Mechanisms of Central Sensitization, Neuroimmunology & Injury Biomechanics in Persistent Pain: Implications for Musculoskeletal Disorders

Beth A. Winkelstein, PhD

Department of Bioengineering University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, PA 19104

Corresponding Author & Page Proof Reviewer

Beth A. Winkelstein, PhD Department of Bioengineering University of Pennsylvania 120 Hayden Hall 3320 Smith Walk Philadelphia, PA 19104-6392 Phone: (215) 573-4589 Fax: (215) 573-2071 Email: <u>winkelst@seas.upenn.edu</u>

Keywords: pain, nociception, CNS, injury, biomechanics

ABSTRACT

This review will offer an overview of the mechanistic pathways of chronic pain associated with musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs). Traditional electrophysiological pain pathways of these injuries will be reviewed. In addition, recent research efforts in persistent pain have characterized a cascade of neuroimmunologic events in the central nervous system that manifests in pain behaviors and neurochemical nociceptive responses. Physiologic changes in the central nervous system will be covered as they pertain to the interplay of these two areas, and also as they focus on MSDs and injuries. One such injury leading to persistent pain is radiculopathy, which results from nerve root compression or impingement and leads to low back pain. This painful syndrome will be used as an example to provide a context for presenting immune mechanisms of chronic pain and their relationship to injury. Measures of injury biomechanics are presented in the context of the resulting pain responses, including behavioral sensitivity, local structural changes, and cellular and molecular changes in the CNS. Lastly, based on these findings and others, a discussion is provided highlighting areas of future work to help elucidate methods of injury diagnosis and development of therapeutic treatments.

Painful musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) are a common problem in today's society, affecting an estimated one-third of the population. The societal costs, including litigation, worklost, treatment and disability, for painful MSDs are staggering. For example, the cost of low back pain alone has been estimated to be between 40-50 billion dollars annually [20,21]. Until we gain a better understanding of the pathomechanisms in chronic pain and the injuries which cause them their effective prevention and treatment will remain somewhat elusive. It is the intent of this review to highlight traditional and emerging theories of pain transmission in the context of injury and MSDs. A brief discussion of the neurophysiology of pain highlights traditional concepts of injury and pain processing and more recent hypotheses of the central nervous system's (CNS) neuroimmunologic involvement in persistent pain. This discussion is followed by a presentation of biomechanical considerations for painful injuries and MSDs. Incorporating effects of injury parameters on mechanisms of persistent pain, text discusses implication of all of these for MSD. Lastly, a discussion of clinical implications of these findings and suggested areas of future work is offered. It is important to define, at the outset, relevant distinctions in terminology. "Pain" is a complex perception that is influenced by prior experience and by the context within which the noxious stimulus occurs; "nociception" is the physiologic response to tissue damage or prior tissue damage.

MECHANISMS OF PAIN: NEUROPHYSIOLOGY & NEUROIMMUNOLOGY

There are a host of physiologic mechanisms by which injuries lead to nociceptive responses, and ultimately pain. In persistent pain, CNS signals can result in a hypersensitivity or central sensitization response. In addition to the electrophysiologic changes leading to central sensitization, the CNS mounts a series of neuroimmune responses which may further contribute to sensitization and persistent pain symptoms. The findings with regard to neuroimmunity are reviewed here to form a basis for discussing more recent views of persistent pain mechanisms.

Tissue Injury, Central Sensitization & Pain

Injury to a broad number of tissue components, including muscle, disc, and ligament, produces a variety of signals leading to pain perception. Neuroplasticity and subsequent CNS sensitization include altered function of chemical, electrophysiological, and pharmacological systems [3,13,15,41,44,50]. These are complicated and intricately involved with injury and changes in both the peripheral and central nervous systems (Figure 1).

An initial insult (injury- or inflammation-induced) activates local nociceptors (Figure 1). These Aδ and C pain nerve fibers in turn become sensitized and have both lower thresholds for firing and increased firing rates when stimulated at levels similar to before injury [4]. In addition to altered electrical responses, injury initiates the synthesis and release of inflammatory mediators that act to induce inflammation and edema as part of the healing process. However, these healing activities also sensitize nociceptors and recruit new nociceptors to enhance pain [17,19]. Such chemical mediators include, but are not limited to, excitatory amino acids, nitric oxide, bradykinin, prostaglandins, histamine, and substance P [4,26]. Cytokines are also released in the periphery in association with tissue injury and inflammation. These proteins, in turn, contribute to the local inflammatory response, while further affecting electrophysiologic responses of pain and can establish a continuous feedback.

The injured primary afferents terminate in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, where they communicate with spinal neurons via synaptic transmission. Many additional neurotransmitters (i.e. glutamate, NMDA, substance P) modulate postsynaptic responses, with further transmission to supraspinal sites via the ascending pathways [4]. Tissue damage (injury) generates an increased neuronal excitability in the spinal cord [50]; associated with this sensitization is a decreased

activation threshold, increased response magnitude, and increased recruitment of receptive fields [41]. The continuous input from nociceptive afferents can drive the spinal circuits, leading to central sensitization, and maintaining a chronic pain state [15]. These neuroplastic changes are accompanied by other electrophysiological manifestations that cause neurons to fire with increased frequency or even spontaneously [44]. In addition, spinal processing is further affected by descending inhibitory and facilitory pathways that provide additional modulation of spinal interneurons [40].

Persistent pain results from the sensitization of the central nervous system. While the exact mechanism by which the spinal cord becomes sensitized or in a "hyperexcitable" state currently remains somewhat unknown, many hypotheses have emerged. Here only highlights of these theories are provided as an overview. More extensive and detailed discussions can be found elsewhere in the literature [6,16,18,50]. Simply, low threshold A β afferents, which normally do not serve to transmit a pain response, become recruited to transmit spontaneous and movement-induced pain [16]. This central hyperexcitability is characterized by a "windup" response of repetitive C fiber stimulation, expanding receptive field areas, and spinal neurons taking on properties of wide dynamic range neurons [8]. Ultimately, A β fibers stimulate postsynaptic neurons to transmit pain, where these A β fibers previously had no effect, all leading to central sensitization. Nociceptive information is transmitted from the spinal cord to supraspinal sites, such as the thalamus and cerebral cortex by ascending pathways.

Neuroimmunologic Responses in the CNS

While central sensitization contributes to nociceptive mechanisms of persistent pain in the CNS, recent research has demonstrated the role of spinal neuroimmune responses as contributing to persistent pain [14]. A collection of researchers has documented CNS immune changes associated with persistent pain due to a host of painful syndromes, including radiculopathy, neuropathy,

diabetes, and HIV, among others [10,32,37,42,43,47]. Work by DeLeo has focused on the role of centrally produced proinflammatory cytokines, glial activation and leukocyte trafficking in a rodent pain model of L5 lumbar radiculopathy [7,23,33,36,47], lending support for these immunologic changes contributing to pain. From this body of work, a cascade of events in the CNS has been proposed following injury [13,14]: cells (glia, neurons) become activated and can produce and release cytokines which not only lead to their further activation, but also the release of pain mediators [10,11,42]. Glial or neuronal proinflammatory cytokines can sensitize peripheral nociceptive fields [25] and sensitize dorsal root ganglia [30]. Events that induce behavioral hypersensitivity also activate immune cells both centrally and in the periphery, mediating chronic pain [10,11,42]. Cytokines and growth factors have been strongly implicated in the generation of pathological pain states throughout the nervous system; in particular, proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1, IL-6 and TNF, are upregulated both locally and in the spinal cord in persistent pain [10,51]. Immune activation with cytokine production may indirectly induce the expression of many pain mediators such as glutamate, nitric oxide, and prostaglandins in the CNS, leading further to spinal sensitization. In conjunction with this neuroimmune activation, neuroinflammation occurs in which immune cells migrate from the periphery into the CNS in association with pain [13,14,33]. This infiltration may lead to further changes in the CNS and potentially to central sensitization. Infiltrating immune cells contribute to neuronal activation and algesic mediator release, further perpetuating the maintained excitability and sensitization in the CNS which leads to behavioral sensitivity and pain. The spinal immune response of nociception has many facets, forming a complicated cascade of events leading to pain.

BIOMECHANICAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR PAIN MECHANISMS & NEUROIMMUNITY

Electrophysiologic and neuroimmune responses of the CNS likely work together to affect pain for MSDs, with local biomechanics at the injury site modulating both such response cascades. Low back pain is an ideal representative syndrome to use as an illustrative example for discussing injury mechanisms and cellular response cascades of a chronic painful MSD in light of the previous section on mechanisms. In this discussion, injury conditions are presented as examples of how mechanical loading modulates nociception in low back pain, with particular emphasis on nerve root injury (radiculopathy).

Many animal studies report altered electrophysiologic and cellular function for graded cauda equina compression. Compression increases endoneurial pressure locally in the rat sciatic nerve and DRG in proportion to mechanical loading [27,34]. In addition, edema patterns and intensity are modulated by the nature of the mechanical insult [28,29,31,34]. Nerve root loading produces changes in electrical impulse propagation and conduction velocity [9,22,35] and repetitive neuronal firing in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord [22,52], which are all suggestive of sensitization leading to pain. Similarly, it has been shown that tensile loading of facet capsule ligaments produces altered neuronal firing indicative of injury and nociception and may be a causative mechanism of low back pain [2,5]. While this collective body of work suggests a mechanism of spinal cord plasticity and central sensitization for mechanical injuries, it is only inferential for understanding production and maintenance of pain.

Work using imaging techniques has quantified nerve root tissue deformation in a rodent model of painful lumbar radiculopathy [48,49] and examined this injury parameter in the context of pain (behavioral hypersensitivity). Local injury mechanics was found to modulate pain behaviors; a significant positive correlation exists in this pain model between behavioral sensitivity and the amount of tissue injury [48]. Most simply, the greater nerve root compression at injury, the worse the clinical symptoms of behavioral sensitivity and pain. From this series of work, mechanical thresholds for pain behaviors were defined based on the amount of nerve root compression [46]. These mechanical parameters defining painful injuries provide added utility for clinicians in diagnosing painful injuries, directly linking the injury event to the likelihood of pain symptoms. Moreover, in the future, it will hopefully provide insight into predicting clinical outcomes for this class of injuries.

While defining the relationship between injury events and pain is necessary for understanding the clinical context of these pathologies, defining the relationship between injury and specific and relevant *nociceptive* responses is crucial for understanding the central mechanisms of persistent pain in MSD. Using RNase Protection Assays to detect spinal mRNA of a panel of cytokines (TNFα, IL-1α/β, IL-6, IL-10), a statistically significant correlation was found between mRNA levels at postoperative day 7 and the degree of tissue deformation at injury [48]. This suggests a modulatory effect of injury magnitude on one aspect of spinal nociception. Using immunohistochemistry, spinal expression of the proinflammatory cytokine IL-1 β has previously been found to depend on nerve root compression intensity [23]; suggesting preservation of these changes at both the message and protein levels for the spinal cytokines involved in chronic low back pain responses. Consistent with the grading of behavioral responses and spinal cytokine expression according to injury severity [23,48,49], spinal microglial activation is more intense for greater nerve root deformation at injury [23,45]. Yet, astrocytic activation does not follow injury magnitude, highlighting that biomechanics at injury in lumbar radiculopathy models may differentially modulate some neuroimmune responses and not others (Figure 2).

IMPLICATIONS FOR MSD: PAIN MECHANISMS & INJURY BIOMECHANICS

It is recognized that spinal injuries are by no means the only chronically painful MSDs. As such, it should be noted that many of the theories described above may assist with developing a more broad understanding in the context of other painful MSDs, such as carpal tunnel syndrome. While magnitude, rate and duration of loading all modulate electrical signaling patterns (amplitude, frequency) and local tissue changes (edema, pressure), and the neuroimmune cascade for painful radiculopathy, their effects for other painful syndromes may be similar. Continued integration of multidisciplinary approaches applied to a broader class of MSDs will help define nociceptive responses in these disorders.

In the typical response of an acutely painful episode, the balance of injury, repair and healing is achieved and the cascade of electrophysiologic and chemical events resolves following inflammation and injury. However, for persistent pain, the local, spinal and even supraspinal, responses are undoubtedly altered from that described above. Based on the discussion presented in the previous sections regarding persistent pain, a comprehensive picture is emerging for nerve root injury and CNS responses of nociception: spinal cytokine upregulation, microglial and astrocytic activation, cellular adhesion molecule upregulation, and immune cell infiltration into the spinal cord [13,14,36,39,42]. These aspects of neuroimmune activation induce the expression and release of pain mediators (substance P, gluatmate, nitric oxide) and also lead to neuronal hypersensitivity. In this context it is important to consider novel methods for preventing and treating painful injuries. Clinical emphasis has largely been focused on local interventions at the injury site. However, the previous discussion points to the spinal cord physiology as having equal, if not stronger, contribution for maintenance of pain. Continued understanding of spinal and supraspinal mechanisms and mediation of central sensitization can hopefully provide valuable contributions to this understanding.

IMPLICATIONS FOR MSD: APPLICATIONS & FUTURE RESEARCH

Emerging out of this discussion, it becomes clear that there are a number of areas of research focuses which remain to be investigated for painful MSD (Table 1). From the broad coverage presented above, it can be appreciated that many aspects of injury, physiology and cellular mechanisms contribute to chronic pain in MSDs. In this context, then, it is possible to synthesize these findings to discuss preventing these injuries and treating and managing them. As continued biomechanical research is performed to determine conditions under which tissue injury occurs and initiates physiologic responses, it becomes clear that findings can help guide preventive strategies to protect some of these structures from undergoing kinematically and kinetically risky situations. In addition, the cellular findings presented above highlight the need for defining the relationship of an injury event, its physiologic responses, and *their relationship to behavioral manifestations of pain symptoms*.

As the understanding of the mechanisms of persistent pain expands, increased research is being focused on development of effective treatment modalities. A broad variety of approaches exist for offering pain relief: joint blocks, TENS, manipulation, pharmacology, and many others [13]. However, the exact mechanisms of injury often remain elusive, making it extremely challenging to act at the structural site of injury for therapy. Pharmacologic treatment options offer a promising approach for manipulating those aspects of the CNS response which contribute to chronic nociception. For example, global immunosuppressants have been shown to ameliorate pain behaviors in both neuropathic and radiculopathy rodent pain models [47]. Likewise, manipulation of specific spinal cytokines to alter sensory processing and other select agents have been effective in reducing allodynia in a variety of pain models [1,12,24,37,38,47]. Pharmacologic antagonists to and inhibitors of particular proinflammatory cytokines and other algesic mediators (IL-1, TNF, COX-2)

have shown effectiveness in animal pain models for attenuating both behavioral hypersensitivity and elements of the CNS neuroimmune cascade [1,12,24,37,47]. Indeed, combinations of some of these agents may have promise for effectiveness in reducing pain. As continued research identifies the specific physiologic pathways (both electrophysiologic and immunologic) which are responsible for chronic pain, it will become more feasible and even more tractable to target specific sites along these pathways for selectively manipulating and modulating a persistent pain response. With continued integrative efforts, progress will be made in this area.

It is the hope that this review has provided a summary of current thinking in pain mechanisms with a particular emphasis on how these mechanisms relate to injury and MSD. Likewise, it was the intent to illuminate interesting new work within the stduy of pain, highlighting the complications and intricacies of its nature. Lastly, through this presentation, areas of future work have been indicated. It is only through continual efforts that meaningful advances will be made in preventing and treating painful musculoskeletal disorders.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author gratefully acknowledges the following for financial support: National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases (AR47564) and the Whitaker Foundation. Many thanks also to Joyce DeLeo and Jim Weinstein for their continued support of my interests in pain research.

REFERENCES

[1] Arruda J, Colburn R, Rickman A, Rutkowski M, DeLeo J. Increase of interleukin-6 mRNA in the spinal cord following peripheral nerve injury in the rat: Potential role of IL-6 in neuropathic pain. Molecular Brain Research 1998;62:228-35.

[2] Avramov AI, Cavanaugh JM, Ozaktay AC, Getchell TV, King AI. Effects of controlled mechanical loading on group II, III, and IV afferents from the lumbar facet: an in vitro study. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery 1992;74A:1464-71.

[3] Black J, Langworthy K, Hinson A, Dib-Hajj S, Waxman S. NGF has opposing effects on Na+ channel III and SNS gene expression in spinal sensory neurons. Neuroreport 1997;8:2331-5.

[4] Cavanaugh JM. Neurophysiology and neuroanatomy of neck pain. In: Yoganandan N, Pintar FA, editors. Frontiers in Whiplash Trauma: Clinical and Biomechanical. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 2000;79-96.

[5] Cavanaugh JM, El-Bohy AA, Hardy WH, Getchell TV, Getchell ML, King AI. Sensory innervation of soft tissues of the lumbar spine in the rat. Journal of Orthopaedic Research 1989;7:278-88.

[6] Coderre TJ, Katz J, Vaccarino A, Melzack R. Contribution of central neuroplasticity to pathological pain: review of clinical and experimental evidence. Pain 1993;52:259-85.

[7] Colburn R, Rickman A, DeLeo J. The effect of site and type of nerve injury on spinal glial activation and neuropathic pain behavior. Experimental Neurology 1999; 157:289-304.

[8] Cook AJ, Woolf CJ, Wall PD, McMahon SB. Dynamic receptive field plasticity in rat spinal cord dorsal horn following C-primary afferent input. Nature 1987;325:151-3.

[9] Cornefjord M, Sato K, Olmarker K, Rydevik B, Nordborg C. A model for chronic nerve root compression studies. Presentation of a porcine model for controlled slow-onset compression with

analyses of anatomic aspects, compression onset rate, and morphologic and neurophysiologic effects. Spine 1997;22:946-57.

[10] DeLeo J, Colburn R. The role of cytokines in nociception and chronic pain. In: Weinstein J, Gordon S, editors. Low Back Pain: A Scientific and Clinical Overview. Rosemont, IL: AAOS, 1986,163-85.

[11] DeLeo J, Colburn R, Nichols M, Malhotra A. Interleukin (IL)-6 mediated hyperalgesia/allodynia and increased spinal IL-6 in two distinct mononeuropathy models in the rat. J Interferon Cytokine Research 1996;16:695-700.

[12] DeLeo J, Hashizume H, Rutkowski M, Weinstein J. Cyclooxygenase–2 inhibitor SC-236 attenuates mechanical allodynia following nerve root injury in rats. Journal of Orthopaedic Research 2000;18(6):977-82.

[13] DeLeo J, Winkelstein B. Physiology of chronic spinal pain syndromes: From animal models to biomechanics. Spine 2002;27(22):2526-37.

[14] DeLeo JA, Yezierski RP. The role of neuroinflammation and neuroimmune activation in persistent pain. Pain 2001;91:1-6.

[15] Devor M. Neuropathic pain and injured nerve: peripheral mechanisms. British Medical Bulletin 1991;47:619-30.

[16] Devor M. Pain arising from the nerve root and the dorsal root ganglion. In: Weinstein J, Gordon S, editors. Low Back Pain: A Scientific and Clinical Overview. Rosemont, IL: AAOS, 1986;187-208.

[17] Dray A, Perkins M. Bradykinin and inflammatory pain. Trends in Neuroscience 1993;16(3): 99-104. [18] Dubner R, Basbaum AI. Spinal dorsal horn plasticity following tissue or nerve injury. In: Wall PD, Melzak R, editors. Textbook of Pain. Edinburgh:Churchill-Livingstone, 1994:225-41.

[19] Dubner R, Hargreaves KM. The neurobiology of pain and its modulation. Clinical Journal of Pain 1989;S2, S1-S6.

[20] Frymoyer J, Cats-Baril W. An overview of the incidences and costs of low back pain. Orthopedic Clinics of North America 1991;22:263-71.

[21] Frymoyer J, Durett C. The economics of spinal disorders. In: Frymoyer JW, editor. The Adult Spine: Principles and Practice. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven Publishers 1997.

[22] Hanai F, Matsui N, Hongo N. Changes in responses of wide dynamic range neurons in the spinal dorsal horn after dorsal root or dorsal root ganglion compression. Spine 1996;21:1408-15.

[23] Hashizume H, DeLeo J, Colburn R, Weinstein J. Spinal glial activation and cytokine expression following lumbar root injury in the rat. Spine 2000;25:1206-17.

[24] Hashizume H, Rutkowski M, Weinstein J, DeLeo J. Central administration of methotrexate reduces mechanical allodynia in an animal model of radiculopathy/sciatica. Pain 2000;87(2):159-69.

[25] Junger H, Sorkin LS. Nociceptive and inflammatory effects of subcutaneous TNF alpha. Pain 2000;85(1-2):145-51.

[26] Kawakami M, Weinstein JN. Associated neurogenic and nonneurogenic pain mediators that probably are activated and responsible for nociceptive input. In: Weinstein J, Gordon S, editors. Low Back Pain: A Scientific and Clinical Overview. Rosemont, IL: AAOS, 1986;265-73.

[27] Lundborg G, Myers R, Powell H. Nerve compression injury and increased endoneurial fluid pressure: a "miniature compartment syndrome." Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry 1983;46:1119-24.

[28] Olmarker K, Holm S, Rydevik B. Importance of compression onset rate for the degree of impairment of impulse propagation in experimental compression injury of the porcine cauda equina. Spine 1990;15:416-9.

[29] Olmarker K, Rydevik B, Holm S. Edema formation in spinal nerve roots induced by experimental, graded compression. An experimental study on the pig cauda equina with special reference to differences in effects between rapid and slow onset of compression. Spine 1989;14:569-73.

[30] Ozaktay AC, Cavanaugh JM, Asik I, DeLeo JA, Weinstein JN. Dorsal root sensitivity to interleukin-1 beta, interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor in rats. European Spine Journal 2002;11(5):467-75.

[31] Pedowitz R, Garfin S, Massie J, Hargens A, Swenson M, Myers R, Rydevik B. Effects of magnitude and duration of compression on spinal nerve root conduction. Spine 1992;17:194-9.

[32] Rutkowski M, Pahl J, Sweitzer S, DeLeo J. Limited role of macrophages in generation of nerve injury-induced mechanical allodynia. Physiology & Behavior 2000;71:225-35.

[33] Rutkowski MD, Winkelstein BA, Hickey WF, Pahl JL, DeLeo JA. Lumbar nerve root injury induces CNS neuroimmune activation and neuroinflammation in the rat: Relationship to painful radiculopathy. Spine 2002;27(15):1604-13.

[34] Rydevik B, Myers R, Powell H. Pressure increase in the dorsal root ganglion following mechanical compression. Closed compartment syndrome in nerve roots. Spine 1989;14:574-6.

[35]. Skouen J, Brisby H, Otami K, Olmarker K, Rosengren L, Rydevik B. Protein markers in cerebrospinal fluid experimental nerve root injury. A study of slow-onset chronic compression effects or the biochemical effects of nucleus pulposus on sacral nerve roots. Spine 1999;24:2195-200.

[36] Sweitzer S, Arruda J, DeLeo J. The cytokine challenge: methods for the detection of central cytokines in rodent models of persistent pain. In: Kruger L, editor. Methods in Pain Research. CRC Press, 2001;109-32.

[37] Sweitzer S, Martin D, DeLeo J. IL-1ra and sTNFr reduces mechanical allodynia and spinal cytokine expression in a model of neuropathic pain. Neuroscience 2001;103:529-39.

[38] Sweitzer S, Schubert P, DeLeo J. Propentofylline, a glial modulating agent, exhibits antiallodynic properties in a rat model of neuropathic pain. Journal of Pharmacology & Experimental Therapeutics 2001;297(3):1210-7.

[39] Sweitzer S, White KA, Dutta C, DeLeo J. The differential role of spinal MHC class II and cellular adhesion molecules in peripheral inflammatory versus neuropathic pain in rodents. Journal of Neuroimmunology 2002;125(1-2):82-93.

[40] Vanderah TW, Ossipov MH, Lai J, Malan T, Porreca F. Mechanisms of opioid-induced pain and antinociceptive tolerance: descending facilitation and spinal dynorphin. Pain 2001;92(1-2):5-9.

[41] Wall P, Melzack R. Textbook of Pain. 3rd Edition, London: Churchill Livingstone, 1994.

[42] Watkins L, Maier S, Goehler L. Immune activation: the role of pro-inflammatory cytokines in inflammation, illness responses, and pathological pain states. Pain 1995;63:289-302.

[43] Watkins L, Wiertelak E, Goehler L, Smith K, Martin D, Maier S. Characterization of cytokineinduced hyperalgesia. Brain Research 1994;654:15-26.

[44] Waxman S, Dib-Hajj S, Cummins T, Black J. Sodium channels and pain. Proceedings of National Academy of Science USA 1999;96:7635-9.

[45] Winkelstein BA, DeLeo JA. Nerve root tissue injury severity differentially modulates spinal glial activation in a rat lumbar radiculopathy model: Considerations for persistent pain. Brain Research 2002;956(2):294-301.

[46] Winkelstein BA, DeLeo JA. Mechanical thresholds for initiation and persistence of pain following nerve root injury: Mechanical and chemical contributions at injury. Journal of Biomechanical Engineering, in revision, 2002.

[47] Winkelstein B, Rutkowski M, Sweitzer S, Pahl J, DeLeo J. Nerve injury proximal or distal to the DRG induces florid spinal neuroimmune activation related to enhanced behavioral sensitivity. Journal of Comparative Neurology 2001;439:127-39.

[48] Winkelstein BA, Rutkowski MD, Weinstein JN, DeLeo JA. Quantification of neural tissue injury in a rat radiculopathy model: comparison of local deformation, behavioral outcomes, and spinal cytokine mRNA for two surgeons. Journal of Neuroscience Methods 2001;111:49-57.

[49] Winkelstein B, Weinstein J, DeLeo J. Local biomechanical factors in lumbar radiculopathy: An *in vivo* model approach. Spine 2001;27:27-33.

[50] Woolf CJ. Evidence for a central component of post-injury pain hypersensitivity. Nature 1983;306:686-8.

[51] Woolf C, Safieh-Garabedian B, Ma Q, Crilly P, Winter J. Nerve growth factor contributes to the generation of inflammatory sensory hypersensitivity. Neuroscience 1994;62:277-331.

[52] Yoshizawa H, Kobayashi S, Kubota K. Effects of compression on intraradicular blood flow in dogs. Spine 1989;14:1220-5.

TABLE 1. Suggested Future Work for Painful MSD:

Specific Areas of Investigation

1. Mechanisms for Anatomic Injury & Definition of Nociceptive Responses

- Identify anatomic structures at risk for injury
- Understand physiologic meaning of "injury" for these structures
- Identify injury factors which dominate pain
 - \rightarrow PREVENTION of painful injuries
 - \rightarrow DIAGNOSTIC tools & measures for prediction of management

2. Development of Effective Treatments & Managements

- Pain symptom attenuation
- Pharmacological treatments targeted at spinal changes
 - \rightarrow TREATMENT for chronic pain
 - \rightarrow MANAGEMENT strategies for pain

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. This schematic illustrates physiologic mechanisms of pain following an inury in the periphery. Nociceptive response are complicated and involve a host of changes both locally in the central nervous system. While the schematic depicts a simple linear cascade (from left to right) of events following injury which lead to pain perception, these events are quite dynamic in nature and involve aspects of electrophysiology, immunology and an interplay between both.

Figure 2. There is a wide array of physiologic responses which occur following a mechanical injury such as that resulting from MSD. hanges occur both at the site of injury and in the spinal cord and CNS. However, the degree to which these alterations occur is variable and dependent on both the nature of the injury and the type of response. For example, while it has been determined that spinal cytokine mRNA follows patterns of tissue deformation, the spinal astrocytic responses do not, suggesting a complicated and differential immune response for mechanical loading of tissue. While a detailed understanding of the relationship between the physiologic aspects of these responses is emerging, the role of biomechanics in pain onset and maintenance remains uncharacterized. It will be imperative to determine the relationship between the relationship between mechanical injury and the nociceptive physiologic responses detailed in this flow chart (and others) for management of this class of injuries.