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Editor’s Preface

The Undergraduate Anthropology Society is proud to present the third edition of In Situ: Journal of Undergraduate Anthropology at the University of Pennsylvania. The collection of essays and photography included in this issue engage in creative ways of understanding and portraying the world and effectively exercise the anthropological imagination.

Each essay was nominated by faculty mentors from a diverse range of Anthropology courses and were chosen based on originality, clarity, and excellence. The photographs represent a sampling of undergraduate photography from both field work and travel to be showcased at a photography exhibition at the first annual Anthrofest on February 4, 2011 at the University Museum.

We would like to thank faculty who nominated student papers for inclusion in this volume. We would also like to thank Dr. Adriana Petryna, the undergraduate Chair of Anthropology, for her support and guidance, as well as Charlene Kwon, the Undergraduate Coordinator.

If you would like to submit to In Situ’s Spring 2011 edition, apply to the In Situ Editorial Board, or learn more about the Undergraduate Anthropology Society and its upcoming activities, please visit the Undergraduate Program tab on the Penn Anthropology website for more information: http://www.sas.upenn.edu/anthro.

Enjoy Reading,

Lauren Kapsalakis, Editor-in-Chief
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Two of our African American neighbors in Puerto Rican North Philadelphia  
Fernando Montero

Tiana and her fiancee Jamal are two African American residents of Puerto Rican North Philadelphia. At the time of this photograph, Brandie, pictured in her living room, was giving us details about an illegal search and seizure conducted in her house by the Philadelphia Police Department. Brandie’s house is owned by her incarcerated Puerto Rican ex-husband and is facing imminent foreclosure. Jamal was incarcerated in April and is still in prison awaiting his trial. Brandie, who had been unemployed in Philadelphia for several years, recently moved to Allentown and is working full time at two jobs.
Food as Transmitting Culture across Generations

In order to obtain a complete illustration of food habits across generations, I interviewed five family members from one family and four from another: a grandmother, a mother, a father, and two children. Both families resembled my own: in the first, MA (age sixty), a Jewish man of Russo-Polish descent from Great Neck, Long Island, New York married EA (age fifty-one), a New England WASP born and raised in Brookline, Massachusetts, and daughter of AB, a Caucasian woman originally from New Orleans. MA and EA have four children, two of whom I interviewed, CA (male) and JA (female), ages twenty-three and twenty-seven, respectively.

The other family embodied the same cultural intersection that I wanted to explore: BT (age forty-eight), an Italian-American from New Jersey, married CT (age forty-four), an Irish-American from Virginia. They have three children, two of whom I interviewed, GT (female) and MT (male), ages twenty-one and twenty-three, respectively. I also interviewed BT’s mother, HT, an Italian-American from New Jersey.

Food as Transmitting Culture across Generations

William Frank Mitchell says that food has an innate ability to “prompt emotional conversations about identity, health, [and] spirituality…” (Mitchell 2009 2) Indeed, one’s ties to food, especially culturally significant food, can be quite strong. MA echoed this sentiment as he reminisced fondly about the traditional Jewish food that he ate during his childhood. “My strongest memories are those of the Shabbat dinners that we had every Friday night,” he said. “I would come home from school every afternoon to the smell of my mother’s brisket and challah cooking in the oven…The dinners were lavish and delicious…Mom pulled out all the stops for Shabbat.”

The Friday dinners were a mere prelude, however, to the Sunday brunch: “Bagels, lox, capers, onions, and a variety of cream cheese schmears…Every weekend was a chubby adolescent Jewish boy’s dream.”

Although MA was raised to appreciate the finest traditional Jewish cuisine, his disillusionment with religion at large led him to question his faith, and ultimately search elsewhere for a spouse. Enter EA, a beautiful WASP, raised on the majestic Charles River and weaned on stories of Groton and Harvard’s “old days”—a far cry from MA’s humble Jewish roots. “When I met EA, I was pretty much finished practicing Judaism, but I still very much identified with the idea of ‘being Jewish’…I thought that we’d be able to balance our two different cultures, but it was always a struggle.”

As MA and EA settled down in New Hampshire and began to raise a family, the presence of children only served to exacerbate the cultural tension between them. EA’s mother, AB, was a world-class chef, renowned along the East Coast for her culinary expertise and deep-South Cajun flair. EA hoped to impart onto her children the same love for Cajun cuisine that her mother had taught her, while MA struggled to maintain his already tenuous connection with his Jewish roots. “MA was constantly working, so I did most of the cooking,” said EA. “I cooked things for the kids that my mother had made when I was a child: skillet cornbread, gumbo, jambalaya…These were some of my mother’s and my favorites when I was growing up.” As EA continued to indoctrinate the children with her Cajun cuisine, MA could only watch idly, as he was relegated to the role of breadwinner, not bread-baker. “She was completely oblivious to the fact that I wanted the kids to have at least some sort of traditional Jewish experience…so that they could identify at least a little with their father’s roots,” said MA.

Marjorie DeVault suggests that “paid employment brings power and influence within the family.” (DeVault, 2008, p. 243) However, it seemed that within the family, EA held most of the cultural power, as the importance of food and her constant presence in the kitchen afforded her most of the power in transmitting her own culture.

Dr. Kyung Rhee emphasizes the importance of parenting style in the food habits of children: “Parents play an important role in the growth, development, and socialization of children…parents influence the development of eating and activity behaviors through the use of specific feeding techniques…” (Rhee 2008, 13) MA retired soon after CA, the youngest child, was born, and he began to use his young son as a way to transmit the Jewish cultural identity that had been repressed for so long, and influence CA’s cultural development, as Rhee suggests. “I saw it as an opportunity for at least one of my children to understand and appreciate my culture,” he said. As EA went back to work, MA stayed home with CA, feeding him the same kinds of food on which he was raised. His son appreciated the attention and the meals. “I loved eating with my dad when I was little,” said CA. “Those are the best memories that I have of my childhood…In second grade I used to tell kids that lox was my favorite food, and they asked me why I would eat a security device.”

As CA grew, he became the only one of EA and MA’s children to identify with his Jewish heritage. “I started to seek out as much information as I could about the religion and the culture…In high school I joined the Jewish society, even though I wasn’t even close to practicing.” Today, CA possesses a certain sense of Jewish pride that is shared by none of his siblings. “My brother and sisters call me ‘Dad’s Social Experiment.’ I guess it worked.” Indeed, MA’s efforts to socialize CA into the Jewish identity that he had been forced to repress was quite successful; and it all began with the simple act of serving him traditional Jewish food.

CT and BT’s experience proved to be quite different from that of MA and EA. The two met at Radford University in Virginia, where BT was one year ahead of CT. As soon as she met him, CT was enamored with BT. “There was something about him…His charm, the way he talked, the way he handled himself…I’d never met anyone like him before,” she said. Growing up sheltered in a humble rural section of Virginia, someone like BT was a cultural anomaly. When she met BT’s mother, HT, soon thereafter, she experienced quite a culture shock.

HT embodied the Italian love affair with food. “I lived for the kitchen…A lot of women that I hung around with at the time were resentful of their role in the house. I relished mine. Cooking was my greatest passion,” HT said. CT had never seen anything like it. “The things she was doing in there, the food she was...
cooking...I was in awe. The odor alone was intoxicating...The food [long pause], there was nothing like it," she said of her first encounter with HT. CT was more accustomed to the goings-on around her own home: a mother who was wont to whip together quick, simple meals and voice her disdain for the amount of effort that cooking required. “My mother hated cooking. Hated it...I think she groaned the entire time that she was in the kitchen.”

Seeing HT in action—and the way that BT reacted to her cooking—inspired CT. “I wanted it all...The big busy kitchen; the aroma; the reactions from those who were eating...Everyone adored HT.” CT’s final sentiment is telling: growing up in a home with a hostile mother and near-absentee father, there was one thing that CT’s life to that point lacked: love. As Joseph Burridge and Margo Barker observe, “The construction of food [is] a tool for pursuing the happiness of others, and ultimately their love.” (Burridge and Barker 2009, 147) For HT, food was the key that gave her access to the hearts of her family and those around her. CT wanted the same for herself.

So began CT’s transformation from unassuming country bumpkin to grand Italian matriarch. Soon after she and BT married, she spent nearly all of her time refining her skills in the kitchen. “I wanted my children to tell their friends that I was the best cook in the world. I wanted my children’s friends to tell their parents that I was the best cook in the world. I was driven.” CT abandoned her roots, assumed a new cultural identity—adopting Italian cuisine and the Italian culture—and she followed it vigorously. All in the name of family and food.

Comfort Foods across Generations

In his study of the Kalymnian islanders of Greece, David Sutton speaks extensively on the role that food plays in linking us to the past. When a transplanted Kalymnian native tastes cheese that is indigenous to his home, he is brought back, and the food serves as a comfort, easing the pain and longing for his homeland. The same principle can be applied to comfort foods across generations within families: the consumption of a familiar food from one’s childhood can trigger positive memories and associations.

As I discussed earlier, MA spoke fondly of the food that his mother prepared for him in his youth. He especially remembered the foods that she made him as a pick-me-up. “Whenever I felt down, my mother would comfort me with food,” he said. “Homemade blintzes were her specialty, and she saved them for when I really needed them. Sometimes I would feign depression so that she would make them.” Not surprisingly, MA passed this tradition onto his youngest child, CA, with only a slight variation.

“Dad couldn’t make them from scratch, the way he described my grandmother always doing it,” CA said. “He’d go out and buy them frozen and heat them up for me. Regardless, they were pretty amazing.” These days, CA still enjoys comforting himself with a blintz. “It’s kind of silly, sticking to a tradition so strictly, but it reminds me of my childhood...of the times I spent with my father.” Michael Owen Jones says, “A certain type of food may symbolize care, concern, and contentment.” (Jones 1988, 236) Here we see this notion put into action, as both father and son were reminded of paternal nurturing by the consumption of a certain food.

CA is not the only one of MA and EA’s children that inherited a comfort food. When the kids were young, every Friday was pizza night. The children spent most of the school week looking forward to, as JA described it, “Nine-year-old nirvana.” This was no ordinary pizza: EA spent the day making the entire dish from scratch, a labor-intensive process that she learned from observing and eventually practicing with her mother. “When I was younger, I told myself that one day I would make pizza that was better than my mom’s,” she said. “Ask my kids...I think they’ll tell you that I succeeded.” Indeed, said JA of the weekly event, “It would be the understatement of the century to say that pizza night was important to us [the kids]...We lived for pizza night.” She recalled one night in particular, when EA got tied up on the phone and lost track of time (Using a timer was an insult to the cooking...I was in awe. The odor alone was intoxicating...The food [long pause], there was nothing like it,” she said of her first encounter with HT. CT was more accustomed to the goings-on around her own home: a mother who was wont to whip together quick, simple meals and voice her disdain for the amount of effort that cooking required. “My mother hated cooking. Hated it...I think she groaned the entire time that she was in the kitchen.”

Seeing HT in action—and the way that BT reacted to her cooking—inspired CT. “I wanted it all...The big busy kitchen; the aroma; the reactions from those who were eating...Everyone adored HT.” CT’s final sentiment is telling: growing up in a home with a hostile mother and near-absentee father, there was one thing that CT’s life to that point lacked: love. As Joseph Burridge and Margo Barker observe, “The construction of food [is] a tool for pursuing the happiness of others, and ultimately their love.” (Burridge and Barker 2009, 147) For HT, food was the key that gave her access to the hearts of her family and those around her. CT wanted the same for herself.

So began CT’s transformation from unassuming country bumpkin to grand Italian matriarch. Soon after she and BT married, she spent nearly all of her time refining her skills in the kitchen. “I wanted my children to tell their friends that I was the best cook in the world. I wanted my children’s friends to tell their parents that I was the best cook in the world. I was driven.” CT abandoned her roots, assumed a new cultural identity—adopting Italian cuisine and the Italian culture—and she followed it vigorously. All in the name of family and food.

Comfort Foods across Generations

In his study of the Kalymnian islanders of Greece, David Sutton speaks extensively on the role that food plays in linking us to the past. When a transplanted Kalymnian native tastes cheese that is indigenous to his home, he is brought back, and the food serves as a comfort, easing the pain and longing for his homeland. The same principle can be applied to comfort foods across generations within families: the consumption of a familiar food from one’s childhood can trigger positive memories and associations.

As I discussed earlier, MA spoke fondly of the food that his mother prepared for him in his youth. He especially remembered the foods that she made him as a pick-me-up. “Whenever I felt down, my mother would comfort me with food,” he said. “Homemade blintzes were her specialty, and she saved them for when I really needed them. Sometimes I would feign depression so that she would make them.” Not surprisingly, MA passed this tradition onto his youngest child, CA, with only a slight variation.

“Dad couldn’t make them from scratch, the way he described my grandmother always doing it,” CA said. “He’d go out and buy them frozen and heat them up for me. Regardless, they were pretty amazing.” These days, CA still enjoys comforting himself with a blintz. “It’s kind of silly, sticking to a tradition so strictly, but it reminds me of my childhood...of the times I spent with my father.” Michael Owen Jones says, “A certain type of food may symbolize care, concern, and contentment.” (Jones 1988, 236) Here we see this notion put into action, as both father and son were reminded of paternal nurturing by the consumption of a certain food.

CA is not the only one of MA and EA’s children that inherited a comfort food. When the kids were young, every Friday was pizza night. The children spent most of the school week looking forward to, as JA described it, “Nine-year-old nirvana.” This was no ordinary pizza: EA spent the day making the entire dish from scratch, a labor-intensive process that she learned from observing and eventually practicing with her mother. “When I was younger, I told myself that one day I would make pizza that was better than my mom’s,” she said. “Ask my kids...I think they’ll tell you that I succeeded.” Indeed, said JA of the weekly event, “It would be the understatement of the century to say that pizza night was important to us [the kids]...We lived for pizza night.” She recalled one night in particular, when EA got tied up on the phone and lost track of time (Using a timer was an insult to the
Food as a Form of Rebellion & Community

Paul Fieldhouse says, “The act of eating together indicates some degree of compatibility or acceptance…The tension between hospitality and power is also evident.” (Humphrey 1988, 67) We can observe Fieldhouse’s idea within the nuclear family: the giver of the food (the parents) possesses the power over the recipient. However, the nuclear family is also unique, in the sense that there is a constant power struggle between parents and their children, particularly during adolescence. Within this struggle, food can be employed as a means of gaining power.

JA’s relationship with her parents began to wane around her sixteenth birthday. “What I was going through at the time wasn’t unique to my situation. It happens to everyone,” she said. “You get older, you resent your parents…I was constantly looking for ways to show them that I was a mature, independent adult.”

JA found an outlet for this desire at the dinner table. “At that point in my life, I was really getting tired of the whole sit-down dinner act, and I had no interest in eating the unhealthy food that my mom was making every night.”

JA subtly found a way to act out: as her mother cooked dinner, JA would enter the kitchen and prepare her own healthy alternative (The standard: two pieces of whole wheat toast, topped with fat-free cottage cheese and olive tapenade). At dinnertime, she was neither seen nor heard, reading quietly in her room. This act of defiance had emotional implications that reverberated through the entire household. “It hurt my feelings,” said EA. “I understand that kids that age are going to act out and get resentful, but food was so important to us as a family.”

If the act of eating with others is a form of compatibility and acceptance, as Fieldhouse suggests, then JA’s actions spoke volumes about her attitude: refusing to eat with the family was a symbolic act of rejection and independence, as E.N. Anderson says, “Food is used in every society…to communicate messages.” (Anderson 2001, 6) The fact that EA infused the meals that she prepared with a great deal of cultural pride lent even more significance to JA’s actions. “When I cooked, I was always trying to channel my [deceased] mother,” said EA. “The act of cooking was one of the strongest memories that I had of her.” In this way, JA’s rejection of her mother’s food was, by extension, a rejection of her roots.

Likewise, it seemed that food was symbolic of the troubled mother-daughter relationship that existed between the two parties. Nickie Charles and Marion Kerr suggest, “Food occupies a significant place in the relationships between women and their children…and tells use a great deal about age relations within the family… it falls to women to ensure that mealtimes are a happy occasion.” (Charles and Kerr, 1988, 85) Indeed, food represented the tension between EA and JA, and EA’s refusal to accommodate her daughter’s request signified—on some level—a failure in the act of mothering.

Well, I guess I ask her to make it every night that I’m at home.” As far as traditions go, this one shows no signs of slowing down. “Eventually I’ll pass it onto GT,” said CT. “Hopefully her kids enjoy it as much as mine do.”

Food and Responsibility: Feeding a Child

All parents whom I interviewed said that they felt some obligation to feed his or her children responsibly and healthfully. These plans were scrapped rather quickly, however, as it became apparent to each of them that feeding their children foods that held emotional significance for them was more important. “I wanted CA to be a healthy boy, but honestly, my desire for him to enjoy the same foods as me was much stronger,” said MA. As a result, CA spent most of his youth battling weight issues, just as MA had in his childhood. Regardless, MA had no regrets. “To see one of my children enjoy the same things that I did as a child, to see him identify with my roots…That’s much more important to me than him being skinny.”

HT and CT echoed MA’s sentiments. “I feel BT pretty unhealthy food as a child,” said HT. Likewise, CT gave little thought to the nutritional value of the lavish meals that she prepared for her children. “GT and MT love me…A big reason for that is the fact that I cooked them such good food as children,” she said. It seems that for the baby boomer generation, a child’s affection trumps health consciousness.

JA, a prospective mother, saw the situation differently. “I appreciate what my parents did for me, but the fact that they fed me such unhealthy food for so long really led to me resenting them,” she said. JA spent most of her childhood battling weight issues, much like her brother, and blamed her mother’s food for her plight. “I’m going to feed my kids good, wholesome food…Just because it’s good for you doesn’t mean it tastes bad…I want them to grow up to be healthy and happy.” Perhaps we can point to the generational differences in nutritional education as the root of JA’s attitude. “The things that we know now about nutrition…I had no idea when I was feeding my kids fifty years ago,” said HT. Indeed, it seems that for prospective parents today, nutrition has taken on a larger role in feeding the family than it has in the past. Only time will tell whether or not JA’s plans falter in the same way that those of her predecessors did.

Conclusion

We have seen the ways in which food can play a significant role in the process of family development and identity formation. For MA and EA, it became a remnant of the past, a means of holding onto fond memories and transmitting those memories to their children (and, ultimately, grandchildren). MA’s Jewish roots, however tenuous, were always able to survive through the food that he ate, and he passed these ideals onto his son. EA was able to channel her mother through the process of feeding her own children.

For CT, food embodied an opportunity for a cultural reinvocation: it was the catalyst in her transformation
from her rural past to her cosmopolitan present. As she took on a new identity, she simultaneously transmitted her new cultural practices to her children, repressing her past, for better or for worse.

For the children, food was a means of consent (or dissent) with their parents' cultural practices and ideals. CA's love of traditional Jewish meals reinforced his deep bond with his father. His sister JA's health consciousness created a nearly irreconcilable rift between her and her parents, and inspired her to pursue alternate means of transmitting the cultural identity that her mother imparted onto her. MT and GT's fondness for their mother's cooking led them to grow closer to both of their parents, and created a tightly knit nuclear family.

The food each family eats speaks volumes about the ways in which that family views itself. Each bite is packed with cultural, emotional, and domestic implications. By looking beneath the surface, I was able to uncover these implications and their roots.

Works Cited

“Brotherhood

“...I was on a project team in Makuleke South Africa in 2008. The goal of the project was to collect ethnographic information in order to establish a cultural museum in the village and thus stimulate the local economy and increase exposure to the wider world in a time of political threat.”

“Roman Baths at Bath

Jennifer McAuley ('13)

RIP Biggie

George Karandinos ('10)

Taken in North Philadelphia as part of a continuing ethnographic project under the supervision of Philippe Bourgois examining urban poverty, the drug trade and violence.
Library at Jirye Artist Village in Andong, South Korea

Pablo Barrera (’11)

Pablo Barrera is a Senior majoring in Art History that is currently conducting self-directed research on Joseon Dynasty (1392-1910) vernacular architecture known as “hanok.” He has been collaborating with the Penn Museum Cultural Heritage Center and Directors of the National Trust of Korea to explore cultural heritage issues surrounding the re-appropriation of hanok as part of the National Branding Campaign of South Korea. He has conducted joint research on a library and museum survey on hanok, and has completed an anthropological/architectural survey of the hanok-village preservation zone in Gahoi-dong, Seoul, Korea as part of the University Scholars Program at Penn.

Firestorm

Samuel Liebeskind (’11)

Samuel Liebeskind, a senior in Biological Basis of Behavior, took this photos while studying abroad in Sydney, Australia.
Jennifer McAuley (’13) Digging At the Hilltop Site (above), Skeleton (below) Part of research with Penn Museum’s field school at San Pietro d’Asso, Tuscany, Italy.

These two photographs (above, below left) depict a traditional Shembe religious celebration. The woman (below right) is at her Umemulo, a Zulu coming-of-age ceremony for women. She is wearing a sheath of cow fat on her shoulders as a symbol of respect to her ancestors, while people place South African Rand on her head as gifts.
Sobremesa: An Analysis of Food & Culture In Hispanic Communities

Darien Perez

If there's one thing I've always associated with my large Latin family, it is food. Gathering around a table large enough to sit twelve people, I would watch as my mother and aunts shuttled delicately prepared foods to the center of the table. However, it wasn't the food that I remembered most vividly from these encounters. Rather, it was the sense of community that permeated the atmosphere of the entire meal. It was an anchor in the midst of a hectic twenty-first century lifestyle. It was a sense of unity and inclusion that inspired me to pursue a study of the role of food in Hispanic communities. I became interested in interviewing members of the Hispanic community to see if their experiences mirrored my own. Mary Douglas informs us that “Food...is a particularly good boundary marker, perhaps because it provides...the ability to transform the outside into the inside...food is about identity creation and maintenance” (as cited in Sutton, 2001, p. 5). Fueled by these thoughts on creation of an identity and community, I wanted to examine the importance assigned by Hispanics to meals in the household and delve further into individuals' associations with memories of eating.

In compiling my research questions, I began to wonder whether my interviewees, who span several generations, perceived a change in the role of the meal in the household. In an era where there is an increasing emphasis on individualism in American society and a prominent shift towards more women working and the average individual working more hours overall, I wondered what effects it would all have on food inside the home. This became the secondary focus of the study. I invited my interviewees to share their thoughts about any notable changes pertaining to the meal throughout the decades, whether or not they felt that the meal has been devalued, and what factors they attribute to any perceivable changes.

Methods

In conducting the study, I chose to interview a pool of eight individuals, consisting of six women and two men. The interviewees consisted of four second-generation Hispanics and four first-generation Hispanic immigrants. It is important to note that the interview pool did not have a homogenized immigrant background. Rather, the pool consisted of four Mexican informants, two Cuban informants and two Dominican informants. For clarity in this paper, I will utilize the term 'Hispanic' as an all-encompassing label. The word Hispanic is 'of or relating to the people, speech, or culture of Spain and Portugal; of, relating to, or being a person of Latin American descent living in the United States, especially Mexican, Cuban or Puerto Rican' (Mish, 2009). For reference here, I will briefly describe each interviewee. My Mexican interviewees consisted of four females: MH, age 23; LR, age 38; MD, age 60; and SD, age 79. My two informants from Dominican backgrounds were LS, age 46, and CMP, age 88. The final two informants were Cuban: LR, age 46, and EP, age 88.

Between all eight of the interviewees, the generational divide of the pool spans from 20 to 88 years of age. This was crucial to the development of a well-rounded perspective on changes in the role of the meal over the last few decades. My interviews were structured in a four-part manner. First, we discussed meals and an air of formality, examining table etiquette and customs, as well as the role of familiarity in structuring a meal. Secondly, we discussed memories attributed to food by individuals. Next, we tackled celebratory occasions and holidays and the resultant changes that ensued during these particular moments in the year. Finally, I broached the subject of meals and how they may have changed over time.

Food and Memory

When asking my 8 interview subjects what kinds of memories they associated with food, positive or negative, there was an overwhelming and unchallenged answer of ‘positive’. As EP put it, “I can’t think of anything more enjoyable than the food we had together.” Deborah Lupton, in an article about food and emotion, states that “There is a strong relationship between memory and the emotional dimension of food...food is an element of the material world which embodies and organizes our relationship with the past in socially significant ways...” (2005, p. 320). Indeed, food is a powerful and evocative medium for remembrance of the past. These past experiences, in turn, are the basis for structuring how many individuals think and act today within their own households. Lupton continues by informing her readers that preparation of a meal at a later point in life can evoke memories related to the food items consumed in the past (2005, p. 320). These experiences are something that individuals chose to share or avoid, depending on what was retained through previous encounters. Both MH and LR relayed stories to me, when prompted about memories associated with food, about watching their mothers cook in the kitchen and trying to integrate those preparation skills into their own work in the kitchen. LR told me that she still tries to imitate her mother’s tamale recipes based on the little nuances that she recalls from dinner time when she was younger. She says, “My friends ask me why I tie the tamale three times around when I invite them to dinner. I say, “Because it’s how my mother did it.” I won’t change it for anything.” LR’s anecdote exemplifies David Sutton’s observations on ‘doing’ cooking. She embarked on an informal apprenticeship as a young girl and draws upon images and experiences that are stored in her memory versus a set of structured rules that were laid down or written (2001, 135). Sutton emphasizes the efficacy of memory recall in situational cooking. At least three of my other female informants mentioned in the course of the interview that their ability to cook more traditional Hispanic dishes comes from recollections of how their grandmothers, mothers, and aunts used to cook.

Many of my interview subjects associate memories with specific sensory experiences of consumed food items. LS recalled how she associates the smell of fresh baking bread with her mother and neighbors baking in the Dominican Republic. This sensory marker triggered a tangential recall of the relationship between her neighbors and her family on the island. CMP tells me that whenever he smells bacalao a la viscayna (cod fish) he is immediately transported to Christmas. Bacalao, or codfish, is a dish eaten traditionally on Noche Buena (Christmas Eve) in many Spanish-speaking countries. Indeed, the smell becomes a reminder and marker of the holiday, even if his family is making it for some other point in the year. These observations mirror Marcel Proust’s commonly quoted anecdote about how he remembered his childhood with a simple taste of a Madeleine cookie (as cited in Sutton, 2001, p. 88). Proust’s experiences emphasizes the ability of a sensory part, such as smell or taste, to evoke memories of the whole of the events surrounding these individual experiences (Lupton, 2005, p. 320).

In addition to sensory and cognitive processes, food entered the realm of memory for my subjects in the form of a structured meal pattern at home. Many Douglas’ work, Deciphering a Meal, has been highly regarded in anthropology for its study of the basic structure of the meal. Douglas argues that a meal must recall the structure of previous meals in order to cement what metonymically constitutes a meal (1975, pg 237). Meals are a repetition of key themes that can be elaborated and expanded, but are grounded in a centralized definition of what and who makes up the meal. When inquiring about memories and food in their homes, I asked my interview subjects to recall the structure of their lunch and dinner meals, if any. This included asking about table preparation, etiquette, time, place, etc. in relation to eating a meal. Out of the eight interviewees, six indicated that the meal was connected with a specific time in the home and all interviewees said that meals took place around a table, usually in the kitchen space or a dining room space. A good example came out of my interview with EP. She stated: “When we were growing up in my family, we always dined in the dining room, around the table. Never in another place. And at 12:00 we would have lunch and at 7:00 we had dinner. From 7 to 8. My mom would say ‘if you aren't here, you don’t eat.” The only exceptions to these rules seemed to be during celebratory occasions. LP told me that he recalls specifically how on Christmas and New Year’s Eve, the family was not allowed to eat before midnight. Even the change in meal times and structure during holidays and special occasions, however, followed an established pattern, according to LP. The repetitiveness nature of the meal during holidays develops into a symbol itself; the observation of these specially structured meals indicates the arrival of these annual holiday periods. In interviewing my subjects, it was evident that the symbiotic relationship between memory and the meal plays a large role in remembering the past in the present.

Food as a Method of Socialization

Food has a unique ability to be a defining marker of one’s individuality and affiliation with a specific place in society (Anderson, p. 124). The foods eaten and customs followed by individuals acquire a level of familiarity that becomes embedded in their identities. In an article entitled Identity and the Global Stew, Allison James asserts that...
shared patterns of consumption mark a distinction between one group and another (2005, pg. 374). Consumption sets up a series of boundaries between what is culturally accepted as edible or tasteful and how it is eaten, standing apart from all that can be consumed in the world. E.N. Anderson echoes this same sentiment, speaking about how food is second only to language in terms of communicative functions (2003, pg. 126). Words are of little importance at the table. Rather, more information is transmitted by observance and integration of the social transactions occurring over the table than what is said verbally. This spans a broad range of interactions. Basic table etiquette falls within this category, but also rules about who is served first, who sits next to the host, food distribution and so on. LS commented on such categories when asked about preparation and etiquette at the table: “Usually my mother or my aunt would serve the kids. If there were a lot of kids, it was expected that the kids would be relegated to a smaller table and adults would occupy the main table. Kids were expected to be polite, quiet and to talk amongst themselves.” Four of my successive interviewees spoke of similar experiences regarding social divisions between adults and children and the manners expected at the table.

Food preparation methods similarly serve as a method of socialization. Cuisine, according to María Elise Christie, author of Kitchenspace: Women, Festas and Everyday Life in Mexico, is among the most important markers of ethnicity. It is a means of asserting cultural identity and the way food is cooked imbues it with ideas of who these individuals believe they are, where these individuals live and what they believe their place is in the world (2008, p. 31). The kitchenspace is where all food preparation occurred and all individuals were expected to learn about who was expected to cook and how, according to my interviewees. LR and LS both stated that meal preparation was the responsibility of all the female family members. If you were a young girl, you were expected to watch in the kitchen and once you were old enough, you could start to help. LR elaborated: “It would start that my mother would hand me corn husks and have me dampen them. Then I would learn how to cook the masa, how to prepare the picadillo, how to fill and wrap them. It was a process but also I was taught about the values of our family.” As Christie puts it, “Kitchenspace appears to provide a refuge for culture, allowing the reproduction and reinvention of “lo nuestro” (what is ours) or core elements of collective identity” (2008, pg. 259). Kinesthetic information, the motions and actions of cooking, are thus transferred from mother to daughter, aunt to niece, cousin-to-cousin. But, beyond the understanding of practical physical mobilizations of resources, these actions must be stored as part of the collective memory of the interactive experience in order to be actualized later in life (Sutton, 2001, 127).

The other side of the equation, the consuming of the finished food products and meals, plays a further role in solidifying the socialization of an individual. People begin to be known and also to identify themselves with social standards of the foods they eat, just as they come to know what religion to follow or what language to speak (Mintz, 2003, pg. 23). Individuals also assimilate what types of foods should be eaten during holidays and ceremonial occasions in contrast to everyday meals. LP recalled his grandmother’s Cuban eggnog during Christmas and how it was the one time during the year that the older children were allowed to drink some alcoholic beverages with their parents. MH said that celebratory meals were particularly distinctive because she and her siblings were raised without dessert during the rest of the year. Noche Buena (Christmas Eve) signaled a brief moment of indulgence in sweet treats. MH also related a powerful example of how Spanish food is defined by regularly structured consumption and how introduction of “foreign” foods disrupted her family’s eating patterns. She noted:

Food during special occasions was always pozole or tamales. We only had turkey once, when my Aunt wanted to imitate a Martha Stewart thanksgiving recipe. Nobody liked it. It was odd tasting and poorly cooked because it wasn’t something she was used or trained to make. We didn’t have it again, thank God! Clearly, there is a boundary between what individuals associated with the traditions of their respective Hispanic culture and what seems foreign. My interviewees all recognized that culturally defined preferences for food and methods of preparation labeled what was an inside food versus an outside food, serving as a boundary marker. Socialized meals convey strong messages about identification with the Hispanic world.

Linking Food and The Creation of A Communal Identity

EP emphatically informed me that “Comida y familia es lo que se necesita en la vida”. In translation, the statement says that food and family are all you need in life. Humans are social feeders and there is emphasis placed on the solidarity of the unit that meals support. When asked if mealtime was a solitary event or a family gathering time, all eight of my interview subjects responded that mealtime was for the family. All interviewees agreed that meals were usually set apart from the rest of the day and that there was a sense of obligation behind being present at the table. LP said, “When food was ready, everyone was at the table. We were expected, father included, to sit at the table. There was no such thing as saying ‘oh, I don’t feel like eating now.’” Food is invariably linked to family, according to my subjects. Commensality is a key role to defining family and opening up discussion between family members. In fact, refusal to eat at the table or with others is regarded as a serious form of social isolation in traditional households (Counihan, 2004, pg. 7). The informants in this study all remarked in one way or another about how it was almost mandatory to be late or to eat away from the rest of the family, unless you had a viable reason. If you chose to voluntarily isolate yourself from the table, it was understood that this action underscored some form of tension or a willingness to separate from the communal identity.

The main purpose of the meal, in accordance with my informants, was to bring people together and affirm a level of solidarity in social ties and family dynamics. This network sobre mesa (over the table) is large in Hispanic communities. Meals are not always centered on the nuclear family. Family extends far beyond to include aunts, uncles, cousins and other visiting or nearby relatives (Christie, 2000, pg. 252). Meals then expressively serve as a social facilitator for the rekindling of ties, exchange of information, and a reaffirmation of belonging to a group – whether a specific family or a larger connection to the Hispanic community.

Sharing the Table with A Stranger

Just as cultures create classifications for what is food and non-food, so the world is divided into kin and non-kin (Anderson, 2005, p. 125). The dynamics of the meal, according to my interviewees, does change somewhat when the table is shared with outsiders (people who are not relatives/kin). I inquired whether or not there was a difference in the meal or mealtime structure when individuals who were outside the family partook in eating within the household. LS informed: “With family, there was a sense of being more relaxed. But there wasn’t really a huge difference – it’s very customary to invite whoever was around or on the street (neighbors) to eat. It’s a very Spanish thing. We take pride in sharing food.” Clearly, folks from the Hispanic community or who shared some sort of cultural identification with these families were accepted and treated as though they belonged at the meal always. In contrast, MH and MD stated that there was never someone they didn’t know at the table. It was almost exclusively family and relatives because they, said, meals equaled sharing time with your family. Other individuals should be expected to do the same with their families.

In probing my interviewees further, I made inquiries as to what, if any, changes occurred if the person attending the meal was not a member of the Hispanic community. CMP responded that the change would not be on his family’s side, but rather an adjustment made by the visitor. EP elaborated, “We serve you what we serve our family. You are expected to at least try what we have made, because it is very important! We are offering you a piece of our home.” In this way, my interviewees indicated that in being invited to a meal in a Hispanic household, it was necessary for outsiders to detach themselves, at least temporarily, from their given identities. The food consumed...
during the period of the meal is imbued symbolically with the Spanish identity. The guests who voluntarily eat this food are assigned, for the interim, the position of being in the family and being a transitory member of the Hispanic community. Likewise, my interviewees noted that there was some level of heightened awareness at the table about how foods that are typically served in a Spanish household can become “exotic” in the presence of an outsider. At least four of my interviewees mentioned during this inquiry that one of the greatest offenses an outsider can make is refusing to take food from the hosts of the meal. Refusing food is intricately tied to rejection of Hispanic cultural values and identity. CMP remarked, “You don’t make it really obvious, but deep down, you get a little irritated and upset that someone doesn’t want to accept your food and hospitality. Then again, I guess that’s just how we were raised. It’s a cultural difference”. Undeniably, this interaction between strangers and the Hispanic family exemplifies the tremendous power of food to take on valued meanings and generate subjective commentary (Sutton, 2001, pg. 6). As much as these interactions are around the symbolic value of the food, acceptance of food can also be tied to notions of hospitality. Just as David Sutton informs that hospitality is something that must be repeatedly witnessed, in order to have a solidified social effect, hospitality is something that must equally be acknowledged by the recipient in the Hispanic community. You are encouraged to remember the generosity of your host.

Meals and Generations: Valuation or Devaluation?

The last section of my interviews with my informants focused on an opinion-based look at how the concept of the meal may have changed over time. I asked my interviewees if they felt that the role of the meal in their households had changed over their lifetime and whether they felt the meal was more or less important now than in the past. LS responded, “Meals are less important because we’ve lost traditions along the line, the planning and the large gatherings of people has diminished as people move out, leave the homeland, and so on.” LS continued on to say that she felt as though food has become more streamlined, less labor intensive and more modernized. MD echoed a similar view of these changes in today’s meals. Laura Shaprio’s article entitled Do Women Like to Cook, explores the rise of quick, streamlined meals as a response to the decreasing amount of time women have to prepare meals for a family (2005, pg. 157). In a world where more and more women are becoming partially or entirely the parent whom brings in the family income, women cannot take as much time as before to both plan and prepare the meal. The result has been an increase in the mass marketing of pre-packaged products, easy bake foods, and even a growth in the reliance on restaurants and outside food providers to feed the family (Shapiro, 2005, pg. 158).

One of the most interesting responses I got on the value of the meal was from MH. She thought that the role of the meal as a central unifier for the family has not changed, but the consumption patterns have morphed over time. MH told me that she believed that meals are more important now than ever in the sense that it’s the time of the day when families can get together. Efforts must be made on behalf of the family to honor and keep a hold of the symbolic value of the meal on the table. MH continues, “I think it is a great way for people to retain that part of our culture – have everyone sit down for even 30 minutes, for God’s sake.” She expressed her increasing frustration with the fast-paced twenty-first century culture, due to the fact that her family can no longer be at home everyday around the same time to share a meal, even if it was quick-prepared food. For MH and her family, she says the real value of the meal lies in sharing it with those you love and care about. LR’s commentary adds to this idea: “That point of constant daily contact becomes a reminder that we are a family, a unit. If I have children in the future, I would make every effort to have us sit around a table.” Desires to retain the value of the meal as central part of family unification parallels the ideas of Carole Counihan’s book on food in Tuscany. The Italian family she focuses her studies on similarly expresses the fundamental importance of the meal as a method of forging and cementing familial relationships and as an open forum of discussion (2004, pg. 125). The movement of people and food in greater volumes and more quickly due to increased globalization has begun to deteriorate the ties between place, food and group. Only in making a conscious effort to recognize the symbolic nature of food can individuals hold onto a sense of ethnic pride, no matter where they are or where they are headed. Identity is not a fixed set of memories or specific ideas, but rather a never-ending assimilation of new ideas and experiences that define what we believe is our own individual place on the planet.
Samuel Liebeskind ('11) took these photos while traveling in Cambodia, Thailand, and Fiji

Colin Schenk, a senior in Health and Societies, captured these images while researching and working with Pratit International in Kolkata slums in West Bengal India during this past summer.
Attitudes and Intentions Associated with Breastfeeding in College Students

Vidushi Bajoria

Over the past decade, research on the long-term benefits of breastfeeding has greatly increased. Women all over the world, particularly in industrialized countries, which in the past tended to have a low prevalence of breastfeeding, are becoming more aware of the advantages of breast milk and bottle-feeding. Several researchers have turned their attention toward the myriad factors associated with women's decision to initiate and continue breastfeeding. Although the past few decades have seen a dramatic increase in breastfeeding, from 25% in 1970s to 73.9% in 2005, the optimal breastfeeding rate is still not being met (CDC). There are several medical benefits to breastfeeding, both for the child and the mother (WHO). Yet, a large number of women still continue to bottle-feed (Earle, 2002). A number of social, cultural and political factors significantly affect the initiation and duration of breastfeeding. Infant feeding decisions depend on attitudes toward breastfeeding that may be developed as early as adolescence (Martenus 2001). Therefore, from a public health perspective, it is extremely important to identify the factors responsible for breastfeeding beliefs from an early age.

The goal of this study is to describe the attitudes and intentions toward breastfeeding in a group of university students in the United States, and to determine if any demographic or behavior variables can be designated as predictors of these feelings. This study also explores whether some variable, such as student or family income, cultural differences, or exposure to breastfeeding at home, is associated with attitudes toward breastfeeding. Through a review of the medical benefits of breastfeeding, contemporary barriers that women face, and worldwide cultural trends, my paper will explore the different variables responsible for certain attitudes and intentions toward breastfeeding.

Breastfeeding conveys vast health advantages to both the child and the mother (Stuart-Macadam & Dettwyler, 1995). The most obvious advantage is the nutritive function of breast milk. Breast milk is also rich in regulatory substances that stimulate the development of the infant's own immune system. Newborn babies have antibodies circulating in their bloodstream, which they acquire from the mother; however, the immune system is not yet functional and requires long-term exposure to the environment before it becomes active. In the mean time, babies have to rely on antibodies contained in the mother's milk (Stuart-Macadam & Dettwyler, 1995). Breastfeeding also prevents the growth of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in babies. Moreover, the baby's exposure to breastfeeding is one long-term development and health of the infant. As an example, bottle-feeding is correlated to Crohn's disease (which causes inflammation of the digestive tract) and hyper-sensitivity to allergens (Koletzko 1989). Early feeding practices have also been associated with coronary pathologies, disorders of immune regulation, and psychosomatic development (Lucas 1998; Cunningham 1995). Some theories also link infant diseases to cow's milk (Stuart-Macadam & Dettwyler 1995).

Artificial feeding first appealed to women because it released them from purely reproductive roles and opportunities. As an alternative to breast feeding, mothers could make a conscious decision to feed their babies formula (Arora et al., 2000). The repeated suckling of the baby helps release oxytocin and prolactin, also known as the “hormone of love,” and the “motherly hormone,” respectively (Stuart-Macadam & Dettwyler 1995). Oxytocin is particularly important because it helps the mother feel calm and relaxed, and also helps the uterus contract and return to the pre-pregnancy stage (Bouchet, 2005). Furthermore, mothers who breastfeed can remain ammenorrheic for several months, which helps maintain iron levels in the mother's body and provides natural spacing for future pregnancies. Mothers who bottle-feed are denied this benefit, and resume their menstrual cycles within six to eight weeks after giving birth. Other long-term health benefits for the mother include optimal metabolic profiles, reduced risk of ovarian and uterine cancers, and better mental health (Dermer 2001).

Regardless of the various medical benefits of breastfeeding, many women still choose to bottle-feed. Therefore the ability to breastfeed is more than just having the knowledge to do so. The act of breastfeeding is influenced by a number of social, political and cultural factors. According to Arora and colleagues, the top three barriers to breastfeeding in the US include the mother's perception of father's attitude; uncertainty regarding quantity of breast milk; and return to work (Arora et al., 2000). Other major barriers include sexualization of the breast and the stigma associated with breastfeeding in public. Such anxieties are a product of the rise in women of the workforce, the rise of feminist beliefs, and the rise of pharmaceutical companies. Together, these factors form an intrinsic web of events, which have constantly reinforced each other to shape the trends of baby and bottle-feeding in the United States.

Interestingly, hardly any scientific evidence exists to support why breast-feeding in the US is perceived as erotic and are therefore sexualized. According to a 1999 conducted by Dettwyler, this is most likely a culturally learned phenomenon. When Dettwyler questioned Mali women about sexual foreplay involving the breasts, the women were either “bemused or horrified” by the idea that a woman's breasts could sexually arouse a man (Stuart-Macadam and Dettwyler). Sexualization of breasts appears to be a culturally and socially constructed western phenomenon. Furthermore, the stigma attached to public breastfeeding is societal, and not a product of individual self-consciousness. In 1997, California passed a legislation that “allows a mother to breastfeed her child in any location, public or private, except the private home or residence of another, where the mother and the child are otherwise authorized to be present” (National Conference of State Legislatures). This exception was made to clarify that breastfeeding is legal behavior, not public nudity.

Artificial feeding first appealed to women because it released them from purely reproductive roles and allowed them to delve into more productive labor areas. During World War II, societal needs pressured women to work outside the home, which further encouraged reliance on bottle-feeding (Worcester & Whatley 2004). By the late 1970s, the percentage of working mothers with infants had jumped from 32% to 52%, with two-thirds of these women working full-time (Blum 1999). Therefore, to women working and breaking away from their traditional reproductive roles, bottle-feeding was alluring because of its convenience and efficiency (Worcester & Whatley 2004). Many women have chosen to work outside the home, and prior to the exception to clarify that breastfeeding is legal behavior, women who first arrived in the workforce, they entered on unequal footing and were forced to conform to an already established male-environment. This raised an important question for lactating mothers in the workplace: Should they be allowed a private space for breastfeeding or should they challenge the dominantly male environment by refusing privacy? Modern notions of breastfeeding are intricately tied to feminism. However, the position of feminism regarding infant feeding is contradictory. Modern feminism is caught between trying to minimize gender differences, and embracing and enhancing these differences (Sen & Grown 1987). Because the act of breastfeeding is sex-specific, it challenges the feminist perspective of gender-neutral child bearing. Some believe breastfeeding limits the mother to an object of nourishment, unable to expand herself as a person (Lattier 1998), while bottle-feeding thus relieves the mother from her role as a reproductive machine. Others feel that bottle-feeding denies a woman of a uniquely feminine experience.

Artificial feeding first appealed to women because it released them from purely reproductive roles and allowed them to delve into more productive labor areas. During World War II, societal needs pressured women to work outside the home, which further encouraged reliance on bottle-feeding (Worcester & Whatley 2004). By the late 1970s, the percentage of working mothers with infants had jumped from 32% to 52%, with two-thirds of these women working full-time (Blum 1999). Therefore, to women working and breaking away from their traditional reproductive roles, bottle-feeding was alluring because of its convenience and efficiency (Worcester & Whatley 2004). Many women have chosen to work outside the home, and prior to the exception to clarify that breastfeeding is legal behavior, women who first arrived in the workforce, they entered on unequal footing and were forced to conform to an already established male-environment. This raised an important question for lactating mothers in the workplace: Should they be allowed a private space for breastfeeding or should they challenge the dominantly male environment by refusing privacy? Modern notions of breastfeeding are intricately tied to feminism. However, the position of feminism regarding infant feeding is contradictory. Modern feminism is caught between trying to minimize gender differences, and embracing and enhancing these differences (Sen & Grown 1987). Because the act of breastfeeding is sex-specific, it challenges the feminist perspective of gender-neutral child bearing. Some believe breastfeeding limits the mother to an object of nourishment, unable to expand herself as a person (Lattier 1998), while bottle-feeding thus relieves the mother from her role as a reproductive machine. Others feel that bottle-feeding denies a woman of a uniquely feminine experience.

Many critics hold pharmaceutical formula companies responsible for the sharp decline in breastfeeding rates, and for exploiting new mothers' confidence as professionals and growing belief in science and technology. The burgeoning niche that pharmaceutical companies have created in the baby market has produced a cyclical chain of events causing new mothers to constantly rely on artificial feeding. Production of breast milk is dependent on how much a baby breastfeeds, so not breastfeeding exclusively can cause insufficient milk syndrome, a dangerous
condition. Pharmaceutical companies use this as an incentive to not risk breastfeeding at all [Worcester & Whatley 2004]. The mere accessibility and availability of formula food also constantly encourages women to turn to bottle-feeding. These women do not breastfeed, therefore produce less breast milk, and as a result rely even more on artificial feeding [Latteier 1998].

Cultural differences also play an important role in influencing a mother’s decision to breastfeed. Breastfeeding is a biological act, but these instinctive behaviors, techniques, and commitments are strongly determined by culture [Latteier 1998]. Women in collectivist countries like India, China, and Papua New Guinea experience less difficulty breastfeeding, whereas women in individualistic countries like the USA and UK experience more dissonance. A study conducted in 2006 revealed that Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, black Caribbean and black African mothers were more likely to initiate and continue breastfeeding up to 6 months, as compared to white mothers [Kelly et. al 2006].

A number of reasons exist why women in collectivist countries breastfeed. For example, the majority of the population in these countries is below the poverty line and therefore cannot afford expensive formula food or bottle milk. In countries like India and Pakistan, women are expected to maintain households, not work. Therefore they are not necessitated to find convenient methods of feeding their infant. Large support groups for women in such countries also motivate continued breastfeeding. Perhaps individualism and collectivism influence decisions of women in the US at a sub-conscious level.

The target population for this study consists of women between the ages of 18 and 24, i.e. undergraduate students, in an urban college campus such as that of the University of Pennsylvania. Infant feeding decisions depend on attitudes toward breastfeeding that may be developed as early as adolescence [Martens 2001]. Adolescents generally have positive attitudes toward breastfeeding but are subject to misconceptions embedded in culture, which are difficult to correct in adulthood [Goud et. al 2003]: Therefore it is extremely important to target the factors responsible for breastfeeding attitudes and beliefs from an early age. Lack of information may induce negative assumptions about breastfeeding in students [Kang et. al 2005]. Very little is data available on university students’ attitudes and intentions toward breastfeeding; deriving such information will be helpful in building an early intervention program for young women.

In this cross-sectional and descriptive study of attitudes and intentions toward breastfeeding, a sample of undergraduate women attending the University of Pennsylvania was recruited. Any woman was eligible to participate in the study as long as she was between the ages of 18 and 24 years old and attended the University of Pennsylvania as a student. Participation was not contingent on ethnicity, race, or socioeconomic status. Data collection of surveys and questionnaires was completed within a month. All surveys and questionnaires were administered in English, and collected via email. The e-mail clarified that participation was voluntary and all information provided would remain confidential and anonymous.

A survey and questionnaire were administered to 27 students. In addition, a total of 6 in-depth, semi-structured interviews were conducted once the surveys and questionnaires were complete. The survey and questionnaire provided basic information from which more precise questions could be developed for the interviews. I conducted the interviews, either over the phone or in person depending on which method the participant was comfortable.

The surveys were used to gain demographic and quantitative information about the participants (age, ethnicity, major, annual income, marital status); intentions and attitudes toward breastfeeding; and exposure toward breastfeeding, both in public and at home. Questions asked whether the participants were breastfed as a child and whether they would feed their child in the future via bottle or breast milk. In countries like India and Pakistan, women are expected to maintain households, not work. Therefore they are not necessitated to find convenient methods of feeding their infant. Large support groups for women in such countries also motivate continued breastfeeding. Perhaps individualism and collectivism influence decisions of women in the US at a sub-conscious level.

The objective of the interviews was to collect in-depth information about attitudes, beliefs and exposure regarding breastfeeding. Because of the descriptive nature of the study, interviews were not randomized, as there was only one group of participants. Base questions were already developed, but the interview took its own course based on the data from the surveys and questionnaires.

The survey variables were calculated for descriptive statistics. Each questionnaire was scored with a total possible score ranging from 17 to 85 with the higher score representing more knowledge regarding breastfeeding. The median for the score range was 63 and was used as a division between overall negative and positive attitudes. Individual questions range from a score of 1 to 5 and therefore the median was 3. Data analysis was done using JUMP.

Statistical procedures were completed at a significance level of .05. Pearson bivariate correlations for the overall sample was performed to investigate possible relationships between factors such as intention to breastfeed, whether the participant was breastfed as a child, and whether the mother will support breastfeeding. Finally, in order to predict breastfeeding intentions, regression analysis was conducted. Predictors were picked after an examination of the bivariate correlations. The predictors that were most highly correlated with intention to breastfeed were chosen for regression analysis.

The average age of the participants was 20.29 ± 1.63 (n=27). None of the participants were married or had any children. Less than half (40.7%) reported to be in a stable union. Average annual income of family was reported as $33,214, and 66.60% of students were currently employed. 99.29% of the sample was born outside the U.S. with a majority (48.14%) of those people being born in India. The most popular languages spoken at home besides English were Hindi and Spanish.

About 85% of the women planned to have children. Of those that planned to have children, 73.9% intended to breastfeed their child, and 11.1% said they did not know whether they would breastfeed. Of the 15% who did not intend to breastfeed, some of the most common reasons provided were “disgust at the thought of breastfeeding,” “don’t know how to,” and “sagging breasts.” Of those who intended to breastfeed, about 39% intended to also feed their child foods other than breast milk. 77.7% reported being breastfed as children, and 13% did not know whether they had been breastfed or bottle-fed. Almost all women (92.5%) felt that their mother would support them if they decided to breastfeed. Only 29.9% had seen someone breastfeed in public, while: only 1 in 3 had seen someone breastfeed at home.

An interesting result showed that annual income significantly impacted the probability of intending to breastfeed (p<.003). The relationship between the intention to breastfeed and whether the student’s mother would support breastfeeding was marginally significant (p=.038). Language spoken at home did not have any correlation with exposure to breastfeeding publicly or at home. Participants from India and Puerto Rico were more willing to breastfeed their children as compared to those from the US and UK. There was a strong correlation between a participant’s country of origin and intention to breastfeed (r =.076). Overall, participants had significant knowledge regarding breastfeeding practices. Only 11.11% of participants felt that formula milk was better than breast milk.

Knowledge and education, especially when combined with positive attitudes and intentions, is a useful intervention strategy to teach new mothers how to best provide for their babies. Many women seem to not know the specific health benefits of breastfeeding. The majority of interviewees knew breast milk was generally healthier than formula milk, but were unsure of specific medical benefits. One student said: “breast milk provides more natural nutrients and vitamins that are missing in formula milk.” However, another student disagreed, saying: “formula milk will probably provide a balance of nutrients that may be absent in breast milk.” Of the 6 interviewed, only 2 breastfed their first child.

Some women seemed to have gained knowledge from friends and family, whereas a few cited television shows and high school education as their source of knowledge. Others attributed knowledge to general culture and having babies at home. The students exposed to breastfeeding in public, mostly in parks, malls and doctors’ offices, and those exposed to breastfeeding at home said they were not bothered by public breastfeeding. However, a few mentioned that at first glance it seemed a little shocking and inappropriate, but they would respect the mother’s choice. A few women mentioned that they had earlier been disgusted at the thought of breastfeeding; however, as they grew older and saw television programs or read about breastfeeding, they felt more “at ease and comfortable with the idea.” This suggests the malleable attitude of women toward breastfeeding, and that perhaps maturation changes perspective on motherhood.

Many participants demonstrated a positive attitude toward breastfeeding. The ideas that breastfeeding is natural and “must be done,” and women who do it are “brave and strong” were generally suggested. According to the Center of Disease Control and Prevention, approximately three out of every four infants born in the U.S. are breastfed (2004). However many of the interview participants were under the impression that American women...
generally do not breastfeed their kids. The most common reasons given by women who intended to breastfeed were health benefits, feeling close to their child, a natural process, and benefits to the mother. The most common reasons for bottle-feeding were convenience, concern with work, physical issues such as gaining weight and sagging breasts, and uncertainty about the process in general.

This study has several limitations. One major drawback was the relatively small sample size. Since only 27 women were interviewed, the data is likely highly skewed and not an accurate representation of the general attitudes, intentions and beliefs of students at the University of Pennsylvania. The recruiting of samples may have also skewed data. Since this was a small-scale research project, most of the participants recruited were friends and other acquaintances. There was also no racial variability, which is important in a university setting. Anonymity could not be maintained as the surveys and questionnaires were administered by e-mail and no codes were assigned to any of the participants.

The kind of statistical analysis conducted also imposed limits. Since mostly correlation coefficients were represented, no causal relationships were imposed. The questionnaire was not reliable because no test-retest was conducted to determine whether the data gathered was an accurate representation of the knowledge of university students. There was also no questionnaire to assess behavior in regards to breastfeeding attitudes. No regression analysis was conducted to measure predictors of breastfeeding.

Additional research regarding attitudes and intentions toward breastfeeding in college students should be conducted, as few empirical research studies in this field exist. Gender differences should also be further researched, targeting young men who have not yet had children. Previous studies have shown that male partners significantly influence whether a woman will initiate breastfeeding (Arora et. al 2000). Cross-cultural studies should also be conducted to observe cultural and social differences in the way we understand breastfeeding. Furthermore, courses and workshops to increase knowledge about breastfeeding should be introduced. Universities should encourage students to develop campus organizations facilitating child health care, and health care providers and nursing schools should target students to increase awareness about breastfeeding (Kang et. al 2005). Breastfeeding as a topic need not be presented exclusively, but can instead be included in workshops or courses dealing with women’s body image, myths surrounding women’s bodies, child health, etc.

The attitudes and intentions toward breastfeeding are the result of a complex interaction of factors such as exposure in public or at home, perceived knowledge about breastfeeding, and accessibility of information regarding breastfeeding. This study shows that at the college level, the majority of women have good knowledge regarding breastfeeding practices. Attitudes and intentions toward breastfeeding are formed independently and are not correlated to whether one was breastfed as a child. Cultural differences impact the decision to breastfeed as well. Therefore, people from collectivist countries are more likely to initiate breastfeeding as compared to those from individualist countries.

Jenna Stahl spent the past summer studying grazing and climate change pressures on local ecology in Mongolia. This photo features traditional Mongolian housing, gers, under a stormy evening sky. The study included interviewing Mongolian nomads about their opinions on the changing climate and was sponsored by PIRE Mongolia- University of Pennsylvania Departments of Environmental Studies and Biology and the National University of Mongolia.
Molly Hude is an anthropology major specializing in subcultures, here showcasing her ethnographic research on the annual subcultural festival of Burning Man in the desert of Black Rock City, Nevada.
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