the BN. Although it is not as simple as the original circuit design, it is still simple enough to be implemented in a compact integrated circuit. The circuit variables in this section are related to the mathematical variables in the mathematical definition of the BN by a constant dimensional scaling factor: $V_g = 5\phi[V]$, $V_a = 5\phi[V]$, $V_p = 5\phi[V]$, and $\gamma = 5\zeta[V/s]$.

The comparative discussion of the PUTON and the IRON given in Sections IV-A and B, may be of use to device physicists and designers for producing versions of the PUTON that are especially optimized for use in nonlinear information processing including arbitrary map synthesis.

Fig. 4. (a) Skeletal circuit diagram of the PUTON. (b) Typical I–V curve of PUT.
while the dotted lines, the output of the comparator jumps is not constant, but a between the desired threshold level and the actual threshold level/61. As soon as the capacitor voltage exceeds /86, which is analogous to a neuronal spike, when the PUT is conducting and the cathode:

Fig. 5. Two oscilloscope snapshots from our experiment with the PUTON: the solid lines represent the capacitor voltage level /14 while the dotted lines represent the sinusoidal driving signal /14; (a) without driving signal, i.e., /14 = 0; (b) with 10-kHz driving signal.

The most important advantage of the PUTON is its simplicity. In principle, the PUTON has only a single active component, the PUT. Moreover, the only active component is operating in a switching mode, meaning with minimal power consumption. Undoubtedly, the PUTON is an ideal implementation of the BN when the circuit size and the power efficiency are important. On the other hand, the PUTON is limited in dynamic range and frequency response, as mentioned earlier. For the PUTON to be a good analog computer of a 1-D map, it should conform to the mathematical definition of the BN as closely as possible. This is especially important when the map that the PUTON is computing is operating in a chaotic mode. However, the PUTON could not comply with such a requirement because it depends on the device physics and characteristics of the PUT. First, there is a finite voltage offset /86 between the desired threshold level set by the gate voltage level /14 and the actual threshold level /14, as shown in Fig. 4(b). The voltage offset /86 is not constant, but a function of circuit parameters and operating temperature and is subject to the manufacturing spread of the device. Second, the minimal voltage drop across the anode and the cathode, i.e., the extinction voltage /86, shown in Fig. 4(b), is not zero, and also depends on other circuit parameters, especially on the impedance of the voltage source at the gate [11]. The voltage drop is also a function of operating temperature and is subject to the manufacturing spread of the device, as well. Third, the PUT cannot recover from the conducting state instantaneously. The recovery time, which is the time required for the PUT to shut off the conduction, is in the order of micro-seconds, as shown in Fig. 5(a). This resulted in the variable length of the relaxation phase, which should be zero according to the mathematical definition of the BN. Certainly, it is impossible to design a circuit that has a zero-length relaxation phase. In fact, such an occasion arises often when we study a network of 1-D maps. In many cases, the collective behavior of the network depends not on the precise form of the maps, but on the bifurcating property of the maps, which is exemplified in Fig. 1. In the current work, however, we aimed at demonstrating the computational power of the BN which deems it is necessary to have a better BN circuit than the PUTON.

B. IRON

The most serious problem with the PUTON was the variation in the recovery time of the PUT. This resulted in the variable length of the relaxation phase, which should be zero according to the mathematical definition of the BN. Certainly, it is impossible to design a circuit that has a zero-length relaxation phase. The recovery time, however, varies appreciably with the worst case occurring when the driving signal is falling rapidly; the PUT simply cannot be turned off. This phenomenon, that we call sliding of the PUTON, is exemplified in Fig. 5(b). A closer examination of the circuit revealed that the sliding of the PUTON is due to the current supplied by the capacitor /86 when the PUT is conducting and the cathode voltage level is falling. Effectively, the current shifts up the load line shown in Fig. 4(b), past point /4 and allows the PUT to stay in a conducting state.

The last problem was the most critical factor that forced us to look for other implementation possibilities. If only the recovery time were constant, the problem could be handled easily; we could lower the threshold level slightly to reduce the length of the buildup phase by the amount that can offset the error due to the recovery time. The recovery time, however, varies appreciably with the worst case occurring when the driving signal is falling rapidly; the PUT simply cannot be turned off. This phenomenon, that we call sliding of the PUTON, is exemplified in Fig. 5(b). A closer examination of the circuit revealed that the sliding of the PUTON is due to the current supplied by the capacitor /86 when the PUT is conducting and the cathode voltage level is falling. Effectively, the current shifts up the load line shown in Fig. 4(b), past point /4 and allows the PUT to stay in a conducting state.

Our goal at this point is to design a circuit that exhibits a con-

Fig. 6. Skeletal circuit diagram of the IRON.

Fig. 7. Time evolution of the IRON when it is subjected to a sinusoidal driving signal. The recursion of the IRON can be shown to be the same as that of the BN as long as the recovery time /4 is kept constant.

B. IRON

The most serious problem with the PUTON was the variation in the recovery time of the PUT. This resulted in the variable length of the relaxation phase, which should be zero according to the mathematical definition of the BN. Certainly, it is impossible to design a circuit that has a zero-length relaxation phase. Our goal at this point is to design a circuit that exhibits a constant-length relaxation phase. As long as the length of the relaxation phase is kept constant, it can be compensated by other circuit parameters.

Fig. 6 shows a simplified circuit diagram of the IRON. When the switch /6 is open, the current from the current source charges the capacitor until the voltage level reaches the threshold voltage /14. As soon as the capacitor voltage exceeds the threshold voltage /14, the output of the comparator jumps and triggers the monostable multivibrator. The output of the monostable multivibrator then turns on the switch and causes the capacitor to discharge until its level reaches the modulation
voltage level \( V_p(t) \). Apparently, we now have a constant recovery time as long as the pulse width of the monostable multivibrator is constant. One may argue that the recovery time still cannot be constant in a strict sense because it will be now dependent on the characteristics of the monostable multivibrator. This is true, but now the problem of constant recovery time is separated from the threshold dynamics and in a much more manageable form. A well-designed monostable multivibrator can keep the variability of the pulse width within 1%, if it is operating in a reasonable condition [40].

Fig. 7 illustrates how the constant nonzero recovery time can be compensated by shifting other circuit parameters. By the same argument that led us to (12), we can express the constant buildup rate \( \gamma \), analogous to \( c \) in (6) as follows:

\[
\gamma = \frac{V_p - V_p(t_n)}{(t_{n+1} - \delta) - t_n}.
\]  

(17)

The recursion that relates the successive relaxation times of the IRON is found then to be

\[
t_{n+1} = t_n + \left( \frac{V_p}{\gamma} + \delta \right) - \frac{1}{\gamma} V_p(t_n).
\]  

(18)

The constant offset \( \delta \) can be absorbed in the time variable if we insert a pause of the same amount \( \delta \) before every cycle of the driving signal, i.e., by substituting \( t'_n + \delta n \) for \( t_n \) in (18), we have

\[
t'_{n+1} = t'_n + \frac{V_p}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\gamma} V_p(t'_n + \delta n).
\]  

(19)

This is now in the same general format of (7). The additive term \( \delta n \) in the parameter of the function \( V(t) \) can be canceled out if we insert a constant pause of amount \( \delta \) before every cycle of the driving signal since, as we have shown in Section III, firing occurs exactly once every cycle of the driving signal.

Fig. 8 shows the actual circuit diagram of the IRON. The two bold faced amplifier symbols represent voltage followers. Around the capacitor C1 there is a current source using a bipolar transistor, a JFET switch controlling the path from the input buffer to the capacitor, and an analog comparator (LM311). The resister R9 can be trimmed to control the constant current flowing into the capacitor. The two transistors near the switch are added simply to shift the voltage level of the control signal VC, which is derived from the collectors of Q4 and Q5, to a level suitable to control the JFET switch. The part after the comparator corresponds to the monostable multivibrator in Fig. 6. The two symmetrically located transistors Q4 and Q5 form a NAND gate, and the third transistor Q6 is acting as an inverter. The output of the inverter is fed back to the NAND gate via a capacitor coupling. The net result is that we have a pulse of a constant width whenever the output of the comparator goes high.

Fig. 9 shows a SPICE [41] simulation result of the circuit. The four curves show the waveforms at the four probe points marked in Fig. 8. Note that the pulse width, which corresponds to the recovery time, is now constant.

Another advantage of the IRON over the PUTON is that it is providing well-formed output pulses as shown in Fig. 9. In the PUTON, output pulses can be derived from the cathode of the PUT. However, they are not uniform in amplitude and, moreover, are superimposed on the driving signal. Separating them from the driving signal and reshaping them will require extra circuit complexity. In addition, the PUTON will eventually require the voltage followers, like the IRON does, to isolate it from the external circuitry. Taking all these factors into account, we can say that the circuit complexity of the IRON does not greatly exceed that of the PUTON. Considering the number of transistors needed for the comparator, the complexity of the IRON is about half that of the classic timer chip 555 [42], the classic timer chip, which has long been used in a compact integrated circuit.
V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To test the theory and the circuit design developed so far, we used the IRON to obtain the bifurcation diagrams of three 1-D maps: the sine-circle map, the logistic map and the tent map. The definitions of the maps and the required driving signals according to (5) are summarized as

The sine-circle map

\[
x_{n+1} = f(x_n) \equiv x_n + \frac{K}{2\pi} \sin 2\pi x_n \quad \text{mod 1} \quad (20)
\]
\[
\rho(t) = \begin{cases} 
-\Omega - \frac{K}{2\pi} \sin \frac{2\pi t}{T}, & 0 < t < T \\
\rho(t - T), & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad (21)
\]

where \( \Omega \) and \( K \) are positive parameters.

The logistic map

\[
x_{n+1} = f(x_n) \equiv \mu x_n (1 - x_n) \quad (22)
\]
\[
\rho(t) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\mu}{T} - \mu \frac{T}{T^2} (1 - \frac{t}{T}), & 0 < t < T \\
\rho(t - T), & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad (23)
\]

where the bifurcation parameter \( \mu \) ranges from 0 to 4.

The tent map

\[
x_{n+1} = f(x_n) \equiv r \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} - x_n \right) \quad (24)
\]
\[
\rho(t) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{r}{T} - r \frac{1}{T^2} (1 - \frac{t}{T}), & 0 < t < T \\
\rho(t - T), & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad (25)
\]

where the bifurcation parameter \( r \) ranges from 0 to 1.

The driving signals given by (21), (23), and (25) are plotted in Fig. 10. Each plot in the figure contains three waveforms for three different values of the bifurcation parameters. The experimental setup to measure the output of the IRON is shown in Fig. 11. An arbitrary waveform generator (SG100A) provides the driving signal to the IRON and a Frequency and Interval Analyzer (HP5376A) is used to measure the time of the spikes out of IRON. The HP5376A was programmed to measure the time interval between two pulses arriving at channels A and B, respectively. An illustration of time intervals \( t_1, t_2, t_3, \ldots \) measured by the HP5376A is given in Fig. 11. To gather all data to compose a bifurcation diagram, measurements of 100 time intervals were repeated for 500 different values of the bifurcation parameter. This made it necessary to use a personal computer (PC) to control the whole experimental procedure.

Figs. 12–14 show the bifurcation diagrams of the three previously mentioned 1-D maps. In each figure, an exact bifurcation diagram generated numerically for identical parameters is shown together to be compared with the one generated by the IRON. The two versions of the bifurcation diagrams are in reasonably good agreement, except for some distortion due to the circuit noise of the IRON. The noisy distortion is most pronounced near the period-doubling points as exemplified in Fig. 13. This can be easily understood if we consider the stability of the 1-D map; the system is marginally stable near the period-doubling points and will accumulate the circuit noise.
is near zero, as exemplified in Fig. 14. Comparing the simulated waveform of the output of the IRON, the build-up of the internal potential of the target BN, e.g., by using it to control the threshold level of a saw-tooth waveform, which can be shared among the BNs. An impulse from a source BN can be applied to achieve a diffusive coupling as is required by the Coupled Map Lattice (PCML) [26]–[29]. Another way of coupling would be to establish resistive links among the capacitors of the BNs, at the moment a BN fires. Once such a voltage output is available, it can be used to advance or delay the firing time of BNs. Once such a voltage output is available, it can be used to advance or delay the firing time of BNs. An impulse from a source BN can be applied to the target BN directly to affect its threshold level.

This can be done easily, e.g., by sample-and-holding the voltage level of a saw-tooth waveform, which can be shared among the BNs, at the moment a BN fires. Once such a voltage output is available, it can be used to advance or delay the firing time of BNs. An impulse from a source BN can be applied to the target BN directly to affect its threshold level.

The overall effect of the circuit noise is reflected in the broadening of the lines in the bifurcation diagrams. Aside from the ac line noise, which was difficult to avoid due to the single-ended nature of the input and output ports of the measurement equipment, the most outstanding source of noise was found in the arbitrary waveform generator. We are not sure whether the noise is the quantization noise of the digital-to-analog converter or is originating from the coupling between the analog and the digital parts of the waveform generator. The effect of the noise from the arbitrary waveform generator can be well appreciated if we compare the bifurcation diagram in Fig. 12(a) with other two diagrams in Figs. (13a) and (14a). Because the driving signal required to compute the sine-circle map is simply a sinusoidal wave, we could use a function generator (HP3325B) in place of an arbitrary waveform generator in the experiment to compute the bifurcation diagram Fig. 12(a).

VI. CONCLUSION

Starting from the fact that the BN can compute the sine-circle map when it is subject to a sinusoidal driving signal, we generalized the BN and showed that the computational power of the BN can be extended to compute an arbitrary 1-D map such as the logistic map or the tent map. The programming of the BN to compute an arbitrary map was possible simply by changing the waveform of the driving signal. We described two circuit models of the BN: the PUTON and the IRON. We used the IRON to generate the bifurcation diagrams of the sine-circle map, the logistic map and the tent map, and could see that they were in good agreement with those generated numerically.

Among other features in which we are most interested is the programmability of the BN. Fig. 15 shows a typical situation when many BNs are combined to form a network. A waveform generator is used to provide a common driving signal to all BNs. In the absence of the driving signal, the BNs by themselves are mere relaxation oscillators. Most likely, they will be firing randomly and, at best, they will be able to reach a global synchronization. With the introduction of the driving signal, the network suddenly becomes a coupled map lattice, e.g., consisting of logistic maps. It will start to exhibit various patterns of spatio-temporal chaos depending critically on the amplitude of the driving signal and the type of coupling between BNs. The next moment that the driving signal is switched to something else, the network then turns into a different coupled map lattice, e.g., consisting of sine-circle maps. Possibly, it will now be able to exhibit soliton turbulence [24].

Before concluding, we feel it is necessary to comment on possible ways to achieve coupling among the BNs. Without doubt, the practical value of the BN will be heavily dependent on the availability of an efficient coupling method. A straightforward way would start with a step to derive an analog voltage proportional to the normalized phase \( x_n \) from the output of the BN. This can be done easily, e.g., by sample-and-holding the voltage level of a saw-tooth waveform, which can be shared among the BNs, at the moment a BN fires. Once such a voltage output is available, it can be used to advance or delay the firing time of a target BN, e.g., by using it to control the threshold level \( \theta \) or the slope \( c \) of the buildup of the internal potential of the target BN. Although this coupling method requires a substantial circuit complexity compared with the other methods that we will mention below, it is flexible enough to realize such a complex type of coupling, as is required for the parametrically coupled map lattice (PCML) [26]–[29]. Another way of coupling would be to establish resistive links among the capacitors of the BNs and activate the links periodically at the end of every cycle of the periodic driving signal. This would be the most natural way to achieve a diffusive coupling as is required by the Coupled Map Lattice [16], [24]. Yet another way of coupling is pulse-coupling among the BNs. An impulse from a source BN can be applied to a target BN directly to affect its threshold level \( \theta \) or the internal
Although this type of coupling method is rather restrictive in terms of the possible types of coupling it can realize, we are most interested in it because it is a natural and straightforward way to take full advantage of the biomorphic nature of the BN. We are currently investigating actively the associative dynamics and chaotic annealing dynamics of a BN network, which is utilizing a pulse-coupling method.

Finally, a new literature search for relevant papers that may have appeared in the interim was deemed necessary and carried out. This, together with reviewers’ comments, brought to our attention several papers related to this work. These have been added to the list of references [43]– [47]. Careful examination of the chronology of this body of work and that in our past work, [8]–[11], [25], [37], shows that the concept of arbitrary map synthesis described here was arrived at independently. The first observations in our work leading to the idea of modifying the bifurcation diagram of a driven spiking oscillator, by altering the waveform of the periodic driving signal, were made in 1997 [37] and pursued further in [25]. The concept of arbitrary map synthesis described here was arrived at therefore independently and in a distinctly different manner and emphasis than that described in Saito and coworkers work [43]–[45]. The CMOS circuit for generating arbitrary maps described in [46] employs pulse-width modulation rather than alteration of the driving signal waveform described here. It is worth noting that the quality of the measured bifurcation diagrams in our work (Figs. 12–14) surpass those given in [46]. This suggests that the waveform control method presented here may have advantages over other techniques especially when a VLSI version of the circuit in Fig. 8 is realized with proper attention given for dealing with discontinuities as was outlined earlier.
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